

(A) Propositional axiom schemes, same as in PL.

- (1) $\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \phi)$
- (2) $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow \chi)) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \chi))$
- (3) $(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow ((\phi \rightarrow \neg\psi) \rightarrow \neg\phi)$
- (4) $\neg\neg\phi \rightarrow \phi$
- (5) $\phi \rightarrow (\psi \rightarrow (\phi \wedge \psi))$
- (6a) $(\phi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \phi$ (6b) $(\phi \wedge \psi) \rightarrow \psi$
- (7a) $\phi \rightarrow (\phi \vee \psi)$ (7b) $\psi \rightarrow (\phi \vee \psi)$
- (8) $(\phi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow ((\psi \rightarrow \chi) \rightarrow ((\phi \vee \psi) \rightarrow \chi))$

(B) Predicate axiom schemes.

- (9) $\forall v\phi(v, \vec{u}) \rightarrow \phi(t, \vec{u})$ (t free for v in $\phi(v, \vec{u})$)
- (10) $\forall v(\phi \rightarrow \psi) \rightarrow (\phi \rightarrow \forall v\psi)$ (v not free in ϕ)
- (11) $\phi(t, \vec{u}) \rightarrow \exists v\phi(v, \vec{u})$ (t free for v in $\phi(v, \vec{u})$)

(C) Rules of inference.

- (12) From ϕ and $\phi \rightarrow \psi$, infer ψ . (Modus Ponens)
- (13) From ϕ , infer $\forall v\phi$. (Generalization)
- (14) From $\phi \rightarrow \psi$, infer $\exists v\phi \rightarrow \psi$, provided v is not free in ψ .
(Exists Elimination)

(D) Identity axioms. Skipped.**Lemma 7C.4. The natural introduction rules for LPCI.**

If T is a set of sentences, the indicated substitutions are free, and the indicated restrictions are obeyed, then the following hold:

- (\rightarrow) If $T, \chi \vdash \phi$, then $T \vdash \chi \rightarrow \phi$. *Restriction: χ is a sentence.*
- (\wedge) If $T \vdash \phi$ and $T \vdash \psi$, then $T \vdash \phi \wedge \psi$.
- (\vee) If $T \vdash \phi$ or $T \vdash \psi$, then $T \vdash \phi \vee \psi$.
- (\neg) If $T, \chi \vdash \psi$ and $T, \chi \vdash \neg\psi$, then $T \vdash \neg\chi$. *Restriction: χ a sentence.*
- (\forall) If $T \vdash \phi$, then $T \vdash \forall v\phi$.
- (\exists) If $T \vdash \phi\{v \equiv t\}$, then $T \vdash \exists v\phi$.

Lemma 7C.5. The natural elimination rules for LPCI.

If T is a set of sentences, the indicated substitutions are free, and the indicated restrictions are obeyed, then the following hold:

- (\rightarrow) If $T \vdash \phi$ and $T \vdash \phi \rightarrow \psi$, then $T \vdash \psi$.
- (\wedge) If $T \vdash \phi \wedge \psi$, then $T \vdash \phi$ and $T \vdash \psi$.
- (\vee) If $T, \phi \vdash \chi$ and $T, \psi \vdash \chi$, then $T, \phi \vee \psi \vdash \chi$
Restriction: ϕ, ψ are sentences.
- (\neg) If $T \vdash \neg\neg\phi$, then $T \vdash \phi$.
- (\forall) If $T \vdash \forall v\phi$, then $T \vdash \phi\{v \equiv t\}$
- (\exists) If $T, \phi\{v \equiv c\} \vdash \chi$, then $T, \exists v\phi \vdash \chi$
Restriction: $\exists v\phi$ is a sentence and c is a constant which does not occur in T or in χ .