CARTAN SUBALGEBRAS AND BIMODULE DECOMPOSITIONS OF II_1 FACTORS.

SORIN POPA AND DIMITRI SHLYAKHTENKO

ABSTRACT. Let $A \subset M$ be a MASA in a II_1 factor M. We describe the von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by A and its normalizer $\mathcal{N}(A)$ as the set $N_q^w(A)$ consisting of those elements $m \in M$ for which the bimodule $\overline{AmA}$ is discrete. We prove that two MASAs A and B are conjugate by a unitary $u \in N_q^w(A)$ iff A is discrete over B and B is discrete over A in the sense defined by Feldman and Moore [5]. As a consequence, we show that A is a Cartan subalgebra of M iff for any MASA $B \subset M$, $B = uAu^*$ for some $u \in M$ exactly when A is discrete over B and B is discrete over A.

1. INTRODUCTION.

Let M be a type II_1 von Neumann algebra with a trace τ. If $A \subset M$ is a maximal abelian subalgebra (MASA), then A is called a Cartan subalgebra (see [2], [6]), if its normalizer $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{u \in U(M) : uAu^* = A\}$ together with A generates M. Feldman and Moore [5] characterized pairs $A \subset M$, where A is a Cartan subalgebra, as those coming from r-discrete transitive measure groupoids with a finite measure space X as base. Given such a groupoid, the algebra A is $L^\infty(X)$, and the group of bisections of the groupoid embeds into the unitary group of M as the normalizer of A. In this paper we make use of an alternate characterization of a Cartan subalgebra in M: namely, A is a Cartan subalgebra if the Hilbert space $L^2(M)$, viewed as an A,A-bimodule, is in a certain way discrete.

Recall that if $A = L^\infty(X, \nu_X)$, $B = L^2(Y, \nu_Y)$ are diffuse commutative von Neumann algebras, and μ is a measure on $X \times Y$, so that its push-forwards by the coordinate projections onto X and Y are absolutely continuous with respect to ν_X and ν_Y, then $L^2(X \times Y, \mu)$ carries a pair of commuting normal representations of A given by

$$(a \cdot f)(s,t) = a(s) f(s,t), \qquad (f \cdot b)(s,t) = b(t) f(s,t).$$

for $f \in L^2(X \times Y, \mu)$ and $a \in A, b \in B$. In this way, $L^2(X \times Y, \mu)$ is an A,B-bimodule. It can be shown that any (abstract) A,B-bimodule containing a vector ξ for which $A\xi B$ is dense, is isomorphic to $L^2(X \times Y, \mu)$ for some measure μ.

Denote by $C(A,B)$ the set of all A,B-bimodules. Then the operation of relative tensor product of bimodules gives a multiplication $\otimes_B : C(A,B) \times C(B,C) \to C(A,C)$. Inside $C(A,B)$, there is a remarkable subset $C_d(A,B)$ consisting of *discrete* modules, i.e., modules which are direct sums of $L^2(X \times Y, \mu)$ for which μ can be disintegrated as $\mu(s,t) = \mu_t(s)\nu_X(t)$ with $\mu_t(s)$ atomic for almost all t. One has $C_d(A,B) \otimes_B C_d(B,C) \subset C_d(A,C)$. An example of a module in $C_d(A,B)$ is the bimodule $L^2(X \times Y, \mu)$ for which μ is supported on the graph of an automorphism $\alpha : X \to Y$. In this case the bimodule contains a vector ξ for which $a \cdot \xi = \xi\alpha(a)$ and $A\xi B$ is dense.

If H is any A,B bimodule, one can construct a submodule $H_d \subset H$ consisting of those elements $\xi \in H$ for which $\overline{A \cdot \xi \cdot B} \in C_d(A,B)$. H_d is the maximal subbimodule of H which lies in $C_d(A,B)$.

Date: August 10, 2000.

Denote by $C_d(A) \subset \underline{C}_d(A,A) \subset C(A,A)$ the set of such bimodules $H \in C_d(A,A)$ for which $\overline{H} \in C_d(A,A)$ as well (here $\overline{H}$ is H with the opposite Hilbert space structure and the right and left actions of A switched). Equivalently, $C_d(A)$ is the maximal subset C in $C(A,A)$ satisfying $C_d(B,A) \otimes_A C \otimes_A C_d(A,C) \subset C_d(B,C)$.

Returning now to the situation that $A \subset M$ is a MASA, $L^2(M)$ is a bimodule over A, since elements of A act on $L^2(M)$ by right and left multiplication. Every element $x \in M$ defines a sub-bimodule $\overline{AxA} \subset L^2(M)$. Denoting by $N_q^w(A)$ the set of all elements x for which $\overline{AxA} \in C_d(A)$ we obtain a certain subset of M. Because $C_d(A)$ is closed under tensor products, $N_q^w(A)$ is a subalgebra (in fact, a von Neumann subalgebra) of M. Every element in the normalizer of A is in N_q^w (in fact, its associated bimodule is the bimodule constructed out of an automorphism α of A above). We show that $N_q^w(A)$ is exactly the von Neumann subalgebra of M generated by A and its normalizer. Hence A is a Cartan subalgebra iff $N_q^w(A) = M$, i.e., $L^2(M,\tau) \in C_d(A)$.

One can similarly consider two MASAs in M and the subset $N_q^w(A,B)$ of $x \in M$ for which $\overline{AxB} \in C_d(A,B)$ and $\overline{Bx^*A} \in C_d(B,A)$. It turns out that the condition that $1 \in N_q^w(A,B)$ is equivalent to the condition that A is discrete over B in the sense of Feldman and Moore [5].

Feldman and Moore proved that two Cartan subagebras A and B are conjugate by a unitary $u \in M$ iff B is discrete over A and A is discrete over B. We show that this characterization of conjugacy characterizes Cartan subalgebras: A is a Cartan subalgebra of M iff uAu^* is discrete over A for all unitaries $u \in M$ (i.e., Cartan subalgebras are precisely the subalgebras of M for which the Feldman-Moor criterion of inner conjugacy applies). More generally, we prove that A is discrete over B and B is discrete over A iff A and B are conjugate by a unitary from $N_q^w(A)$.

2. Quasi-normalizer of a MASA.

Let X be a measure space. By a local Borel map from X to X we mean a triple (ϕ, D, R), where $D, R \subset X$ are Borel subsets, and $\phi : D \to R$ is a Borel map. We further say that (ϕ, D, R) is a local isomorphism, if ϕ is a measure-preserving Borel isomorphism of D with R. For a function $f \in L^\infty(X)$, we write $\phi(f)$ for the function $\chi_R \cdot \phi(f \cdot \chi_D)$.

Whenever A, B are commutative finite W^*-algebras with fixed finite traces and $\eta : A \to B$ is a completely-positive map, we can identify $A \cong L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and $B \cong L^\infty(Y,\nu)$. With this identification, there exists a measure $\hat{\eta}$ on $X \times Y$, so that:

1. The push-forwards of $\hat{\eta}$ onto X and Y via projections maps are absolutely-continuous with respect to μ and ν, respectively;
2. For all $f \in A$ and $g \in B$,

$$\int g(y)\eta(f)(y)d\nu(y) = \iint f(x)g(y)d\hat{\eta}(x,y).$$

The measure $\hat{\eta}$ can be disintegrated along the y direction: there is a measurable family of measures $\hat{\eta}_y$ on X, for which

$$\iint f(x,y)\hat{\eta}(x,y) = \int \left(\int f(x,y)\hat{\eta}_y(x) \right) dy.$$

Definition 2.1. (cf. [5]) A completely-positive map $\eta : A \to B$ is called *discrete*, if the measures η_y are atomic for almost all $y \in Y$.

Notice that if $\phi : C \to B$ is an isomorphism, then $\eta \circ \phi$ is discrete if and only if η is discrete.

Let M be a type II_1 factor and $A \subset M$ be a MASA. Let $x \in M$ be an element. Then x defines a completely positive map $\eta_x : A \to A$ by:

$$\eta_x(a) = E_A(x^* a x).$$

Identifying A with $L^\infty[0,1]$, η_x determines a finite positive measure $\mu^x = \hat{\eta}_x$ on $[0,1]^2$, by:

$$\iint a(t)b(s)d\mu^x(t,s) = \tau(a\eta_x(b)) = \tau(ax^*bx), \quad \forall a,b \in A = L^\infty[0,1].$$

If x is self-adjoint, the measure μ^x is symmetric; more precisely, if $T : [0,1]^2 \to [0,1]^2$ is given by $T(t,s) = (s,t)$, then $T_*\mu^x = \mu^x$. The push-forwards of μ^x by the coordinate projections from $[0,1]^2$ onto the first copy and second copies of $[0,1]$ are absolutely continuous with respect to Lebesgue measure. For each $t \in [0,1]$, μ^x can be disintegrated along the t-axis: there exists a family of measures μ^x_t, so that

$$\iint f(s,t)d\mu^x(s,t) = \iint f(s,t)d\mu^x_t(s)dt.$$

Lemma 2.2. *The Hilbert space $H(x) = \overline{\{a_1 x a_2 : a_1, a_2 \in A\}} \subset L^2(M)$ can be isometrically identified with $L^2([0,1]^2, \mu^x)$, in such a way that x is identified with the constant function 1 on $[0,1]^2$, and the element $a_1 x a_2$ is identified with the function $a_1(s)a_2(t) \in L^2([0,1]^2, \mu^x)$. In the case that x is self-adjoint, the restriction of the Tomita conjugation operator J to $H(x)$ is given by $J(f(x,y)) = \overline{f(y,x)}$.*

Notation 2.3. We consider the following sets of elements in M:

1. $\mathcal{N}(A) = \{u \in M \text{ unitary} : uAu^* = A\}$, the normalizer of A;
2. $\mathcal{GN}(A) = \{v \in M \text{ partial isometry} : vAv^* \subset A, v^*Av \subset A\}$, the full group of the normalizer of A;
3. $N_1(A) = \{x \in M : \text{there is a local isomorphism } \phi : A \to A, \text{ s.t. } \phi(a)x = xa, \forall a \in A\}$;
4. $N_q(A) = \{x \in M : \mu^x_t, \mu^{x^*}_t \text{ are both atomic with a finite number of atoms for all most all } t\}$, the quasi-normalizer of A;
5. $N^w_q(A) = \{x \in M : \mu^x, \mu^{x^*} \text{ are both discrete}\}$, the weak quasi-normalizer of A.

Note that $N_q(A)$ and $N^w_q(A)$ are $*$-subalgebras of M.

Lemma 2.4. *Let $x = x^* \in M$, and let f, g be bounded μ^x-measurable functions on $[0,1]^2$, such that $\mathrm{supp}(f), \mathrm{supp}(g) \subset \Gamma$, where*

$$\Gamma = \bigcup_{j=1}^{N} \{(s, \phi_j(s)); x \in [0,1]\} \cup \{(\phi_j(s), s) : s \in [0,1]\}$$

and ϕ_j are local isomorphisms. Identify $\overline{AxA}$ with $L^2([0,1]^2, \mu^x)$ as in Lemma 2.2. Let $f \cdot x$ be the element in $\overline{AxA}$, corresponding via this identification to $f \in L^2([0,1]^2, \mu^x)$.

Then:

1. $f \cdot x \in M$.
2. $\mu^{f \cdot x} = |f|^2 \mu^x$.

3. $g\cdot(f\cdot x)=(gf)\cdot x$ *(Chain rule).*
4. *If f is symmetric (i.e., $f(s,t)=\overline{f(t,s)}$), then $f\cdot x$ is self-adjoint.*

Proof. Let $a_i,b_i\in A$, $i=1,\dots,n$ be functions in A. Then if $y=\sum a_i x b_i$, we have that $\mu^y=|(\sum a_i(s)b_i(t))|^2\mu^x$. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\|\sum a_i x b_i\| &= \left\|\begin{pmatrix} a_1 & \cdots & a_n\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} x & \cdots & 0\\ \vdots & \ddots & \vdots\\ 0 & \cdots & x\end{pmatrix}\begin{pmatrix} b_1\\ \vdots\\ b_n\end{pmatrix}\right\|\\ &\le \|x\|\cdot\|\sum a_i^*a_i\|^{1/2}\cdot\|\sum b_i^*b_i\|^{1/2}.\end{aligned}$$

Choose now $a_i^{(k)},b_i^{(k)}$ in such a way that $\|\sum a_i^*a_i\|,\|\sum b_i^*b_i\|\le 4N^2\|f\|^2$, and $|\sum a_i(s)b_i(t)|\to f$ in $L^2([0,1]^2,\mu^x)$. This is possible because of the assumptions on f: for sufficiently fine partitions $A_1^{(k)},\dots,A_p^{(k)}$, $k=1,\dots,N$ and $i=pj+r$, $0\le r<N$, one can take $a_p^{(k)}$ to be the characteristic function of $A_j^{(r-1)}$ and $b_p^{(k)}$ be a constant times the characteristic function of $\phi_r(A_j^{(r)})$.

Then $y_k=\sum_i a_i^{(k)}xb_i^{(k)}$ converges in $L^2(M)$ to some vector $y\in L^2(M)$. Since $\|y_k\|$ is bounded, we get that $y\in M$. Define $f\cdot x$ to be equal to y. The claimed properties of $\cdot$ follow easily. To show that $f\cdot x$ is self-adjoint if f is symmetric, notice that in this case $a_i^{(k)}$ and $b_i^{(k)}$ can be chosen so that $\sum_i a_i^{(k)}(s)b_i^{(k)}(t)$ is symmetric. But then it follows that $Jy_k=y_k$, so that $Jy=y$, so that $f\cdot x=y$ is self-adjoint. □

Lemma 2.5. *Assume that $x=x^*\in N_q^w(M)$ and $\varepsilon>0$. Then there exists $y\in N_q(M)$, so that $\|x-y\|_2\le\varepsilon$.*

Proof. By [5, Theorem 1] (see also [1, Lemma 3 (a)]), there exists local isomorphisms $\sigma_i:A_i\to B_i$, $A_i,B_i\subset X$, so that the support of the measure μ^x is contained in the union of graphs $\Gamma_{\sigma_j}=\{(x,\sigma_j(x)):x\in A_j\}$, and the graphs are disjoint. By Lemma 2.4, denoting by f_j the characteristic function of Γ_{σ_j}, we find elements $x_j=f_j\cdot x\in M$, so that $\mu^{x_j}=f_j\cdot\mu^x$. It follows that $x_j\in L^2(M)$ are perpendincular, and $x=\sum_j x_j$. Moreover, each $x_j\in N_q(M)$. Now, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists N so that if we set $y=\sum_{j=1}^N x_j$, then $\|x-y\|_2\le\varepsilon$. Since N_q is an algebra, $y\in N_q$. □

Proposition 2.6. *$N_q^w(A)$ is a von Neumann subalgebra of M.*

Proof. Let $x_n\in N_q^w(A)$ be a sequence of elements, converging $*$-strongly to an element $x\in M$ and such that $\|x_j\|\le\|x\|$. By Lemma 2.5 we may assume that $x_n\in N_q$. We must show that $x\in N_q^w(M)$. If not, then let $X\subset[0,1]^2$ be the set of atoms of μ_t^x, $t\in[0,1]$, and we have that $\mu^x(X)=\|x\|_2-\delta$ for some $\delta>0$. Hence we have that for any f satisfying the hypothesis of Lemma 2.4 and valued in $\{0,1\}$, $\|x-f\cdot x\|_2^2\ge\delta$. On the other hand, we clearly have for all such f that $\|f\cdot x_n-f\cdot x\|_2^2=\|f\cdot(x_n-x)\|_2^2\le\|x_n-x\|_2^2$, since f is valued in $\{0,1\}$. Now choose x_n so that $\|x_n-x\|_2^2<\delta^2/4$; then there is an f for which $f\cdot x_n=x_n$. Hence $\|f\cdot x_n-f\cdot x\|_2^2\le\delta^2/4$, and it follows that

$$\|x-f\cdot x\|_2\le\|x-x_n\|_2+\|f\cdot x_n-f\cdot x\|_2<\delta,$$

which is a contradiction. □

Theorem 2.7. *Let $A \subset M$ be a MASA. Then the sets $\mathcal{N}(A)$, $\mathcal{GN}(A)$, $N_1(A)$, $N_q(A)$ and $N_q^w(A)$ generate the same von Neumann subalgebras in M.*

Proof. Note that A is contained in all of the sets listed in the statement. Clearly $\mathcal{N}(A) \subset \mathcal{GN}(A)$; also, $N_q(A) \subset N_q^w(A)$. If $x \in N_1(A)$, then for a certain local isomorphism $\phi : [0,1] \to [0,1]$, μ_t^x and $\mu_t^{x^*}$ are supported on $\{\phi(t), \phi^{-1}(t)\}$ if t is in the domain of ϕ, and zero otherwise. Hence $W^*(N_1(A)) \subset W^*(N_q(A))$.

By Lemma 2.5 and Proposition 2.6, we have that $W^*(N_q(A)) = N_q^w(A)$.

By a result of H. Dye (cf. [3],[4]), we have that $\mathcal{GN}(A) = \mathcal{N}(A)A$, so that $W^*(\mathcal{GN}(A)) = W^*(\mathcal{N}(A))$.

Summarizing, we have:

$$W^*(\mathcal{N}(A)) = W^*(\mathcal{GN}(A)) \subset W^*(N_1(A)) \subset W^*(N_q(A)) = N_q^w(A).$$

Next, we prove that $N_q(A) \subset W^*(N_1(A))$. Assume that $x = x^* \in N_q(A)$. As in Lemma 2.4, by finding suitable functions f_i, we can write $x = \sum f_i \cdot x$, $x_i = f_i \cdot x \in N_q(A)$, so that μ^{x_i} is supported on the set $\{(s,\phi(s)\} \cup \{(\phi(s),s)\}$ for some local isomorphism ϕ (depending on i). It is therefore sufficient to consider those x, for which μ^x is supported on such a set. Letting g be the characteristic function of $\{(s,\phi(s) : s \in [0,1]\}$ and h be the characteristic function of $\{(\phi(s),s) : s \in [0,1]\}$, we get that $x = g \cdot x + h \cdot x - hg \cdot x$. Now, $y_1 = g \cdot x$ satisfies $y_1 a = \phi(a) y_1$ for all $a \in A$, hence $y_1 \in N_1(A)$. Similarly, $y_2 = h \cdot x$ is in $N_1(A)$. Lastly, $y_3 = hg \cdot x$ satisfies $y_3 a = \chi_X a y_3$ for all $a \in A$, where X is the projection of the support of hg onto the t axis; it follows that $y_3 \in N_1(A)$. Thus $W^*(N_q(A)) \subset W^*(N_1(A))$.

Lastly, we prove that $N_1(A) \subset W^*(\mathcal{GN}(A))$. Assume that $x \in N_1(A)$. There exists a local isomorphism $\phi : A \to A$, so that $xa = \phi(a)x$, for all $a \in A$. Let $x = v(x^*x)^{1/2}$ be the polar decomposition of x; let D and R be the domain and range of ϕ. Then $(x^*x)^{1/2}\chi_D = (x^*x)^{1/2}$. Moreover, for $a \in A$, we have $x^*xa = x^*\phi^{-1}(a)x = ax^*x$, so that $[a,(x^*x)^{1/2}] = 0$. Since A is a MASA, this implies that $(x^*x)^{1/2} \in A$. Since $A \subset W^*(\mathcal{GN}(A))$ and $v \in \mathcal{GN}(A)$, $x \in W^*(\mathcal{GN}(A))$. □

The same proof works to show the following:

Theorem 2.8. *For an arbitrary diffuse unital subalgebra $A \subset M$, we have $W^*(N_1(A)) = W^*(N_q(A)) = N_q^w(A)$.*

3. Conjugacy of MASAs.

Let $A, B \subset M$ be diffuse commutative subalgebras. Let $\eta : B \to A$ be the restriction to B of the conditional expectation from M onto A. As a completely positive map, E defines a measure $\hat{\eta}$ on $[0,1]^2$ by

$$\iint f(s)g(t)d\hat{\eta}(s,t) = \tau(fE(g)) = \tau(fg), \quad f \in A, g \in B.$$

Recall [5, Part II, Definition 5.3] that B is called discrete over A if $E : A \to B$ is discrete as a completely positive map. That is to say, in the disintegration $\hat{\eta}(s,t) = \hat{\eta}_t(s)dt$ the measures $\hat{\eta}_t$ are atomic for almost all t.

Let $x \in M$. Define the completely-positive maps $\lambda_x : A \to B$ and $\rho_x : B \to A$ by

$$\lambda_x(a) = E_B(xax^*), \quad \rho_x(b) = E_A(x^*bx), \qquad a \in A, b \in B.$$

Definition 3.1. The relative quasi-normalizer $N_q^w(A,B)$ is defined to be the set of all $x \in M$, for which both λ_x and ρ_x are discrete.

Note that $N_q^w(A,B) = N_q^w(B,A)^*$.

Theorem 3.2. *(compare* [5]*) Let $A, B \subset M$ be two MASAs in M. The following are equivalent:*

1. *A is discrete over B and B is discrete over A;*
2. *$A = uBu^*$ for some $u \in N_q^w(A)$;*
3. *$N_q^w(A,B) = N_q^w(A)$;*
4. *$A \subset N_q^w(A,B)$;*
5. *$1 \in N_q^w(A,B)$.*

Proof. We prove (1) $\Leftrightarrow$ (2), (3) $\Rightarrow$ (4) $\Rightarrow$ (5) $\Rightarrow$ (1) and (2) $\Rightarrow$ (3).

We first prove that (1) implies (2); the proof is based on [5].

Consider $N = M_{2\times 2}(N)$. Let $D = \begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & B \end{pmatrix} \subset N$ be a commutative subalgebra, and let $u^* = u = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \in N$. Let $d = \begin{pmatrix} a & 0 \\ 0 & b \end{pmatrix} \in D$. Then

$$\eta_u(d) = E_D(udu^*) = E_D \begin{pmatrix} b & 0 \\ 0 & a \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} E_A(b) & 0 \\ 0 & E_B(a) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Since E_A and E_B are both discrete, it follows that $\eta_u = \eta_{u^*}$ is discrete. Hence $u \in N_q^w(D)$. Identify $A \cong L^\infty(X,\mu)$ and $B \cong L^\infty(Y,\nu)$, $D \cong L^\infty(X \sqcup Y, \mu \sqcup \nu)$. Then μ^u is supported inside the set $\{(s,t) \in (X \sqcup Y)^2 : s \in X, y \in Y \text{ or } x \in Y, y \in X\}$. Since u is a unitary, for a. e. $x \in X$, there is a $y \in Y$, so that $\mu_x^u(\{y\}) \neq 0$. Since μ_x^u is symmetric, it follows that there exists an measure-preserving isomorphism $\phi : X \to Y$, so that for each $x \in X$, $\mu_x(\{\phi(x)\}) = \mu_{\phi(x)}(\{x\}) \neq 0$. Let $f \in L^2((X \sqcup Y)^2, \mu^u)$ be the function given by

$$f(s,t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } s \neq \phi(t) \text{ and } t \neq \phi(s), \\ 1 & \text{if } s = \phi(t) \text{ or } t = \phi(s). \end{cases}$$

Let $y = f \cdot u$, and v be the polar part in the polar decomposition of y. Then v has the form $\begin{pmatrix} 0 & w \\ w^* & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ for some $w \in M$, and $[wAw^*, B] = \{0\}$. Since A and B are MASAs, this implies that $wAw^* = B$. Since $\eta_w(a) = E_A(waw^*) = (E_A|_B)(waw^*)$, it follows that η_w is discrete; since $\eta_{w^*}(a) = E_A(w^*aw) = w(E_B|_A)(a)w^*$, also η_{w^*} is discrete. Hence $w \in N_q^w(A)$.

Next, we prove that (2) implies (1). Indeed, if $w \in N_q^w(A)$, η_w and η_{w^*} are discrete. If $B = wAw^*$, it follows that $E_A|_B(b) = \eta_w(w^*bw)$ and $E_B|_A(a) = w\eta_w(a)w^*$ are both discrete.

Clearly, (3) implies (4), since $A \subset N_q^w(A)$.

Clearly, (4) implies (5), since $1 \in A$.

We now prove that (5) implies (1). If (5) holds, then $1 \in A \subset N_q(A,B)$, and hence $B \ni b \mapsto E_A(b)$ and $A \ni a \mapsto E_B(a)$ are both discrete, hence (1).

We next prove that (2) implies (3). If (2) holds, then for $x \in N_q^w(A)$ we have for $a \in A$,

$$\lambda_x(a) = E_B(xax^*) = uE_A(u^*xax^*u)u^* = u\eta_{u^*x}(a)u^*,$$

which is discrete, since $u^* \in N_q^w(A)$, $x \in N_q^w(A)$ and hence $u^*x \in N_q^w(A)$. Similarly, for $b \in B$,

$$\rho_x(b) = E_A(x^*bx) = E_A(x^*uu^*buu^*x) = \eta_{xu^*}(u^*bu),$$

which is discrete since $u^*x \in N_q^w(A)$. □

Corollary 3.3. *If A is discrete over B and B is discrete over A, then $N_q^w(B) = N_q^w(A)$; in particular, $A \subset N_q^w(B)$ and $B \subset N_q^w(A)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 3.2, $B = uAu^*$ for some $u \in N_q^w(A)$. Hence $N_q^w(B) = uN_q^w(A)u^* = N_q^w(A)$, since $N_q^w(A)$ is an algebra. □

Corollary 3.4. *If $1 \in N_q^w(A,B)$, then $A \subset N_q^w(A,B)$ and also $N_q^w(A,B) = N_q^w(B,A) = N_q^w(A) = N_q^w(B)$.*

Proof. If $1 \in N_q^w(A,B)$, then $A \subset N_q^w(A,B)$ by Theorem 3.2. If $A \subset N_q^w(A,B)$, then A is discrete over B and B is discrete over A, by Theorem 3.2. Hence $N_q^w(B,A) = N_q^w(B)$ by the same theorem. Lastly, by Corollary 3.3, $N_q^w(A) = N_q^w(B)$. □

4. Cartan subalgebras.

Theorem 4.1. *Let $A \subset M$ be a MASA. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *A is a Cartan subalgebra of M.*
2. *The weak quasi-normalizer $N_q^w(A)$ is equal to all of M.*
3. *The quasi-normalizer $N_q(A)$ is dense in M.*
4. *For a set of unitaries u_k in M, which are strongly dense in the unitary group $U(M)$ of M, the algebras $u_kAu_k^*$ are discrete over A.*
5. *For any unitary $u \in M$, uAu^* is discrete over A and A is discrete over uAu^*.*

Proof. Statement (1) is equivalent to saying that $W^*(\mathcal{N}(A),A) = M$. Hence (1), (2) and (3) are equivalent, by Theorem 2.7. The condition that uAu^* is discrete over A and A is discrete over uAu^* is equivalent to the condition that $u \in N_q^w(A)$, by Theorem 3.2. Hence (2) and (5) are equivalent. Lastly, (5) implies (4), while (4) implies that $\{u_k\} \subset N_q^w(A)$, which because u_k are strongly dense, implies (2). □

Corollary 4.2. *Let A and B be two MASAs in M. Then the following conditions are equivalent:*

1. *A and B are both Cartan subalgebras of M and are conjugate by a unitary in M;*
2. *$M = N_q^w(A,B)$.*

Proof. If (1) holds, then by Theorem 4.1, $N_q^w(A) = M = N_q^w(B)$. If A and B are conjugate, then by Theorem 3.2, $N_q^w(A,B) = N_q^w(A)$, so that (1) implies (2).

If (2) holds, then $A \subset N_q^w(A,B) = M$, so that A and B are conjugate by Theorem 3.2. Furthermore, since by Corollary 3.4, $M = N_q^w(A,B) = N_q^w(A) = N_q^w(B)$, so that A and B are both Cartan by Theorem 4.1. Thus (2) implies (1). □

REFERENCES

1. A. Connes, J. Feldman, and B. Weiss, *An amenable equivalence relation is generated by a single transformation*, Ergod. Th. and Dynam. Sys **1** (1981), 431–450.
2. J. Dixmier, *Sous anneux abéliens maximaux dans les facteurs de type fini*, Ann. of Math. **59** (1954), 279–286.
3. H. A. Dye, *On groups of measure preserving transformation. I*, Amer. J. Math. **81** (1959), 119–159.
4. _____, *On groups of measure preserving transformations. II*, Amer. J. Math. **85** (1963), 551–576.
5. J. Feldman and C. C. Moore, *Ergodic equivalence relations, cohomology, and von Neumann algebras I, II*, Trans. AMS **234** (1977), 289–359.
6. I. M. Singer, *Automorphisms of finite factors*, Amer. J. Math. **77** (1955), 117–133.

E-mail address: popa@math.ucla.edu

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095

E-mail address: shlyakht@member.ams.org

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UCLA, LOS ANGELES, CA 90095