

Solutions to the Exercises of Section 3.4.

3.4.1. We are to show $E(\text{median}(X_i)|T) = \bar{X}$ when X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from $\mathcal{N}(\theta, 1)$ and $T = X_1 + \dots + X_n$. Since \bar{X} is a one-to-one function of T , $E(\text{median}(X_i)|T) = E(\text{median}(X_i)|\bar{X})$. Note that $\text{median}(X_i - \bar{X}) = \text{median}(X_i) - \bar{X}$ a.s. But \bar{X} and the differences, $(X_1 - \bar{X}, \dots, X_n - \bar{X})$, are stochastically independent, so $E(\text{median}(X_i)|\bar{X}) = \bar{X} + E(\text{median}(X_i - \bar{X})|\bar{X}) = \bar{X} + E(\text{median}(X_i - \bar{X}))$. But $E(\text{median}(X_i - \bar{X})) = E_\theta(\text{median}(X_i)) - E_\theta(\bar{X}) = \theta - \theta = 0$. Hence, $E(\text{median}(X_i)|T) = \bar{X}$.

3.4.2. If X_1, \dots, X_n is a sample from the uniform distribution, $\mathcal{U}(\alpha, \beta)$, then $T = (\min X_j, \max X_j)$ is a sufficient statistic for (α, β) (see bottom of page 118). Hence, since the loss function, $((\alpha + \beta)/2 - a)^2$, is a convex function of a for all (α, β) , the decision rule $d_0 = E(\bar{X}_n|T)$ is as good as \bar{X}_n . Using Exercise 3.4.6, since the distribution of \bar{X}_n given $T = t$ is nondegenerate for almost all t when $n \geq 3$, and the loss is strictly convex, d_0 is an improvement over \bar{X}_n when $n \geq 3$. When $n = 2$, $d_0 = \bar{X}_n$ so it is not an improvement in this case.

To find d_0 , we use the following symmetry argument. The conditional distribution of the order statistics, $X_{(1)}, \dots, X_{(n)}$, given T , that is given $X_{(1)}$ and $X_{(n)}$, has $X_{(2)}, \dots, X_{(n-1)}$ as the order statistics of a sample of size $n - 2$ from the uniform distribution on the interval $(X_{(1)}, X_{(n)})$. Thus the conditional distribution of \bar{X}_n given T is symmetric about the midpoint of the interval, namely, about the midrange, $M = (\min X_j + \max X_j)/2$. Hence, $d_0 = E(\bar{X}_n|T) = M$.

3.4.3. (The conclusion should have read "... , then the maximum likelihood estimate can be taken to be a function of T ." Note that if the parameter space consists of two points, $\Theta = \{1/3, 2/3\}$, and if X_1 and X_2 are independent Bernoulli, $\mathcal{B}(1, \theta)$, then $\hat{\theta}(X_1, X_2) = (X_1 + 1)/3$ is a maximum likelihood estimate of θ that is not a function of the sufficient statistic $X_1 + X_2$. This occurs because the maximum of $f(x_1, x_2|\theta)$ over θ is not achieved at a unique value of θ when $x_1 + x_2 = 1$. But we can still find a maximum likelihood estimate that is a function of T .)

If $T = t(X)$ is sufficient for θ and the factorization theorem holds, then $f_X(x|\theta) = g(t(x), \theta)h(x)$. If for a given x there is a value of θ that achieves the maximum in $f(x|\theta)$, then the same value of θ achieves the maximum in $g(t, \theta)$ where $t = t(x)$. Then if the maximum likelihood estimate exists, we can choose for each t in the range of $t(x)$ a value $\hat{\theta}(t)$ that maximizes $g(t, \theta)$. The estimate $\hat{\theta}(t(x))$ is a maximum likelihood estimate of θ .

3.4.4. (a) Let $f_j = n_j \hat{p}_j (1 - \hat{p}_j)$. Then, setting the derivatives of logit χ^2 with respect to α and β to zero gives

$$\begin{aligned} \alpha \sum f_j + \beta \sum x_j f_j &= \sum f_j \text{logit } \hat{p}_j \\ \alpha \sum x_j f_j + \beta \sum x_j^2 f_j &= \sum x_j f_j \text{logit } \hat{p}_j \end{aligned}$$

The determinant, $(\sum f_j)(\sum x_j^2 f_j) - (\sum x_j f_j)^2$, is nonnegative by Schwarz inequality. We may assume without loss of generality that the x_j are distinct (since the Y_j corresponding to equal x_j could be combined). Then the determinant is zero if and only if at most one f_j is positive. In this case, the minimum logit χ^2 estimates are not uniquely determined.

(b) For $N = 3$, $n_1 = n_2 = n_3 = 10$, $x_1 = -1$, $x_2 = 0$, $x_3 = 1$, and $Y_1 = 0$, $Y_2 = 4$, $Y_3 = 9$, the equations become,

$$\begin{aligned} 33\alpha + 9\beta &= 24 \log(2/3) + 9 \log(9) \\ 9\alpha + 9\beta &= 9 \log(9) \end{aligned}$$

Hence, the minimum logit χ^2 estimates are

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) &= \log 2 - \log 3 = -.405 \dots \\ \hat{\beta}(\mathbf{y}) &= -\log 2 + 3 \log 3 = 2.603 \dots \end{aligned}$$

To find the Rao-Blackwellized version, we need the conditional distribution of Y_1, Y_2, Y_3 given $Y_1 + Y_2 + Y_3 = 13$ and $Y_3 - Y_1 = 9$. The only vectors (y_1, y_2, y_3) of integers y_j with $0 \leq y_j \leq 10$, such that $y_1 + y_2 + y_3 = 13$ and $y_3 - y_1 = 9$ are

$$\mathbf{y} = (0, 4, 9) \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{y}' = (1, 2, 10).$$

When $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}$, the minimum logit χ^2 estimates are found as above. When $\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}'$, the minimum logit χ^2 estimates are

$$\begin{aligned}\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}') &= -2 \log 2 = -1.386 \dots \\ \tilde{\beta}(\mathbf{y}') &= 2(\log 3 - \log 2) = .811 \dots\end{aligned}$$

We compute

$$P(\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y} | \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y} \text{ or } \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}') = \frac{\binom{10}{0} \binom{10}{4} \binom{10}{9}}{\binom{10}{0} \binom{10}{4} \binom{10}{9} + \binom{10}{1} \binom{10}{2} \binom{10}{10}} = .824 \dots$$

from which we have $P(\mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}' | \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y} \text{ or } \mathbf{Y} = \mathbf{y}') = 1 - .824 \dots = .176 \dots$. The Rao-Blackwellized estimates are therefore

$$\alpha^*(13, 9) = E\{\alpha(\mathbf{Y}) | \mathbf{T} = (13, 9)\} = .824\hat{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}) + .176\tilde{\alpha}(\mathbf{y}') = -.579 \dots$$

and

$$\beta^*(13, 9) = E\{\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{Y}) | \mathbf{T} = (13, 9)\} = .824\hat{\beta}(\mathbf{y}) + .176\tilde{\beta}(\mathbf{y}') = 2.286 \dots$$

3.4.5. (Again as in Exercise 3, the conclusion should state that there exists a nonrandomized Bayes rule that is a function of T .)

If $T = t(X)$ is sufficient for θ and the factorization theorem holds, then $f_X(x|\theta) = g(t(x), \theta)h(x)$. For a prior τ , the Bayes rule minimizes the conditional Bayes risk given $X = x$ which is proportional to

$$\int_{\Theta} L(\theta, d)g(t(x), \theta) d\tau(\theta).$$

This depends on x only through the value of $t(x)$. Hence any Bayes rule may be taken to be a function of $t(x)$, as in Exercise 3.

3.4.6. Suppose $L(\theta, a)$ is strictly convex in a for all $\theta \in \Theta$, and that the conditional distribution of $d(X)$ given $T = t$ is nondegenerate. Then from Exercise 2.8.9 we may conclude that $E(L(\theta, d(X)|T = t) > L(\theta, E(d(X)|T = t)) = L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(t))$, the inequality being strict. If the distribution of $d(X)$ given $T = t$ is nondegenerate for a set of t with positive probability under some θ , then in the proof of the Rao-Blackwell Theorem we may conclude that $R(\theta, d) = E_{\theta}[E(L(\theta, d(X)|T)] > E_{\theta}[L(\theta, \hat{\theta}(T))] = R(\theta, \hat{\theta})$.