# Selected Solutions to Homework 1

## Tim Smits

### January 15, 2021

**2.** Let  $V = \mathbb{F}_2^n$ , viewed as an  $\mathbb{F}_2$  vector space.

- (a) Show that V has  $2^n 1$  different one-dimensional subspaces.
- (b) Find the number of 2-dimensional subspaces of V.

#### Solution:

- (a) By definition, any one-dimensional subspace looks like  $W = \text{Span}\{v\}$  for some non-zero  $v \in V$ . Explicitly, we have  $\text{Span}\{v\} = \{0, v\}$  because we are working over  $\mathbb{F}_2$ , so there are only two scalars to multiply by. Therefore, we see that distinct non-zero vectors correspond to distinct one-dimensional subspaces. Since there are  $2^n 1$  non-zero vectors in V, we get  $2^n 1$  one-dimensional subspaces.
- (b) By definition, any 2-dimensional subspace looks like  $W = \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  for  $v_1, v_2$  linearly independent vectors in V. Saying  $\{v_1, v_2\}$  is linearly independent is the same as saying that  $v_2$  is not an  $\mathbb{F}_2$ -multiple of  $v_1$ , i.e.  $v_2 \neq v_1$  because the only scalars in  $\mathbb{F}_2$  are 0 and 1. Therefore, any two choices of distinct non-zero vectors gives a linearly independent subset, for a total of  $\binom{2^n-1}{2}$  possible linearly independent subsets of two elements. For each subspace  $W = \text{Span}\{v_1, v_2\}$  there are  $\binom{3}{2}$  different possible bases for W. This is because we have  $W = \{0, v_1, v_2, v_1 + v_2\}$ , and a choice of any two distinct elements among the three non-zero vectors produces a linearly independent subset of two elements, i.e. a basis of W. This gives a total of  $\frac{\binom{2^n-1}{2}}{\binom{3}{2}}$  different 2-dimensional subspaces.
- **3.** View  $\mathbb{R}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Prove that  $\mathbb{R}$  has an uncountable basis.

**Solution:** Suppose that  $\mathbb{R}$  was a finite dimensional  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Then we would have  $\mathbb{R} \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$  for some  $n \ge 1$ . Since  $\mathbb{Q}$  is countable,  $\mathbb{Q}^n$  is countable because it's a finite product of countable sets, and because  $\mathbb{R}$  is uncountable this leads to a contradiction. Therefore,  $\mathbb{R}$  is infinite dimensional. Suppose that  $\beta = \{v_i\}_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  is a countable basis for  $\mathbb{R}$  as a  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector space. Since every element of  $\mathbb{R}$  is a *finite*  $\mathbb{Q}$ -linear combination of elements of  $\beta$ , we see that  $\mathbb{R} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \operatorname{Span}\{v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_n\}$ . As  $\operatorname{Span}\{v_1, \ldots, v_n\} \cong \mathbb{Q}^n$  as  $\mathbb{Q}$ -vector spaces (they're both *n*-dimensional), the right hand side is a countable union of countable sets, and therefore countable, which gives a contradiction. Thus,  $\mathbb{R}$  has uncountable dimension over  $\mathbb{Q}$ .

- 7. Let V be a finite dimensional F-vector space, and let  $T: V \to V$  be a linear transformation.
  - (a) Show that there exists  $k \ge 1$  such that  $\ker(T^k) = \ker(T^m)$  for all  $m \ge k$ .
- (b) Use part (a) to show that there exists  $k \ge 1$  such that  $\ker(T^k) \cap \operatorname{im}(T^k) = 0$ .

#### Solution:

- (a) Note that for any  $k \ge 0$  we have  $\ker(T^k) \subset \ker(T^{k+1})$ , because if  $T^k(x) = 0$  for some  $x \in V$ , we have  $T^{k+1}(x) = T(T^k(x)) = T(0) = 0$ . Now suppose to the contrary, that for all  $k \ge 1$ , there exists an integer  $m \ge k$  such that  $\ker(T^k) \ne \ker(T^m)$ , i.e. we have a strict containment  $\ker(T^k) \subset \ker(T^m)$ . Let  $m_1$  be such a choice of m for k = 1, and define  $m_i$  for  $i \ge 2$  by such a choice of m for  $k = m_{i-1}$ . Then we are able to construct a strictly increasing sequence of subspaces  $0 \subset \ker(T) \subset \ker(T^{m_1}) \subset \ker(T^{m_2}) \subset \ldots$ . Since the containment at each stage is strict, we must have dim  $\ker(T^{m_i}) > \dim \ker(T^{m_{i-1}})$ , and since V is finite dimensional, there must eventually be some stage j where dim  $\ker(T^{m_j}) = \dim(V)$ , i.e.  $\ker(T^{m_j}) = V$ , so that  $T^{m_j} = 0$ . However, we then have for  $m \ge m_j$ , that  $T^m = T^{m_j} = 0$ , contradicting our assumption. This proves what we want to show.
- (b) Let k be as in part (a), and let  $x \in \ker(T^k) \cap \operatorname{im}(T^k)$ . Then  $T^k(x) = 0$  and  $x = T^k(y)$  for some  $y \in V$ . Applying  $T^k$  to both sides says  $0 = T^k(x) = T^{2k}(y)$ , so that  $y \in \ker(T^{2k})$ . Since  $\ker(T^k) = \ker(T^{2k})$  by assumption, this says x = 0 as desired.

8. Let  $T : \mathbb{F}_2^3 \to \mathbb{F}_2^3$  denote the linear transformation that is represented by the matrix  $[T]_\beta = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ , with respect to  $\beta = \{(0, 1, 1), (1, 1, 0), (0, 0, 1)\} = \{v_1, v_2, v_3\}$ . Find  $[T]_\gamma$ , where  $\gamma = \{e_1, e_2, e_3\}$  is the standard basis of  $\mathbb{F}_2^3$ .

Solution: By definition, we have  $[T]_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} [T(e_1)]_{\gamma} & [T(e_2)]_{\gamma} & [T(e_3)]_{\gamma} \\ [T(e_1)]_{\gamma} & [T(e_2)]_{\gamma} & [T(e_3)]_{\gamma} \end{bmatrix}$ , where  $[T(e_i)]_{\gamma}$  denotes the  $\gamma$ -coordinates of  $T(e_i)$ . By inspection, we have  $e_1 = v_1 + v_2 + v_3$ ,  $e_2 = v_1 + v_3$ , and  $e_3 = v_3$ . Therefore,  $[T(e_1)]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta}[e_1]_{\beta} = (0, 1, 1)$ ,  $[T(e_2)]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta}[e_2]_{\beta} = (1, 0, 0)$  and  $[T(e_3)]_{\beta} = [T]_{\beta}[e_3]_{\beta} = (0, 0, 1)$ . Changing to  $\gamma$ -coordinates, we have  $[T(e_1)]_{\gamma} = v_2 + v_3 = (1, 1, 1), [T(e_2)]_{\gamma} = v_1 = (0, 1, 1)$  and  $[T(e_3)]_{\gamma} = (0, 0, 1)$ , so that  $[T]_{\gamma} = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix}$ .