

## Finitely Dependent Coloring on $\mathbb{Z}$ and other Graphs

Thomas M. Liggett

Mathematics Department, UCLA

(Joint work with A. E. Holroyd, Microsoft Research)

**Schramm's Question:** For which values of  $k, q$  does there exist a stationary  $k$ -dependent  $q$ -coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ ?

**This means:**  $X_i \in [q] = \{1, \dots, q\}$  such that

(a)  $(X_i) =^d (X_{i+1})$ ,

(b)  $X_i \neq X_{i+1}$ ,

(c)  $(\dots, X_{i-2}, X_{i-1})$  and  $(X_{i+k}, X_{i+k+1}, \dots)$  are independent.

In 2008, Schramm knew:

(a) Impossible for  $q = 2$ , any  $k$ , since a stationary coloring must be

$$\begin{cases} \dots 1212 \dots & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}; \\ \dots 2121 \dots & \text{with probability } \frac{1}{2}. \end{cases}$$

(b) Impossible for  $q = 3, k = 1$ .

(c) Cannot be a block factor, i.e.,  $X_j = f(U_i, U_{i+1}, \dots, U_{i+r-1})$ , where  $U_i$  are i.i.d.

(d) Cannot be a Markov chain, or a function of a finite state Markov chain.

Based on these (and other) negative results, Schramm conjectured that the answer is always **No**.

However,

**Theorem.** There exists a stationary 1-dependent 4-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and a stationary 2-dependent 3-coloring of  $\mathbb{Z}$ .

First, some of the negative results:

**Proposition.** There is no  $k$ -dependent  $q$ -coloring that is a Markov chain.

**Proof.** Suppose  $P$  is the transition matrix for the  $[q]$ -valued Markov chain  $X_i$ . By  $k$ -dependence,  $X_i$  is independent of  $X_0$  for  $i > k$ . So,  $(X_{k+1} | X_0) =^d (X_{k+2} | X_0) =$  the stationary distribution. Therefore,  $P^{k+1}(I - P) = 0$ , and the eigenvalues of  $P$  are 0 and 1. However, since  $X_i$  is a coloring, the diagonal elements of  $P$  are 0, so the trace is 0.

**Proposition.** There is no 1-dependent 3-coloring.

**Proof.** Fuxi Zhang observed that if  $X_i$  is a 1-dependent  $q$ -coloring, then  $1_{\{X_i=1\}}$  is a renewal sequence, e.g.,

$$\frac{P(000100)}{P(1)} = \frac{P(00-1-0)}{P(1)} = P(00)P(0),$$
$$\frac{P(0001)}{P(1)} \frac{P(100)}{P(1)} = \frac{P(00-1)}{P(1)} \frac{P(1-0)}{P(1)} = P(00)P(0).$$

The renewal time  $T$  has probability generating function

$$Es^T = \frac{ps^2}{1-s+ps^2},$$

where  $p = P(X_0 = 1)$ . Singularities are at  $s = (1 \pm \sqrt{1-4p})/2p$ . By Pringsheim's Theorem,  $p \leq \frac{1}{4}$ .

## First Construction ( $q = 4$ ).

Identify  $\{1, 2, 3, 4\}$  with  $\{-, +\}^2$ , and write  $X = \begin{pmatrix} Y \\ Z \end{pmatrix}$ , where  $Y, Z$  are binary  $\pm$  sequences. The distribution  $\mu$  of  $Y$  is 1-dependent. There are many possible choices for  $\mu$ , e.g., Bernoulli( $\frac{1}{2}$ ) and  $Y_i = \text{sign}(U_i - U_{i-1})$ ,  $U_i$  i.i.d.  $U[0, 1]$ .

More generally, 1-dependent binary sequences are determined by the sequence  $u_n = \mu(+ \cdots +)$ , where  $n = \#$  '+'s, since e.g.,

$$\mu(+ - +) = u_1^2 - u_3.$$

The sequence  $u_n$  must satisfy many inequalities. A large collection can be described in terms of Polya frequency sequences.

Examples of the distribution of  $X$ :

$$2P \begin{pmatrix} + \\ z \end{pmatrix} = \mu(+), \quad 2^2P \begin{pmatrix} + & - \\ z_1 & z_2 \end{pmatrix} = \mu(+ -),$$

$$2^3P \begin{pmatrix} + & - & + \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 \end{pmatrix} = \mu(+ - +) - (-1)^{z_1+z_3}\mu(+ + +),$$

$$2^4P \begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 \end{pmatrix} = \mu(+ - + -) \\ - (-1)^{z_1+z_3}\mu(+ + + -) - (-1)^{z_2+z_4}\mu(+ - - -),$$

$$2^5P \begin{pmatrix} + & - & + & - & + \\ z_1 & z_2 & z_3 & z_4 & z_5 \end{pmatrix} = \mu(+ - + - +) \\ - (-1)^{z_1+z_3}\mu(+ + + - +) - (-1)^{z_2+z_4}\mu(+ - - - +) \\ - (-1)^{z_3+z_5}\mu(+ - + + +) \\ + (-1)^{z_1+z_5}[1 + (-1)^{z_2+z_4}]\mu(+ + + + +).$$

The choice  $Y_i = \text{sign}(U_i - U_{i-1})$ ,  $U_i$  i.i.d.  $U[0, 1]$  is particularly nice, since for example

$$\begin{aligned} \mu(+ + - + -) &= P(U_0 < U_1 < U_2 > U_3 < U_4 > U_5) \\ &= \frac{\#\text{linear extensions of POS } 0 < 1 < 2 > 3 < 4 > 5}{\#\text{linear orders of } \{0, 1, \dots, 5\}} \\ &= \frac{\alpha(2, 1, 1, 1)}{6!}. \end{aligned}$$

Edelman, Hibi and Stanley (1989) proved (a more general version of) the recursion

$$\alpha(k_1, k_2, \dots) = \alpha(k_1 - 1, k_2, \dots) + \alpha(k_1, k_2 - 1, \dots) + \dots$$

For example, for the partial orders

$$\begin{aligned} 0 < 1 < 2 > 3, \quad 0 < 1 > 2, \quad 0 < 1 < 2, \\ \alpha(2, 1) = 3, \quad \alpha(1, 1) = 2, \quad \alpha(2) = 1. \end{aligned}$$

## The Formula.

$$P(x) = P\left(\begin{matrix} y \\ z \end{matrix}\right) = \frac{1}{2^m(n+1)!} \sum_{w \in DD(m-1)} (-1)^{|w|} c(w, y, z) \alpha(y_w),$$

where

(a)  $x$  has length  $n$  and  $y$  has  $m$  runs.

(b)  $DD(m)$  is the set of dispersed Dyck words of length  $m$ .

Examples:

$+ - + -$  and  $++ --$  are Dyck words;

$0++--00+-++--$  is a dispersed Dyck word.

(c)  $|w|$  is the number of  $+$ 's in  $w$  and  $c(w, y, z) = \pm 1$ .

(d)  $y_w$  is obtained from  $y$  by eliminating runs in  $y$  according to  $w$ .

(e)  $\alpha(y) = \#\pi \in S_{n+1}$  such that  $\text{sign}(\pi_{i+1} - \pi_i) = y_i$ .

**Question:** Why is  $P(x) \geq 0$ ?

## Second Construction ( $q = 4$ ).

Write  $P(x) = P(X_1 = x_1, \dots, X_n = x_n)$  for the above coloring. Then the the following recursion holds, with  $P(\emptyset) = 1$ :

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{2(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n P(\hat{x}_i), \quad x \in [4]^n, x_i \neq x_{i+1} \quad \forall 1 \leq i < n,$$

where  $\hat{x}_i$  is obtained from  $x$  by deleting  $x_i$ . If  $\hat{x}_i$  is not a proper coloring, set  $P(\hat{x}_i) = 0$ .

**Consequence:**  $P(x) \geq 0$  for all  $x$ .

What happens if the analogous construction is applied to other  $q$ 's?

(a) If  $q = 3$ , the coloring is 2-dependent.

(b) If  $q \geq 5$ , the coloring is not  $k$ -dependent for any  $k$ !

## Some Details.

For any  $q$  and proper  $x$ , define

$$B(x) = \sum_{i=1}^n B(\hat{x}_i).$$

Then for proper  $x \in [q]^m, y \in [q]^n$ ,

$$\sum_{a \in [q]} B(xay) = 2 \binom{m+n+2}{m+1} B(x)B(y), \quad q = 4,$$

$$\sum_{a,b \in [q]} B(xaby) = 2 \binom{m+n+4}{m+2} B(x)B(y), \quad q = 3,$$

$$\sum_{x \in [q]^n} [B(1x2) - B(1x1)] = 2 \prod_{k=1}^n [k(q-2) - 2], \quad q \geq 2.$$

## Color Symmetric Construction ( $q \geq 4$ ).

Motivated by the second construction for  $q = 4$ , try

$$P(x) = \frac{1}{D(n+1)} \sum_{i=1}^n C(n-2i+1)P(\hat{x}_i)$$

for  $x \in [q]^n$ ,  $x_i \neq x_{i+1} \forall 1 \leq i < n$ . Motivated by special cases, take

$$C(n) = T_n(\sqrt{q}/2), n \geq 0; \quad D(n) = \sqrt{q}U_{n-1}(\sqrt{q}/2), n \geq 1,$$

where  $T_n, U_n$  are the Chebyshev polynomials of the first and second kind:

$$T_n(u) = \cosh(nt), \quad U_n(u) = \frac{\sinh[(n+1)t]}{\sinh(t)}, \quad u = \cosh(t).$$

$C, D$  extended to all  $n$  by taking  $C$  even and  $D$  odd.

Note: If  $q = 4$ ,  $C(n) \equiv 1$ ,  $D(n) = 2n$ .

Examples:

$$C(0) = 1, \quad C(1) = \frac{\sqrt{q}}{2}, \quad C(2) = \frac{q-2}{2}, \quad C(3) = \frac{\sqrt{q}(q-3)}{2},$$

$$D(0) = 0, \quad D(1) = \sqrt{q}, \quad D(2) = q, \quad D(3) = \sqrt{q}(q-1).$$

Proof of 1-dependence relies on identities such as

$$2C(m)D(n) = D(m+n) + D(n-m)$$

and

$$C(j+k)D(k+l) = C(k)D(j+k+l) - C(l)D(j).$$

Also,

$$\sum_{i=1}^n D(n-2i+1)P(\hat{x}_i) = 0.$$

## Bounds on the number of colors needed.

- (a) On  $\mathbb{Z}^1$ , need 4 and this is best possible.
- (b) On  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , need at least 9 and 16 suffices.
- (c) On  $\mathbb{Z}^3$ , need at least 12 and 64 suffices
- (d) On the  $d$ -regular tree need at least  $de$ .

## Construction of a 16-coloring on $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

On each horizontal and vertical line in  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ , put an independent copy of the  $\mathbb{Z}^1$  4-coloring. The color of  $(m, n) \in \mathbb{Z}^2$  is  $(a, b)$ , where  $a, b$  are the colors it inherits from the horizontal and vertical lines through it.

Five colors do not suffice on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .

Let  $p = P(X_0 = 1)$  and

$$f(n) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad g(n) = P \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & - \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where  $n$  = the length of the strip and 0 means color other than 1.  
Then

$$f(n) = g(n) - pg(n-1), \quad g(n) = f(n-1) - pg(n-1).$$

Solving gives

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} g(n)s^n = \frac{1}{1 - (1-p)s + ps^2}.$$

By Pringsheim's Theorem,  $p \leq 3 - 2\sqrt{2} = .171\dots$

## Other results.

Combining our 4-coloring with results in Holroyd, Schramm and Wilson, one can prove the existence of stationary  $k$ -dependent  $q$ -colorings that exclude certain other collections of patterns. (Colorings are those that exclude the pattern  $aa$  for any  $a$ .)

## Some open problems.

1. Uniqueness of the 4-coloring or symmetric  $q$ -coloring ( $q \geq 5$ ) on  $\mathbb{Z}$ .
2. Existence of an automorphism invariant  $q$ -coloring on  $\mathbb{Z}^2$ .
3. Existence of an automorphism invariant  $q$ -coloring on the  $d$ -regular tree.
4. Do these colorings have any reasonable structure?