1. UCLA Department of Mathematics:

1.1) How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?

- Excellent: 5
- Failing: 2
- av. = 7.47
- md = 8
- dev. = 2.07

1.2) How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?

- Excellent: 6
- Failing: 1
- av. = 7.64
- md = 8
- dev. = 2.11

1.3) What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?

- Excellent: 7
- Failing: 2
- av. = 7.43
- md = 8
- dev. = 1.87

2. Comments:

2.1) Please use the space provided for any comments you wish to make which are pertinent to the educational process. These may include all aspects of the course: teaching, examinations, grading, textbook, etc.

- Han was helpful in his office hours and I liked that he presented homework solutions in discussion, but I think that maybe he should present it after we have all turned it in.

- I know our TA is knowledgeable, but I just not very much enjoy the discussion sessions. I think the sessions are a little boring. Half of the time will be going over the homework problems and if we had time left, he will go over an example or two. I didn’t find those very useful.

- Most discussion sections were dedicated to solving the past week’s HW. So if you did the HW and were fairly confident of your answers the discussion section was pretty useless. Maybe just uploading the HW solutions and going over new problems in section might have made the discussions more fruitful.

- Our TA was great! Went over relevant material and the homework in discussion sections which was always very helpful.

- TA was good. I never went to office hours, so I didn’t talk to him much, but it was nice he would go over homework problems in lecture and give you time to make corrections to homework before turning it in.

- Very nice and helpful!

- discussions are helpful if you miss a class. otherwise it is repetitive. its helpful to see and solve different problems during discussion with him. i like the structure of his discussion(1st half review material, second half solving questions.)
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