**x2.8.** Prove that for every structure **A**, the identity  $\sigma(x) = x$  on A is an automorphism—the *trivial* one. Prove also that the structure **N** of arithmetic has no other automorphisms—it is *rigid*.

Solution. To prove that  $id : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$  is an isomorphism we just need to verify that it satisfies the conditions in Section 3B, and, of course, these are all trivial

To prove that  $\mathbf{N} = (\mathbb{N}, 0, S, +, \cdot)$  is rigid, we need to verify that if  $\sigma : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$  is an isomorphism, then

for every 
$$n, \sigma(n) = n$$
,

and we do this by induction.

Basis,  $\sigma(0) = 0$ . This is true because 0 is a "distinguished element" (named by the constant 0), and so it is preserved by every isomorphism. Induction Step. Assume  $\sigma(n) = n$  and compute:

 $\sigma(S(n)=S(\sigma(n)) \quad (\text{ because } \sigma \text{ preserves } S)$   $=S(n) \quad (\text{by the induction hypothesis}).$ 

**x2.14.** Prove that if a binary relation P(x, y) is elementary in a structure **A**, then so is the *converse relation* 

 $\check{P}(x,y) \iff P(y,x).$ 

Solution. If  $P_1^2(x,y) = x$ ,  $P_2^2(x,y) = y$  are the two projections, then

$$\check{P}(x,y) \iff P(y,x) \iff P(P_2^2(x,y),P_1^2(x,y)),$$

and so  $\breve{P}(x, y)$  is elementary in **A** by (2) and (3) of Theorem 3J.1.

**x2.15.** Prove that if  $f(\vec{x}), g(\vec{x})$  are elementary functions in a structure **A**, then so is the relation

$$P(\vec{x}) \iff f(\vec{x}) = g(\vec{x}).$$

Solution. The equality relation

$$Eq(u, v) \iff u = v$$

is elementary by (1) of Theorem 3J.1, and hence so is

$$P(\vec{x}) \iff \operatorname{Eq}(f(\vec{x}), g(\vec{x})) \iff f(\vec{x}) = g(\vec{x})$$

by (3) of the same theorem.

**x2.17.** Determine whether the (usual) ordering relation on real numbers is elementary on the field  $\mathbf{R} = (\mathbb{R}, 0, 1, +, \cdot)$ , and if your answer is

positive, find a formula which defines them. (You need to know something about the real numbers to do this.)

Solution. The ordering on  $\mathbb{R}$  is elementary in  $\mathbb{R}$ , because non-negative real numbers have square roots and this characterizes them. So

$$x \ge 0 \iff (\exists y)[x = y^2], \quad x \le y \iff (y - x) \ge 0.$$

**x2.22.** Prove that the following functions and relations on  $\mathbb{N}$  are arithmetical.

- 1.  $p(i) = p_i$  = the *i*'th prime number, so that  $p_0 = 2, p_1 = 3, p_2 = 5$ , etc.
- 2.  $f_n(x_0, \ldots, x_n) = p_0^{x_0+1} \cdot p_1^{x_1+1} \cdots p_n^{x_n+1}$ . (This is a different function of n+1 arguments for each n.)
- 3.  $R(u) \iff$  there exists some n and some  $x_1, \ldots, x_n$  such that  $u = f_n(x_1, \ldots, x_n).$

Solution. 1. The function

h(u,i) = the least prime number which is greater than u

(which disregards its second argument) is arithmetical, because its graph is arithmetical,

 $h(u,i) = w \iff u < w \land \operatorname{Prime}(w) \land (\forall y)[(u < y \land \operatorname{Prime}(y)) \to w \le y];$ and  $p(i) = p_i$  is defined by the primitive recursion

$$p(0) = 2, \quad p(i+1) = h(p(i), i)$$

as in (4-1), and so it is arithmetical by Theorem 4A.1.

2. Here we are asked to prove that every one of the functions

$$f_0(x_0) = 2^{x_0+1}, \ f_1(x_0, x_1) = 2^{x_0+1} \cdot 3^{x_1+1}, \dots$$

is arithmetical. and perhaps the simplest way is to do this by induction on n.

At the basis, let 2(x) = 2, which is clearly arithmetical, and compute:

$$f_0(x_0) = 2^{x_0+1} = \exp(2(x), S(x)),$$

so that  $f_0$  is arithmetical by (3) of Theorem 3J.1 and the fact that  $\exp(u, v)$  is arithmetical.

At the induction step, the definition gives

$$f_{n+1}(x_0,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}) = f_n(x_1,\ldots,x_n) \cdot p_{n+1}^{x_{n+1}+1}.$$

Letting  $\vec{x} = (x_0, \ldots, x_n)$  to simplify notation, set

 $f'_n(\vec{x}, x_{n+1}) = f_n(P_1^{n+2}(\vec{x}, x_{n+1}), \dots, P_{n+1}^{n+2}(\vec{x}, x_{n+1})) = f_n(\vec{x});$ 

the function

$$g(\vec{x}, x_{n+1}) = p_{n+1}^{x_{n+1}+1}$$

Let me know of errors or better solutions.

 $\mathbf{2}$ 

is arithmetical. by similar arguments (and recalling that  $p_{n+1}$  is just a number, like  $2 = p_0$  in the basis); and finally

$$f_{n+1}(x_0,\ldots,x_n,x_{n+1}) = f'_n(\vec{x},x_{n+1}) \cdot g(\vec{x},x_{n+1})$$

so  $f_{n+1}$  is arithmetical by Theorem 3J.1 again, as the product of two arithmetical functions.

3. The observation here is that the numbers

$$p_0^{x_0+1} \cdot p_1^{x_1+1} \cdots p_n^{x_n+1}$$

are (easily) characterized by the fact that if some prime p divides them, then every smaller prime q < p also divides them; but this is an arithmetical condition:

$$R(u) \iff u \ge 2 \land (\forall p)(\forall q)[(\operatorname{Prime}(p) \land \operatorname{Prime}(q) \land p \mid u \land q < p) \Longrightarrow q \mid u],$$

where  $x \mid y$  is the divisibility relation.

**x2.25.** Prove that the ring of integers **Z** admits coding of tuples (Example 4B.2).

Solution. By Lagrange's Theorem (which is needed here), every natural number is the sum of four squares—and, obviously, every  $x \in \mathbb{Z}$  which is the sum of four (or any number of) squares is a natural number; so we can set

$$x \in \mathbb{N}' \iff \exists x_1 \exists x_2 \exists x_3 \exists x_4 [x = x_1^2 + x_2^2 + x_3^2 + x_4^2].$$

This is an elementary subset of **Z**. We also let 0' = 0, and we take  $S'.+', \cdot'$  to be the restrictions to  $\mathbb{N}'$  of the successor function addition and multiplication on  $\mathbb{Z}$ , so that  $(\mathbb{N}', 0'S', +', \cdot')$  is obviously isomorphic with **N**. Moreover, these functions are elementary in **Z** (which simply means that their graphs are elementary relations in **Z**), e.g.,

$$x + y = z \iff x, y, z \in \mathbb{N}' \land x + y = z;$$

thus  $(\mathbb{N}', 0'S', +', \cdot')$  is a copy of **N** in **Z**.

To code tuples in **Z** we use the fact that every integer is either a natural number or the negation of a natural number, and so we can code an *n*-tuple  $(w_0, \ldots, w_{n-1})$  by a natural number code of the sequence

$$(w_0, \operatorname{sign}(w_0), \ldots, w_{n-1}, \operatorname{sign}(w_{n-1}))$$

of natural numbers, where

$$\operatorname{sign}(w) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } w \ge 0, \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Let me know of errors or better solutions.

Using the  $\beta$ -function to code tuples of natural numbers, we set

$$\gamma(a,b,i) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } a \notin \mathbb{N}' \lor b \notin \mathbb{N}' \lor i \notin \mathbb{N}', \\ \beta(a,b,2i), & \text{otherwise, if } \beta(a,b,2i+1) = 0, \\ -\beta(a,b,2i), & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and verify easily that this function is Z-elementary and that it codes tuples in Z.

**x2.23.** The Ackermann function is defined by the following *double recursion*:

$$\begin{split} A(0,x) &= x+1 \\ A(n+1,0) &= A(n,1) \\ A(n+1,x+1) &= A(n,A(n+1,x)) \end{split}$$

- 1. Compute A(1, 2).
- 2. Compute A(2, 1).

3. Prove that the Ackermann function is arithmetical.

Solution.

1. We can compute A(1,2) by applying the given equations as needed,

$$A(1,2) = A(0, A(1,1)) = A(1,1) + 1 = A(0, A(1,0)) + 1$$
  
= A(1,0) + 2 = A(0,1) + 2 = 2 + 2 = 4.

This is messy, not systematic, and does not help much in the next part of the problem. It is better to prove the general formula

(\*) 
$$A(1,x) = x + 2,$$

which is almost immediate by induction on x: at the basis,

$$A(1,0) = A(0,1) = 1 + 1 = 2,$$

and at the induction step,

$$A(1, x + 1) = A(0, A(1, x)) = A(1, x) + 1 = (x + 2) + 1 = x + 3.$$

Now (\*) gives A(1,2) = 4 immediately.

2. Applying (just once) the third of the given equations and (\*):

$$A(2,1) = A(1, A(2,0)) = A(2,0) + 2 = A(1,1) + 2 = 1 + 2 + 2 = 5.$$

It is also not difficult to prove by induction the general formula

$$A(2,x) = 2x + 3.$$

3. This is quite difficult, in fact, and requires an analysis of the definition of A(n, x); the idea is that all the values of it can be computed by applying the given equations, which we must make precise in a useful way. We give the proof in some detail.

Let me know of errors or better solutions.

A sequence of triples

$$\alpha = ((n_0, x_0, w_0), (n_1, x_1, w_1), \dots, (n_k, x_k, w_k))$$

is an Ackermann derivation if one of the following conditions holds for each  $i \leq k$ :

- (1)  $n_i = 0$  and  $w_i = x_i + 1$ .
- (2)  $n_i > 0, x_i = 0$ , and there is some j < i such that  $n_j = n_i 1, x_j = 1$ , and  $w_i = w_j$ .
- (3)  $n_i > 0, x_i > 0$ , and there exist numbers j, l < i such that

 $n_j = n_i, \ x_j = x_i, \ n_l = n_i - 1, \ x_l = w_j, \ w = w_l.$ 

Intuitively, an Ackermann derivation is a "proof' that  $A(n_i, x_i) = w_i$  for each triple in the derivation, and we establish this in two, simple lemmas:

Lemma 1. If  $\alpha$  is an Ackermann derivation as above, then for each  $i \leq k$ ,  $A(n_i, x_i) = w_i$ .

Proof of this is by induction on  $i \leq k$ , for the given Ackermann derivation.

Lemma 2. If A(n, x) = w, then there exists an Ackermann derivation as above such that  $n_k = n, x_k = x$  and  $w_k = w$ .

Proof is by induction on n and within this (in the induction step) induction on x. (One uses the trivial fact that the concatenation of two Ackermann derivations is also an Ackermann derivation.)

It follows that

 $A(n, x) = w \iff$  there exists an Ackermann derivation

such that  $n_k = n, x_k = x, w_k = w$ ,

and from this we can get an arithmetical definition of the graph of A(n, x) using the tuple-coding supplied by the  $\beta$ -function.