

**SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK ASSIGNMENTS
245C, SPRING 2019**

WILFRID GANGBO

SECTION 6.4.

For $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ and $\alpha > 0$, we set

$$E(\alpha, f) := \{x \in X \mid |f(x)| > \alpha\}$$

Exercise 35. (a) Since

$$E(\alpha, cf) = E\left(\frac{\alpha}{c}, f\right)$$

we conclude that $\lambda_{cf}(\alpha) = \lambda_f\left(\frac{\alpha}{c}\right)$. Hence, if $0 < p < \infty$

$$[cf]_p^p = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{\alpha^p \lambda_{cf}(\alpha)\} = c^p \sup_{\alpha > 0} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{c}\right)^p \lambda_f\left(\frac{\alpha}{c}\right) \right\} = c^p [f]_p^p.$$

(b) Since $|f + g| \leq |f| + |g|$ we conclude that $E(\alpha, f + g) \subset E(\alpha, |f| + |g|)$. Thus,

$$E(\alpha, f + g) \subset E\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, f\right) \cup E\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}, g\right).$$

Thus,

$$\lambda_{f+g}(\alpha) \leq \lambda_f\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \lambda_g\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right).$$

We conclude that

$$[f + g]_p^p = \sup_{\alpha > 0} \{\alpha^p \lambda_{f+g}(\alpha)\} \leq \sup_{\alpha > 0} \left\{ \alpha^p \left(\lambda_f\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \lambda_g\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right) \right\}.$$

Hence,

$$[f + g]_p^p \leq 2^p \sup_{\alpha > 0} \left\{ \left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right)^p \left(\lambda_f\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) + \lambda_g\left(\frac{\alpha}{2}\right) \right) \right\} \leq 2^p ([f]_p^p + [g]_p^p).$$

Exercise 36. (a) Set

$$c_0 := \mu(\{x \in X \mid f(x) \neq 0\})$$

and assume $c_0 < \infty$. Then $\lambda_f \leq c_0$. Let $q \in (0, p)$ and assume $f \in L^p$. We have

$$\|f\|_{L^q}^q = q \int_0^1 \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha + q \int_1^\infty \alpha^{q-1} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq qc_0 \int_0^1 \alpha^{q-1} d\alpha + q[f]_p^p \int_1^\infty \alpha^{q-p-1} d\alpha.$$

Integrating, we conclude

$$\|f\|_{L^q}^q \leq c_0 + \frac{q}{p-q} [f]_p^p.$$

(b) Assume $f \in L^\infty \cap L^p(\mu, \text{weak})$. Note $\lambda_f \equiv 0$ on $[b, \infty)$ where we have set $b := \|f\|_{L^\infty}$. If $q > p$ then $f \in L^q$ because

$$\|f\|_{L^q}^q = q \int_0^b \alpha^{q-p-1} \alpha^p \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq q[f]_p^p \int_0^b \alpha^{q-p-1} d\alpha = \frac{qb^{q-p}}{q-p} [f]_p^p$$

Exercise 37. For $A > 0$ we define $\phi_A : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ as $\phi_A(z) = z$ if $|z| < A$ and $\phi_A(z) = \frac{z}{|z|}A$ if $|z| \geq A$. Note that ϕ_A is continuous in \mathbb{C} and has its range contained in the ball centered at the origin in \mathbb{C} that has radius A . Given a measurable function $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, we set

$$h_A := \phi_A \circ f, \quad g_A := f - h_A.$$

Note that $|h_A| \leq A$ and so, $\lambda_{h_A} \equiv 0$ on $[A, \infty)$.

Claim 1. If $\alpha \in (0, A)$ then $\lambda_f(\alpha) = \lambda_{h_A}(\alpha)$.

Proof of Claim 1. To prove the claim, it suffices to show that $E(\alpha, h_A) = E(\alpha, f)$. As $|h_A| \leq |f|$, this means it suffices to show that $E(\alpha, f) \subset E(\alpha, h_A)$.

Assume on the contrary $x \in E(\alpha, f)$ and $x \notin E(\alpha, h_A)$. Then $|h_A(x)| \leq \alpha < A$ and so, $f(x) = h_A(x)$. Thus, $|f(x)| = |h_A(x)| \leq \alpha$ which contradicts that fact that $x \in E(\alpha, f)$. We have shown that $E(\alpha, f) \subset E(\alpha, h_A)$ which concludes the proof of the claim.

Claim 2. We claim that if $\alpha > 0$ then $\lambda_{g_A}(\alpha) = \lambda_f(A + \alpha)$.

Proof of Claim 2. To prove the claim, it suffices $E(\alpha, g_A) = E(A + \alpha, f)$.

Note $g_A \equiv 0$ on $\{x \in X \mid |f(x)| \leq A\}$. However, if $|f(x)| > A$ then

$$g_A(x) = f(x) \left(1 - \frac{A}{|f(x)|} \right) = f(x) \left(\frac{|f(x)| - A}{|f(x)|} \right)$$

and so,

$$|g_A(x)| = |f(x)| - A > 0.$$

Thus, if $\alpha > 0$

$$E(\alpha, g_A) = \left\{ x \in X \mid |f(x)| - A > \alpha \right\} = \left\{ x \in X \mid |f(x)| > \alpha + A \right\} = E(A + \alpha, f).$$

Exercise 38. Assume $f : X \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is measurable.

Case 1. We assume $p \geq 1$. For any $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ and any $\alpha \in [2^k, 2^{k+1}]$ we have $\lambda_f(\alpha) \in [\lambda_f(2^{k+1}), \lambda_f(2^k)]$ and so, since $p - 1 \geq 0$ we obtain

$$(0.1) \quad 2^{k(p-1)} \lambda_f(2^{k+1}) \leq \alpha^{(p-1)} \lambda_f(\alpha) \leq 2^{(k+1)(p-1)} \lambda_f(2^k).$$

Thus,

$$\left(2^{k+1} - 2^k \right) 2^{k(p-1)} \lambda_f(2^{k+1}) \leq \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \alpha^{(p-1)} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq \left(2^{k+1} - 2^k \right) 2^{(k+1)(p-1)} \lambda_f(2^k).$$

This reads off

$$2^{-p} 2^{(k+1)p} \lambda_f(2^{k+1}) \leq \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \alpha^{(p-1)} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq 2^{(p-1)} 2^{kp} \lambda_f(2^k).$$

We conclude that

$$2^{-p} p \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kp} \lambda_f(2^k) \leq \|f\|_{L^p}^p \leq p 2^{(p-1)} \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kp} \lambda_f(2^k).$$

Case 2. We assume $0 < p < 1$. In this case, instead of (0.2) we have

$$(0.2) \quad 2^{(k+1)(p-1)} \lambda_f(2^{k+1}) \leq \alpha^{(p-1)} \lambda_f(\alpha) \leq 2^{k(p-1)} \lambda_f(2^k).$$

Thus,

$$2^{-1} 2^{(k+1)p} \lambda_f(2^{k+1}) \leq \int_{2^k}^{2^{k+1}} \alpha^{(p-1)} \lambda_f(\alpha) d\alpha \leq 2^{kp} \lambda_f(2^k).$$

As above, we conclude that $\|f\|_{L^p}^p < \infty$ if and only if $\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} 2^{kp} \lambda_f(2^k) < \infty$.

Exercise 40. Monotone rearrangement of functions are well studied in the literature. If μ is a Borel measure on \mathbb{R} , one defines

$$M_\mu(x) = \mu(-\infty, x].$$

Note that M_μ is monotone nondecreasing and maps \mathbb{R} into $[0, \infty]$. If we denote as N_μ the generalized inverse of M_μ , one shows that N_μ pushes $\mathcal{L}_{(0,1)}^1$ forward to μ .

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095
E-mail address: `wgangbo@math.ucla.edu`