Method of Ondeterminent Coeff.

Here "nice" usually means derivatives follow Some mie pattern.

Betore we begin: which does general sola to inhomogeneous egg look like? Thm: The general Sol to y'' + p(t)y' - q(t)y = q(t)looks like  $y(t) = y_p(t) + y_h(t)$ where yulti is the Sol" to the Nomogeneous equation y''r p(r)y'rg(r)y = 0.Proof. If y(t) is any Solution, note y-gp is a Sola to y" + p(+1y'r q(+1y = 0)



 $y = y_2(t) + y_n(t)$  $\Xi$ 





y(t) = yp(t) + yn(t)  $= \frac{1}{2}e^{-2t} + C_1e^{2t} + C_2e^{-\epsilon}$ 

 $E_X$ . y' = 2y' - 3y = Ssin(3t)Let's guess a solution of the form yp= ASin(3t) + B Cos(3t) Yp' = 3Acos(32) = 3B Sin(32) yp" = - 917 Sin(31) - 913 Cos(77)

[-9A Sm(3t) - 9B cos(3t)] + [6A cos(3t) - 6B sin(3t]] = 3,Asin(3+) - 3B (0)(3+) = Ssin(3t)(~ 12A-6B) Sin (3+) + (-12Br(eA) cos(3t) = 5sin(3t)

 $\int -12A - 6B = 5$   $\int 6A - 12B = 0$   $\Rightarrow = 328 = 5 \Rightarrow B = -1/6$  $\int 6A + 2 = 0 \Rightarrow A = -\frac{1}{3}$ 

 $y_{g}(t) = -\frac{1}{3}Sin(3t) - \frac{1}{6}cos(3t).$  $E_X: y' \neq 2y' - 3y = 3t \neq 2t$ Let's gress (Jp(M) = At+B  $y_{p}' = A$   $y_{p}'' = O$ ZA - 3(AtrB) = 3tr4 -3At + (2A - 313) = 3t + 4S - 3A = 3ZA - 3B = 4

 $A = -1 \implies -2 - 3B = -2$  $\implies B = -2$ 

 $y_{p(t)} = -t-2.$ 

 $E_{X}, \quad y'' = 2y' - 2y = e - 3e$ To handle this, will use the following Idea: if y, solves y"-2y'-zy = e-2t and  $y_2$  Sohes  $y'' - 2y' - 2y = -3e^{-t}$ 



 $y_{1}: y_{1}' - 2y_{1}' - 2y = e^{-2t}$ yp(t) = Ae<sup>-2t</sup> yr'z -2Ae yp" = 4Ae

 $44e^{-2t} + 44e^{-2t} = 24e^{-2t} = e^{-2t}$   $= f \quad GAe^{-2t} = e^{-2t}$   $\Rightarrow \quad A = \frac{1}{6}$   $y_{P} = \frac{1}{6}e^{-2t}$ 

## $y_{2}, y' - 2y' = 2y = -3e^{-t}$ $y_{p} = Ae^{-t}$ $y_{p}' = -Ae^{-t}$ $y_{p}'' = Ae^{-t}$

 $Ae^{-t} + 2Ae^{-t} - 2Ae^{-t} = -3e^{-t}$   $\Rightarrow A = -3$   $y_{p} = -3e^{-t}$ 

 $y(t) = \frac{1}{6}e^{-2t} - 3e^{-t}$ 

y"-y'-zy = e<sup>-t</sup> EX.  $y_{p(t)} = Ae^{-t}$  $y_{p'} = -Ae^{-t}$  $y_{p''} = Ae^{-t}$ - E = C AetrAet-ZAet Ous quess can't work ont: there is no sol of the form Aet! yp(r) = Atet pero guess:

yp'= Aet - Ate yp"= -Aet - Aet + Atet  $= -2Ae^{-t} + Ate^{-t}$  $y'' - y' - z_j = e^{-c}$ (-2Ae+Ate+) - (Ae++ Ate+)  $= 2Ate^{-t} = e^{-t}$  $-3Ae^{-t} = e^{-t}$ A = -1/3 $y_{p}(t) = -\frac{1}{3}te^{-t}$ 

Common guesses:





Cos(at)or Sis(at); Acus(at) + Bsin (at)



If we add external me

g'' + gg' + gg = f(t)

Sie 4.4 + 4.7 if you want a more indepth treatment of physical applications.

Enler's Method

How can ue approximate the Solution to an ODE it we can't sole it?

 $y(t_{o}) = y_{o}$ y' = f(t,y) Recall that at each point (t,y) that flot,y) is the slope of tangent line to y at t.

 $(t_2,y_2)$   $(t_1,y_1)$   $(t_2,y_2)$   $(t_1,y_2)$   $(t_2,y_2)$ 

Iden: tangent line approximation? Choose some point (to, yo). Fix a step size At. Jake t,= to+At y, - pt on line through (to, yo) of Slope F(to, yo). Keep repeating this proceedure.

As At gets small, the pointe (tic, yic) are going to appoorination to re "good" of the solution the path Curre,

This proceedure is called Euler's Method.

How can be come up with her a recursive formula this proceedure?

 $t_{Kri} = t_{ic} + \Delta t$ Easy!



AF

 $\mathcal{J}_{K+1} = \mathcal{J}_{K} = f(t_{K}, \mathcal{J}_{K})$ tum -tk

YKFI = f(tk, yk) At ryk  $t_{Kri} = t_{ic} + \Delta t$ 





yli) & 3.596. How good of an approx. is this?

If you solve the TVP the Solution is  $y(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1+e^{2t})$ .  $y(t) = \frac{1}{2}(1+e^{2t})$ .

Sous approximation was not great. Let's make At Smaller. If we take At = .01 after 100 iterations, ve'll get y100 ~ 4.1223.  $E_{X'}$   $y' = -2ty^2$ y(o) = 1.Suppose we wanted to approximate y(z).

Stort with At = .5 (pretty large!)

 $t_{kri} = t_k + .5$   $y_{kri} = (-2t_k y_k) \cdot t + y_k$   $= -t_k y_k^2 + y_k$   $= y_k (1 - t_k y_k)$ 



2	1	1/2
3	1.5	1/4
4	2	5/32

y(2) ~ 5/32. Let's See how we did:

ylt) = 1/1+t2

is explicit Sol?.

y(2) = 1/5 Aguin, not ana Ting. At = .001, atks 2000 get Steps red

Y2000 ~ .199937

Not terrible.

We'll do some error analysis at a later date.

Hav to deal with higher order ODES?

y'' = F(t, y, y')Let's set V = y'

$$V' = g'' = F(t_1g, V)$$

This gives us a System of first order ODES:

 $\begin{cases} g' = V \\ V' = F(t, g, V) \end{cases}$ We can case Euler's method to approx. y and V.  $t_{\kappa r l} = t_{\kappa} + At$ YKHI = VKAt + YK = F(tr, ye, Nrc) At + Nrc YKrl

Ex: y"+y=0

y(0) = -1y'(0) = -2





y(0) = -1 V(0) = -2

At = .1

 $t_{K+1} = t_{K} + I$   $y_{K+1} = N_{K-1} + y_{K}$   $N_{K+1} = -y_{K-1} + Y_{K}$ 

K	tk	YK	$\mathcal{N}_{\mathcal{K}}$
$\bigcirc$	$\mathcal{O}$	- \	- 2
l	•	=1.2	-1.9
2	. 2	- 1.39	-1.78
( (	( 2 +	Х - Х - е	
lD	1	- 2.34	259
y (1)	)~~-	2.34	Can Sole OVE explicitly.
y'' 2+	F Y - ( =	5 = 0	く ~ 土 (
y (-	f ( =	-Cos(f)	- Zsialt)

y(1) = -cos(1) - 2sin(1)··· - 2.223

If we use At = .01 y(1) 2 - 2.224 For Systems with more Equations, Can just 20 Eulers method in each variable.

This idea is the key to studying ODES:

## Highes order lincor ODES

System of freforder ODES

The "right" way 5 Shedy ODES is hy of Shidying Systems OVJES.