## Selected Solutions to Homwork 7 Tim Smits

**6.1.21** For what values of k is  $A = \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & k & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & k \end{pmatrix}$  invertible?

**Solution:** We have A is invertible if and only if  $\det(A) \neq 0$ . Expanding the determinant out along the first row,  $\det(A) = k \det \begin{pmatrix} k & 1 \\ 1 & k \end{pmatrix} - \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & k \end{pmatrix} + \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & k \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = k(k^2 - 1) - (k - 1) + (1 - k) = k^3 - 3k + 2 = (k - 1)^2(k + 2)$ . We then see that  $\det(A) \neq 0$  for  $k \neq 1, -2$ .

**6.1.29** Find the values of  $\lambda$  that make  $A - \lambda I$  not invertible, where  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 3 & 5 & 6 \\ 0 & 4 & 2 \\ 0 & 2 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$ .

**Solution:** We have  $A - \lambda I = \begin{pmatrix} 3-\lambda & 5 & 6\\ 0 & 4-\lambda & 2\\ 0 & 2 & 7-\lambda \end{pmatrix}$ . Then  $A - \lambda I$  is not invertible if and only if  $\det(A - \lambda I) = 0$ . Expanding the determinant out along the first column,  $\det(A - \lambda I) = (3-\lambda) \det \begin{pmatrix} 4-\lambda & 2\\ 2 & 7-\lambda \end{pmatrix} = (3-\lambda)[(4-\lambda)(7-\lambda)-4)] = -(\lambda-3)^2(\lambda-8)$ . Therefore,  $\lambda = 3, 8$  are the values we seek.

**6.1.43** If A is an  $n \times n$  matrix, what is the relationship between det(A) and det(-A)?

Solution: Write 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ v_n \end{pmatrix}$$
 where  $v_i$  are the rows of  $A$ . Then  $-A = \begin{pmatrix} -v_1 \\ -v_2 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \end{pmatrix}$ . The determinant is linear as a function of each fixed row. As a function of the first row,  $\det(-A) = -\det\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ -v_2 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \end{pmatrix}$ . As a function of the second row,  $\det\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ -v_2 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \end{pmatrix} = -\det\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \end{pmatrix}$ , so  $\det(-A) = (-1)^2 \det\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_2 \\ \vdots \\ -v_n \end{pmatrix}$ . Continuing this logic, we eventually find  $\det(-A) = (-1)^n \det(A)$ .

6.1.57 What are the possible determinants for a permutation matrix?

**Solution:** Let A be an  $n \times n$  permutation matrix, so that A has exactly one 1 in each row and column. Say the columns of A are  $v_1, \ldots, v_n$ , so by definition,  $v_i = e_j$  for some j, where

 $e_j$  is a standard basis vector of  $\mathbb{R}^n$ . If T is the linear transformation associated to A, since  $T(e_i) = v_i$ , this says that T just permutes the set of basis vectors  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  into the set  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$ . We can define an inverse of T by defining  $T^{-1}(v_i) = e_i$  (note that  $\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\}$  is a basis, so this is all we need to define a linear transformation!), and then it's clear that  $T(T^{-1}(v_i)) = v_i$  and  $T^{-1}(T(e_i)) = e_i$ . Furthermore, by construction,  $T^{-1}$  itself is just another permutation, so that  $A^{-1}$  is a permutation matrix. This says that A and  $A^{-1}$  are both matrices with *integer* entries, so by 6.2.37 this says det $(A) = \pm 1$ .

**6.2.15** Let A be a 4×4 matrix with rows  $v_i$ . Suppose that det(A) = 8. What is det  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 + v_2 \\ v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \\ v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 \end{pmatrix}$ ?

**Solution:** Perform row reduction: subtract row 3 from row 4, row 2 from row 3, and row 1 from row 2. We find det  $\begin{pmatrix} v_1 \\ v_1 + v_2 \\ v_1 + v_2 + v_3 \\ v_1 + v_2 + v_3 + v_4 \end{pmatrix} = \det(A) = 8.$ 

**6.2.31** A Vandermonde matrix is an  $n \times n$  matrix of the form  $A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_n \\ a_0^2 & a_1^2 & \dots & a_n^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_0^n & a_1^n & \dots & a_n^n \end{pmatrix}$ . Vander-

monde showed that  $\det(A) = \prod_{i>j} (a_i - a_j).$ 

(a) Verify this for n = 1.

(b) Suppose that the formula holds for any  $n - 1 \times n - 1$  Vandermonde matrix. Define f(t) = $det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-1} & t \\ a_0^2 & a_1^2 & \dots & a_{n-1}^2 & t^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ a_0^n & a_1^n & \dots & a_{n-1}^n & t^n \end{pmatrix}.$  Show that f(t) is a degree n polynomial with roots  $a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{n-1}$ .

Let k be the coefficient of  $t^n$  in f(t). Conclude that  $f(t) = k(t - a_0) \dots (t - a_{n-1})$  for some k. Plugging in  $a_{n-1}$ , deduce Vandermonde's formula.

## Solution:

- (a) We have det  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 \end{pmatrix} = a_1 a_0$ , so the formula holds.
- (b) Expand the determinant of the matrix out along the last column, it's then easy to see that it must be a degree *n* polynomial in the variable *t*. Using the formula for the cofactor expansion, the coefficient *k* of *t<sup>n</sup>* is given by  $(-1)^{2n} \det(A_{nn}) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & \dots & 1 \\ a_0 & a_1 & \dots & a_{n-1} \\ a_0^2 & a_1^2 & \dots & a_{n-1}^2 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \end{pmatrix} =$

$$\begin{array}{c} \left\langle a_0^{n-1} & a_1^{n-1} & \dots & a_{n-1}^{n-1} \right\rangle \\ \prod_{n-1>i>j} (a_i - a_j) \text{ by assumption, since this is an } n-1 \times n-1 \text{ Vandermonde matrix.} \end{array}$$

Now,  $f(a_0) = f(a_1) = \ldots = f(a_{n-1}) = 0$ , because the matrix will have a repeated column and therefore will not be invertible, and thus have 0 determinant. Since f(t) is a degree n polynomial and we have found n roots of f(t), this says  $f(t) = k(t - a_0) \ldots (t - a_{n-1})$ . If we plug in  $t = a_n$ , we find det $(A) = k(a_n - a_0) \ldots (a_n - a_{n-1}) = \left(\prod_{n-1 \ge i > j} (a_i - a_j)\right)(a_n - a_0) \ldots (a_n - a_{n-1}) = \prod_{n \ge i > j} (a_i - a_j)$ . By induction, this proves Vandermonde's formula!

**6.2.37** Consider an  $n \times n$  matrix A such that both A and  $A^{-1}$  have integer entries. What are the possible values for det(A)?

**Solution:** The key fact is that the determinant of a matrix A is a *polynomial* in the entries of the matrix A as a result of the cofactor expansion formula. In particular, since A and  $A^{-1}$  both have integer entries, det(A) and det $(A^{-1})$  are just sums of products of integers, and therefore both integers. Since det(A) det $(A^{-1}) =$ det(I) = 1, this forces det(A) =det $(A^{-1}) = \pm 1$ , since these are the only integer solutions to xy = 1.

**6.2.41** Suppose A is an  $n \times n$  skew-symmetric matrix, where n is odd. Show that A is not invertible.

**Solution:** Since A is skew-symmetric, we have  $A = -A^t$ . Then  $det(A) = det(-A^t) = (-1)^n det(A^t) = (-1)^n det(A) = -det(A)$  since n is odd. This says det(A) = 0, so A is not invertible.

**6.2.55** Let  $D : \mathbb{R}^{n \times n} \to \mathbb{R}$  be a function such that D is linear in the rows, D(B) = -D(A) if B is a matrix formed from swapping two rows of A, and D(I) = 1. Show that  $D(A) = \det(A)$ .

**Solution:** From the properties of D, we can deduce the following:

- $D(B) = \frac{1}{k}D(A)$  if B is obtained by dividing a row of A by k.
- D(A) = 0 if two rows of A are the same.
- If B is given by adding a multiple of a row of A to another, then D(B) = D(A).

In particular, that says row reduction has the same effect on D that it has on the determinant. The same proof of the algorithm in the textbook goes through (since it only used these properties!), so we have  $D(A) = (-1)^{s} k_1 \dots k_r D(\operatorname{rref}(A))$ , where you swap rows s times and divide rows by scalars  $k_1, \dots, k_r$  while performing the row reduction. If A is invertible, then  $\operatorname{rref}(A) = I$ , so  $D(\operatorname{rref}(A)) = 1$  says  $D(A) = (-1)^{s} k_1 \dots k_r = \det(A)$ . If A is not invertible, then  $\operatorname{rref}(A)$  has a row of zeroes, so  $D(\operatorname{rref}(A)) = 0$  (again, the same proof that  $\det(A) = 0$  if A has a row of zeroes still goes through!) which says  $D(A) = 0 = \det(A)$ . This shows that  $D(A) = \det(A)$  as desired.

Since any matrix corresponds to a *n*-tuple of vectors  $(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  where  $v_i \in \mathbb{R}^n$  and vice-versa by making the vectors  $v_i$  the rows of a matrix A (or columns), we may think of D as a function from  $V^n \to \mathbb{R}$ , (for us, we take  $V = \mathbb{R}^n$ ), by saying  $D(v_1, \ldots, v_n) = D\left(\begin{pmatrix} -v_1 - \\ \vdots \\ -v_n - \end{pmatrix}\right)$ . Then the function  $D(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is linear in each variable.

Then the function  $D(v_1, \ldots, v_n)$  is linear in each variable,

 $D(v_1, \ldots, v_i, \ldots, v_j, \ldots, v_n) = -D(v_1, \ldots, v_j, \ldots, v_i, \ldots, v_n)$ , and  $D(e_1, \ldots, e_n) = 1$ . A function  $V^n \to \mathbb{R}$  satisfying the first two properties is called an *alternating multi-linear n-form* on V. This problem shows that there is a unique alternating multi-linear *n*-form on  $\mathbb{R}^n$  that maps the basis  $\{e_1, \ldots, e_n\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  to 1. In more advanced courses (typically at the graduate level) where you need linear algebra, this unique map is often times taken as the *definition* of the determinant!

**6.2.56** Prove that det(AM) = det(A) det(M).

 $\langle -r_1 - \rangle$ 

**Solution:** First suppose that M is not invertible. Then M has a non-trivial kernel. Say  $x \in \ker(M)$ . Then (AM)x = A(Mx) = 0, so AM is also not invertible. This says  $\det(AM) = 0$ , and since  $\det(M) = 0$ , we have  $\det(A) \det(M) = 0$ , so  $\det(AM) = \det(A) \det(M)$  in this case.

Now suppose that M is invertible. Consider the function  $D(A) = \frac{\det(AM)}{\det(M)}$ . We will show that D has the properties listed in the previous problem, so that  $D(A) = \det(A)$ . We have  $D(I) = \frac{\det(M)}{\det(M)} = 1$ . By how matrix multiplication works, if we swap a row of A, it swaps a row of AM (write down A in terms of rows and M in terms of columns to easily compute the entries of AM if this is not clear!). Since swapping a row of AM negates  $\det(AM)$ , this says swapping a row of A negates the sign of D(A). Finally, we just need to check that D(A) is linear as a function

of each row. Write 
$$A = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -r_i & \vdots \\ -r_n & - \end{pmatrix}$$
 in terms of rows and  $M = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ c_1 & \dots & c_i & \dots & c_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$  in terms of columns. We have  $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -kv + w & -1 & 1 \\ -kv + w & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ c_1 & \dots & c_i & \dots & c_n \\ 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = k \begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & 1 \\ -v & -1 & 1 \\ -v & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 1 \\ -r_n & -1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & 1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & 1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & 1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & -1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & -1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & -1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} -r_1 & -1 & -1 \\ -r_n & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}$   $= kA_vM + A_wM$ , where  $A_v$  and  $A_w$  are the matrices where  $\frac{1}{2} -r_n - \frac{1}{2} -r_n - \frac{1}{2}$ 

you replace the *i*-th row with the vectors v and w. This says as a function of the *i*-th row, that  $D(kv+w) = \frac{\det(kA_vM+A_wM)}{\det(M)} = \frac{k \det(A_vM) + \det(A_wM)}{\det(M)} = k \frac{\det(A_vM)}{\det(M)} + \frac{\det(A_wM)}{\det(M)} = kD(v) + D(w)$  since the determinant is linear as a function of the *i*-th row. It's obvious that D(0) = 0, so this proves that D is linear. By the previous problem, this says that  $D(A) = \det(A)$ , so that  $\det(AM) = \det(A) \det(M)$  as desired.