(25) 1. (a) For a bounded function f on [a, b], define: "f is Riemann integrable on [a, b]". (I.e., take Rudin's function to be  $\alpha(x) = x$ .)

For a partition  $P = \{a = x_0 \leq x_1 \leq \cdots \leq x_n = b\}$  of [a, b], let  $\Delta x_i = x_i - x_{i+1}, m_i = \inf_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x), M_i = \sup_{x_{i-1} \leq x \leq x_i} f(x), L(P) = \sum_i m_i \Delta x_i$ , and  $U(P) = \sum_i M_i \Delta x_i$ . Then define

$$\int_{-}^{-} f dx = \sup_{P} L(P) \quad \text{and} \quad \int_{-}^{-} f dx = \inf_{P} U(P).$$

By considering common refinements, and since  $L(P) \leq U(P)$  for each P,

$$\int_{-}^{-} f dx \le \int_{-}^{-} f dx. \tag{1}$$

The function f is integrable if these two quantities agree.

(b) Prove directly from the definition that if f is continuous on [a, b], then f is Riemann integrable on [a, b].

Since f is continuous on [a, b], it is uniformly continuous there. Given  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $\delta > 0$  so that  $|x - y| < \delta$  implies  $|f(x) - f(y)| < \epsilon$ . If P is any partition with  $\Delta x_i < \delta$  for each i, then  $M_i - m_i \leq \epsilon$  for each i. It follows that  $U(P) - L(P) \leq \epsilon(b - a)$ , so that

$$0 \le \int^{-} f dx - \int_{-} f dx \le \epsilon (b-a).$$

Since  $\epsilon$  is arbitrary, equality holds in (1).

(21) 2. Compute  $\int_{-1}^{1} (x^2 + 3) d\alpha$ , where

$$\alpha(x) = \begin{cases} x & \text{if } x < 0; \\ x^2 + 1 & \text{if } x \ge 0. \end{cases}$$

$$\int_{-1}^{1} (x^2 + 3)d\alpha = \int_{-1}^{0} (x^2 + 3)dx + 3 + \int_{0}^{1} (x^2 + 3)(2x)dx = \frac{10}{3} + 3 + \frac{7}{2} = \frac{59}{6}$$

(15) 3. Does the series

$$\frac{1}{1+x^2} = 1 - x^2 + x^4 - x^6 + \cdots$$

converge uniformly on [0, 1)? Explain.

It converges pointwise, but not uniformly. To see this, write for  $n\geq 1$ 

$$\sup_{0 \le x < 1} \left| \sum_{k=n}^{\infty} (-1)^k x^{2k} \right| = \sup_{0 \le x < 1} \frac{x^{2n}}{1+x^2} = \frac{1}{2},$$

which does not tend to 0 as  $n \to \infty$ .

(21) 4. Decide whether each statement below is true or false. If true give a proof; if false give a counterexample, or otherwise disprove it.

(a) If  $f_n \to f$  uniformly on (0, 1), then  $f_n^2 \to f^2$  uniformly on (0, 1).

False. Counterexample:

$$f(x) = \frac{1}{x}, \quad f_n(x) = \frac{1}{x} + \frac{1}{n}.$$

Then

$$\sup_{0 < x < 1} |f_n(x) - f(x)| = \frac{1}{n} \to 0; \sup_{0 < x < 1} |f_n^2(x) - f^2(x)| = \sup_{0 < x < 1} \left(\frac{2}{xn} + \frac{1}{n^2}\right) = \infty.$$

(b) If  $f_n$  and f are Riemann integrable on [0, 1] and  $f_n \to f$  pointwise on [0, 1], then

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \int_0^1 f_n dx = \int_0^1 f dx.$$

False. Counterexample: f = 0 and

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} n & \text{if } 0 < x < 1/n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(c) The function

$$f(x) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\sin nx}{n^{5/2}}$$

has a continuous derivative.

True. Let

$$f_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\sin kx}{k^{5/2}}$$

be the partial sums of the series. Then  $f_n$  is differentiable, and

$$f'_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{\cos kx}{k^{3/2}}$$

converges uniformly to

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\cos kx}{k^{3/2}}.$$

Since  $f_n(0) = 0$  for each *n*, the result follows from Theorem 7.17.

(18) 5. In each case, state whether the assertion is true or false. No explanation is needed.

(a) The family of functions  $\{f_n(x) = \sin nx, n = 1, 2, ...\}$  is equicontinuous on [0, 1].

False, since

$$\sup_{|x-y| \le \pi/n} |f_n(x) - f_n(y)| = 2.$$

(b) If  $A \subset [0, 1]$  is countable, the indicator function  $1_A$  is Riemann integrable on [0, 1].

False; take  $A = Q \cap [0, 1]$ .

(c) If  $f_n(0) = 0$  and  $f'_n$  exists, is continuous, and converges to 0 uniformly on [-1, 1], then  $f_n$  converges to 0 uniformly on [-1, 1].

True, by Theorem 7.17.

(d) If  $|f_n(x)| \leq 1$  for all n and x, and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n(x)$  converges uniformly on [0, 1], then  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sup_{0 \leq x \leq 1} |f_n(x)| < \infty$ .

False. Counterexample:

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} 1/n & \text{if } 1/(n+1) < x < 1/n; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

(e) If  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  and g is continuous, then  $h \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$ , where h(x) = g(f(x)).

True, by Theorem 6.11.

(f) If  $f \in \mathcal{R}(\alpha)$  on [a, b] and  $\epsilon > 0$ , then there is a function  $g \in C[a, b]$  so that  $||f - g|| < \epsilon$ , where  $||h|| = \sup_{a \le x \le b} |h(x)|$ .

False; take a = 0, b = 1 and

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } 0 \le x \le \frac{1}{2}; \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If  $g \in C[0, 1]$ , the  $||f - g|| \ge \frac{1}{2}$ .