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Introduction

The class group Cl\(_F\) of a number field \(F\) is an object of central importance in number theory. It is a finite abelian group, and its order \(h_F\) is known as the class number. In general, the explicit determination of \(h_F\), let alone the structure of Cl\(_F\) as a finite abelian group, can be a difficult and computationally intensive task.

In the late 1950’s, Iwasawa initiated a study of the growth of class groups in certain towers of number fields. Given a tower \(F = F_0 \subset F_1 \subset F_2 \subset \cdots\) of Galois extensions of \(F\), one asks if there is any regularity to the growth of \(h_{F_n}\). The knowledge of this growth, in turn, can be used to say something about the structure of Cl\(_F\) as a finite abelian group. Iwasawa was concerned with towers such that \(\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p\) for some prime \(p\), where \(F_\infty = \bigcup F_n\), known as \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-extensions. He set \(\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)\) and \(\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/F)\), and let us suppose that \(F_n\) is chosen to be (cyclic) of degree \(p^n\) over \(F\). For example, for odd \(p\), the cyclotomic \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-extension \(F_\infty\) of \(F\) is the largest subextension of \(F(\mu_{p^n})/F\) with pro-\(p\) Galois group.

The question of how \(h_{F_n}\) grows in the tower defined by a \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-extension is quite difficult, in particular as the order away from \(p\) of Cl\(_{F_n}\) has little to do with the order away from \(p\) of Cl\(_{F_{n+1}}\), other than the fact that the latter order is a multiple of the former. On the other hand, if we concentrate on the order \(h_{F_n}^{(p)}\) of the Sylow \(p\)-subgroup \(A_n\) of \(F_n\), we have the following theorem of Iwasawa.

**THEOREM** (Iwasawa). There exist nonnegative integers \(\lambda\) and \(\mu\) and an integer \(\nu\) such that

\[
h_{F_n}^{(p)} = p^{n\lambda + p^n\mu + \nu}
\]

for all sufficiently large \(n\).

In the case that \(F_\infty\) is the cyclotomic \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-extension, Iwasawa conjectured that the invariant \(\mu\) in the theorem is 0. Ferrero and Washington later proved this result for abelian extensions of \(\mathbb{Q}\).

We have maps between the \(p\)-parts of class group in the tower in both directions \(j_n: A_n \rightarrow A_{n+1}\), which takes the class of an ideal \(a\) to the class of the ideal it generates, and \(N_n: A_{n+1} \rightarrow A_n\), which takes the class of an ideal to the class of its norm. Iwasawa considered the direct and inverse limits

\[
A_\infty = \lim_{\rightarrow n} A_n \quad \text{and} \quad X_\infty = \lim_{\leftarrow n} A_n
\]
under the \( j_n \) and \( N_n \), respectively. As each \( A_n \) has the structure of a finite \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n] \)-module through the standard action of \( \Gamma_n \) on ideal classes, both \( X_\infty \) and the Pontryagin dual \( A_\infty^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(A_\infty, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \) of \( A_\infty \) are finitely generated torsion modules over the competed \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-group ring of \( \Gamma \):

\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] = \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n].
\]

The ring \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] \) is known as the Iwasawa algebra, and it has a very simple structure. In fact, a choice of a topological generator \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \) gives rise to an isomorphism

\[
\mathbb{Z}_p[T] \sim \mathbb{Z}_p[T], \quad T \mapsto \gamma - 1.
\]

The following result on the structure of \( \Lambda \)-modules allowed Serre to rephrase the theorem of Iwasawa.

**Theorem (Serre).** For any finitely generated torsion \( \Lambda \)-module \( M \), there exists a homomorphism of \( \Lambda \)-modules

\[
M \rightarrow \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/f_i(T)^{k_i} \Lambda \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/p^{\ell_j} \Lambda,
\]

with finite kernel and cokernel, for some nonnegative integers \( s \) and \( t \), irreducible \( f_i(T) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[T] \) with \( f_i(T) \equiv T^{\deg f_i} \text{ mod } p \), and positive integers \( k_i \) and \( \ell_j \).

From Serre’s theorem, we are able to deduce several important invariants of a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module \( M \). For instance, in the notation of the theorem, let us set

\[
\lambda(M) = \sum_{i=1}^s k_i \deg f_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(M) = \sum_{j=1}^t \ell_j.
\]

These are known as the \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \)-invariants of \( M \). Serre showed that these invariants for \( X_\infty \) and \( A_\infty^\vee \) agree with the \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \) of Iwasawa’s theorem. An even more interesting invariant of \( M \) is its characteristic ideal, given by

\[
\text{char}_\Lambda M = \left( p^{\mu(M)} \prod_{i=1}^s f_i(T)^{k_i} \right) \Lambda,
\]

which we shall consider in a specific case shortly.

It is worth remarking here that one usually thinks of \( X_\infty \) as a Galois group. Recall that the Artin reciprocity map provides an isomorphism between \( A_n \) and the Galois group of the Hilbert \( p \)-class field \( L_n \) of \( F_n \), which is to say the maximal unramified abelian \( p \)-extension of \( F_n \). Setting \( L_\infty = \bigcup_n L_n \), we have a canonical isomorphism \( X_\infty \cong \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F\infty) \). The resulting action on \( \Gamma \) on \( \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F\infty) \) is a conjugation action, given by a lift of \( \Gamma \) to a subgroup of \( \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F) \).

Let us focus now on the specific case that \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \), and let us take \( F_\infty \) to be the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( F \) for an odd prime \( p \). In this setting, Iwasawa proved that his \( \mu = \mu(X_\infty) \) is zero.
We define the Teichmüller character $\omega: \Delta \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ by setting $\omega(\delta)$ for $\delta \in \Delta$ to be the unique $(p-1)$st root of unity in $\mathbb{Z}_p$ such that
\[ \delta(\zeta_p) = \zeta_p^{\omega(\delta)} \]
for any primitive $p$th root of unity $\zeta_p$.

As with $\Gamma$, the Galois group $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ will act on $X_\infty$. For any $i$, we may consider the eigenspace $X_\infty^{(i)}$ of $X_\infty$ on which every $\delta \in \Delta$ acts through multiplication by $\omega^i(\delta)$. We have the following theorem of Herbrand and Ribet.

**THEOREM (Herbrand-Ribet).** Let $k$ be an even with $2 \leq k \leq p - 3$. Then $X_\infty^{(1-k)} \neq 0$ if and only if $p$ divides the Bernoulli number $B_k$.

The interesting fact is that Bernoulli numbers and their generalizations appear as values of $L$-functions. Kubota and Leopoldt showed how that the $L$-values of certain characters at negative integers can be interpolated, in essence, by a function of $\mathbb{Z}_p$, denoted $L_p(\chi, s)$ and known as a $p$-adic $L$-function.

Let us fix the particular generator $\gamma$ of $\Gamma$ such that $\gamma(\zeta) = \zeta^{1+p}$ for every $p$-power root of unity $\zeta$, and in particular the isomorphism of $\Lambda$ with $\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$. Iwasawa made the following conjecture on the characteristic ideal of an eigenspace of $X$, which was later proven by Mazur and Wiles.

**THEOREM (Main conjecture of Iwasawa theory, Mazur-Wiles).** Let $k$ be an even integer. Then
\[ \text{char}_\Lambda X_\infty^{(1-k)} = (f_k), \]
where $f_k((1+p)^s - 1) = L_p(\omega^k, s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

In fact, Mazur and Wiles proved a generalization of this to abelian extensions $F$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, and Wiles proved a further generalization to abelian extensions of totally real fields. This line of proof was primarily geometric in nature, and came by studying the action of the absolute Galois group of $F$ on the cohomology groups of modular curves. Rubin gave a proof of a rather different nature of a main conjecture for abelian extensions of imaginary quadratic fields, following work of Kolyvagin and Thaine, using a Galois cohomological tool known as an Euler system.

Let us end this introduction by mentioning the two of the major directions in which Iwasawa theory has expanded over the years. As a first and obvious course of action, one can replace our limits of class groups with more general objects. Via class field theory, we note that the Pontryagin dual $X_\infty^\vee$ may be identified with the kernel of the map
\[ \ker \left( H^1(G_{F_\infty, S}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(I_v, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \right), \]
where $G_{F_\infty, S}$ denotes the Galois group of the maximal extension of $F_\infty$ unramified outside $S$ and $S$ in this case is the set of primes of $F_\infty$ lying over $p$, and where $I_v$ is the inertia group at $v \in S$ in the absolute
Galois group of $F_\infty$. That is, we have realized $X_\infty^\vee$ as what is known as a Selmer group. This generalizes nicely.

By way of the most interesting example, let $E$ be an elliptic curve over $F$ with ordinary reduction at $p$, and let $E[p^\infty]$ denote its $p$-power torsion (over $\overline{Q}$). The Selmer group of $E$ over $F_\infty$ is exactly

$$\text{Sel}(E/F_\infty) = \ker \left( H^1(G_{F_\infty}, S, E[p^\infty]) \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(I_v, E[p^\infty]) \right),$$

where $S$ is now the set of primes of $F_\infty$ over $p$ or any primes of bad reduction of $E$. In the case that $F = Q$, there is a corresponding main conjecture for the structure of $\text{Sel}(E/F_\infty)^\vee$ in terms of a $p$-adic $L$-function of $E$. Great progress has been made on this particular main conjecture, due to successively more recent work of Rubin (for CM curves), Kato, and Skinner and Urban.

In the second generalization, one allows the Galois group $\Gamma$ of the tower to take a more general form than $\mathbb{Z}_p$. The case that $\Gamma$ is a $p$-adic Lie group, which is to say isomorphic to an open subgroup of $\text{GL}_m(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ for some $m \geq 1$, has come under the greatest consideration. In this case, main conjectures become more difficult to formulate, as the structure theory of $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$-modules is no longer simple. Still, in the past decade, such main conjectures have been formulated using $K$-theory as one of several tools. In the classical setting of limits of class groups, the corresponding main conjecture has been proven by Kakde and Ritter-Weiss.\(^1\)

\(^1\)The reader should be aware that parts of these notes were hurriedly written and, at present, have not been proofread or checked. Also, references and attributions of results have not been properly made. We hope to rectify these issues in later versions. Comments pointing out errors are welcome.
CHAPTER 1

Class groups and units

1.1. Notation and background

Throughout, we will let \( F \) be a number field. We recall a number of objects attached to \( F \) and finite Galois extensions thereof and results regarding them.

**Notation 1.1.1.** To a number field, we attach the following objects:

- the ring of integers \( \mathcal{O}_F \) of \( F \),
- the unit group \( \mathcal{O}_F^\times \) of \( F \), which is to say the unit group of \( \mathcal{O}_F \),
- the ideal group \( I_F \) of \( F \), i.e., the group of nonzero finitely generated \( \mathcal{O}_F \)-submodules of \( F \),
- the principal ideal group \( P_F \) of \( F \), i.e., those \( \mathcal{O}_F \)-submodules \( (\alpha) \) of \( F \) generated by a single element \( \alpha \in F^\times \), and
- the class group \( \text{Cl}_F = I_F / P_F \) of \( F \).

**Remark 1.1.2.** The class group \( \text{Cl}_F \) is a finite abelian group.

These objects fit into the following nice commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
1 & \rightarrow & \mathcal{O}_F^\times & \rightarrow & F^\times & \rightarrow & I_F & \rightarrow & \text{Cl}_F & \rightarrow & 0
\end{array}
\]

in which the lower row is exact.

**Definition 1.1.3.** The absolute norm \( N\alpha \) of a nonzero ideal \( \alpha \) of \( \mathcal{O}_F \) is the index \( N\alpha = [\mathcal{O}_F : \alpha] \).

**Notation 1.1.4.** The number of real places of \( F \) is denoted \( r_1(F) \), and the number of complex places of \( F \) is denoted \( r_2(F) \).

**Remark 1.1.5.** Since each complex places consists of a pair of complex conjugate embeddings of \( F \), the degree formula tells us that \( r_1(F) + 2r_2(F) = [F : \mathbb{Q}] \).

**Theorem 1.1.6 (Dirichlet’s unit theorem).** The unit group \( \mathcal{O}_F^\times \) is a finitely generated abelian group of rank \( r_1(F) + r_2(F) - 1 \) with torsion subgroup the group \( \mu(F) \) of roots of unity in \( F \).

Next, we turn quickly to the zeta function of a number field.
1. CLASS GROUPS AND UNITS

DEFINITION 1.1.7. The Dedekind $\zeta$-series $\zeta_F$ of a number field $F$ is

$$\zeta_F(s) = \sum_{a \subseteq \mathcal{O}_F} \frac{1}{(Na)^s},$$

for $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with real part $\text{Re} s > 1$, where the sum is taken over nonzero ideals of $\mathcal{O}_F$.

THEOREM 1.1.8. The Dedekind $\zeta$-series of $F$ converges absolutely on $s$ with $\text{Re} s > 1$. It has a unique meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ which is holomorphic outside 1 and has a simple pole at $s = 1$.

With this in hand, we define the Dedekind zeta function to be the meromorphic continuation of $\zeta_F$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

DEFINITION 1.1.9. The Dedekind zeta function $\zeta_F$ of a number field $F$ is the meromorphic continuation to $\mathbb{C}$ of the Dedekind $\zeta$-series $\zeta_F$.

The Dedekind zeta function has the following functional equation relating its values at $s$ and $1 - s$.

THEOREM 1.1.10. Let

$$\Lambda_F(s) = (2^{-r_2(F)} \pi^{-[F:\mathbb{Q}] \frac{1}{2}}) \Gamma(s/2)^{r_1(F)} \Gamma(s)^{r_2(F)} \zeta_F(s),$$

where $\Gamma$ is the gamma function and $d_F$ denotes the discriminant of $F$. Then $\Lambda_F(s)$ is analytic on $\mathbb{C}$ and satisfies

$$\Lambda_F(s) = \Lambda_F(1 - s).$$

For any Galois extension $E/F$ and prime $p$ of $F$, let $\varphi_p$ denote a Frobenius at a prime $\mathfrak{P}$ over $p$. We have

$$\varphi_p(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^{Np} \mod \mathfrak{P}$$

for $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_E$. If $E/F$ is unramified, its conjugacy class in $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ depends only on $p$, and let us denote it by $[\varphi_p]$. If $E/F$ is abelian and unramified, we denote the unique Frobenius more simply by $\varphi_p$.

THEOREM 1.1.11 (Čebotarev density theorem). Let $E/F$ be a finite Galois extension of number fields with group $G$. Let $X$ be the set of unramified primes in $E/F$. Let $C$ be a conjugacy class in $G$. Then

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{\left\{ p \in X \mid [\varphi_p] \in C \text{ and } Np \leq N \right\}}{\left\{ p \in X \mid Np \leq N \right\}} = \frac{|C|}{|G|}.$$ 

We will denote the class of a fractional ideal $a \in I_F$ by $[a] \in \text{Cl}_F$. The class group has an other description in terms of the Hilbert class field $H_F$ of $F$, which is to say the maximal unramified abelian extension of $F$. We recall the following classical result of class field theory.
Theorem 1.1.12. The Artin map
\[ \phi_F : \text{Cl}_F \to \text{Gal}(H_F/F), \]
defined by \( \phi_F([p]) = \varphi_p \) for all primes \( p \) of \( F \), is an isomorphism.

1.2. Regulators

Let \( F \) be a number field. We will shorten our notation for units slightly as follows.

Notation 1.2.1. We set \( E_F = \mathcal{O}_F^\times \).

Definition 1.2.2. We say that a set of \( r \) units of \( F \) is independent if it generates a subgroup of \( E_F \) isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}^r \).

We will use the following notation.

Notation 1.2.3. Set \( r = r_1(F) + r_2(F) - 1 \). Let \( \sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_{r_1(F)} : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{R} \) be the real embeddings of \( F \) and \( \sigma_{r_1(F)+1}, \ldots, \sigma_{r+1} : F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C} \) be representatives of the distinct complex conjugacy classes of complex embeddings of \( F \). Let \( V = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{r_1(F)+r_2(F)} \mathbb{R}\sigma_i \) and
\[
V_0 = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} a_i \sigma_i \in V \mid \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} a_i = 0 \right\}.
\]

We define an \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear homomorphism \( \kappa : F^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \to V_0 \) by
\[
\kappa(\alpha) = \sum_{i=1}^{r+1} c_i \log |\sigma_i(\alpha)| \sigma_i,
\]
where
\[
c_i = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } \sigma_i \text{ real} \\
2 & \text{if } \sigma_i \text{ complex}.
\end{cases}
\]

The following is typically proven in the course of a proof of Dirichlet’s unit theorem. Let \( \kappa_0 \) denote the restriction of \( \kappa \) to a map \( \kappa_0 : E_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} \mathbb{R} \to V_0 \), the image landing in \( V_0 \) by the product formula.

Proposition 1.2.4. The map \( \kappa_0 : E_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Q}} R \to V_0 \) is an isomorphism.

Definition 1.2.5. The regulator \( R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r) \) of a set \( \{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\} \) of \( r \) independent units is \( |\det \mathcal{R}| \), where \( \mathcal{R} = \mathcal{R}(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r) \) is the \( r \)-by-\( r \) matrix with \( (i, j) \) entry \( c_i \log |\sigma_i(\alpha_j)| \), where

Remark 1.2.6. Exactly one archimedean place is omitted in the definition of the regulator. For any \( \alpha \in E_F \), one has
\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r+1} c_i \log |\sigma_i(\alpha)| = \log \prod_{i=1}^{r+1} |\sigma_i(\alpha)|^{c_i} = 0
\]
by the product formula, so the rows of the matrix determining the regulator sum to the what would have been the row corresponding to the embedding that is omitted. The choice of \( \sigma_i \) and their ordering are then seen by the usual rules for the effect of row operations on determinants to not affect the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix in question.

In particular, we have the following.

**Lemma 1.2.7.** For a set \( \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \} \) of \( r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_F \) independent units, \( R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r) \) is the absolute value of the determinant of the linear transformation \( \kappa_0 : E_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \to V_0 \) relative to the basis of \( E_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \) given by the \( \alpha_i \) and the basis of \( V_0 \) given by \( \sigma_j - \frac{1}{r+1} \sum_{k=1}^{r+1} \sigma_k \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq r \).

**Definition 1.2.8.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be subgroups of an abelian group.

a. We say that \( A \) and \( B \) are **commensurable** if \( A \) and \( B \) are of finite index in \( A + B \).

b. If \( A \) and \( B \) are commensurable, then we define the **relative index** of \( A \) in \( B \) by

\[
(B : A) = [A + B : A] \cdot [A + B : B]^{-1}.
\]

The following is easily verified.

**Lemma 1.2.9.** Let \( A \) and \( B \) be finitely generated subgroups of a vector space \( V \) over a subfield \( E \) of \( \mathbb{C} \). If \( A \) and \( B \) are commensurable, then there exists an \( E \)-linear automorphism \( T \) of \( V \) such that \( T(A) = B \), and for any such \( T \), we have \( (B : A) = |\det(T)| \).

**Lemma 1.2.10.** Suppose that \( \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \} \) and \( \{ \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \} \) are independent sets of \( r \) units in \( F \), where \( r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}} E_F \). Let

\[
A = \mu(F) \cdot \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \rangle \quad \text{and} \quad B = \mu(F) \cdot \langle \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \rangle.
\]

Then

\[
\frac{R_F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r)}{R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)} = (B : A).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( V = E_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \). There exists an automorphism \( T \) of \( V \) carrying the image of \( A \) in \( V \) to the image of \( B \). Since both \( A \) and \( B \) contain \( \mu(F) \), we have \( (B : A) = |\det(T)| \). On the other hand, \( \kappa_0 \) is an \( \mathbb{R} \)-linear isomorphism, so

\[
\frac{R_F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r)}{R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)} = \frac{|\det(\kappa_0 \circ T)|}{|\det \kappa_0|} = |\det T|,
\]

with the determinant taken relative to the bases of Lemma 1.2.7. \( \square \)

**Corollary 1.2.11.** If \( \{ \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \} \) and \( \{ \beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r \} \) are independent sets in \( E_F \) with images generating the same subgroup of \( E_F/\mu(F) \), then

\[
R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r) = R_F(\beta_1, \beta_2, \ldots, \beta_r).
\]
We may then make the following definitions.

**Definition 1.2.12.** A fundamental set of units of a number field $F$ is a set $\{\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of $r$ units in $E_F$ such that $$E_F = \mu(F) \cdot (\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r).$$

**Definition 1.2.13.** The regulator $R_F$ of a number field $F$ is $R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r)$ for any fundamental set of units $\{\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r\}$ of $F$.

We also need the following notation.

**Notation 1.2.14.** Let $w_F$ denote the number of roots of unity in a number field $F$.

Now that we have defined the regulator, we can describe the residue at $s = 1$ of the Dedekind zeta function.

**Theorem 1.2.15 (Analytic class number formula).** For a number field $F$, one has $$\lim_{s \to 1} (s - 1) \zeta_F(s) = \frac{2r_1(F)(2\pi)^{r_2(F)}h_FR_F}{w_F|d_F|^{1/2}}.$$ 

### 1.3. Finite Galois extensions

Suppose that $E/F$ is a finite Galois extension, and let $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$. Then $\text{Cl}_E$ becomes a $G$-module via the action $\sigma([a]) = [\sigma a]$ for $\sigma \in G$ and $a \in I_E$, where $$\sigma a = \{\sigma(a) \mid a \in a\} \in I_E.$$ The Galois group $\text{Gal}(H_E/E)$ is a $G$-module too, but to see this requires a little bit of work.

**Proposition 1.3.1.** Let $E$ be a finite Galois extension of $F$. Then $H_E/F$ is Galois.

**Proof.** Let $\tilde{H}_E$ denote the Galois closure of $H_E$ as an extension of $F$. Let $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$. For $\sigma \in G$, let $\tilde{\sigma}$ denote a lift of $\sigma$ to $\text{Gal}(\tilde{H}_E/F)$. Note that the field $\tilde{\sigma}(H_E)$ is independent of the choice of lift $\tilde{\sigma}$ of $\sigma$, as any element in $\text{Gal}(\tilde{H}_E/E)$ necessarily preserves the subfield $H_E$ of $\tilde{H}_E$, as $H_E/E$ is Galois.

Next, we claim that $$\tilde{H}_E = \prod_{\sigma \in G} \tilde{\sigma}(H_E).$$ It suffices to show that $\prod_{\sigma \in G} \tilde{\sigma}(H_E)/F$ is Galois by the minimality of $\tilde{H}_E$ as a Galois extension of $F$. For this, note that for any $\delta \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{H}_E/F)$, one has $$\delta \tilde{\sigma}(H_E) = \tilde{\sigma}'(H_E),$$
where \( \sigma' = \delta|_E \sigma \in G \), by the independence of conjugates of \( H_E \) from the choice of lift. This proves the claim. It then follows that \( \tilde{H}_E/E \) is abelian, since each \( \tilde{\sigma}(H_E)/E \) is. That is, any \( \tau \in \text{Gal}(\tilde{\sigma}(H_E)/E) \) has the property that \( \tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{H}_E} \tau \tilde{\sigma}|_{\tilde{H}_E} \in \text{Gal}(H_E/E) \), and the latter group is abelian.

Now, let \( I_v \) be the inertia group at a prime \( v \) of \( E \) in the abelian extension \( \text{Gal}(\tilde{H}_E/E) \). If \( I_v \) is nontrivial, then its image in some \( \text{Gal}(\tilde{\sigma}(H_E)/E) \) must be as well. Then \( \tilde{\sigma}^{-1} I_v \tilde{\sigma} \) has nontrivial image in \( \text{Gal}(H_E/E) \). Since the former group equals the inertia group \( I_{\sigma^{-1}v} \) and \( H_E/E \) is unramified, this image must be trivial. Therefore \( I_v = 0 \), and so \( \tilde{H}_E/E \) is an unramified abelian extension of \( E \) containing \( H_E \). By the maximality of \( H_E \), we have \( \tilde{H}_E = H_E \), as desired. \( \square \)

Consequently, if \( E/F \) is finite Galois with group \( G \), then \( \text{Gal}(H_E/E) \) becomes a \( G \)-module for the conjugation action: \( \sigma \in G \) acts on \( \tau \in \text{Gal}(H_E/E) \) by sending it to \( \sigma \tau \sigma^{-1} \). The following is then a consequence of class field theory.

**Proposition 1.3.2.** For \( E/F \) finite Galois with Galois group \( G \), then Artin map \( \phi_E \) is \( G \)-equivariant (i.e., a \( G \)-module homomorphism), which is to say that

\[
\phi_E([\sigma a]) = \sigma \phi_E([a]) \sigma^{-1}
\]

for all \( \sigma \in G \) and \( a \in I_E \).

**Definition 1.3.3.** For \( E/F \) finite Galois, we define a map

\[
j_{E/F} : \text{Cl}_F \to \text{Cl}_E, \quad j_{E/F}([a]) = [a \mathcal{O}_E]
\]

and the norm map

\[
N_{E/F} : \text{Cl}_E \to \text{Cl}_F, \quad N_{E/F}([a]) = \left[ \prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma(a) \right] \cap \mathcal{O}_F.
\]

Our goal in this section will be to study these maps.

**Lemma 1.3.4.** Let \( p \) be a prime, and let \( A_K \) denote the \( p \)-part of the class group of any number field \( K \). If the order of \( G \) is prime to \( p \), then the maps

\[
A_F \to A_E^G \quad \text{and} \quad (A_E)_G \to A_F
\]

defined by \( j_{E/F} \) and \( N_{E/F} \), respectively, are isomorphisms.

**Proof.** Note that \( N_{E/F} \circ j_{E/F} = |G| \), so \( j_{E/F} \) is injective on \( A_F \) and the image of \( N_{E/F} \) contains \( A_F \). Let \( \mathbb{Z}' = \mathbb{Z}[|G|^{-1}] \), and note that \( A_E \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}'[G] \)-module. Define an idempotent

\[
\varepsilon_G = \frac{1}{|G|} N_G \in \mathbb{Z}'[G].
\]
Since \( \varepsilon_G A_E = A_E^G \), the group \( A_E^G \) is both a submodule and a quotient of \( A_E \). Note that \( |G| \varepsilon_G = j_{E/F} \circ N_{E/F} \), which forces \( j_{E/F} \) to have image \( A_E^G \) on \( A_F \). The map \( A_F \to A_E^G \) induced by \( j_{E/F} \) is therefore an isomorphism. As \( A_E \) is finite, both \( A_E^G \) and \( (A_E)_G \) have the same order, so therefore now the same order as \( A_F \). Since \( N_{E/F} \) induces a surjective map \( (A_E)_G \to A_F \), that map must also be an isomorphism. \( \square \)

For instance, we have that \( A_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)}^G = 0 \) for any prime \( p \), as \( p \) is prime to \( [\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) : \mathbb{Q}] = p - 1 \).

**PROPOSITION 1.3.5.** Let \( E/F \) be a finite Galois extension of number fields with Galois group \( G \). There is a canonical exact sequence

\[
0 \to \ker j_{E/F} \to H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_E^\times) \to I_E^G/I_F \to \text{Cl}_E^G/j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \to H^1(G,P_E).
\]

**PROOF.** By Hilbert’s Theorem 90, we have a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & \mathfrak{o}_F^x & \to & F^x & \to & P_F & \to & 0 \\
& & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \\
0 & \to & \mathfrak{o}_E^x & \to & F^x & \to & P_E^G & \to & H^1(G,\mathfrak{o}_E^x) \to 0,
\end{array}
\]

and it provides an isomorphism \( H^1(G,\mathfrak{o}_E^x) \cong P_E^G/P_F \). Noting this and applying the snake lemma to the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccc}
0 & \to & P_F & \to & I_F & \to & \text{Cl}_F & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \to & P_E^G & \to & I_E^G & \to & \text{Cl}_E^G & \to & H^1(G,P_E),
\end{array}
\]

we obtain the desired exact sequence. \( \square \)

Note that the map \( \ker j_{E/F} \to H^1(G,\mathfrak{o}_E^x) \) is given explicitly by taking \( [a] \) to a cocycle \( \sigma \mapsto a\sigma^{-1} \), where \( a \in E^\times \) satisfies \( (a) = a\mathfrak{o}_E \). The map \( H^1(G,\mathfrak{o}_E^x) \to I_E^G/I_F \) is given by taking a cocycle \( f \) to the image of an element \( (a) \in I_E^G \) with \( f(\sigma) = a\sigma^{-1} \) for all \( \sigma \in G \).

**DEFINITION 1.3.6.** An ideal in \( I_F \) with class in the kernel of \( j_{E/F} \) is said to capitulate in the extension \( E/F \). The kernel of \( j_{E/F} \) is known as the capitulation kernel.

**LEMMA 1.3.7.** Let \( E/F \) be a Galois extension of number fields with Galois group \( G \). The cokernel of \( N_{E/F} \) is canonically isomorphic to the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian subextension of \( F \) inside \( E \).

**PROOF.** The norm map on ideal classes factors the the \( G \)-coinvariant group \( (\text{Cl}_E)_G \) of \( \text{Cl}_F \). We consider the complex

\[
(\text{Cl}_E)_G \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} \text{Cl}_F \to \text{coker} N_{E/F} \to 0.
\]
Using the Artin map, we may write the latter complex as
\[ \text{Gal}(H_E/E)_G \to \text{Gal}(H_F/F) \to \text{coker}N_{E/F} \to 0, \]
where the first map is restriction, and therefore has image \( \text{Gal}(H_F/E \cap H_F) \). It follows that
\[ \text{coker}N_{E/F} \cong \text{Gal}(H_F \cap E/F), \]
as desired. \( \square \)

Lemma 1.3.7 has the following immediate corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.8.** If \( E/F \) is totally ramified at any prime, then \( N_{E/F} \) is surjective.

Now suppose that \( E/F \) is abelian. Let \( I_v \) denote the inertia group in \( G = \text{Gal}(E/F) \) at a prime \( v \) of \( F \), and let
\[ \Sigma_{E/F} : \bigoplus_v I_v \to G \]
denote the map that is the product of the natural inclusions.

**Proposition 1.3.9.** Let \( E/F \) be an abelian extension of number fields with Galois group \( G \). Then there is an exact sequence
\[ \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \to Q_{E/F} \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} \text{Cl}_F \to \text{coker} \Sigma_{E/F} \to 0, \]
where \( Q_{E/F} \) is the quotient of \( \text{Cl}_E \) by its subgroup that is taken to the commutator subgroup of \( \text{Gal}(H_E/F) \) under the Artin map.

**Proof.** The exactness outside of \( (\text{Cl}_E)' \) follows from Lemma 1.3.7, since \( \text{coker} \Sigma_{E/F} \cong \text{Gal}(H_F \cap E/F) \) by definition.

We define
\[ \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \to Q_{E/F} \]
as follows. Employing the Artin map, we have canonical isomorphisms
\[ Q_{E/F} \cong \text{Gal}(H_E/E)/[\text{Gal}(H_E/F), \text{Gal}(H_E/F)] \cong \text{Gal}(L/E), \]
where \( L \) is the maximal unramified extension of \( E \) that is abelian over \( F \). Let \( J_v \) denote the inertia group at a prime \( w \) over \( v \) in \( \text{Gal}(L/F) \). As \( L/E \) is unramified, \( J_v \) maps isomorphically to \( I_v \) under restriction. We have a map
\[ \bigoplus_v J_v \to \text{Gal}(L/F) \]
given by the product of the canonical inclusions, and the map from \( \bigoplus_v I_v \) is then given by the identifications \( I_v \cong J_v \). Since \( \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \) lands in \( \text{Gal}(L/E) \) under this map, we have the desired map.
1.3. FINITE GALOIS EXTENSIONS

It remains to check exactness at $Q_{E/F}$. Again, we use the Artin isomorphism to see that the kernel of the map to $\text{Cl}_F$ is precisely $\text{Gal}(L/E \cdot H_F)$. On the other hand, the image of $\ker \Sigma_{E/F}$ in $\text{Gal}(L/E)$ is the intersection with $\text{Gal}(L/E)$ of the subgroup of $\text{Gal}(L/F)$ generated by its inertia groups. As $L/F$ is abelian and $H_F$ is the Hilbert class field of $F$, this is precisely $\text{Gal}(L/E \cdot H_F)$. □

We have the following interesting corollary.

**Corollary 1.3.10.** Let $E/F$ be a cyclic $p$-extension, and suppose that there is at most one prime of $F$ that ramifies in it. Then the map $(\text{Cl}_E)_G \rightarrow \text{Cl}_F$ induced by $N_{E/F}$ is injective.

**Proof.** Since $E/F$ is cyclic, the quotient $Q_{E/F}$ of Proposition 1.3.9 equals $(\text{Cl}_E)_G$. Since $\bigoplus_{v \in S} I_v$ is either $I_v$ at the unique ramified prime, or 0 if there is no ramified prime, the map $\Sigma_{E/F}$ is injective. □

In the case that $|G|$ divides the order of $p$, we can give another nice consequence. First, we require the following lemma.

**Lemma 1.3.11.** Suppose that $E/F$ is a finite Galois $p$-extension ramified at no more than one prime of $F$. If $E/F$ is nonabelian, suppose further that, if there is such a prime, that it is nonsplit in the extension. Then if $A_F = 0$, we have $A_E = 0$ as well.

**Proof.** We begin with the case that $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$ is abelian. By Corollary 1.3.10, the map $(A_E)_G \rightarrow A_F$ is injective, and therefore $(A_E)_G = 0$. Thus, we have

$$A_E/m_G A_E = (A_E)_G/p(A_E)_G = 0.$$  

Noting Proposition 2.3.7, Nakayama’s lemma then tells us that $A_E = 0$.

Since any finite $p$-group has a finite filtration with abelian (or even cyclic) graded quotients, the result in general follows from the abelian case by recursion, noting that by assumption there is at most one prime that ramifies in each intermediate extension. □

We illustrate the use of this with the following interesting example.

**Example 1.3.12.** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ and $E = F(p^{1/p})$. Then this extension is totally ramified of degree $p$ at the unique prime above $p$ in $F$, which is $(1 - \zeta_p)$ for a primitive $p$th root of unity $\zeta_p$. Therefore, we have that $(A_E)_G \cong A_F$ via the norm map. For a prime $p$ such that $A_F = 0$, which is known as a regular prime (e.g., all primes less than 37), Lemma 1.3.11 implies that $A_E = 0$. When $p = 37$, it turns out that $A_F = \text{Cl}_F \cong \mathbb{Z}/37\mathbb{Z}$, and in fact we have that $A_E$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/37\mathbb{Z}$ as well.

To go even further, it is useful to restrict to the case of a cyclic extension. We begin with the following useful result.
PROPOSITION 1.3.13. Let $E/F$ be a cyclic extension of number fields. Then

$$N_{E/F}E^\times = F^\times \cap \bigcap_v N_{E_w/F_w}E_w^\times,$$

where $v$ runs over all primes of $F$ and $w$ is some prime of $E$ above $v$.

PROOF. Let $G_v$ denote the decomposition group in $G$ at any $w$ over $v$. Choose a set $S$ of representatives of $G_v \setminus G$. For $a \in E^\times$, we have

$$N_{E/F}(a) = N_{E_w/F_w} \left( \prod_{\sigma \in S} \sigma a \right),$$

so every global norm is a local norm everywhere.

Recall the following exact sequence for the Brauer group of $E/F$:

$$0 \to H^2(G, E^\times) \to \bigoplus_v H^2(G_v, E_w^\times) \to \frac{1}{|G|} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z},$$

where $G_v$ denotes the decomposition group in $G$ at any $w$ over $v$. By the periodicity of Tate cohomology of a cyclic group, this becomes

$$0 \to \hat{H}^0(G, E^\times) \to \bigoplus_v \hat{H}^0(G_v, E_w^\times) \to \frac{1}{|G|} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}.$$

In particular, we have an injection

$$F^\times / N_{E/F}E^\times \hookrightarrow \bigoplus_v F_w^\times / N_{E_w/F_w}E_w^\times.$$

Therefore, if $a \in F^\times$ is a local norm everywhere, it is a global norm, as desired. \qed

Note that an element $a \in F^\times$ is automatically a local norm at any prime where $E/F$ is unramified and the valuation of $a$ at that prime is trivial. Hence, there are actually only finitely many places to check that $a$ is a local norm to see that it is a global one.

We now derive a nine-term exact sequence that gives us information on the behavior of class groups in cyclic extensions. A proof is possible by making use of Tate cohomology, as found in the appendix to [HS], but we give a more explicit proof.

THEOREM 1.3.14. Let $E/F$ be a cyclic extension of number fields, and let $G$ be its Galois group. Let $I_v$ denote the inertia group in $G$ at a prime $v$ of $F$, and let

$$\Sigma_{E/F} : \bigoplus_v I_v \to G.$$
denote the map that is the product of the natural inclusions. Then we have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \ker j_{E/F} \to H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_E^\times) \to I_E^G / I_F \to \text{Cl}_E^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \to \mathcal{O}_F^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_E^\times \to \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \to (\text{Cl}_E)_G^{N_{E/F}} \to \text{Cl}_F \to \text{coker} \Sigma_{E/F} \to 0. \]

Moreover, the group \( I_E^G / I_F \) is noncanonically isomorphic to \( \bigoplus_v I_v \).

**Proof.** By Proposition 1.3.5, we have an exact sequence

\[ 0 \to \ker j_{E/F} \to H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_E^\times) \to I_E^G / I_F \to \text{Cl}_E^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \to H^1(G, P_E) \]

including the first row. By Proposition 1.3.9, the final part of the sequence beginning with \( \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \) is exact.

Let \( \sigma \) be a generator of \( G \). Define

\[ \text{Cl}_E^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \xrightarrow{\partial} \mathcal{O}_F^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_E^\times \]

as the map that takes image of an ideal class \( [\alpha] \in \text{Cl}_E^G \) to the image of \( N_{E/F} \alpha \), where \( \alpha \) is any generator of \( a^{\sigma-1} \). To see that this is well-defined, note that if \( \alpha \) is replaced by another generator \( \alpha' \), then \( \alpha' = \alpha u \) with \( u \in \mathcal{O}_E^\times \), and

\[ N_{E/F} \alpha' \cdot (N_{E/F} \alpha)^{-1} \in N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_E^\times. \]

Moreover, if \( \alpha \) is replaced by an ideal \( \alpha' \) with the same class, then \( \alpha' = \alpha \cdot b \) for some \( b \in E^\times \). We then have

\[ (ab)^{\sigma-1} = a^{\sigma-1} b^{\sigma-1} = (\alpha b^{\sigma-1}). \]

It follows that

\[ N_{E/F}(\alpha b^{\sigma-1}) = N_{E/F}(\alpha). \]

Finally, if \( b \in j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \), then \( b^{\sigma-1} = (1) \), so \( \partial \) takes \( [b] \) to 1.

We check exactness at \( \text{Cl}_E^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \). If \( \alpha \in I_E^G \), then again \( b^{\sigma-1} = (1) \), so \( \partial \) maps \( [b] \) to 1. On the other hand, if \( \partial \) takes the image of \( [\alpha] \) to \( N_{E/F} \alpha = 1 \), and therefore \( \alpha = \beta^{\sigma-1} \) with \( \sigma \in G \). We then have

\[ (a\beta^{-1})^{\sigma-1} = (1), \]

which means \( a\beta^{-1} \in I_E^G \). As \( [a\beta^{-1}] = [a] \), we have that the image of \( [\alpha] \) is in the image of the map from \( I_E^G / I_F \).

Next, we define

\[ \mathcal{O}_F^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_E^\times \to \ker \left( \bigoplus_v I_v \to G \right) \]

by the direct sum of the local reciprocity maps \( \rho_{E_v/F_v} \). (We remark that \( I_v = 0 \) for all but finitely many \( v \), so the map \( \Sigma_{E/F} \) makes sense.) Since the product of the reciprocity maps at all places on a global
element is trivial, the image of this map is indeed contained in \( \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \). Also, the map is well-defined since every global norm is a local norm. Note that the image of \( \partial \) is the set of \( N_{E/F} \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \) with \( \alpha \in E^\times \). Again, such elements are local norms, and map to zero under each \( \rho_{E_w/F_v} \). Conversely, if \( c \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \) satisfies \( \rho_{E_w/F_v}(c) = 1 \) for every \( v \), then \( c \in N_{E_w/F_v}E_w^\times \) for all \( v \), since \( c \) is a unit. By Theorem 1.3.13, we have that \( c = N_{L/F} \alpha \) for some \( \alpha \in F^\times \) with \( (\alpha) = a\sigma^{-1} \) for some \( a \in I_E \) and \( \sigma \in G \). In other words, \( c \) is the image of the image of the class of \([a]\) under \( \partial \).

We check exactness at \( \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \). For \( c \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \), we have

\[
\prod_v \rho_{L_{w_v}/F_v}(c) = 1,
\]

with \( w' \) lying over \( w \). Since \( \rho_{L_{w'}/F_v}(c)|_E = \rho_{E_w/F_v}(c) \), the image of \( c \) in \( J_v \) is \( \rho_{L_{w'}/F_v}(c) \), and the resulting product in \( \text{Gal}(L/E) \) is trivial. On the other hand, suppose that \( \bar{\sigma}_v \in J_v \) lifts some \( \sigma_v \in I_v \) and

\[
\prod_v \bar{\sigma}_v = 1.
\]

Then there exist local units \( c_v \in \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times \) for each \( v \) with \( \rho_{L_{w'}/F_v}(c_v) = \bar{\sigma}_v \). We take \( c_v = 1 \) if \( \bar{\sigma}_v = 1 \). By global class field theory, the idele \( c \) with \( c_v = c_v \) for each \( v \) is the product of the norm of an idele \( b \) of \( L \) with an element \( c \in F^\times \). Recall that

\[
\mathbb{C}_F/N_{H_F/F} \mathbb{C}_E \cong \text{Cl}_F,
\]

so we have that

\[
F^\times N_{H_F/F} \mathbb{C}_E = F^\times \prod_v \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times,
\]

where we take \( \mathcal{O}_{F_v} = F_v \) if \( v \) is archimedean. Since \( L \) contains \( H_F \), the idele \( b \) may be taken to be a unit at all places. But, as each \( c_v \) is a local unit at all \( v \) and

\[
(N_{E/F} b \cdot c)_v = c_v = c_v
\]

for all \( v \), this means that \( c \) must be a unit at all places as well. That is, \( c \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \). As \( N_{L/F} b \) is a local norm from \( E \) everywhere, we have

\[
\rho_{E_w/F_v}(c) = \rho_{E_w/F_v}(c_v) = \sigma_v
\]

for every \( v \), as desired.

Finally, recall that \( I_E \) is the free abelian group generated by the prime ideals of \( \mathcal{O}_E \). For an element of \( I_E \) to be fixed under \( G \), every prime in its decomposition must appear with the same exponent as its conjugates. That is, \( I_E^G \) is generated by the \( \prod_{i=1}^g \mathfrak{p}_i \), where \( \mathfrak{p}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{p}_g \) are the primes of \( E \) lying over a prime \( p \) of \( F \). Of course, \( (\prod_{i=1}^g \mathfrak{p}_i)^{e_v} = p \mathcal{O}_E \), where \( e_v \) is the ramification index of the place \( v \) corresponding to \( p \), so we have

\[
I_E^G/I_E \cong \bigoplus_v \mathbb{Z}/e_v \mathbb{Z} \cong \bigoplus_{v \in S} I_v.
\]
Remark 1.3.15. Every map but the map between the two rows is canonical in the exact sequence of Theorem 1.3.14. The remaining map depends only upon a choice of generator of $G$. It can be made canonical by considering instead the map

$$\text{Cl}_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_F) \otimes \mathbb{Z} G \to \mathcal{O}_E^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_E^\times$$

given on the image of a tensor of $[a] \in \text{Cl}_E^G$ and $\sigma \in G$ by writing $a^{\sigma - 1} = \alpha \mathcal{O}_E^\times$ and taking the image of $N_{E/F} \alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ in the quotient.

Next, we generalize the situation slightly.

Notation 1.3.16. For a set $S$ of places in a number field $F$, we let $S_f$ denote its subset of finite places and $S_\infty$ its subset of infinite places.

Definition 1.3.17. Let $S$ denote a set of places of $F$.

a. The $S$-class group $\text{Cl}_{F,S}$ of $F$ is the quotient of the class group by the subgroup generated by the classes of the finite primes in $S$.

b. The Hilbert $S$-class field $H_{F,S}$ of $F$ is the maximal unramified abelian extension of $F$ in which all primes in $S$ split completely.

c. The ring of $S$-integers $\mathcal{O}_{F,S}$ of $F$ is

$$\mathcal{O}_{F,S} = \{ a \in F \mid v_p(a) \geq 0 \text{ for all } p \notin S_f \},$$

where $p$ is used to denote a finite prime of $F$ and $v_p$ its additive valuation.

d. The $S$-ideal group $\mathcal{I}_{F,S}$ is the group of nonzero fractional ideals in $\mathcal{O}_{F,S}$, and the $S$-principal ideal group $\mathcal{P}_{F,S}$ is the subgroup of principal fractional ideals.

e. The $S$-unit group in $F$ is $\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times$.

Notation 1.3.18. If $S$ is a set of primes of $F$ and let $E/F$ be a finite extension, then we let $S_E$ denote the set of places of $E$ lying over those in $S$. For brevity, we denote $\mathcal{O}_{E,S_E}$, $\text{Cl}_{F,S_E}$, and so on more succinctly by $\mathcal{O}_{E,S}$, $\text{Cl}_{E,S}$, and so on similarly. That is, we use $S$ in the subscript to denote $S_E$. If $E/F$ is algebraic, we may still speak of its $S$-integers $\mathcal{O}_{E,S}$ as the union of $S$-integers in the finite subextensions of $F$ in $E$.

Let us fix a set of places of $S$ for the rest of this section.

Remark 1.3.19. The Artin isomorphism $\phi_F$ induces an isomorphism

$$\phi_{F,S} : \text{Cl}_{F,S} \to \text{Gal}(H_{F,S}/F).$$
We have an analogue of the exact sequence of Theorem 1.3.14 for $S$-class groups and $S$-units. The proof is much as before, and is therefore omitted.

**Theorem 1.3.20.** Let $E/F$ be a cyclic extension of number fields, and let $G$ be its Galois group. Let $I_v$ (resp., $G_v$) denote the inertia group (resp., decomposition group) in $G$ at a prime $v$ of $F$, and let

$$\Sigma^S_{E/F} : \bigoplus_{v \notin S} I_v \oplus \bigoplus_{v \in S} G_v \to G$$

denote the map that is the product of the natural inclusions. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to \ker(\text{Cl}_{F,S} \xrightarrow{j_{E/F}} \text{Cl}_{E,S}) \to H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{E,S}^\times) \to I_{E,S}^G / I_{F,S} \to \text{Cl}_{E,S}^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_{F,S})$$

$$\to \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_{E,S}^\times \to \ker \Sigma^S_{E/F} \to (\text{Cl}_{E,S})^G \xrightarrow{N_{E/F}} \text{Cl}_{F,S} \to \coker \Sigma^S_{E/F} \to 0.$$

### 1.4. Kummer theory

For a set of $S$ primes of $F$, we let $S_f$ denote the set of finite places of $F$ in $S$, we let $S_\infty$ denote the set of archimedean places, and for any $n \geq 1$, we let $S_n$ denote the set of primes of $S$ above $p$ for any prime $p$ dividing $n$. If $E$ is an extension of $F$, we generally also use the symbol $S$ to denote the set of primes $S_E$ of $E$ above those in $S$. We will let $V$ denote the set of all primes of $F$, so we may speak of $V_\infty$ and so forth. For brevity, let us set $V_{n\infty} = V_n \cup V_\infty$.

**Definition 1.4.1.** We say that an extension $E$ of $F$ is $S$-ramified if it is unramified outside of the places in $S$.

**Lemma 1.4.2.** There exists a maximal $S$-ramified extension $F_S$ of $F$, and it is Galois over $F$.

**Proof.** A union of $S$-ramified extensions is $S$-ramified, so the existence of $F_S$ is clear. If $E$ is an $S$-ramified finite degree extension of $F$, then so is any conjugate of $E$ over $F$ in an algebraic closure $\overline{F}$ of $F$ containing $E$, as the inertia degrees at conjugate primes above $p$ in $E$ and $\sigma(E)$ are the same (and similarly for real places). The product

$$\prod_{\sigma : E \to \overline{F}} \sigma(E)$$

is Galois (in fact, it is the Galois closure of $E$ in $\overline{F}$) and also $S$-ramified as a compositum of $S$-ramified extensions. Therefore, $F_S$ is a union of finite Galois subextensions, hence itself Galois.

**Definition 1.4.3.** We use $G_{F,S}$ to denote the Galois group $\text{Gal}(F_S/F)$, i.e., the Galois group of the maximal $S$-ramified extension $F_S$ of $F$.

Kummer theory in $S$-ramified extensions has as its basis the following proposition.
1.4. KUMMER THEORY

**Proposition 1.4.4.** Let $S$ be a set of primes of $F$. We have a canonical isomorphism

$$\text{Cl}_{F,S} \cong H^1(G_{F,S}, \mathcal{O}_{F_S}^\times),$$

given by taking an ideal class $[\alpha]$ to the cocycle that takes $\sigma \in G_{F,S}$ to $\alpha^{\sigma^{-1}}$, where $\alpha$ is a generator of $\mathcal{O}_{F_S}^\times$.

**Proof.** To reduce clutter in the notation, let us set $\mathcal{G} = G_{F,S}$ and $\Omega = F_S$. A similar argument to that of the proof of Proposition 1.3.5 produces an isomorphism

$$P_{\mathcal{G},\Omega,S} \cong H^1(\mathcal{G}, \mathcal{O}_{E,S}^\times)$$

that takes $(\alpha)$ to $\sigma \mapsto \alpha^{\sigma^{-1}}$. Again similarly to before, we have the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & P_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & I_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & P_{\mathcal{G},\Omega,S}^\theta & \longrightarrow & I_{\mathcal{G},\Omega,S}^\theta & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}_{\mathcal{G},\Omega,S}^\theta & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

The lower row arises as a direct limit of like sequences for intermediate finite extensions $E$ of $F$ in $\Omega$. However, since $S$ contains the finite primes that are ramified in any such extension $E/F$, the map $I_{F,S} \rightarrow I_{\mathcal{G},\Omega,S}^\theta$ is not merely an injection, but an isomorphism. Moreover, $j_{\Omega/F}$ is the zero map, since $\Omega$ contains $H_F$ by definition, and every ideal in $I_F$ becomes principal in $H_F$. Hence, the snake lemma provides an isomorphism

$$\text{Cl}_{F,S} \cong P_{\mathcal{G},\Omega,S}^\theta/P_{F,S},$$

taking $[\alpha]$ to $(\alpha)$ where $(\alpha) = \alpha\mathcal{O}_{\Omega,S}^\times$. \qed

**Proposition 1.4.5.** Suppose that $S$ contains $V_{n\infty}$. Then there is a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^{\times n} \rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, \mu_n) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,S}[n] \rightarrow 0.$$  

**Proof.** For any $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times$, the extension $F_S(\alpha^{1/n})/F_S$ is unramified outside of $S$ and therefore trivial, as the only primes that can ramify in such a Kummer extension are the real places, those $p$ with $v_p(\alpha) \neq 0$, and those primes dividing $n$, all of which are contained in $S$. We then have that

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times \xrightarrow{n} \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times \rightarrow 1$$

is exact, and the result follows immediately from the exact sequence

$$H^0(G_{F,S}, \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times) \xrightarrow{n} H^0(G_{F,S}, \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, \mu_n) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times) \xrightarrow{n} H^1(G_{F,S}, \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times).$$

\qed

In the case that $S = \emptyset$, we have the following.
1. CLASS GROUPS AND UNITS

**Lemma 1.4.6.** Fix $n \geq 1$, and let $B_n \leq \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ be the subgroup

$$B_n = \{ a \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \mid F(a^{1/n})/F \text{ is unramified} \}.$$ 

There is a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \to B_n/\mathcal{O}_F^{\times n} \to H^1(G_{F,\varnothing}, \mu_n) \to \text{Cl}_F[n].$$

**Proof.** From the short exact sequence

$$1 \to \mu_n \to \mathcal{O}_F^\times \to \mathcal{O}_F^\times \to 1,$$

we obtain an exact sequence

$$(1.4.2) \quad \mathcal{O}_F^\times \to \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n} \cap \mathcal{O}_F^\times \to H^1(G_{F,\varnothing}, \mu_n) \to H^1(G_{F,\varnothing}, \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n}) \to H^1(G_{F,\varnothing}, \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n}).$$

As the $n$th power map $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times n} \to \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n}$ takes values in $\mathcal{O}_F^{\times n}$, the kernel of the rightmost map in (1.4.2) is contained in the kernel of

$$H^1(G_{F,\varnothing}, \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n}) \to H^1(G_{F,\varnothing}, \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n}),$$

which is isomorphic to $\text{Cl}_F[n]$ by Proposition 1.4.4. Noting that

$$B_n = \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n} \cap \mathcal{O}_F^{\times} = \mathcal{O}_F^{\times n} \cap \mathcal{O}_F^{\times},$$

equation (1.4.2) yields the result. \qed

**Definition 1.4.7.** A number field $F$ is said to be **abelian** if it is an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$.

**Definition 1.4.8.** A number field $F$ is said to be **totally real** if it has no complex places.

**Remark 1.4.9.** There exits a maximal totally real subfield $F^+$ of any number field $F$, as the compositum of any two totally real fields is totally real.

**Definition 1.4.10.** A number field $F$ is **CM** if it has no real places and is a degree 2 extension of $F^+$.

**Example 1.4.11.** Let $n \geq 1$. Then the cyclotomic field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)$ is CM, and

$$\mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)^+ = \mathbb{Q}(\zeta_n + \zeta_n^{-1}),$$

where $\zeta_n$ is a primitive $n$th root of unity. As a consequence of this and the Kronecker-Weber theorem, every abelian field is either totally real or CM.
1.4. KUMMER THEORY

Fix a CM field $F$, and let $\tau$ be the nontrivial element of $\text{Gal}(F/F^+)$. Given a $\mathbb{Z}[\text{Gal}(F/F^+)]$-module $A$, we have submodules

$$A^\pm = \{ a \in A \mid \tau(a) = \pm a \}.$$

Note that

$$A^+ \cap A^- = A[2].$$

and $A/(A^+ + A^-)$ is 2-torsion. If multiplication by 2 is invertible on $A$, then

$$A \cong A^+ \oplus A^-, \quad a \mapsto \frac{a + \tau(a)}{2} + \frac{a - \tau(a)}{2}.$$

**Lemma 1.4.12.** The groups $O_F^\times$ and $(O_F^\times)^+$ have the same $\mathbb{Z}$-rank, and $(O_F^\times)^-$ is the group $\mu(F)$ of roots of unity in $F$.

**Proof.** The first statement is an immediate consequence of Dirichlet’s unit theorem. Since it holds, $(O_F^\times)^-$ consists only of elements of finite order, which is to say, roots of unity. Since every root of unity $\xi$ satisfies $\tau(\xi) = \xi^{-1}$, we have the result. $\square$

We note that for an odd prime $p$, the map $j_{F/F^+}$ provides a canonical identification of $A_{F^+}$ with $A_F^+$ by Lemma 1.3.4.

**Lemma 1.4.13.** The map $\text{Cl}_{F^+} \to \text{Cl}_F^+$ induced by $j_{F/F^+}$ has kernel of order dividing 2.

**Proof.** Note that if $\tau(x)x = 1$ for $x \in O_F^\times$, then $x$ must be a root of unity. On the other hand, the group of $\tau(y)y^{-1}$ with $y \in O_F^\times$ is exactly the group $\mu(F)^2$, so

$$H^1(G, O_F^\times) \cong \hat{H}^{-1}(G, O_F^\times) \cong \mu(F)/\mu(F)^2,$$

and the result then follows from Proposition 1.3.5. $\square$

**Remark 1.4.14.** If $L$ and $M$ are Galois extensions of a field $K$ with $L$ contained in $M$, then $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ acts on $H^i(\text{Gal}(M/L), A)$ for any $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(M/K)]$-module $A$. The action is induced by the following action of $\tau \in \text{Gal}(M/K)$ on a cochain $f \in C^i(\text{Gal}(M/L), A)$:

$$(\tau \cdot f)(\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_i) = \tau \cdot f(\tau^{-1}\sigma_1 \tau, \ldots, \tau^{-1}\sigma_i \tau).$$

On cohomology, this action factors through an action on $\text{Gal}(L/K)$ since, on $\text{Gal}(M/L)$, this action is the conjugation action on cohomology, which is trivial.

For a finitely generated abelian group $A$, let us use $r(A)$ to denote its rank and

$$r_p(A) = \dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} A[p]$$

to denote its $p$-rank for a prime $p$. 
THEOREM 1.4.15. Let $F$ be a CM field such that $\mu_p \subset F$ for an odd prime $p$. We then have

$$r_p(\text{Cl}_F^+) - \delta \leq r_p(\text{Cl}_F^-) \leq r_p(\text{Cl}_F^+) + r(\mathcal{O}_F^\times),$$

where $\delta = 0$ if $F(\mu(F)^{1/p})/F$ is ramified at $p$ and 1 otherwise.

PROOF. Note that

$$H^1(G_F, \mu_p) \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(H_F/F), \mu_p),$$

and

$$\text{Hom}(\text{Gal}(H_F/F), \mu_p)^\pm \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Cl}_F, \mu_p)^\pm \cong \text{Hom}(\text{Cl}_F^\tau, \mu_p),$$

as $\tau$ acts on $\mu_p$ by inversion. Combining this with Lemma 1.4.6, with $B = B_p$ as in said lemma, we have

$$r_p(\text{Cl}_F^\tau) = r_p(H^1(G_F, \mu_p)^\pm) \leq r_p(\text{Cl}_F^\pm) + r_p((\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^\pm).$$

By Lemma 1.4.12, we have that $\mathcal{O}_F^\times = \mathcal{O}_F^\tau \cdot \mu(F)$ and

$$r_p((\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^-) = r_p(B \cap \mu(F)) = \delta,$$

while

$$r_p((\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^+) \leq r_p((\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^+) = r((\mathcal{O}_F^\times)^+) = r(\mathcal{O}_F^\times).$$

The result follows. □

1.5. Leopoldt’s conjecture

For each place $v$ of $F$, Let

$$\hat{F}_v^\times = \lim_{\leftarrow n} F_v^\times / F_v^\times p^n,$$

and consider its subgroup

$$\mathcal{U}_v = \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{O}_F^\times / \mathcal{O}_F^\times p^n.$$

If $v$ is finite, then $\hat{F}_v^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_p \oplus \mathcal{U}_v$, and $\mathcal{U}_v$ is the group of $p$-power roots of unity in $F$, if $v$ does not lie over $p$ while $\mathcal{U}_v$ is the group of 1-units if $v$ lies over $p$. If $v$ is infinite, then $\mathcal{U}_v = \hat{F}_v^\times$, and both groups are trivial unless $v$ is real and $p = 2$, in which case they are $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

Let us set

$$\mathcal{E}_F = \mathcal{O}_F^\times \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{O}_F^\times / \mathcal{O}_F^\times p^n.$$

We may consider the natural map

$$t_F = (t_v)_{v \in V_p} : \mathcal{E}_F \to \bigoplus_{v \in V_p} \mathcal{U}_v.$$
1.5. LEOPOLDT’S CONJECTURE

Clearly, the kernel of \( \mathcal{O}_F^\times \to \mathcal{O}_{F_v}^\times \) is trivial for \( v \in V_p \). Yet, the problem may arise that there exist, for instance, two units \( x, y \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times \) generating a rank two subgroup and \( a, b \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) such that \( t_v(x)^a t_v(y)^b = 1 \). So, in theory, \( t_F \) could have a kernel. This brings us to Leopoldt’s conjecture.

**Conjecture 1.5.1 (Leopoldt).** The map \( t_F : \mathcal{O}_F \to \bigoplus_{v \in V_p} \mathcal{U}_v \) is injective.

**Remark 1.5.2.** We could, equivalently, consider the map \( t'_F : \mathcal{O}_F \to \bigoplus_{v \in V_{p\infty}} \mathcal{U}_v \), that includes the archimedean places, setting \( \mathcal{U}_v = \hat{\mathcal{F}}_v^\times \) for such \( v \). The point is that \( \mathcal{U}_v = 1 \) for archimedean \( v \) unless \( p = 2 \) and \( v \) is real, in which case \( \mathcal{U}_v \cong \mathbb{R}^\times / \mathbb{R}^\times 2 \).

We have that \( \ker t'_F \subseteq \ker t_F \) by definition. On the other hand, we have \((\ker t_F)^2 \subseteq \ker t'_F \). In particular, the two kernels have the same \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank. Moreover, the 2-torsion in \( \mathcal{O}_F \) is \( \mu_2 \), and \(-1\) is not in the kernel of \( t_v \) for any \( v \), so \( \ker t_F = 0 \) if and only if \( \ker t'_F = 0 \).

**Example 1.5.3.** For \( F = \mathbb{Q} \), Leopoldt’s conjecture holds as \( \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{Q} = 1 \) for \( p \neq 2 \) and \( \mathcal{O}_\mathbb{Q} = \mu_2 \) for \( p = 2 \).

Let \( S \) denote a finite set of primes of \( F \) containing \( V_{p\infty} \). We wish to state several equivalent forms of this conjecture. For this, we set

\[
\mathcal{O}_{F,S} = \mathcal{O}_F^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]

and extend \( t_F \) to a map

\[
t_{F,S} = (t_v)_{v \in S} : \mathcal{O}_{F,S} \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_v^\times.
\]

Let \( \mathcal{X}_{F,S} \) denote the Galois group of the maximal abelian pro-\( p \) unramified outside \( S \) extension of \( F \). Let \( G_v^{ab} \) denote the Galois group of the maximal abelian pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_v \) for each \( v \). The following exact sequences will be useful.

**Theorem 1.5.4.** There are two exact sequences fitting into a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{cccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker t_F & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_F & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathcal{U}_v & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & A_F & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow & & & & & & \downarrow \\
0 & \longrightarrow & \ker t_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{O}_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & \bigoplus_{v \in S} \hat{\mathcal{F}}_v^\times & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{X}_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & A_{F,S} & \longrightarrow & 0,
\end{array}
\]

where \( \rho_{F,S} \) is the product over \( v \in S \) of the composition of the \( p \)-completion of the local reciprocity map \( \rho_v : \hat{\mathcal{F}}_v^\times \to \hat{G}_v^{ab} \) with the natural map \( j_v \) from \( \hat{G}_v^{ab} \) onto the decomposition group at \( v \) in \( \mathcal{X}_{F,S} \), and where the maps \( \mathcal{X}_{F,S} \to A_F \to A_{F,S} \) are the natural quotient maps (under the indentifications given by the Artin map).
Proof. In the horizontal sequences in the diagram (1.5.1), we note that im$\rho_{F,S}$ (resp., the corresponding map in the upper sequence) is the compositum of the decomposition groups (resp., inertia groups) at all $v \in S$ in $\mathfrak{X}_{F,S}$. Being that $\mathfrak{X}_{F,S}$ already has trivial inertia groups at $v \notin S$, the quotient $\operatorname{coker}\rho_{F,S}$ is therefore the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian $p$-extension of $F$ in which all primes in $S$ split completely (resp., maximal unramified abelian $p$-extension of $F$), and is therefore canonically isomorphic to $A_{F,S}$ (resp., $A_F$) via Artin reciprocity.

For the upper horizontal sequence, the exactness at $\bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathbb{H}_{v}$ will follow from the exactness at $\bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{\times}$ in the lower horizontal sequence by noting that $\mathcal{E}_{F}$ consists exactly of the elements of $\mathcal{E}_{F,S}$ that have image under $\iota_{F,S}$ lying in $\bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathbb{H}_{v}$. We are therefore reduced to proving the latter exactness.

Recall that $H^{1}(G_{F,S}, \mu_{p^{n}})$ is identified via Kummer theory with the quotient $\mathcal{B}_{n}/F^{\times}p^{n}$, where $\mathcal{B}_{n}$ is the subgroup of $x \in F^{\times}$ such that $x\mathcal{O}_{F,S} = a p^{n}$ for some fractional ideal $a$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F,S}$. In other words, we have an exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^{\times}/\mathcal{O}_{F,S}^{\times}p^{n} \to \mathcal{B}_{n}/F^{\times}p^{n} \to A_{F,S}[p^{n}] \to 0.$$ 

It then follows from the finiteness of $A_{F,S}$ that

$$\lim_{\longleftarrow n} \mathcal{B}_{n}/F^{\times}p^{n} \cong \mathcal{E}_{F,S},$$

We claim that there is an exact sequence

$$\mathcal{B}_{n}/F^{\times}p^{n} \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{\times}/\mathcal{F}_{v}^{\times}p^{n} \to \mathfrak{X}_{F,S}/p^{n}\mathfrak{X}_{F,S},$$

where the first map is induced by the localization maps and the second map is $\rho_{F,S}$ taken modulo $p^{n}$. In that all of the terms of this sequence are finite, we can take the inverse limit as we vary $n$ to obtain an exact sequence

$$(1.5.2) \quad \mathcal{E}_{F,S} \xrightarrow{\iota_{F,S}} \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathcal{F}_{v}^{\times} \xrightarrow{\rho_{F,S}} \mathfrak{X}_{F,S},$$

finishing the verification of the exactness of the lower sequence.

Let us use $\rho_{n,v}$ and $j_{n,v}$ to denote the modulo $p^{n}$ reductions of $\rho_{v}$ and $j_{v}$ for any $v$. Any $a \in \mathcal{B}_{n}$ has valuation a multiple of $p^{n}$ at $v \notin S$, so $\rho_{v}(a)$ lies in the compositum of the inertia group and the subgroup of $p^{n}$th powers in $\widetilde{G}_{v}^{\text{ab}}$. In particular, we have that $j_{n,v}(\rho_{n,v}(a)) = 1$ for such $v$. Global class field theory then tells us that

$$\prod_{v \in S} j_{v}(\rho_{v}(a)) = \prod_{v} j_{v}(\rho_{v}(a)) = 1,$$

which tells us that (1.5.2) is a complex.

Let $M_{n}$ be the maximal $S$-ramified abelian extension of $F$ of exponent $p^{n}$. Its Galois group $\text{Gal}(M_{n}/F) \cong \mathfrak{X}_{F,S}/p^{n}\mathfrak{X}_{F,S}$ is the quotient of $\text{Gal}(F^{\text{ab}}/F)$ by the composition of all inertia groups.
at primes \( v \notin S \) and the \( p^n \)th powers of all of the decomposition groups. By global class field theory, we therefore have an isomorphism

\[
\frac{\mathbb{I}_F}{F \times \mathbb{F}_p^n \cdot \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times} \sim \text{Gal}(M_n/F),
\]

where for simplicity of notation, we have set \( \mathcal{O}_v = \mathcal{O}_F. \) Similarly, if we let \( L'_n \) be the maximal unramified abelian extension of \( F \) of exponent \( p^n \) in which every prime in \( S \) splits completely, so that \( \text{Gal}(L'_n/F) \cong A_{F,S}/p^nA_{F,S} \), class field theory again provides an isomorphism

\[
\frac{\mathbb{I}_F}{F \times \mathbb{F}_p^n \cdot (\prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times)} \sim \text{Gal}(L'_n/F).
\]

We see, then, that we have isomorphisms

\[
\mathbb{I}_F^n \cdot \left( (\prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times) \right) \cong F \times \mathbb{F}_p^n \cdot (\prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times) \cong \text{Gal}(M_n/L'_n),
\]

where in the first step, we have used the second isomorphism theorem. Since

\[
\bigoplus_{v \in S} F_v^\times / F_v^\times p^n \cong \frac{\mathbb{I}_F^n \cdot (\prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times)}{\mathbb{F}_p^n \cdot \prod_{v \in S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times},
\]

and

\[
\mathcal{B}_n = F^\times \cap \mathbb{I}_F^n \left( \prod_{v \in S} F_v^\times \times \prod_{v \notin S} \mathcal{O}_v^\times \right),
\]

we have an exact sequence

\[
\mathcal{B}_n \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} F_v^\times / F_v^\times p^n \rightarrow \text{Gal}(M_n/L'_n),
\]

where the maps agree with the maps in question, hence the result. \( \square \)

**Remark 1.5.5.** Theorem 1.5.4 can also be derived using Poitou-Tate duality and Kummer theory.

**Proposition 1.5.6.** The kernel of \( \iota_{F,S} \) is contained in \( \mathcal{O}_F. \) In particular, Leopoldt’s conjecture is equivalent to the injectivity of \( \iota_{F,S}. \)

**Proof.** Let \( \alpha \in \ker \iota_{F,S}. \) Then \( \alpha \) may be written as

\[
\alpha = \sum_{i=1}^m a_i \otimes c_i,
\]

were \( a_i \in \mathcal{O}_{F,S}^\times \) and \( c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) for each \( 1 \leq i \leq m, \) for some \( m \geq 0. \) For each \( v \in S, \) we then have

\[
\sum_{i=1}^m v(a_i)c_i = 0,
\]

which means that the \( c_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) are \( \mathbb{Z} \)-linearly dependent if some \( v(a_i) \neq 0. \) If \( v(a_m) \neq 0, \) without loss of generality, then \( \alpha^{v(a_m)} \) may be written as a sum of \( m - 1 \) tensors. Continuing in this way, we obtain
that some nonzero integer power of $\alpha$ is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear combination of units at $v$. Since there are only finitely many $v \in S$, we have $\alpha^c \in \mathcal{O}_F$ for some $c \in \mathbb{Z}$, which forces $\alpha \in \mathcal{O}_F$. □

The following theorem is also a corollary of Theorem 1.5.4 and Proposition 1.5.6, which gives in particular equivalent conditions for Leopoldt’s conjecture to hold (noting that $\mathcal{O}_F$ is $p$-torsion free). Let $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} A$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-rank of a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $A$.

**Theorem 1.5.7.** The following are equivalent for a given $\delta \geq 0$:

i. $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \ker t_F = \delta$,

ii. $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \text{im} t_F = r_1(F) + r_2(F) - 1 - \delta$,

iii. $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \ker t_{FS} = \delta$, and

iv. $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathfrak{x}_{FS} = r_2(F) + 1 + \delta$.

**Proof.** For $v \in S$, we have that

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathfrak{U}_v = \begin{cases} [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p] & \text{if } v \in V_p, \\ 0 & \text{if } v \in S - V_p. \end{cases}$$

We also have

$$\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}_F = r_1(F) + r_2(F) - 1$$

by Dirichlet’s unit theorem, and $A_F$ is finite. Note that

$$r_1(F) + 2r_2(F) = [F : \mathbb{Q}] = \sum_{v \in V_p} [F_v : \mathbb{Q}_p].$$

Hence, Proposition 1.5.6 and the exactness of the upper exact sequence in (1.5.1) yield the result. □

**Corollary 1.5.8.** The $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module $\mathfrak{x}_{FS}$ is finitely generated of $\mathbb{Z}_p$-rank independent of $S$ containing $V_{pos}$.

The $\delta$ in Theorem 1.5.7 is known as the Leopoldt defect of $F$.

**Definition 1.5.9.** The Leopoldt defect $\delta(F)$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-rank of $\ker t_F$.

Leopoldt’s conjecture for $F$ is equivalent to the statement that the Leopoldt defect $\delta(F)$ is 0. We may also phrase Leopoldt’s conjecture for $F$ in terms of the nonvanishing of a $p$-adic regulator of $F$, which replaces the always nonzero complex regulator.

**Definition 1.5.10.** For a $p$-adic field $E$, the $p$-adic logarithm of $E$ is the unique homomorphism $\log_p : E^\times \to E$ such that $\log_p(p) = 0$ and such that for any $x$ in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_E$, one has

$$\log_p(1 + x) = \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{k-1} x^k. \frac{k}{k}.$$
1.5. LEOPOLDT’S CONJECTURE

**Remark 1.5.11.** The kernel of $\log_p$ on a $p$-adic field $E$ is $\mu(E)$.

**Notation 1.5.12.** We use $\mathbb{C}_p$ to denote the completion of the algebraic closure of $\mathbb{Q}_p$ with respect to the unique extension of the $p$-adic absolute value on $\mathbb{Q}_p$. We have a $p$-adic absolute value

$$|\cdot|_p: \mathbb{C}_p \to \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$$

with $|p|_p = p^{-1}$.

**Remark 1.5.13.** The $p$-adic logarithm extends to a continuous homomorphism $\log_p: \mathbb{C}_p^\times \to \mathbb{C}_p$.

It turns out that $\mathbb{C}$ and $\mathbb{C}_p$ are abstractly isomorphic (being algebraically closed of characteristic 0 and having the same cardinality), and we can fix an embedding $i: \mathbb{C} \to \mathbb{C}_p$. Let $d = [F: \mathbb{Q}]$, and let $\tau_i: F \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$ for $1 \leq i \leq r + 1$ be the compositions $\tau = i \circ \sigma_i$ of the real and complex embeddings $\sigma_i$ of $F$ previously chosen in Section 1.2.

**Definition 1.5.14.** Let $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r$ be $r$ independent units in $E_F$. The $p$-adic regulator $R_p(F)$ of $E_F$ is the determinant of the $r$-by-$r$ matrix $\mathcal{R}_p(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r) = (c_i \log_p \tau_i(\alpha_j))_{i,j}$, where $c_i$ is 1 if $\sigma_i$ is real and 2 if $\sigma_i$ is complex.

**Remark 1.5.15.** The $p$-adic regulator is well-defined up to sign, so as an element of $\mathbb{C}_p^\times / \langle -1 \rangle$.

The following is immediate.

**Proposition 1.5.16.** Leopoldt’s conjecture for a number field $F$ is equivalent to the statement the nonvanishing of the $p$-adic regulator $R_p(F)$.

Baker proved that if $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ are such that $2\pi i, \log \alpha_1, \ldots, \log \alpha_n$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent, then they are $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linearly independent. Via Baker’s method, Brumer proved a $p$-adic analogue.

**Theorem 1.5.17 (Brumer).** Let $\beta_1, \ldots, \beta_n$ be algebraic numbers that are also $p$-adic units, and suppose that the $p$-adic logarithms $\log_p \beta_1, \ldots, \log_p \beta_n$ are $\mathbb{Q}$-linearly independent. Then these logarithms are also $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$-linearly independent.

Using this result, Brumer was able to prove Leopoldt’s conjecture for an abelian extensions of number fields with $r = 0$. Note that the only fields with $r = 0$ are $\mathbb{Q}$ and the imaginary quadratic fields.

We need several preliminary results. We begin with the following result, only the first part of which is needed at the moment.

**Proposition 1.5.18.** Let $G$ be a finite abelian group and $f: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ be a function. Let $\hat{G}$ denote the group of characters $G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^\times$. 
a. We have
\[ \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma)f(\sigma) \right) = \det(f(\sigma \tau^{-1}))_{\sigma, \tau \in G}. \]
In fact, the rank of \((f(\sigma \tau^{-1}))_{\sigma, \tau \in G}\) is the number of \(\chi \in \hat{G}\) such that \(\sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma)f(\sigma) \neq 0\).

b. We have
\[ \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} \left( \sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma)f(\sigma) \right) = \det(f(\sigma \tau^{-1}) - f(\sigma))_{\sigma, \tau \neq 1}. \]

**Proof.** We compare two bases of the complex vector space \(V\) of functions \(G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\): the set of characters \(\hat{G}\) and the set of \(\delta\)-functions
\[ \delta_\sigma(\tau) = \begin{cases} 1 & \tau = \sigma, \\ 0 & \tau \neq \sigma \end{cases} \]
for \(\sigma \in G\). Consider the linear transformation \(T: V \rightarrow V\) given by
\[ T(g)(\tau) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} f(\sigma)g(\sigma \tau). \]
Applied to \(g = \chi\), we obtain
\[ T(\chi) = \sum_{\sigma \in G} f(\sigma)\chi(\sigma), \]
so \(\chi\) is an eigenvector with eigenvalue \(\sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma)f(\sigma)\). It follows that \(\det T\) is the product of the latter sums over all \(\chi\). On the other hand,
\[ T(\delta_\sigma)(\rho) = \sum_{\tau \in G} f(\tau)\delta_\sigma(\rho \tau) = \sum_{\tau \in G} f(\tau)\delta_{\sigma \tau^{-1}}(\rho) = \sum_{\tau \in G} f(\tau^{-1}\sigma)\delta_\tau(\rho) \]
so
\[ T(\delta_\sigma) = \sum_{\tau \in G} f(\sigma \tau^{-1})\delta_\tau \]
so the \((\tau, \sigma)\)-entry of the matrix of \(T\) with respect to this basis is \(f(\sigma \tau^{-1})\). In that the determinant and rank of \(T\) are independent of the choice of basis, we have part a.

For part b, we consider the codimension 1 subspace \(W\) of \(V\) that consisting of the \(g: G \rightarrow \mathbb{C}\) with \(\sum_{\sigma \in G} g(\sigma) = 0\). One basis of these functions is given by \(\hat{G} - \{1\}\), and another is given by the functions \(\delta_\sigma - |G|^{-1}\) for \(\sigma \neq 1\). Also, we see immediately that \(T(W) \subseteq W\). The determinant of \(T|_W\) with respect to the character basis is clearly the left-hand side of the desired equality. On the other hand, noting that
\[ \sum_{\tau \in G} (\delta_\tau - |G|^{-1}) = 0, \]
we have
\[
T(\delta - |G|^{-1}) = \sum_{\tau \in G} f(\sigma \tau^{-1})(\delta - |G|^{-1}) = \sum_{\tau \in G, \tau \neq 1} (f(\sigma \tau^{-1}) - f(\sigma))(\delta - |G|^{-1}),
\]
which has the desired coefficients. \hfill \Box

We omit a proof of the following.

**Lemma 1.5.19.** For a field $K$ and a finite group $G$, let $V$ and $W$ be $K[G]$-modules of finite $K$-dimension. Suppose that there is a field extension $L$ of $K$ such that $V \otimes_K L \cong W \otimes_K L$ as $L[G]$-modules. Then $V \cong W$ as $K[G]$-modules.

**Proposition 1.5.20.** Let $F$ be an abelian extension with Galois group $G$ of either $\mathbb{Q}$ or an imaginary quadratic field. Then $E_F \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \cong I_G \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$ as $\mathbb{Q}[G]$-modules.

**Proof.** By Proposition 1.2.4, we have $E_F \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R} \cong V_0$, where $V_0$ is as in Notation 1.2.3. That is $V_0$ is a hyperplane in the $\mathbb{R}$-span of the archimedean places of $F$, in this case consisting of the formal sums with coefficients summing to zero (since $F$ is either totally real or purely imaginary). Since $E$ has just one archimedean place, all of the places of $F$ are conjugate under the action determined by precomposition of a representative by the inverse of an element of $G$. Fixing an embedding $\phi : F \to \mathbb{C}$ then provides an isomorphism $V_0 \cong I_G \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}$, so $E_F \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R} \cong I_G \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{R}$. By Lemma 1.5.19, we then have that $E_F \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \cong I_G \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}$. \hfill \Box

**Theorem 1.5.21 (Brumer).** Leopoldt’s conjecture holds for all finite abelian extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$ and all finite abelian extensions of any imaginary quadratic field.

**Proof.** By Proposition 1.5.20, we may pick $\alpha \in E_F$ be such that $\{\sigma(\alpha) \mid \sigma \in G - \{1\}\}$ is an independent set of $r$ units of $F$. Let $\phi = t \circ \phi : K \to \mathbb{C}_p$, and consider the function $f : G \to \mathbb{C}_p$ defined by $f(\sigma) = \log_p \phi(\sigma^{-1} \alpha)$. Since
\[
\prod_{\sigma \in G} \sigma^{-1} \alpha = \pm 1,
\]
we have
\[
\sum_{\sigma \in G} f(\sigma) = 0.
\]
If $\sum_{\sigma \in G} \chi(\sigma)f(\sigma) = 0$ for some nontrivial character $\chi \in \hat{G}$, then
\[
\sum_{\sigma \in G - \{1\}} (1 - \chi(\sigma))f(\sigma) = 0.
\]
Since $1 - \chi(\sigma) \in \bar{Q}$. By Theorem 1.5.17, we then have that the quantities $f(\sigma)$ for $\sigma \in G - \{1\}$ are $\bar{Q}$-linearly dependent, and hence $\mathbb{Z}$-linearly dependent. That is, there exist elements $k_\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}$, not all zero, such that

$$\prod_{\sigma \in G - \{1\}} (\sigma \alpha)^{k_\sigma} \in \mu(F).$$

This, however, contradicts our choice of $\alpha$.

Now choose an ordering of $G$ and form the matrix $(f(\sigma\tau^{-1}))_{\sigma, \tau \in G}$, the $(\sigma, \tau)$-entry of which is $\log_p(\phi \circ \tau)(\sigma^{-1} \alpha)$. It then follows from Proposition 1.5.18a that this matrix has rank $r = |G| - 1$, and the $\sigma = 1$ row and $\tau = 1$ column are linearly dependent on the others. If we remove them, the resulting $r$-by-$r$ minor is the $p$-adic regulator matrix attached to the basis $\sigma^{-1} \alpha$ with $\sigma \in G - \{1\}$ and the embeddings $\phi \circ \tau$ for $\tau \in G - \{1\}$. Thus $R_p(F) \neq 0$, so Leopoldt’s conjecture holds for $F$. \qed
CHAPTER 2

Module theory

2.1. Pseudo-isomorphisms

**Definition 2.1.1.** For an integral domain $R$, a *pseudo-null* $R$-module is an $R$-module $M$ with annihilator $\text{Ann}_R(M)$ of height at least 2.

**Definition 2.1.2.** Let $R$ be an integral domain. An $R$-module homomorphism $f: A \to B$ is a *pseudo-isomorphism* if it has pseudo-null kernel and cokernel.

The existence of a pseudo-isomorphism from one object to another is not in general an equivalence relation on the category of finitely generated $R$-modules, as it is not symmetric.

**Example 2.1.3.** The quotient of $\mathbb{F}_p[x, y]$ by the maximal ideal $(x, y)$ of height 2 is pseudo-null. However, as $(x, y)$ is not principal, there is no pseudo-isomorphism $\mathbb{F}_p[x, y] \to (x, y)$.

Nevertheless, we can make the following definition, which does provide an equivalence relation.

**Definition 2.1.4.** We say that two modules $A$ and $B$ over an integral domain $R$ are *pseudo-isomorphic* if $A_p \cong B_p$ for all height one prime ideals $p$ of $R$.

**Notation 2.1.5.** We write $A \cong B$ if $A$ and $B$ are pseudo-isomorphic modules over an integral domain $R$.

If there exists a pseudo-isomorphism from one $R$-module to another, then they are pseudo-isomorphic. Recall that a prime ideal $p$ of $R$ lies in the support of a $R$-module $A$ if and only if $A_p \neq 0$.

**Lemma 2.1.6.** Let $f: A \to B$ be a pseudo-isomorphism of $R$-modules, where $R$ is an integral domain. Then $A$ and $B$ are pseudo-isomorphic.

**Proof.** Since localization is an exact functor, for any height one prime ideal $p$ of $R$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to (\ker f)_p \to A_p \to B_p \to (\coker f)_p \to 0.$$  

Since any prime ideal $q$ in the support of $\text{Ann}_R(\ker f)$ or $\text{Ann}_R(\coker f)$ has height at least 2, while $R_p$ has Krull dimension one, we have $qR_p = R_p$. Since $(\ker f)_p$ and $(\coker f)_p$ then have no prime ideals in their support, they are both zero. \qed

Lemma 2.1.7. Let A and B be modules over a commutative ring R with A finitely presented, and let S be a multiplicative subset of R. Then we have a canonical isomorphism
\[
\text{Hom}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}A, S^{-1}B) \cong S^{-1}\text{Hom}_R(A, B).
\]

Proof. As
\[
S^{-1}A \cong S^{-1}R \otimes_R A,
\]
adjointness of Hom and \(\otimes\) yields
(2.1.1) \[
\text{Hom}_{S^{-1}R}(S^{-1}A, S^{-1}B) \cong \text{Hom}_R(A, S^{-1}B).
\]
The result now follows from (2.1.1) and
(2.1.2) \[
\text{Hom}_R(A, S^{-1}R \otimes_R B) \cong S^{-1}R \otimes_R \text{Hom}_R(A, B).
\]
To see that (2.1.2) holds, note first that it holds if \(A = R\). It then holds for every free \(R\)-module \(A\) of finite rank, as finite direct sums commute with Hom in the first variable and direct sums commute with tensor products. In general, choose a resolution
\[
P_1 \rightarrow P_0 \rightarrow A
\]
with \(P_0\) and \(P_1\) finitely generated free \(R\)-modules, and use the fact that the contravariant functors of \(A\) in question are exact on right-exact sequences of \(R\)-modules, in particular as \(S^{-1}R\) is \(R\)-flat. \(\square\)

We recall from the theory of primary decomposition that every ideal \(I\) in a noetherian ring is a minimal finite intersection of primary ideals, and the minimal ideals among the finitely many associated primes of \(I\) that are the radicals of these primary ideals are the isolated primes of \(I\).

Lemma 2.1.8. Any torsion module over a Noetherian ring \(R\) has only finitely many height one prime ideals in its support.

Proof. A prime ideal \(p\) is in the support of an \(R\)-module \(M\) if and only if and only if it contains \(I = \text{Ann}_R(M)\). Any height one prime ideal containing \(I\) is an isolated prime in its primary decomposition, so there can be only finitely many. \(\square\)

From now on in this section, we use \(\Lambda\) to denote an integrally closed noetherian domain. Note that the localization of \(\Lambda\) at any height one prime is still a integrally closed noetherian domain, and it has a unique nonzero prime, so it is a DVR.

Lemma 2.1.9. Let \(A\) and \(B\) be finitely generated, torsion \(\Lambda\)-modules. Let \(X\) be the finite set of height one prime ideals in the support of \(A\) or \(B\). Set
\[
S = \Lambda - \bigcup_{p \in X} p.
\]
2.1. PSEUDO-ISOMORPHISMS

Let $f : A \to B$ be a $\Lambda$-module homomorphism. Then $f$ is a pseudo-isomorphism if and only if the localized map

$$S^{-1}f : S^{-1}A \to S^{-1}B$$

is an isomorphism.

**Proof.** First, note that $X$ is indeed finite by Lemma 2.1.8. Let $X = \{p_1, \ldots, p_r\}$, where the $p_i$ are distinct. As localization is an exact functor, it suffices to show that a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module $M$ with height one support in $X$ is pseudo-null if and only if $S^{-1}M = 0$.

If $M$ is pseudo-null, then its annihilator has height at least 2, so is not contained in any prime ideal of height one. Thus, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$, there exists an element $y_i \in \text{Ann}_\Lambda(M)$, with $y_i \notin p_i$. Since there also exists an element $x_i \in p_i$ with $x_i \notin p_j$ for all $j \neq i$, we have

$$x = y_1x_2x_3 \cdots x_r + x_1y_2x_3 \cdots x_r + \cdots + x_1x_2 \cdots x_{r-1}y_r \in S \cap \text{Ann}_\Lambda(M)$$

and so $S^{-1}M = 0$.

Conversely, suppose that $S^{-1}M = 0$. Then $M_p = 0$ for all $p \in S$, and hence for all height one prime ideals $p$, which is to say that for each $p_i$ there exists $s \in \text{Ann}_\Lambda(M)$ with $s \notin p_i$, from which it follows that $\text{Ann}_\Lambda(M) \subsetneq p$ for any height one prime ideal $p$. Therefore, $\text{Ann}_\Lambda(M)$ has height at least 2. □

**Proposition 2.1.10.** Let $A$ be a finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-module. Then $A$ is pseudo-isomorphic to a direct sum $\bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/p_i^{k_i}$ with $p_i$ a height one prime of $\Lambda$ and $k_i \geq 1$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$ and for $s \geq 0$. Moreover, this decomposition is unique up to ordering.

**Proof.** Let $S$ be the complement of the union of the height one prime ideals in the support of $A$. Then $S^{-1}A$ is a torsion module over the principal ideal domain $S^{-1}\Lambda$, so we have an isomorphism

$$g : S^{-1}A \xrightarrow{\sim} \bigoplus_{i=1}^s S^{-1}(\Lambda/p_i^{k_i})$$

with the $p_i$ and $k_i$ as in the statement. By Lemma 2.1.7, there exists a $\Lambda$-module homomorphism $f : A \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/p_i^{k_i}$ with $S^{-1}f = g$. By Lemma 2.1.9, the map $f$ is a pseudo-isomorphism. The uniqueness is clear from the uniqueness in the structure theorem for finitely generated $S^{-1}\Lambda$-modules. □

The following is now clear.

**Corollary 2.1.11.** Two finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-modules $A$ and $B$ are pseudo-isomorphic if and only if there exists a pseudo-isomorphism $f : A \to B$.

**Remark 2.1.12.** A module $M$ over an integral domain $R$ is torsion if and only if $M(0) = 0$, which is to say that its localization at 0 is trivial. In particular, the $R$-torsion submodule of such a module $M$ is the kernel of the localization map to $M(0)$. 


LEMMA 2.1.13. Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module, let $T$ denote its $\Lambda$-torsion submodule, and set $Z = A/T$. Then there is a pseudo-isomorphism

$$A \to T \oplus Z.$$ 

PROOF. Supposing without loss of generality that $T \neq 0$, let $S$ be the complement of the union of the height one primes in the support of $T$. Then $S^{-1}A$ is a principal ideal domain, and by the structure theorem for finitely generated modules over principal ideal domains, we have a projection map

$$\rho': S^{-1}A \to S^{-1}T,$$

which realizes the $S^{-1}\Lambda$-torsion submodule $S^{-1}T$ of $S^{-1}A$ as a direct summand. (To see that $S^{-1}T$ is the $S^{-1}\Lambda$-torsion submodule of $S^{-1}A$, note that it is torsion and the quotient $S^{-1}Z = S^{-1}A/S^{-1}T$ is $S^{-1}\Lambda$-torsion-free, as the fact that $Z \to Z_{(0)}$ is injective implies that $S^{-1}Z \to S^{-1}Z_{(0)} = Z_{(0)}$ is as well.) In other words, if we let $\nu: A \to Z$ be the quotient map and $\nu'$ denote its localization, then $(\rho', \nu'): S^{-1}A \to S^{-1}T \oplus S^{-1}Z$ is an isomorphism.

By Lemma 2.1.7, there exist $\rho \in \text{Hom}(A, T)$ and $s \in S$ such that $\rho = sp'$. We consider the map

$$(\rho, \nu): A \to T \oplus Z$$

Its localization is an isomorphism as multiplication by $s$ is an isomorphism on $S^{-1}T$, so it is a pseudo-isomorphism.

□

NOTATION 2.1.14. For a $\Lambda$-module $A$, let us use

$$A^* = \text{Hom}_\Lambda(A, \Lambda)$$

to denote its $\Lambda$-dual.

Note that Lemma 2.1.7 tells us that $(A^*)_p \cong (A_p)^*$ for any prime ideal $p$ of $\Lambda$, the latter module being defined as

$$(A_p)^* = \text{Hom}_{\Lambda_p}(A_p, \Lambda_p),$$

so we simply write $A_p^*$. Let $\mathcal{Q}$ denote the quotient field of $\Lambda$.

LEMMA 2.1.15. Let $Z$ be a finitely generated, torsion-free $\Lambda$-module. The map $Z \to Z^{**}$ is an injective pseudo-isomorphism.

PROOF. For any height one prime ideal $p$, the modules $Z_p$ and $Z_p^{**}$ are free, being finitely generated torsion-free modules over the principal ideal domain $\Lambda_p$. Moreover, the natural map $Z_p \to Z_p^{**}$ is an isomorphism, being identified with the map a finite rank free $\Lambda_p$-module to its $\Lambda_p$-double dual. That is, $Z \to Z^{**}$ is a pseudo-isomorphism, which is injective as $Z$ is torsion-free.

□
Lemma 2.1.16. Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. Inside $A^*_\{0\}$, we have

$$A^* = \bigcap_{p \in X_1} A^*_p,$$

where $X_1$ denotes the set of height 1 primes of $\Lambda$.

Proof. Since $A^*$ is torsion-free, it sits inside each $A^*_p$, hence in the intersection. Let $f \in A^*_\{0\}$ lie in $A^*_p$ for each $p$ of height one. Then $f : A \to \Lambda$ for all $p$, so $f$ has image in $\Lambda = \bigcap_{p \in X_1} \Lambda_p$. It follows that $f \in A^*$, hence the result. $\square$

Definition 2.1.17. We say that a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module $A$ is reflexive if the natural map $A \to A^\ast$ is an isomorphism.

Note that a reflexive $\Lambda$-module is necessarily torsion-free, since the dual of a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module is torsion-free.

Lemma 2.1.18. A finitely generated, torsion-free $\Lambda$-module $Z$ is reflexive if and only if $Z$ is the intersection of the $Z_p$ over all height one prime ideals $p$ of $\Lambda$.

Proof. We note that Lemma 2.1.16 implies that

$$Z^{**} = \bigcap_{p \in X_1} Z_p^{**},$$

and we recall that the natural map $Z_p \to Z_p^{**}$ is an isomorphism. As the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
Z & \xrightarrow{f} & Z^{**} \\
\cap_{p \in X_1} Z_p & \sim & \cap_{p \in X_1} Z_p^{**}
\end{array}$$

commutes, we have the result. $\square$

We have the following immediate corollary of Lemmas 2.1.16 and 2.1.18.

Corollary 2.1.19. Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. Then $A^*$ is reflexive.

We recall that a Noetherian local ring $\Omega$ is regular if its maximal ideal $m$ is generated by the terms of a regular sequence $(x_i)_{i=1}^d$, which is to say that $x_i$ is not a zero divisor in $\Omega/(x_1,\ldots,x_{i-1})$ for $1 \leq i \leq d$. In this case, $\Omega$ has Krull dimension $d$. Equivalently, a Noetherian local ring $\Omega$ is regular of Krull dimension $d$ if its maximal ideal can be generated by $d$ elements, or if $\dim_{\Omega/m} m/m^2 = d$.

Proposition 2.1.20. Let $\Omega$ be a regular local ring of Krull dimension 2. Then every finitely generated, reflexive $\Omega$-module is free.
Let $\Lambda$ be a finitely generated and reflexive $\Lambda$-module. As $\Omega$ is regular, it has a regular sequence so a principal prime ideal $p = (f)$. We first claim that $A/pA$ is a free $\Omega/p$-module. As $\Omega/p$ is regular of Krull dimension 1, its maximal ideal is principal (and it is a domain), so it is a DVR. Thus, it suffices to show that $A/pA$ is torsion-free over $\Omega/p$. For this, note that the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{Hom}_\Omega(A^*, \Omega) \xrightarrow{f} \text{Hom}_\Omega(A^*, \Omega) \to \text{Hom}_\Omega(A^*, \Omega/p)$$

implies that the map

$$A^{**}/pA^{**} \to \text{Hom}_\Omega(A^*, \Omega/p)$$

is injective. As

$$\text{Hom}_\Omega(A^*, \Omega/p) \cong \text{Hom}_{\Omega/p}(A^*/pA^*, \Omega/p)$$

is $\Omega/p$-torsion free, the module $A^{**}/pA^{**}$ is $\Omega/p$-torsion free. But $A$ is reflexive, so $A^{**}/pA^{**} \cong A/pA$, proving the claim.

Next, let $s = \dim_{\Omega/mA/mA}$, where $m$ is the maximal ideal of $\Omega$. By Nakayama’s Lemma, there exists a minimal $\Omega$-generating set of $A$ with $s$ elements, which is to say a surjective map $\pi: \Omega^s \to A$. Since $A/pA$ is $\Omega/p$-free, and by what we have just said of rank $s$, the induced surjection $(\Omega/p)^s \to A/pA$ is necessarily isomorphism. Therefore, multiplication by $f$ is surjective on $\ker \pi$, and Nakayama’s lemma then tells us that $\ker \pi = 0$.

**Remark 2.1.21.** Any regular local ring is a UFD by a theorem of Auslander and Buchsbaum. In particular, regular local rings are integrally closed domains.

**Theorem 2.1.22.** Let $\Lambda$ be a regular local ring of Krull dimension at most 2. Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. Then there exists a pseudo-isomorphism

$$A \to \Lambda^r \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda/p_i^{k_i}$$

for some $r,s \geq 0$ and height one primes $p_i$ and integers $k_i \geq 1$ for $1 \leq i \leq s$. Moreover, $r$ and $s$ are unique, and the prime powers are unique up to ordering.

**Proof.** Suppose first that $A$ is torsion-free. By Lemma 2.1.15, the map $A \to A^{**}$ is an injective pseudo-isomorphism, and by Proposition 2.1.20, we have that $A^{**} \cong \Lambda^r$ for some $r$. This is then the unique $r$ for which there exists a pseudo-isomorphism $A \to \Lambda^r$, being that it is then the dimension of $A_{(0)}$ over the quotient field of $\Lambda$.

The result for torsion modules is Proposition 2.1.10. We can combine the torsion-free and torsion cases by applying Lemma 2.1.13 and the decompositions in each case. The uniqueness follows from the uniqueness in the two cases. \[\square\]
2.2. Power series rings

Let $\mathcal{O}$ be a complete commutative local noetherian ring with maximal ideal $m$ and finite residue field of characteristic $p$. We study the ring $\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[T]$, beginning with the following analogue of the division algorithm.

**Proposition 2.2.1** (Division algorithm). Let $f, g \in \Lambda$, and suppose that $f \not\in m\Lambda$. Let $n$ be the largest integer such that $f \in m\Lambda + (T^n)$. Then we may write

$$g = qf + r$$

for a unique $q \in \Lambda$ and $r \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ with $\deg r < n$.

**Proof.** Suppose without loss of generality that $n > 0$. Let $u \in \mathcal{O}^\times$ be the coefficient of $T^n$ in $f$. Let $a \in \Lambda$ and $b \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ be such that

$$f = aT^n + b,$$

where $\deg b < n$. Note that $b \in m\Lambda$ by choice of $n$, and since $a - u$ lies in the maximal ideal of $\Lambda$, we have $a \in \Lambda^\times$. Let $q'_0 \in \Lambda$ and $r_0 \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ be such that

$$g = q'_0T^n + r_0,$$

where $\deg r_0 < n$. Setting $q_0 = a^{-1}q'_0$, we have

$$g = q_0aT^n + r_0 \equiv q_0f + r_0 \mod m\Lambda.$$

Let $g_1 = g - q_0f - r_0 \in m\Lambda$, and repeat the process to obtain $q_1 \in m\Lambda$ and $r_1 \in m\mathcal{O}[T]$ with $\deg r_1 < n$ and

$$g_1 \equiv q_1f + r_1 \mod m^2\Lambda.$$

Note then that

$$g \equiv (q_0 + q_1)f + (r_0 + r_1) \mod m^2\Lambda.$$

Recursively, we may then construct

$$q = q_0 + q_1 + q_2 + \cdots \in \Lambda \quad \text{and} \quad r = r_0 + r_1 + r_2 + \cdots \in \mathcal{O}[T]$$

such that $g = qf + r$ and $\deg r < n$.

As for uniqueness, if $g = q'f + r'$ with $r' \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ and $\deg r' < n$, then

$$(q - q')f + (r - r') = 0.$$

We then need only show that if $c \in \Lambda$ and $d \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ with $\deg d < n$ satisfy $cf + d = 0$, then $c = d = 0$. Suppose that this is not the case, and let $n \geq 0$ be such that $c, d \in m^n\Lambda$ but not both $c$ and $d$ are contained in $m^{n+1}\Lambda$. We see that $cf$ is congruent to a multiple of $T^n$ modulo $m^{n+1}$, which forces $d \in m^{n+1}\Lambda$, as $\deg d < n$. But then $cf \in m^{n+1}\Lambda$, and since $f \not\in m\Lambda$, this forces $c \in m^{n+1}\Lambda$, a contradiction. □
Definition 2.2.2. A distinguished (or Weierstrass) polynomial \( f \in \Lambda \) is a polynomial with leading coefficient 1 that satisfies
\[
f(T) \equiv T^{\deg f} \mod m\Lambda.
\]

Theorem 2.2.3 (Weierstrass preparation). Let \( g \in \Lambda \) with \( g \not\in m\Lambda \). Then there exist a unique distinguished polynomial \( f \) and unit \( u \in \Lambda^\times \) such that
\[
g = uf.
\]

Proof. We begin with existence. Let \( n \) be the maximal such that \( g \in m\Lambda + (T^n) \), and let \( u_0 \in \mathcal{O}^\times \) be the coefficient of \( T^n \) in \( g \). Using the division algorithm, write
\[
T^n = qg + r
\]
for some unique \( q \in \Lambda \) and \( r \in \mathcal{O}[T] \) with \( \deg r < n \). Since all of the terms of \( g \) of degree less than \( n \) lie in \( m \), we have \( r \in m\Lambda \). If we set \( f = T^n - r \), then \( f \) is a distinguished polynomial. Moreover, the constant coefficient \( q_0 \) of \( q \) satisfies \( q_0u_0 \equiv 1 \mod T \), so is a unit in \( \mathcal{O} \), and therefore \( q \in \Lambda^\times \). Letting \( u = q^{-1} \), we have \( g = uf \), as desired. The uniqueness of \( f \) and \( u \) is forced by the uniqueness of \( q \) and \( r \). \( \square \)

We then have the following corollaries of the Weierstrass preparation theorem.

Corollary 2.2.4. Suppose that \( \mathcal{O} \) is a PID. Then the ring \( \Lambda \) is a unique factorization domain.

Proof. Let \( \pi \in m \) be a generator. For \( g \in \pi^n\Lambda - \pi^{n+1}\Lambda \), we may apply the Weierstrass preparation theorem to factor \( \pi^{-n}g \) into a polynomial \( f \) times a unit, and then use the fact that \( \mathcal{O}[T] \) is a UFD to factor \( f \) into a product of irreducible polynomials, each of which is a Weierstrass polynomial times a unit. This gives the desired factorization of \( g \) as a product of a power of \( \pi \), finitely many irreducible Weierstrass polynomials, and a unit. Clearly any other factorization is equivalent (up to unit and ordering) to such a factorization. \( \square \)

Remark 2.2.5. In fact, it is more generally true that if \( \mathcal{O} \) is a regular local ring, then so is \( \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[T] \). For such \( \mathcal{O} \), the ring \( \mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r] \) is then of course a UFD as well.

Suppose from now on that \( \mathcal{O} \) is the valuation ring of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). Let \( \pi \in \mathcal{O} \) be a uniformizer. We may view \( \mathcal{O} \) as sitting inside \( \mathbb{C}_p \). Given \( f \in \Lambda \) and \( a \in \mathbb{C}_p \) with \( |a|_p < 1 \), the evaluation \( f(a) \) converges to an element of \( \mathbb{C}_p \).

Corollary 2.2.6. Let \( g \in \Lambda \) be nonzero. There exist only finitely many \( a \in \mathbb{C}_p \) with \( |a|_p < 1 \) such that \( g(a) = 0 \).
2.2. POWER SERIES RINGS

Proof. By Weierstrass preparation, we have \( g = \pi^\mu \alpha f \) with \( \mu \geq 0, \alpha \in \Lambda^\times \), and \( f \) a Weierstrass polynomial. As \( u \) is a unit, one cannot have \( a \in \mathbb{C}_p \) with \( |a|_p < 1 \) such that \( u(a) = 0 \). Therefore, \( g(a) = 0 \) if and only if \( f(a) = 0 \), and so the result follows from the fact that \( f \) is a polynomial. \( \square \)

Corollary 2.2.7. Let \( g \in \mathcal{O}[T] \), and let \( f \) be a distinguished polynomial in \( \mathcal{O}[T] \) with \( f \) dividing \( g \) in \( \Lambda \). Then \( g/f \in \mathcal{O}[T] \).

Proof. Let \( n = \deg f \). Suppose \( \alpha \in \mathbb{C}_p \) is a root of \( f \). If \( |\alpha|_p > 1 \), then \( 0 = |f(\alpha)|_p = |\alpha|^n_1 > 1 \), which is impossible. If \( |\alpha|_p = 1 \), then

\[
0 = f(\alpha) \equiv \alpha^n \mod \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p},
\]

where \( \mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{C}_p} \) denotes the maximal ideal of \( \mathbb{C}_p \), which is again impossible. If \( |\alpha|_p < 1 \), then set \( q = g/f \in \Lambda \). Since \( q(\alpha) \) converges, we have \( g(\alpha) = 0 \). Let \( \mathcal{O}' \) denote the valuation ring of the splitting field of \( f \). We divide \( g \) and \( f \) by \( T - \alpha \) inside \( \mathcal{O}'[T] \) and repeat the process with the resulting polynomials, which we denote \( f_1 \) and \( g_1 \). After \( n \) iterations, we have obtain \( f_n = 1 \), and since \( f \) is monic, \( g_n \) is the polynomial \( q \in \mathcal{O}[T] \).

Next, let us consider ideals in \( \Lambda \).

Definition 2.2.8. Two elements \( f, g \in \Lambda \) are said to be relatively prime if the only elements in \( \Lambda \) that divide both \( f \) and \( g \) are units.

Lemma 2.2.9. Suppose that \( f, g \in \Lambda \) are relatively prime. Then \( (f, g) \) has finite index in \( \Lambda \).

Proof. Suppose that \( h \in (f, g) \) is a polynomial of minimal degree (which exists by Weierstrass preparation), and suppose it is exactly divisible by a power \( \pi^n \) of \( \pi \). Assume first that \( h \) has positive degree. Let \( h' \in \Lambda \) be defined by \( h = \pi^n h' \). Without loss of generality, suppose that \( h' \) does not divide \( f \). The division algorithm produces \( q \in \Lambda \) and \( r \in \mathcal{O}[T] \) with \( \deg r < \deg h' \) such that \( f = q h' + r \). Then \( \pi^n r \in (f, g) \), which forces \( r = 0 \) by the minimality of the degree of \( h \). But then \( h' \) divides \( f \), which is a contradiction, so \( h \) must be of degree 0.

So now, suppose that \( n \) is minimal such that \( \pi^n \in (f, g) \). At least one of \( f \) and \( g \) is not divisible by \( \pi \): suppose it is \( f \), and assume without loss of generality that \( f \) is a distinguished polynomial. We have \( (\pi^n, f) \subseteq (f, g) \), but

\[
\Lambda/(\pi^n, f) \cong (\mathcal{O}/\pi^n \mathcal{O})[T]/(\bar{f}),
\]

where \( \bar{f} \) is the image of \( f \) in \( \mathcal{O}/\pi^n \mathcal{O}[T] \), and the quotient ring is a finite ring by the division algorithm, as \( \mathcal{O} \) has finite residue field. \( \square \)

Proposition 2.2.10. Every prime ideal of \( \Lambda \) is one of \( 0, (\pi, T), (\pi), \) or \( (f) \), where \( f \) is an irreducible distinguished polynomial.
PROOF. Suppose that \( p \) is a nonzero prime ideal in \( \Lambda \) with \( p \neq (\pi) \). By the primality of \( p \), there then exists a distinguished polynomial \( f \) in \( p \) that is irreducible and not divisible by \( \pi \). So choose such an \( f \): if \( p = (f) \), we are done. Otherwise, there exists \( g \in p \) with \( g \not\in (f) \), and therefore by Lemma 2.2.9, there exists \( \pi^n \in (f, g) \) for some \( n \geq 1 \). Since \( p \) is prime, we then have \( \pi \in p \), and since \( f \equiv T^{\deg f} \mod \pi \), we have \( T^{\deg f} \in p \). Again, primality of \( p \) then forces \( T \in p \), and finally, \( p = (\pi, T) \) by the maximality of \( (\pi, T) \).

\[ \square \]

REMARK 2.2.11. We have that \( \Lambda/(\pi) \cong (\mathcal{O}/m)[T] \), while \( \Lambda/(f) \) for a distinguished polynomial \( f \) is free of rank \( \deg f \) over \( \mathcal{O} \).

LEMMA 2.2.12. A finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module is pseudo-null if and only if it is finite.

PROOF. Suppose that \( M \) is a finitely generated, pseudo-null \( \Lambda \)-module. To say that \( \text{Ann}_\Lambda(M) \) has height at least 2 is to say that it contains two relatively prime elements, hence has finite index in \( \Lambda \). On the other hand, if \( M \) is a finite \( \Lambda \)-module, then

\[ \text{Ann}_\Lambda(M) = \bigcap_{m \in M} \text{Ann}_\Lambda(m), \]

and \( \text{Ann}_\Lambda(m) \) must be of finite index in \( \Lambda \), since \( m \) generates a finite \( \Lambda \)-module isomorphic to \( \Lambda/\text{Ann}_\Lambda(m) \). It follows that \( \text{Ann}_\Lambda(M) \) has finite index in \( \Lambda \), and therefore has height 2.

It follows that a pseudo-isomorphism of \( \Lambda \)-modules, for \( \mathcal{O} \) a valuation ring in a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \), is a \( \Lambda \)-module homomorphism with finite kernel and cokernel.

THEOREM 2.2.13 (Structure theorem for finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-modules). Let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module. Then there exists a pseudo-isomorphism

\[ M \to \Lambda^r \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/(f_i^{k_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/\pi^l \]

for some \( r, s, t \geq 0 \), \( k_i \geq 1 \) and \( f_i \) a distinguished irreducible \( \Lambda \)-polynomial for \( 1 \leq i \leq s \), and \( l_j \geq 1 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq t \). Moreover, these quantities are unique up to reordering.

PROOF. This follows directly from Theorem 2.1.22 and the fact that the height one prime ideals in \( \Lambda \) are \( (\pi) \) and the ideals \( (f) \) for \( f \) an irreducible distinguished polynomial.

\[ \square \]

2.3. Completed group rings

For a profinite group \( G \), we use \( U \trianglelefteq_o G \) to denote that \( U \) is an open normal subgroup of \( G \).
Definition 2.3.1. Let $G$ be a profinite group, and let $\mathcal{O}$ be a commutative ring. We define the completed $\mathcal{O}$-group ring of $G$ to be the inverse limit

$$\mathcal{O}[G] = \lim_{U \leq \mathcal{O}G} \mathcal{O}[G/U]$$

with respect to the quotient maps $\mathcal{O}[G/V] \to \mathcal{O}[G/U]$ for $V \leq U$.

Remark 2.3.2. In the case that $G$ is finite, we have $\mathcal{O}[G] = \mathcal{O}[G]$, the usual group ring.

We shall study completed group rings only for certain very special classes of rings $\mathcal{O}$ and profinite groups $G$. In particular, let us assume that $\mathcal{O}$ is local and complete with respect to a maximal ideal $m$, which is to say that

$$\mathcal{O} \cong \lim_{\longleftarrow n} \mathcal{O}/m^n\mathcal{O}.$$

Remark 2.3.3. Since $\mathcal{O}$ is complete with respect to the maximal ideal $m$, we have

$$\mathcal{O}[G] \cong \lim_{\longleftarrow n} \mathcal{O}/m^n\mathcal{O}[G/U]$$

Definition 2.3.4. The augmentation ideal $I_G$ of $\mathcal{O}[G]$ is equal to

$$\ker(\mathcal{O}[G] \xrightarrow{\varepsilon} \mathcal{O}),$$

where $\varepsilon$ is the augmentation map, the inverse limit of the $\mathcal{O}$-linear maps $\mathcal{O}[G/U] \to \mathcal{O}$ that take every group element to 1.

Remark 2.3.5. The map $\varepsilon$ is surjective, and therefore it induces an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{O}[G]/I_G \cong \mathcal{O}.$$

We require the following lemma.

Lemma 2.3.6. Let $k$ be a field of characteristic $p$, and let $G$ be a finite abelian $p$-group. Then $k[G]$ is a local ring with maximal ideal the augmentation ideal in $k[G]$.

Proof. Suppose that $G \cong \bigoplus_{i=1}^r \mathbb{Z}/p^{n_i}\mathbb{Z}$ for some $n_i \geq 1$ and $r \geq 0$, and let $g_i$ be the inverse image of a generator of the $i$th component under this isomorphism. It is easy to see that

$$k[G] \cong k[X_1, X_2, \ldots, X_r]/(X_1^{p^{n_1}} - 1, X_2^{p^{n_2}} - 1, \ldots, X_r^{p^{n_r}} - 1)$$

under the map that takes $g_i$ to $X_i$. Moreover, $X_i^{p^{n_i}} - 1 = (X_i - 1)^{p^{n_i}}$ for each $i$ since $k$ has characteristic $p$. Setting $T_i = X_i - 1$ the resulting ring

$$k[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]/(T_1^{p^{n_1}}, T_2^{p^{n_2}}, \ldots, T_r^{p^{n_r}})$$
is local with maximal ideal \((T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r)\). (This is well-known, but note that if \(f \notin (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r)\), then \(f\) has nontrivial constant coefficient, and we may construct an inverse by successive approximation, working modulo higher and higher total degrees.) The inverse image of this ideal under our isomorphism is the augmentation ideal of \(k[G]\).

We now let \(O\) be a commutative noetherian local ring that is complete with the topology defined by its maximal ideal \(m\).

**Proposition 2.3.7.** Let \(O\) be a complete commutative noetherian local ring with finite residue field characteristic \(p\), and let \(G\) be a topologically finitely generated abelian pro-\(p\) group. Then the algebra \(O[[G]]\) is a local ring with maximal ideal \(mO[[G]] + I_G\).

**Proof.** We note that
\[
O[[G]]/(m + I_G) \cong O/m,
\]
so \(m + I_G\) is maximal. If \(M\) is any maximal ideal of \(O[[G]]\), then we have an injection
\[
O/(M \cap O) \to O[[G]]/M,
\]
which forces \(O/(M \cap O)\) to be a field, hence \(M \cap O\) to be maximal in \(O\), and therefore \(M \cap O\) to be equal to \(m\).

Moreover, we have
\[
O[[G]]/mO[[G]] \cong k[G],
\]
where \(k = O/m\). This follows from the fact that \(mO[[G]]\) is an inverse limit of a countable inverse system of modules \(m \cdot (O/m^n)[G/U]\) with surjective maps, as this implies that \(\varprojlim\) of the system vanishes. (Here, the countability of the system is guaranteed by the assumption of finite generation on \(G\).)

The problem is reduced to showing that the augmentation ideal of \(k[[G]]\) is its only maximal ideal. As the quotient of \(k[[G]]\) by a maximal ideal surjects onto the quotient of \(k[G/U]\) by the image of that maximal ideal for every open normal subgroup \(U\) of \(G\), it suffices to demonstrate our claim in the case of a finite abelian \(p\)-group \(G\). However, that result is just Lemma 2.3.6.

For any \(r \geq 0\), recall that
\[
O[[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]] \cong \varprojlim_n O[[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]]/(T_1^n, T_2^n, \ldots, T_r^n).
\]
The latter \(O\)-modules in the inverse limit are free of finite rank over \(O\), and so can be given the \(m\)-adic topology, and the inverse limit then defines a topology on the power series ring itself.

The following lemma will be of use to us.
LEMMA 2.3.8. Suppose that $\mathcal{O}$ is a complete commutative local noetherian ring with finite residue field, and let $\mathfrak{m}$ denote its maximal ideal. Let $r \geq 1$. The following sets of ideals provide bases of open neighborhoods of 0 that all define the same topology on the ring $R = \mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]$:

i. $\{I_{s,t} \mid s, t \geq 1\}$, where $I_{s,t} = \mathfrak{m}^s R + (T_1^t, T_2^t, \ldots, T_r^t)$,

ii. $\{\mathfrak{M}^n \mid n \geq 1\}$, where $\mathfrak{M} = \mathfrak{m} R + (T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r)$,

iii. $\{J_{s,t} \mid s, t \geq 1\}$, where

$$J_{s,t} = \mathfrak{m}^s R + (\omega_t(T_1), \omega_t(T_2), \ldots, \omega_t(T_r))$$

and we define

$$\omega_n(T) = (T + 1)^{p^n} - 1$$

for any $T$ and any $n \geq 0$.

In particular, $R$ is isomorphic to the inverse limit of the quotients modulo the ideals in any of these sets.

PROOF. To show that two of the sets of ideals define the same topology is exactly to show that every ideal in each of the two sets contains an ideal in the other set. Note that

$$(T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r)^{(t-1)r+1} \subseteq (T_1^t, T_2^t, \ldots, T_r^t).$$

We then see that

$$I_{1,t} \supseteq \mathfrak{M}^{(t-1)r+1} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{1,t} \supseteq \mathfrak{M}^{(p^t-1)r+1},$$

and from this we obtain that

$$I_{s,t} \supseteq I_{1,t} \supseteq \mathfrak{M}^{s((t-1)r+1)} \quad \text{and} \quad J_{s,t} \supseteq J_{1,t} \supseteq \mathfrak{M}^{s((p^t-1)r+1)}.$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\mathfrak{M}^n \supseteq I_{n,n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathfrak{M}^n \supseteq J_{n,n},$$

where the latter containment uses that

$$\omega_n(T_i) = \sum_{j=1}^{p^n} \binom{p^n}{j} T_i^j \in (p^n T_i, p^{n-1} T_i^p, \ldots, T_i^{p^n}) \subset (\mathfrak{m}^n + \mathfrak{m}^{n-1} T_i + \cdots + T_i^n)R \subset \mathfrak{M}^n.$$

Therefore, the topology defined by the powers of $\mathfrak{M}$ agrees both with the topologies defined by the ideals $I_{s,t}$ and by the ideals $J_{s,t}$. The final remark follows from the first part, as the set of $I_{s,t}$ defines the natural topology on the power series ring. 

$\square$
THEOREM 2.3.9. Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be a complete commutative local noetherian ring with finite residue field of characteristic \( p \). Suppose that \( G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^r \) for some \( r \), and let \( \{ \gamma_i \mid 1 \leq i \leq r \} \) be a generating set of \( G \). Then there is a unique topological isomorphism
\[
\mathcal{O}[G] \cong \mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]
\]
that takes \( \gamma_i - 1 \) to \( T_i \).

PROOF. Let \( U_n \) be the open subgroup of \( G \) generated by \( \{ \gamma_i^n \mid 1 \leq i \leq r \} \) for some \( n \geq 0 \). We note that
\[
\mathcal{O}[G/U_n] \to \mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]/(\omega_n(T_1), \omega_n(T_2), \ldots, \omega_n(T_r))
\]
via the map that takes \( \gamma_i \) to \( T_i + 1 \). Moreover, note that \( \omega_m(T_i) \) divides \( \omega_n(T_i) \) for \( m \leq n \), and these isomorphisms between group and polynomial rings are compatible with the canonical quotient maps on both sides. Since the groups \( U_n \) form a basis of open neighborhoods of 0 in \( G \), we have
\[
\mathcal{O}[G] \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]/(\omega_n(T_1), \omega_n(T_2), \ldots, \omega_n(T_r))
\]
On the other hand, we have
\[
\mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r] \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{O}[T_1, T_2, \ldots, T_r]/(T_1^n, T_2^n, \ldots, T_r^n).
\]
Since \( \mathcal{O} \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} \mathcal{O}/m^n \) as well, that the two inverse limits are isomorphic follows from the equality of the topologies defined by the sets of ideals in (i) and (iii) of Lemma 2.3.8. \( \square \)

REMARK 2.3.10. We remark that the theorem implies that \( \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}_p^k] \) is noetherian, as a power series ring in finitely many variables over a noetherian ring is noetherian, and Lemma 2.3.8 implies that it is complete with respect to its unique maximal ideal.

2.4. Invariants of \( \Lambda \)-modules

Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the valuation ring of a \( p \)-adic field, and let \( \pi \) be a uniformizer of the maximal ideal \( m \) of \( \mathcal{O} \). Set \( \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[T] \). We can use the structure theorem to construct invariants attached to a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module.

DEFINITION 2.4.1. Let \( M \) be a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module, pseudo-isomorphic to
\[
\Lambda^r \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda/(f_i^{k_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda/(\pi_j^{l_j})
\]
for some \( r, s, t \geq 0, k_i \geq 1 \) and \( f_i \) a distinguished irreducible \( \Lambda \)-polynomial for \( 1 \leq i \leq s \), and \( l_j \geq 1 \) for \( 1 \leq j \leq t \).
i. The $\lambda$ and $\mu$-invariants of $M$ are

$$\lambda(M) = \sum_{i=1}^{s} k_i \deg f_i \quad \text{and} \quad \mu(M) = \sum_{j=1}^{t} l_j,$$

respectively.

ii. The characteristic polynomial of $M$ is

$$\text{char}(M) = \pi^{\mu(M)} \prod_{i=1}^{s} f_i^{k_i},$$

and the characteristic ideal of $M$ is the ideal $\text{char}_\Lambda(M)$ of $\Lambda$ generated by $\text{char}(M)$.

We remark that the characteristic polynomial is multiplicative in exact sequences, as follows from the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.4.2.** Let

$$0 \to A \xrightarrow{1} B \xrightarrow{\pi} C \to 0$$

be a short exact sequence of finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-modules. Then $\text{char}(B) = \text{char}(A) \text{char}(C)$.

**Proof.** Let $X$ be the set of height one prime ideals in the support of $B$, and let $S = \Lambda - \bigcup_{p \in X} p$. Identifying $S^{-1}A$, $S^{-1}B$, and $S^{-1}C$ with direct sums of quotients of $S^{-1}\Lambda$ by height one prime ideals, that the characteristic ideals of these modules are multiplicative in $S^{-1}\Lambda$ is a standard result in the theory of modules over a principal ideal domain. The lemma follows easily from this. \qed

We next consider the quotients of finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-modules. Recall that

$$\omega_n(T) = (T + 1)^{p^n} - 1$$

for any $n \geq 0$.

**Remark 2.4.3.** Suppose $\Gamma$ is a procyclic group isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $\gamma \in \Gamma$ be a topological generator. Let $\Gamma_n$ denote the quotient of $\Gamma$ of order $p^n$. Recall that we have an isomorphism $\mathcal{O}[\Gamma] \sim \Lambda$ that takes $\gamma - 1$ to $T$. Then $\gamma^{p^n} - 1$ is taken to $\omega_n$, so we have that

$$\mathcal{O}[\Gamma_n] \cong \Lambda/(\omega_n).$$

We then have that

$$\Lambda \cong \varprojlim_n \Lambda/(\omega_n).$$

Moreover, the quotient $M/\omega_n M$ of a $\Lambda$-module $M$ is identified with the $\Gamma^{p^n}$-coinvariant group $M_{\Gamma^{p^n}}$ of $M$. 

LEMMA 2.4.4. If $M$ is a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module, then the canonical maps

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{\longrightarrow} M/\omega_n M \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{m,n} M/(\pi^m, \omega_n) M$$

are isomorphisms.

PROOF. Since $\Lambda$ is noetherian and $M$ is finitely generated, there exists a presentation of $M$ as a $\Lambda$-module:

$$\Lambda^r \rightarrow \Lambda^s \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0$$

for some $r,s \geq 0$. Since tensor product is right exact and

$$\Lambda/(\pi^m, \omega_n) \otimes \Lambda M \cong M/(\pi^m, \omega_n) M,$$

we have that

$$(\Lambda/(\pi^m, \omega_n))^r \rightarrow (\Lambda/(\pi^m, \omega_n))^s \rightarrow M/(\pi^m, \omega_n) M \rightarrow 0.$$ 

is exact as well. As the inverse limit is exact on finite groups, the resulting inverse limit

$$\Lambda^r \rightarrow \Lambda^s \rightarrow \lim_{m,n} M/(\pi^m, \omega_n) M \rightarrow 0$$

is exact, so there is a canonical isomorphism

$$M \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{m,n} M/(\pi^m, \omega_n) M.$$ 

Since the latter map factors as

$$M \rightarrow \lim_{n} M/\omega_n M \rightarrow \lim_{m,n} M/(\pi^m, \omega_n) M,$$

we are done if we can show the second of these maps is injective. By left exactness of the inverse limit, this will follow from the injectivity of the maps

$$M_n \rightarrow \lim_{m} M_n/\pi^m M_n,$$

where we have set $M_n = M/\omega_n M$. For this, note that Nakayama’s Lemma tells us that $A = \bigcap_m \pi^m M_n = 0$, since $\pi A = A$. □

REMARK 2.4.5. The proof of Lemma 2.4.4 goes through with $\omega_n$ replaced by any sequence $f_n$ of distinguished polynomials with $f_m | f_n$ for $m \leq n$ and $f_m \neq f_n$ if $m < n$.

For $n \geq m$, we set $\omega_{n,m} = \omega_n/\omega_m$. Let us also set $\omega_{n,-1} = \omega_n$.

LEMMA 2.4.6. Let $M$ be a finitely generated torsion $\Lambda$-module containing no elements of finite order. Then there exists an integer $n_0 \geq -1$ such that $\omega_{n,n_0} M = p^{n-n_0} M$ for all $n \geq n_0$. 

2.4. INVARIANTS OF $\Lambda$-MODULES

PROOF. Since $M$ has no $p$-torsion, we have $\mu(M) = 0$. The structure theorem implies the existence of a pseudo-isomorphism

$$\phi : M \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda/(f_i)$$

with $f_i$ distinguished, and which must be injective as, again, $M$ has no $p$-torsion. As $\prod_{i=1}^{s} f_i$ annihilates $M$, we have that $T^\lambda(M)$ annihilates $M/\pi M$. It follows that $(T + 1)^m$ acts as the identity on $M/\pi M$ for any $m$ with $p^m \geq \lambda(M)$. Fix such an $m$, and let $n_0$ be an integer such that $p^{n_0} \geq p^m(e + 1)$, where $e + 1$ is the ramification index of $\pi$ in $\mathcal{O}$.

For $\theta \in \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ given by the action of $T + 1$, the exact sequence

$$0 \to \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M) \xrightarrow{\pi} \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M) \to \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M/\pi M)$$

implies that

$$\theta^{p^m} - 1 \in \pi \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M).$$

For any $n \geq n_0$, we then have

$$\theta^{p^n} - 1 = ((\theta^{p^m} - 1) + 1)^{p^n - m} - 1 \in (\pi^{p^n - m}, p\pi) \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M) = p\pi \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M).$$

Let $\psi \in \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M)$ with $\theta^{p^n} = 1 + p\pi \psi$. Since

$$\omega_{n+1,n} = \sum_{c=0}^{p-1} (T + 1)^c p^n,$$

we have that $\omega_{n+1,n}$ acts on $M$ as

$$\sum_{c=0}^{p-1} (1 + p\psi)^c \in p + \sum_{c=0}^{p-1} c p\pi \psi + p^2 \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M) \subseteq p + p\pi \text{End}_{\Lambda}(M).$$

For $\tilde{M} = M/p\pi M$, we therefore have that $\omega_{n+1,n} \cdot \tilde{M} = p \cdot \tilde{M}$. This forces

$$\omega_{n+1,n} \cdot M = p \cdot M$$

by Nakayama’s lemma, which implies the result. \hfill \square

We now have the following result on the orders of quotients of finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-modules.

THEOREM 2.4.7. Let $M$ be a finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-module, and let $n_0 \geq -1$ be such that $\text{char}(M)$ and $\omega_{n,n_0}$ are relatively prime for all nonnegative $n \geq n_0$. Set $\lambda = \lambda(M)$ and $\mu = \mu(M)$. Let $q$ denote the order of the residue field $k$ of $\mathcal{O}$, and let $e$ denote the ramification index of $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then there exists an integer $\nu \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that

$$|M/\omega_{n,n_0}M| = q^{p^n \mu + ne \lambda + \nu}$$

for all sufficiently large $n \geq 0$. 
2. MODULE THEORY

PROOF. Our proof consists of four steps. In the first, we treat the case of finite \( M \). In the second, we reduce to the case of direct sums of quotients of \( \Lambda \). In the third, we treat the quotients of \( \Lambda \) by powers of \( \pi \), and in the fourth, we treat the quotients of \( \Lambda \) by distinguished polynomials. For simplicity of notation, let us set \( \omega'_n = \omega_{n,n_0} \).

**Step 1:** Note first that if \( M \) is finite, then \( M/\omega'_n M \cong M \) for \( n \) sufficiently large, as follows from Lemma 2.4.4, noting Remark 2.4.5. In this case, \( q^\nu \) is then just the order of \( M \). To see that \( \nu \) is an integer and not just a rational number, note that \( M \) has a filtration \( \{ \pi^i M \mid i \geq 0 \} \) and the graded quotients \( \pi^i M/\pi^{i+1} M \) are finite-dimensional \( k \)-vector spaces, so of order a power of \( q \). It follows that

\[
|M| = \prod_{i=0}^{\infty} |\pi^i M/\pi^{i+1} M|
\]

is a power of \( q \) as well.

**Step 2:** In the general case, consider the map

\[
\phi : M \to N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^{s} \Lambda/(f_i^{k_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^{t} \Lambda/(\pi^j)
\]

constructed in Theorem 2.2.13. It has finite kernel and cokernel, and the induced maps

\[
\phi_n : M/\omega'_n M \to N/\omega'_n N
\]

fit into a commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & 0 & \to & 0 & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\ker \phi & \to & \ker \phi & \to & \ker \phi & \to & \ker \phi_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M & \to & M & \to & M/\omega'_n M & \to & 0 \\
\phi & & \phi & & \phi & & \phi_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
N & \to & N & \to & N/\omega'_n N & \to & 0 \\
\omega'_n & & \omega'_n & & \omega'_n & & \omega'_n \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
c\ker \phi & \to & c\ker \phi & \to & c\ker \phi & \to & 0 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
0 & \to & 0 & \to & 0 & \to & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

where the map \( \omega'_n : N \to N \) is injective since one cannot have \( \omega'_n g \in (f_i^{k_i}) \) (or in \( (\pi^j) \)) for some \( i \) (resp., \( j \)) unless \( g \in (f_i^{k_i}) \) (resp., \( (\pi^j) \)) as \( \omega'_n \) is relatively prime to each \( f_i \) by assumption (and to \( \pi \) by definition). Now, for sufficiently large \( n \), we have that multiplication by \( \omega'_n \) is the zero map on \( \ker \phi \).
and coker φ, as ker φ and coker φ are finite. Therefore, the snake lemma tells us that, for such \( n \), we have coker φ \( \cong \) coker \( \phi_n \) and an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \ker \phi \to \ker \phi_n \to \coker \phi \to 0.
\]

Defining \( \eta \geq 0 \) by

\[
q^n = |\ker \phi| = \frac{|\ker \phi_n|}{|\coker \phi_n|},
\]

we have that

\[
|M/\omega'_n M| = q^n \prod_{i=1}^s |\Lambda/(\omega'_n, f^k_i)| \cdot \prod_{j=1}^t |\Lambda/(\omega'_n, \pi^j)|
\]

for the same sufficiently large \( n \). This reduces the theorem to modules of the form \( M = \Lambda/(\pi^l) \) for some \( l \geq 1 \) or \( M = \Lambda/(f) \) with \( f \) a (a power of an irreducible) distinguished polynomial relatively prime to every \( \omega'_n \).

**Step 3:** Suppose now that \( M = \Lambda/(\pi^l) \) for some \( l \geq 1 \). We then have

\[
M/\omega'_n M = \Lambda/(\omega'_n, \pi^l) \cong (\mathcal{O}/\pi^l \mathcal{O})[T]/(\omega'_n),
\]

Since \( \omega'_n \) is a distinguished polynomial of degree \( p^n - p^{n_0} \), the latter ring is isomorphic to \( (\mathcal{O}/\pi^l \mathcal{O})^{p^n} \) as an \( \mathcal{O} \)-module. We therefore have that

\[
|M/\omega'_n M| = q^{p^n l - p^{n_0} l}.
\]

Note that \( \mu(M) = l \), and we can take \( \nu = -p^{n_0} l \) for this \( M \).

**Step 4:** Finally, suppose that \( M = \Lambda/(f) \) for some distinguished polynomial \( f \) relatively prime to every \( \omega'_n \). By Lemma 2.4.6, we have that there exists \( n_1 \geq n_0 \) such that

\[
\omega_{n,n_1} M = p^{n-n_1} M
\]

for all \( n \geq n_1 \). We also have an exact sequence

\[
0 \to M/\omega'_n M \xrightarrow{\omega_{n,n_1}} M/\omega'_n M \to M/\omega_{n,n_1} M \to 0,
\]

and therefore we have

\[
M/\omega_{n,n_1} M \cong M/p^{n-n_1} M \cong (\mathcal{O}/\pi^{e(n-n_1)} \mathcal{O})^\lambda,
\]

the latter isomorphism being of \( \mathcal{O} \)-modules. Defining \( \nu \in \mathbb{Z} \) by

\[
q^\nu = |M/\omega'_n M| \cdot q^{-n_1 e \lambda},
\]

we then have

\[
|M/\omega'_n M| = q^{n e \lambda + \nu},
\]

as desired.
We next wish to consider results which give us conditions that allow us to compute invariants of \( \Lambda \)-modules from their quotients. For this, the following lemma is useful.

**Lemma 2.4.8.** Let \( \phi : M \to N \) be a pseudo-isomorphism of \( \Lambda \)-modules, and let \( f \in \Lambda \) be a distinguished polynomial. Then the induced map \( \phi_f : M/fM \to N/fN \) is also a pseudo-isomorphism, and moreover, we have

\[
|\ker \phi_f| \leq |\ker \phi||\coker \phi| \quad \text{and} \quad |\coker \phi_f| \leq |\coker \phi|.
\]

Similarly, using \( A[f] \) to denote the kernel of \( f : A \to A \) for any \( \Lambda \)-module \( A \), the induced map \( f\phi : M[f] \to N[f] \) is also a pseudo-isomorphism, and we have

\[
|\ker f\phi| \leq |\ker \phi| \quad \text{and} \quad |\coker f\phi| \leq |\ker \phi||\coker \phi|.
\]

**Proof.** Consider first the diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & M/\ker \phi & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N & \xrightarrow{f} & \coker \phi & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \\
0 & \to & M/\ker \phi & \xrightarrow{\phi} & N & \xrightarrow{f} & \coker \phi & \to & 0.
\end{array}
\]

The snake lemma then yields an exact sequence

(2.4.1) \( 0 \to (M/\ker \phi)[f] \to N[f] \to (\coker \phi)[f] \to M/(fM + \ker \phi) \to N/fN \to \coker \phi \to 0. \)

The kernel of \( \phi_f \) has order at most the products of the orders of the kernels of the maps \( M/fM \to M/(fM + \ker \phi) \) and \( M/(fM + \ker \phi) \to N/fN \). The first clearly has order at most \( |\ker \phi| \), and by (2.4.1), the second has order at most \( |\coker \phi| \). The statement on \( \coker \phi_f \) is also clear from the exact sequence.

As for \( f\phi \), the snake lemma applied to

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \to & \ker \phi & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M/\ker \phi & \to & 0 \\
& & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow f & & \\
0 & \to & \ker \phi & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M & \xrightarrow{\phi} & M/\ker \phi & \to & 0.
\end{array}
\]

yields exactness of

\[
0 \to (\ker \phi)[f] \to M[f] \to (M/\ker \phi)[f] \to \ker \phi/f\ker \phi,
\]

Together with (2.4.1), this implies that \( f\phi \) has finite kernel contained in \( \ker \phi \) and finite cokernel of order at most \( |\ker \phi| \cdot |\coker \phi| \). \( \square \)
Definition 2.4.9. Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\mathcal{O}$-module. The $\pi$-rank $r_\pi(A)$ of $A$ is the dimension of $A/\pi A$ as a vector space over $k = \mathcal{O}/\pi \mathcal{O}$.

Proposition 2.4.10. Let $M$ be a finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-module. Then $\mu(M) = 0$ if and only if the quantities $r_\pi(M/\omega_n M)$ are bounded as $n$ varies.

Proof. Consider a pseudo-isomorphism

$$\phi : M \to N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/(f_i^{k_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/(\pi^j).$$

Then $\phi_{\omega_n} : M/\omega_n M \to N/\omega_n N$ has finite kernel and cokernel of bounded order by Lemma 2.4.8, so it suffices to check the result for $N$. Note that the $\pi$-rank of $\Lambda/(f, \omega_n)$ for $f$ a distinguished polynomial is bounded by $\deg f$, since $\Lambda/(f) \cong \mathcal{O}^{\deg f}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module. On the other hand, $\Lambda/(\pi^j, \omega_n)$ is isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}/\pi^j)^{\pi}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module, so has unbounded $\pi$-rank. □

Similarly, we have the following proposition for the $\lambda$-invariant.

Proposition 2.4.11. Let $M$ be a finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-module. Then $\lambda(M)$ is equal to the following quantities:

i. $\text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} M$ and

ii. the maximal integer $\lambda$ such that $M$ has a quotient isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}/\pi^n \mathcal{O})^\lambda$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module for every $n$.

Proof. Consider a pseudo-isomorphism

$$\phi : M \to N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/(f_i^{k_i}) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/(\pi^j).$$

Let $n > \mu(M)$. Then $\Lambda/(\pi^j)$ has trivial $\mathcal{O}$-rank and no quotient of the form $\mathcal{O}/\pi^n \mathcal{O}$, since $n > l_j$. On the other hand, $\Lambda/(f_i^{k_i})$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^{k_i \deg f_i}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module by Remark 2.2.11, so has a quotient of the form $(\mathcal{O}/\pi^n \mathcal{O})^m$ for exactly those $m \leq k_i \deg f_i$. Therefore, the result holds for $N$.

By definition, $\mathcal{O}$-rank is not affected by pseudo-isomorphism, so $\lambda(M) = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} M$. Moreover, if $\lambda = \text{rank}_{\mathcal{O}} M$, then the quotient of $M$ modulo its $\pi$-power torsion subgroup is a finitely generated torsion-free $\mathcal{O}$-module of rank $\lambda$, hence is isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}^\lambda$ and has a quotient isomorphic to $(\mathcal{O}/\pi^n \mathcal{O})^m$ for exactly those $m \leq \lambda$. □

Finally, for finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules which are not necessarily $\Lambda$-torsion, we have the following result on $\Lambda$-ranks.
PROPOSITION 2.4.12. Let $M$ be a finitely generated $\Lambda$-module. Then we have
\[
\text{rank}_\Lambda(M) = \text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M/TM) - \text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M[T]).
\]
Moreover, we have
\[
\text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M/\omega_nM) = p^n \text{rank}_\Lambda(M) + c
\]
for some $c \geq 0$ for all sufficiently large $n$.

PROOF. Again consider a pseudo-isomorphism
\[
\phi : M \to N = \Lambda^r \oplus \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/(f_i^k_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/(\pi^l_j).
\]
Then $\text{rank}_\Lambda(M) = \text{rank}_\Lambda(N)$, and by Lemma 2.4.8, we have
\[
\text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M/TM) = \text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(N/TN) \quad \text{and} \quad \text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M[T]) = \text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(N[T]),
\]
or more strongly, that $M[T] \to N[T]$ is a pseudo-isomorphism.

Given this, the proof of the first part is reduced to case that $M = N$. Since $\Lambda/T\Lambda$ has $\mathcal{O}$-rank 1 and $\Lambda/(f)$ for a distinguished polynomial $f$ has
\[
\Lambda/(f,T) \cong \mathcal{O}/f(0)\mathcal{O},
\]
we have that the $\mathcal{O}$-rank of the latter module is nonzero, and then equal to 1, if and only if $T$ divides $f$. Finally, $\Lambda/(T,\pi^l) \cong \mathcal{O}/\pi^l\mathcal{O}$ for $l \geq 1$ and so has trivial $\mathcal{O}$-rank. It follows that $\text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(N/TN) = r + s$, where $s$ is the number of $f_i$ equal to $T$. As for $N[T]$, note that $\Lambda[T] = 0$ and $\Lambda/(\pi^l_j)[T] = 0$, while $\Lambda/(f)[T]$ is nonzero, and then of $\mathcal{O}$-rank 1, if and only if $T$ divides $f$. Therefore, we have $\text{rank}_\mathcal{O} N[T] = s$, and part a follows.

We note that $\text{rank}_\mathcal{O} [\omega_n](M) = p^n \text{rank}_\Lambda(M)$, since $\Lambda$ has rank $p^n$ over $\mathcal{O}[\omega_n]$. The first part applied with $T$ replaced by $\omega_n$ then implies
\[
\text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M/\omega_nM) = p^n \text{rank}_\Lambda(M) + \text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M[\omega_n]).
\]
It suffices then to show that $\text{rank}_\mathcal{O}(M[\omega_n])$ is bounded in $n$. But this follows as $\omega_n, m$ is relatively prime to $\text{char}_\Lambda(M)$ for $n$ sufficiently large for all $m$. \qed

2.5. Pontryagin duality

Let $A$ be a locally compact, Hausdorff topological abelian group.

DEFINITION 2.5.1. The Pontryagin dual of $A$ is defined to be the topological group
\[
A^\vee = \text{Hom}_{cts}(A, \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z})
\]
with the compact-open topology, which is to say, with basis of open sets of the form
\[ B(K, U) = \{ f \in A^\vee \mid f(K) \subseteq U \}, \]
where \( K \subset A \) is compact and \( U \subset \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z} \) is open.

Of course, if \( f : A \to B \) is a continuous map of locally compact, Hausdorff abelian groups, then there is a natural map \( f^\vee : B^\vee \to A^\vee \) given by \( f^\vee(\varphi) = \varphi \circ f \).

The following is the key theorem regarding the Pontryagin dual, which we state without proof.

**Theorem 2.5.2 (Pontryagin duality).** Let \( \mathcal{L} \) denote the category of locally compact, Hausdorff topological abelian groups, let \( \mathcal{C} \) denote the category of compact, Hausdorff topological abelian groups, and let \( \mathcal{D} \) denote the category of discrete topological abelian groups. Then the Pontryagin dual provides a self-inverse contravariant functor from \( \mathcal{L} \) to its itself. Moreover, it induces contravariant equivalences of categories between \( \mathcal{C} \) and \( \mathcal{D} \) in both directions.

**Remark 2.5.3.** If \( A \) is a profinite or discrete torsion, then in fact
\[ A^\vee = \text{Hom}_{cts}(A, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}), \]
while if \( A \) is pro-\( p \) or discrete \( p \)-torsion, then we have
\[ A^\vee = \text{Hom}_{cts}(A, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p). \]

Moreover, we note that if \( A \) is discrete, then every homomorphism from it is continuous. On the other hand, if \( A \) is a finitely generated \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module, then every \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear homomorphism is continuous, so
\[ A^\vee = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p). \]

**Remark 2.5.4.** If \( A \) has the additional structure of a topological \( G \)-module for a profinite group \( G \), then \( A^\vee \) has the continuous \( G \)-action given by
\[ (g \cdot f)(a) = f(g^{-1}a) \]
for \( g \in G, f \in A^\vee \) and \( a \in A \).

**Remark 2.5.5.** Pontryagin duality induces a nondegenerate continuous pairing
\[ A \times A^\vee \to \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \quad (a, f) \mapsto f(a). \]
If \( A \) is also a topological \( G \)-module, then the latter pairing is \( G \)-equivariant.

Here is another interesting result.

**Proposition 2.5.6.**
a. If $A$ is a compact, Hausdorff topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, then $A$ is profinite.

b. If $A$ is a discrete topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, then $A$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$-torsion.

**Proof.** Let us start with part b. Since $A$ is discrete, every element $a \in A$ has $p^n a = 0$ for some $n \geq 0$ by continuity of the action. As for part a, we note that the dual of a compact $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module is $A$ a discrete $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, hence $\mathbb{Z}_p$-torsion. Then $A^\vee$ is the direct limit of the finite submodules generated by any finite set of its elements, so $A$ is the topologically the inverse limit of the Pontryagin duals of those submodules, and therefore $A$ is profinite. □

**Corollary 2.5.7.** Every finite topological $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module has the discrete topology.

**Example 2.5.8.** Since $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is procyclic, a continuous homomorphism from it is determined by where 1 is sent. Since $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a free pro-$p$ group, we can send 1 to any element. Therefore, we have $\mathbb{Z}_p^\vee = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

**Definition 2.5.9.** We say an locally compact module over a profinite ring $R$ is cofinitely generated if its Pontryagin dual is a finitely generated right $R$-module.

### 2.6. Iwasawa adjoints

We continue to suppose that $\Lambda = O[T]$ for a valuation ring $O$ of a $p$-adic field with uniformizer $\pi$. Let $F$ denote the quotient field of $O$. We will be most interested in Pontryagin duals of $\Lambda$-modules.

**Definition 2.6.1.** Let $\iota: \Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda$ be the unique continuous $O$-linear ring homomorphism satisfying $\iota(T) = (T + 1)^{-1} - 1$.

We can convert the canonical right action on the Pontryagin dual of a $\Lambda$-module to a left action using an involution, as follows.

**Proposition 2.6.2.** If $M$ is a locally compact, Hausdorff topological $\Lambda$-module, then $M^\vee$ is as well, with respect to the action

\[(\lambda \cdot \varphi)(m) = \varphi(\iota(\lambda)m)\]

for $\lambda \in \Lambda$, $m \in M$, and $\varphi \in M^\vee$.

Let $s \geq 0$ be such that $\pi^s$ generates the different of $O/\mathbb{Z}_p$. Then the $O$-balanced pairing

\[O \times O \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad (x,y) \mapsto \text{Tr}_{F/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\pi^{-s}xy)\]

is perfect. For a locally compact, Hausdorff topological $\Lambda$-module $M$, we have a left $\Lambda$-module structure on $\text{Hom}_O(M,F/O)$ as in (2.6.1), with $\varphi$ now in $\text{Hom}_O(M,F/O)$.
2.6. IWASAWA ADJoints

**Proposition 2.6.3.** For every finitely or cofinitely generated \( \mathcal{O} \)-module \( A \), there exists an isomorphism

\[
A^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(A, F/\mathcal{O}).
\]

These can be chosen to be natural in \( A \) in a manner that is canonical up to the choice of uniformizer \( \pi \) of \( \mathcal{O} \). Moreover, if \( A \) is a \( \Lambda \)-module, then the isomorphism is of \( \Lambda \)-modules.

**Proof.** The perfect pairing of (2.6.2) yields an isomorphism

\[
\mathcal{O} \cong \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \quad \text{and therefore the composite } \mathcal{O}-\text{module isomorphism}
\]

\[
F/\mathcal{O} \cong \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p).
\]

Since \( A \) is (co)finitely generated over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), we have the following \( \Lambda \)-module isomorphisms

\[
A^\vee \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(A, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(A, F/\mathcal{O}),
\]

and naturality is easily checked. \( \square \)

We have the following analogue of Proposition 2.5.6.

**Proposition 2.6.4.**

a. Every compact \( \Lambda \)-module is an inverse limit of finite \( \Lambda \)-modules.

b. Every discrete \( \Lambda \)-module is a direct limit of finite \( \Lambda \)-modules.

**Proof.** By Pontryagin duality, it suffices to prove part b. For this, we again note that the continuity of the \( \Lambda \)-action on a discrete module \( M \) ensures that, for any \( m \in M \), the annihilator \( \text{Ann}_\Lambda(m) \) is an open ideal of \( \Lambda \). But then \( M \) is the union of its finite \( \Lambda \)-submodules \( \Lambda \cdot m \) for \( m \in M \). \( \square \)

Note that if \( M \) is a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module, we endow it with the topology under which \( (\pi^m, \omega_n)M \) forms a basis of open submodules of \( M \).

**Definition 2.6.5.** Let \( M \) be a finitely generated torsion \( \Lambda \)-module, and set \( M_n = M/\omega_{n,m}M \) for \( n \geq m \) and some fixed \( m \geq -1 \) with \( \omega_{n,m} \) relatively prime to \( \text{char}(M) \) for all \( n \). Set

\[
\alpha(M) = \varprojlim_n M_n^\vee \cong \left( \varinjlim_n M_n \right)^\vee,
\]

where \( M_n \to M_{n+1} \) is induced by the map \( m \mapsto \omega_{n+1,m}m \) on \( M \). Then the \( \Lambda \)-module \( \alpha(M) \) is called the Iwasawa adjoint to \( M \).

**Remarks 2.6.6.**

a. We leave it to the reader to check that the definition of \( \alpha(M) \) does not depend on \( m \).

b. If \( \phi : M \to N \) is a \( \Lambda \)-module homomorphism, where \( M \) and \( N \) are finitely generated and \( \Lambda \)-torsion, then we obtain a natural \( \Lambda \)-module homomorphism \( \alpha(\phi) : \alpha(N) \to \alpha(M) \).
LEMMA 2.6.7. The contravariant functor $\alpha$ is left exact.

PROOF. To see the exactness, note that

$$M_n \cong M \otimes \Lambda/\langle \omega_{n,m} \rangle,$$

the tensor product is right exact, the Pontryagin dual is an exact contravariant functor, and the inverse limit is exact on finite abelian groups. \hfill \Box

LEMMA 2.6.8. If $M$ is a finite $\Lambda$-module, then $\alpha(M) = 0$.

PROOF. Since $M$ is finite, the map $\omega_{n,m}: M \to M$ is zero for $n$ sufficiently large relative to a fixed $m$. The result follows. \hfill \Box

LEMMA 2.6.9. If $M$ is a finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-module with $\mu(M) = 0$, then there are natural isomorphisms

$$\alpha(M) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(M, \mathcal{O})$$

as $\Lambda$-modules. Here, $\Lambda$ acts on both $\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Z}_p)$ and $\text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(M, \mathcal{O})$ by

$$(\lambda \cdot \phi)(m) = \phi(t(\lambda)m).$$

PROOF. Let $N$ be the $p$-power torsion submodule of $M$. By Lemma 2.6.7 and Lemma 2.6.8, the map $\alpha(M/N) \to \alpha(M)$ is an isomorphism, so we can and do suppose that $M$ is $p$-torsion-free.

Since $M$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have that for sufficiently large $m$ and $n \geq m$ that $\omega_{n,m}$ acts on $M$ by multiplication by $p^{n-m}$ by Lemma 2.4.6. Therefore, we see that

$$\alpha(M) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} (M/p^nM) \cong \lim_{\leftarrow n} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M/p^nM, \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Z}_p).$$

For the other isomorphism, we note that

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(M \otimes \mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(M, \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}, \mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(M, \mathcal{O}),$$

the latter isomorphism using the pairing of (2.6.2), and all of these isomorphisms are of $\Lambda$-modules. \hfill \Box

PROPOSITION 2.6.10. Let $\phi: M \to N$ be a pseudo-isomorphism of finitely generated, torsion $\Lambda$-modules. Then the induced map $\alpha(\phi): \alpha(N) \to \alpha(M)$ an injective pseudo-isomorphism.

PROOF. As the inverse limit is exact on finite modules, in order to show that $\alpha(\phi)$ is a pseudo-isomorphism it suffices to show that the maps $N_n^\vee \to M_n^\vee$ have kernel and cokernel of bounded order. By exactness of the Pontryagin dual, this reduces to proving that $M_n \to N_n$ has kernel and cokernel of bounded order, which follows from Lemma 2.4.8.

Finally, by Lemma 2.6.7, we have that the sequence

$$0 \to \alpha(\text{coker} \phi) \to \alpha(N) \xrightarrow{\alpha(\phi)} \alpha(M)$$
is exact. The injectivity of \( \alpha(\phi) \) then follows from Corollary 2.6.8. □

**Definition 2.6.11.** For a \( \Lambda \)-module \( M \), we let \( M^! \) denote the \( \Lambda \)-module that is \( M \) as a set but on which the \( \Lambda \)-action \( \cdot_1 \) is

\[
\lambda \cdot_1 m = t(\lambda)m
\]

for \( \lambda \in \Lambda \) and \( m \in M \).

**Lemma 2.6.12.**

a. For any positive integer \( \ell \), we have \( \alpha(\Lambda/(\pi^\ell)) \cong \Lambda/(\pi^\ell) \).

b. For any distinguished polynomial \( f \), we have \( \alpha(\Lambda/(f)) \cong \Lambda/(\iota(f)) \).

**Proof.** For part a, set \( \gamma = T + 1 \) and let \( M = \Lambda/(\pi^\ell) \). Then any element in \( M_n = M/\omega_nM \) (taking \( m = -1 \)) may be uniquely written as

\[
f = \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} a_i \gamma^i
\]

modulo \( \omega_n = \gamma^{p^n} - 1 \), for some \( a_i \in \Theta/\pi^i \Theta \) for \( 0 \leq i \leq p^n - 1 \). Let us identify \( M_n^\vee \) with \( \text{Hom}_\Theta(M_n, F/\Theta) \) as in Proposition 2.6.3. We define a map

\[
\psi_n: M_n \to M_n^\vee
\]

by setting

\[
\psi_n(f)(\gamma^i) = \frac{a_i}{\pi^i},
\]

and extending \( \Theta \)-linearly. Then \( \psi_n \) is clearly an injective homomorphism, and it is also easily seen that the \( \psi_n(\gamma^i) \) form a \( \Theta \)-basis of \( M_n^\vee \), so \( \psi_n \) is surjective as well. Moreover, \( \psi_n \) is a map of \( \Lambda \)-modules as

\[
\psi_n(\gamma f)(\gamma^j) = \frac{a_{j-1}}{\pi^j} = \psi_n(f)(\gamma^j) = (\gamma \cdot \psi_n(f))(\gamma^j).
\]

The diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
M_{n+1} & \xrightarrow{\psi_{n+1}} & M_{n+1}^\vee \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
M_n & \xrightarrow{\psi_n} & M_n^\vee
\end{array}
\]

commutes since

\[
\omega_{n+1,n}^\vee(\psi_{n+1}(f))(\gamma^j) = \psi_{n+1}(f)(\iota(\omega_{n+1,n})\gamma^j) = \sum_{j=0}^{p^n-1} \psi_{n+1}(f)(\gamma^{j+p^n j}) = \psi_n(f)(\gamma^j).
\]

In the inverse limit, we obtain \( \alpha(\Lambda/(\pi^\ell)) \cong \Lambda/(\pi^\ell) \).
For part b, suppose that \( M = \Lambda/(f) \) with \( f \) a distinguished polynomial of degree \( d \). Let us define \( \varepsilon : \Lambda \to \mathcal{O} \) by setting \( \varepsilon(g) \) equal to the coefficient of \( T^{d-1} \) in \( r \), where \( r \in \mathcal{O}[T] \) is the unique polynomial of degree less than \( d \) with \( g = qf + r \) for some \( q \in \Lambda \). We then define

\[
\theta : \Lambda/(f) \to \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(\Lambda/(f), \mathcal{O})^1
\]

by

\[
\theta(\bar{g})(\bar{h}) = \varepsilon(gh),
\]

where \( \bar{g}, \bar{h} \in \Lambda/(f) \) and \( g, h \in \Lambda \) are lifts of \( \bar{g} \) and \( \bar{h} \) respectively. This is clearly well-defined, and moreover it is a \( \Lambda \)-module homomorphism, since

\[
\theta(\lambda \bar{g})(\bar{h}) = \varepsilon(\lambda gh) = \theta(\bar{g})(\lambda \bar{h}) = (\lambda \cdot \theta(\bar{g}))(\bar{h}).
\]

If \( r \in \mathcal{O}[T] \) is nonzero of degree less \( k \) than \( d \), then letting \( \bar{r} \) denote the image of \( r \) in \( \Lambda/(f) \), we have

\[
\theta(\bar{r})(T^{d-1-k}) = \varepsilon(T^{d-1-k}r) \neq 0,
\]

which means that \( \bar{r} \notin \ker \theta \), so \( \theta \) is injective. A count of \( \mathcal{O} \)-ranks now tells us that \( \alpha \) has finite cokernel.

In fact, \( \theta \) is surjective, as for any \( 0 \leq k \leq d-1 \) and \( g = \sum_{i=0}^{d-1} a_i T^i \), we have that

\[
T^k g - \sum_{j=1}^k a_{d-j} T^{k-j} f \equiv \sum_{i=k}^{d-1} a_{i-k} T^i \mod \pi,
\]

since \( f \) is distinguished, and hence

\[
\theta(T^k)(\bar{g}) \equiv a_{d-1-k} \mod \pi.
\]

Since the functions \( \phi_k \in \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(\Lambda/(f), \mathcal{O})^1 \) with \( \phi_k(g) = a_{d-1-k} \) generate \( \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}(\Lambda/(f), \mathcal{O})^1 \) and agree with the \( \theta(T^k) \) modulo \( \pi \), the \( \theta(T^k) \) do as well by Nakayama’s lemma. In other words, \( \theta \) is an isomorphism \( \Lambda/(f) \to \alpha(\Lambda/(f))^1 \), and part b follows as \( \langle \Lambda/(f) \rangle^1 \cong \Lambda/(1(f)) \).

\[\square\]

**Theorem 2.6.13.** Let \( M \) be a finitely generated, torsion \( \Lambda \)-module. Then \( \alpha(M) \) is a finitely generated, torsion \( \Lambda \)-module that is pseudo-isomorphic to \( M^1 \). Moreover, \( \alpha(M) \) contains no nontrivial finite \( \Lambda \)-submodules.

**Proof.** Consider a pseudo-isomorphism

\[
\theta : N = \bigoplus_{i=1}^s \Lambda/(f_i^k_i) \oplus \bigoplus_{j=1}^t \Lambda/(\pi_i^l_j) \to M,
\]

which exists by the structure theorem and Proposition 2.1.11. Note that \( \alpha(\theta) : \alpha(M) \to \alpha(N) \) is an injective pseudo-isomorphism. If we can show that \( \alpha(N) \) is pseudo-isomorphic to \( N \), then clearly \( \alpha(M) \) will be pseudo-isomorphic to \( M \), as pseudo-isomorphism is an equivalence relation on finitely generated, torsion \( \Lambda \)-modules. Moreover, if \( \alpha(N) \) has no nonzero finite \( \Lambda \)-submodules, then neither
does $\alpha(M)$, being isomorphic to a submodule of $\alpha(N)$. By the additivity of the adjoint functor, it then suffices to assume that $M$ is a quotient of $\Lambda$ by a height one prime ideal, but this is covered by Lemma 2.6.12.

2.7. The group ring of a cyclic $p$-group

Let us suppose that $G$ is a cyclic group of order $p$. In this section, we wish to study the structure theory of modules over $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ that are finitely generated, free $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules. From our study of modules over $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[T]$ (or representation theory over $\mathbb{Q}_p$), we are easily able to classify such modules up to pseudo-isomorphism.

Let $N_G \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ denote the norm element, and let $X = \mathbb{Z}_p[G]/N_G$, which is noncanonically isomorphic to the augmentation ideal $I_G$ via the map $x \mapsto (g - 1)x$, for $g \in G$ a generator.

**Lemma 2.7.1.** Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-module, where $G$ is cyclic of order $p$. Then there are $s,t \geq 0$ and a homomorphism

$$\phi: A \rightarrow X^s \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^t$$

with finite kernel and cokernel, and $\ker \phi = 0$ and only if $A$ is $p$-torsion free.

**Proof.** We remark that for a given generator $g$ of $G$, we have an isomorphism

$$\psi: \Lambda/(\omega_1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$$

determined by $\psi(T) = g - 1$. Any element of $A$ generates a cyclic $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-module, which may then be viewed as a quotient of $\Lambda/(\omega_1)$. Since $\omega_1 = T \cdot \omega_{1,0}$ and $\omega_{1,0}$ is irreducible, we have $\Lambda/(\omega_1,f)$ is finite for a power series $f \in \Lambda$ if $f$ is not a unit times a product of a power of $T$ and a power of $\omega_{1,0}$. This leaves three possibilities for nontrivial $p$-torsion free quotients of $\Lambda/(f)$, which are $\Lambda/(f) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$, $\Lambda/(T) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$, and $\Lambda/(\omega_{1,0}) \simeq X$, since $\psi(\omega_{1,0}) = N_G$. Therefore, the structure theorem for finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules tells us that $A$ is pseudo-isomorphic to a direct sum of copies of the latter two $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-modules, $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and $X$. \qed

**Remark 2.7.2.** The $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-module $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ is pseudo-isomorphic to $X \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p$. Explicitly, letting $\varepsilon$ denote the augmentation map, we have

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[G] \rightarrow X \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p, \quad a \mapsto ((g - 1)a, \varepsilon(a))$$

$$X \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G], \quad (x,b) \mapsto x + bN_G,$$

and both of these maps are injective with kernel isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$.

We now state the main result of the section.
**Theorem 2.7.3.** Let $A$ be a finitely generated $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-module that is $p$-torsion free. Then there is an isomorphism

$$\phi: A \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^r \oplus X^s \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^t$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-modules for some $r, s, t \geq 0$.

**Proof.** Since $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-projective, we also have that if $B \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^r$ is the maximal $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-free quotient of $A$, then setting $A' = \ker(A \rightarrow B)$, we have an isomorphism

$$A \cong A' \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^r,$$

where $A'$ has no free $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-quotient. We may therefore assume that $A$ itself has no free $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-quotient.

Consider the sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A^G \rightarrow A \rightarrow I_G A \rightarrow 0.$$

Since $A$ is $p$-torsion free, we must have $(I_G A)^G = 0$, since there is an injective pseudo-isomorphism

$$\psi: A \rightarrow X^s \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^t$$

for some $s, t \geq 0$, and $(I_G X)^G = 0$, while $I_G \mathbb{Z}_p = 0$. In particular, we have that $A^G \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^t$, and there is an injective pseudo-isomorphism from $I_G A$ to $X^u$ for the above $u$, since $I_G X \cong X$.

Let $\{x_1, \ldots, x_m\}$ be a minimal generating set of $I_G A$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-module. We note that $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_i$ is isomorphic to a finite index submodule of $X$, and it is therefore a power $I_G^n X$ for some $n$. (Here, note that $pX \subseteq I_G X$.) The map $X \rightarrow I_G^n X$ given by $x \mapsto (g - 1)^n x$ for a generator $g \in G$, is an isomorphism, so in fact we have $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_i \cong X$.

If $y \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_i \cap \mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_j$, then by minimality we clearly must have

$$y \in I_G x_i \cap I_G x_j$$

since $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_i \cong X$ has $I_G x_i$ as its unique maximal improper submodule. We then have $x_i' \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_i$ and $x_j' \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]x_j$ with

$$y = (g - 1)x_i' = (g - 1)x_j',$$

which forces $x_i' - x_j' \in (I_G A)^G$. In other words, we have $x_i' = x_j'$, contradicting minimality. We therefore have $m = s$ and $I_G A \cong I_G^s$.

We now know that $A$ fits in an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^t \rightarrow A \rightarrow X^s \rightarrow 0,$$

which we claim splits. To see this, write $X^s = \langle x_1, \ldots, x_s \rangle$. Then $z_i = N_G \tilde{x}_i$ is an element of $\mathbb{Z}_p^1$, and the sequence splits if and only if $z_i \in p\mathbb{Z}_p^1$ for all $i$, since this means exactly that there exist $y_i \in \mathbb{Z}_p^1$ with $z_i = p y_i$ and therefore $N_G(\tilde{x}_i - y_i) = 0$, which tells us that $\langle \tilde{x}_i - y_i \rangle \cong X$. The $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$-linear map taking
EIGENSPACES

2.8. Eigenspaces

In this section, we suppose that $\Delta$ is a finite abelian group. For a fixed prime $p$, we consider the group

$$\Delta^* = \text{Hom}(\Delta, \overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p)$$

of $p$-adic characters of $\Delta$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ denote the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra generated by the roots of unity of order dividing the exponent of $\Delta$, and let $E$ denote the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}$. For $\chi \in \Delta^*$, we let $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra generated by the values of $\chi$, and let $E_\chi$ denote its fraction field. Clearly, the ring $\mathcal{O}$ contains $\mathcal{O}_\chi$.

What we shall call eigenspaces of a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module shall in general, in fact, be quotients. Note that $\chi \in \Delta^*$ induces a map $\tilde{\chi}: \mathcal{O}[\Delta] \to \mathcal{O}$, which restricts to a map $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \to \mathcal{O}_\chi$.

**Definition 2.8.1.** Let $A$ be an $\mathcal{O}[\Delta]$-module, and let $\psi \in \Delta^*$. We define the $\psi$-eigenspace of $A$ as

$$A^\psi = A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta]} \mathcal{O},$$

where the map $\mathcal{O}[\Delta] \to \mathcal{O}$ in the tensor product is $\tilde{\chi}$.

**Remark 2.8.2.** If $p \nmid |\Delta|$, then the canonical map $A \to A^\psi$ induces an isomorphism

$$\{a \in A \mid \delta a = \psi(\delta)a \text{ for all } \delta \in \Delta\} \cong A^\psi.$$

It is the former module that might more typically be called an eigenspace. It can be interpreted as the $\Delta$-invariant group of the twist $A(\psi)$ of $A$ that is $A$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module but on which $\delta \in \Delta$ acts as $\psi(\delta)\delta$ does on $A$. Our eigenspace $A^\psi$ is instead the $\Delta$-coinvariant group of $A(\psi)$.

**Notation 2.8.3.** For $\psi \in \Delta^*$, set

$$e_\psi = \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \psi(\delta)\delta^{-1} \in E[\Delta].$$

Note that

$$\sigma e_\psi = \psi(\sigma)e_\psi$$

for every $\sigma \in \Delta$, and in particular

$$\mathcal{O}[\Delta]e_\psi = \mathcal{O}e_\psi$$

as an $\mathcal{O}[\Delta]$-submodule of $E[\Delta]$. 
PROPOSITION 2.8.4. We have a canonical decomposition of rings and $E[\Delta]$-modules

$$E[\Delta] \cong \prod_{\psi \in \Delta^*} Ee_{\psi}. $$

If $p \nmid |\Delta|$, we similarly have a decomposition

$$O[\Delta] \cong \prod_{\psi \in \Delta^*} Oe_{\psi}. $$

PROOF. One need only remark that the $e_{\psi}$ are mutually orthogonal idempotents that sum to 1, as is a basic fact of character theory (in this case for a finitely generated abelian group). □

The following lemma is useful to note.

LEMMA 2.8.5. Let $\psi \in \Delta^*$. For any $E[\Delta]$-module $A$ (or $O[\Delta]$-module $A$ if $p \nmid |\Delta|$), we have $A^\psi = e_{\psi}A$.

PROOF. If $a \in e_{\psi}A$, then $e_{\psi}a = a$, as $e_{\psi}$ is an idempotent. Conversely, if $a \in A^{(\psi)}$, then

$$e_{\psi}a = \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \psi(\delta)^{-1} \delta a = a,$$

as $\delta a = \psi(\delta)a$. □

The following is a consequence of Proposition 2.8.4.

PROPOSITION 2.8.6. For every $E[\Delta]$-module $A$, there is an internal direct sum decomposition

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Delta^*} A^\psi. $$

If $p \nmid |\Delta|$, then this decomposition holds for $O[\Delta]$-modules as well.

PROOF. We have

$$A \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}[\Delta] \cong A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}e_{\psi} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Delta^*} A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}e_{\psi} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Delta^*} e_{\psi}A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}[\Delta] \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Delta^*} A^\psi,$$

with the second step being Proposition 2.8.4 and the last step following from Lemma 2.8.5. □

Eigenspaces of an $\mathcal{O}[\Delta]$-module behave well under tensor products and homomorphism groups, as seen in the following result.

LEMMA 2.8.7. Let $A$ and $B$ be $\mathcal{O}[\Delta]$-modules with $A = A^\chi$ and $B = B^\psi$ for some $\chi, \psi \in \Delta^*$. We then have

$$A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B = (A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} B)^{\chi \psi}$$

and

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(A, B) = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{O}}(A, B)^{\chi^{-1} \psi}. $$
PROOF. For \( a \in A \) and \( b \in B \), we have
\[
\delta(a \otimes b) = \delta(a) \otimes \delta(b) = \chi(\delta)a \otimes \psi(\delta)b = \chi\psi(\delta) \cdot a \otimes b.
\]
For \( \phi \in \text{Hom}_\phi(A, B) \), we have
\[
(\delta \cdot \phi)(a) = \delta \phi(\delta^{-1}a) = \psi(\delta)\phi(\chi(\delta)^{-1}a) = \psi\chi^{-1}(\delta)\phi(a).
\]

We next consider a slightly different notion of eigenspaces, in this case for \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \)-modules.

**Definition 2.8.8.** Let \( A \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \)-module, and let \( \chi \in \Delta^* \). The \( \chi \)-eigenspace \( A(\chi) \) of \( A \) is defined as
\[
A(\chi) = A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} \mathcal{O}_\chi,
\]
where the map \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \to \mathcal{O}_\chi \) is given by \( \tilde{\chi} \).

**Notation 2.8.9.** For \( \chi \in \Delta^* \), set
\[
\tilde{\varepsilon}_\chi = \frac{1}{|\Delta|} \sum_{\delta \in \Delta} \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}(\chi(\delta))\delta^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta],
\]
where \( \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} : E \to \mathbb{Q}_p \) denotes the trace map.

**Notation 2.8.10.** For a field \( E \), let \( G_E \) denote its absolute Galois group, which is to say the Galois group of the extension of \( E \) given by a fixed separable closure.

**Definition 2.8.11.** We say that two \( p \)-adic characters \( \chi, \psi : \Delta \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}^\times \) are conjugate if there exists \( \sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p} \) such that \( \chi = \sigma \circ \psi \).

**Remark 2.8.12.** If \( \chi \) and \( \psi \) are conjugate, then \( \mathcal{O}_\chi = \mathcal{O}_\psi \).

**Remark 2.8.13.** If \( A \) is also a \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-vector space or \( p \nmid |\Delta| \), then the canonical map \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_\chi A \to A(\chi) \) is an isomorphism. Note that while \( A(\chi) \) has an \( \mathcal{O}_\chi \)-module structure, the \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \)-module \( \tilde{\varepsilon}_\chi A \) is only endowed with such a structure when a choice of character \( \psi \) in the conjugacy class of \( \chi \) is made.

Let \( \Sigma \) denote the set of conjugacy classes in \( \Delta^* \). We let \( [\chi] \) denote the conjugacy class of \( \chi \in \Delta^* \). We then have the following.

**Lemma 2.8.14.** Let \( A \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \)-module, and let \( \chi \in \Delta^* \). We have
\[
A(\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\chi} \mathcal{O} \cong (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})^\chi.
\]
If \( A \) is also a \( \mathbb{Q}_p \)-vector space or \( p \nmid |\Delta| \), then we also have
\[
A(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in [\chi]} (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})^\psi
\]
PROOF. For the first isomorphism, we merely note that

$$A(\chi) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\chi} \mathcal{O} \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\chi} \mathcal{O} \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} e_\chi \mathcal{O} \cong (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})^\chi.$$  

Let $\Delta_\chi = \Delta / \ker \chi$, which is a cyclic group, generated by an element we call $\delta_\chi$. Note that $\psi \in \Delta^*$ is conjugate to $\chi$ if and only if $\psi$ factors through $\Delta_\chi$ and there exists $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ such that $\psi(\delta_\chi) = \sigma(\chi(\delta_\chi))$. Hence, the characters in $[\chi]$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-conjugates of $\chi(\delta_\chi)$. Let $\xi = \chi(\delta_\chi)$, and suppose that $\Phi \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$ is its minimal polynomial. We then have

$$\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[\xi] \cong \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p[X] / (\Phi(X)) \cong \mathcal{O}[X] / (\Phi(X)) \cong \prod_{\xi'} \mathcal{O}[X] / (X - \xi') \cong \prod_{\xi'} \mathcal{O},$$

where $\xi'$ runs over the $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$-conjugates of $\xi$, and the composite map takes $1 \otimes \xi$ to $\xi'$ in the $\xi'$-coordinate. Reinterpreting this, we have

$$\mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e_\chi \mathcal{O}_\chi \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in [\chi]} e_\psi \mathcal{O}$$

as $\mathcal{O} [\Delta]$-modules, where the map takes $1 \otimes e_\chi$ to $e_\psi$ in the $\psi$-coordinate. (Note that $e_\psi = \sigma e_\chi$ if $\psi = \sigma \chi$, if we let $\sigma$ act on the coefficients of $e_\chi$.) Therefore, we may conclude that

$$A(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O} \cong A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} e_\chi \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_\chi} \mathcal{O} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in [\chi]} A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} e_\psi \mathcal{O} \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in [\chi]} (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})^\psi.$$

\[\square\]

PROPOSITION 2.8.15. For every $\mathbb{Q}_p[\Delta]$-module $A$, and every $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module $A$ if $p \nmid |\Delta|$, there is a direct sum decomposition

$$A \cong \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \Sigma} A(\chi)$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-modules, where the sum is over the conjugacy classes in $\Sigma$.

PROOF. We define

$$\Phi : A \rightarrow \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \Sigma} A(\chi)$$

as the product of the surjective maps $A \rightarrow A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]} e_\chi \mathcal{O}_\chi$ that take $a$ to $a \otimes e_\chi$. We first show that $\Phi$ is an isomorphism after tensoring with $\mathcal{O}$. That is,

$$\Phi \otimes \text{id}_\mathcal{O} : A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \Sigma} A(\chi) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}.$$ 

By Lemma 2.8.14, the right-hand side is isomorphic to

$$\bigoplus_{[\chi] \in \Sigma} \bigoplus_{\psi \in [\chi]} (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})^\psi \cong \bigoplus_{\psi \in \Delta^*} (A \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O})^\psi.$$
under the map that takes \((a \otimes e_{\chi}) \otimes 1\) to \((a \otimes 1) \otimes e_{\psi}\). The composite map is then the map that takes \(a \otimes 1\) to \((a \otimes 1) \otimes e_{\psi}\), and this is an isomorphism by Proposition 2.8.6. Thus, we have that \(\Phi \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{O}}\) is an isomorphism, and as \(\mathcal{O}\) is a free \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-module, we have that \(\Phi\) is an isomorphism. \(\square\)

Even if \(p \mid \lvert \Delta \rvert\), we have a weaker direct sum decomposition of \(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]\)-modules.

**Notation 2.8.16.** Let \(\Upsilon\) denote the set of maximal ideals of \(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]\).

**Remark 2.8.17.** Every \(m \in \Upsilon\) is the kernel of a composite map \(\tilde{\chi} : \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta] \xrightarrow{\tilde{\varphi}} \mathcal{O} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p\). Thus, \(\Upsilon\) may be identified with the set of equivalence classes of characters in \(\Delta^\ast\) under which two characters are considered equivalent if the above compositions are \(G_{\mathbb{F}_p}\)-conjugate. We write \(\psi \in m\) if \(\psi \in \Delta^\ast\) lies in the equivalence class corresponding to \(m\).

The proof of the following is left to the reader. Perhaps the easiest way to think of it is that each \(A_m\) is just \(A^{(\rho)}\) for \(\rho\) a \(p\)-adic character of the prime-to-\(p\) part of the group \(\Delta\).

**Proposition 2.8.18.** For any \(\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]\)-module \(A\), there is a canonical direct sum decomposition

\[ A \cong \bigoplus_{m \in \Upsilon} A_m \]

We have \(A_m^{(\chi)} \cong A^{(\chi)}\) for \(\chi \in m\), and if \(p \nmid \lvert \Delta \rvert\), then \(m = [\chi]\) and \(A_m \cong A^{(\chi)}\) for any \(\chi \in m\). If \(A\) is a \(\mathbb{Q}_p\)-vector space, then we have that

\[ A_m \cong \bigoplus_{[\chi] \subset m} A^{(\chi)} \].
CHAPTER 3

Iwasawa theory

Throughout this chapter, $F$ will denote a fixed number field, and we let $p$ be a prime.

3.1. $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions

**Definition 3.1.1.** A Galois extension $F_\infty$ of $F$ is said to be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension if $\text{Gal}(F_\infty/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Fix a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_\infty$ of $F$, and set $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$. The fixed field of $\Gamma^{p^n}$ is a number field $F_n$ with $\text{Gal}(F_n/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$. We set $\Gamma_n = \Gamma/\Gamma^{p^n} = \text{Gal}(F_n/F)$.

**Definition 3.1.2.** The **absolute Galois group** of a field $E$ is the Galois group $G_E = \text{Gal}(E_{\text{sep}}/E)$ of a separable closure $E_{\text{sep}}$ of $E$ over $E$.

For a field $E$ of characteristic not $p$, let $\mu_{p^\infty}$ denote the group of $p$-power roots of unity in a separable closure $E_{\text{sep}}$ of $E$.

**Definition 3.1.3.** For a field $E$ of characteristic not $p$, the **$p$-adic cyclotomic character** is the map $\chi: G_E \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ defined by $\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{\chi(\sigma)}$ for all $\zeta \in \mu_{p^\infty}$.

Let us fix a primitive $n$th root of unity in $\overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ for each $n \geq 1$, subject to the condition that $\zeta_m^m = \zeta_n$ for all $m \geq 1$.

**Remark 3.1.4.** For a number field $F$, the $p$-adic cyclotomic character $\chi: G_F \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ induces an injection of $\text{Gal}(F(\mu_{p^\infty})/F)$ onto an open subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$. It is an isomorphism if $F = \mathbb{Q}$.

It is easy to see that

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong \begin{cases} (1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p) & p \text{ odd} \\ (1 + 4\mathbb{Z}_2) \times \langle -1 \rangle & p = 2, \end{cases}$$

Let $q = p$ if $p$ is odd and $q = 4$ if $p = 2$. Every element of $1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$ is a $p$-adic power of some topological generator $u$ of $1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$, such as $1 + q$, which is to say that the map that takes $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ to $u^a$ is an isomorphism from $\mathbb{Z}_p$ to $1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$. We therefore have

$$\mathbb{Z}_p^\times \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/(p-1)\mathbb{Z} & p \text{ odd} \\ \mathbb{Z}_2 \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} & p = 2. \end{cases}$$
As a consequence, we have the following result.

**Lemma 3.1.5.** Any open subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ has a unique quotient isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ for any $p$.

**Proof.** That the quotient of $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ by its group of torsion elements is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ follows from (3.1.1). We then need only remark that any open subgroup of $\mathbb{Z}_p$ has the form $p^n\mathbb{Z}_p$ for some $n \geq 0$, so is itself isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$. \(\square\)

Together, Remark 3.1.4 and Lemma 3.1.5 allow us to make the following definition.

**Definition 3.1.6.** The cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_{\text{cyc}}$ of $F$ is the unique subfield of $F(\mu_{p^\infty})$ that is a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $F$.

In fact, if $F$ is totally real, then its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_{\text{cyc}}$ will lie in the maximal totally real subfield of $F(\mu_{p^\infty})$ (and therefore will equal it in the case that $p = 2$).

Next, we study ramification in $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions.

**Proposition 3.1.7.** Suppose that $v$ is a place of $F$ not over $p$. Then $v$ is unramified in any $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_\infty/F$.

**Proof.** The inertia subgroup of $v$ in $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ is a closed subgroup of $\Gamma$ and therefore equal to $\Gamma^{p^n}$ for some $n \geq 0$, unless it is trivial. In the case that $v$ is archimedean, only the latter case is possible as an inertia group at $v$ has order at most 2 in general. In general, in the former case, $F_n$ is its fixed field, and the completion of $F_n$ at a prime over $v$ has a tamely, totally ramified $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension that is the completion of $F_\infty$. On the other hand, the completion of $F_n$ being a characteristic zero local field, such an extension does not exist. \(\square\)

**Lemma 3.1.8.** There exists a prime $v$ over $p$ in $F$ and an $n \geq 0$ such that $F_\infty/F_n$ is totally ramified at $v$.

**Proof.** By Proposition 3.1.7, no prime not over $p$ ramifies in $F_\infty/F$, so if no primes over $p$ ramify, then $F_\infty/F$ would be unramified everywhere. However, the Hilbert class field of $F$ is of finite degree, so this is not possible. That is, there exists a $v$ over $p$ such that that the inertia group at $v$ in $\Gamma$ is nontrivial, hence equal to some $\Gamma^{p^n}$. \(\square\)

In the case of the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension, we can say more.

**Proposition 3.1.9.** Let $F_{\text{cyc}}$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $F$. No finite prime splits completely in $F_{\text{cyc}}/F$, and every prime over $p$ is totally ramified in $F_{\text{cyc}}/F_n$ for some $n \geq 0$. 
3.2. Limits of Class Groups

PROOF. If \( \nu \) split completely in \( F_{\text{cyc}}/F \), then it would also have to split completely in the extension \( F(\mu_p^\infty)/F(\mu_q) \), since \( F(\mu_p^\infty) = F_\infty(\mu_q) \), where \( q = p \) for \( p \) odd and \( q = 4 \) for \( p = 2 \). But this means that \( F_\nu(\mu_p^\infty) = F_\nu(\mu_q) \), which is to say that \( F_\nu(\mu_q) \) contains \( \mu_{p^\infty} \), which is impossible.

On the other hand, we know that \( \mathbb{Q}_{\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q} \) is totally ramified at \( p \), so the resulting local extension \( \mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{cyc}}/\mathbb{Q} \) is totally ramified as well. But then the completion of \( F_{\text{cyc}} \) at a prime above \( \nu \) is simply the compositum \( F_\nu \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{cyc}} \), and therefore its intersection with the maximal unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) must be of finite degree over \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). In particular, \( F_\nu \cdot \mathbb{Q}_{p,\text{cyc}}/F_\nu \) has an infinite inertia group, which therefore must have the form \( \Gamma_{\text{cyc}}^n \) for some \( n \geq 0 \), where \( \Gamma_{\text{cyc}} = \text{Gal}(F_{\text{cyc}}/F) \).

We note the following interesting corollary.

COROLLARY 3.1.10. Let \( \nu \) be a prime of \( F_{\text{cyc}} \) not lying above \( p \). Suppose that \( E/F_{\text{cyc}} \) is a pro-\( p \) extension in which it does not ramify. Then \( \nu \) splits completely in \( E/F_{\text{cyc}} \).

PROOF. Since \( F_{\nu,\text{cyc}}/F_\nu \) is an unramified \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension by Propositions 3.1.7 and 3.1.9, it is the maximal unramified pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_\nu \). It follows that for any prime \( w \) of \( E \) lying over \( \nu \), we must have \( E_w = F_{\nu,\text{cyc}} \), since the Galois closure of \( E_w/F_\nu \) is a pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_\nu \) containing \( F_{\nu,\text{cyc}} \).

Finally, we consider the maximal number of independent \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extensions of \( F \), which is to say the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank of the Galois group of the maximal abelian \( V_{p,\infty} \)-ramified extension of \( F \).

PROPOSITION 3.1.11. Let \( \tilde{F} \) denote the compositum of all \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extensions of \( F \). Then \( \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^{\nu_p+1+\delta} \), where \( \delta \) is the Leopoldt defect of \( F \).

PROOF. This is a consequence of Theorem 1.5.7, since Proposition 3.1.7 tells us that the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank of the maximal abelian \( V_{p,\infty} \)-ramified extension of \( F \) is the \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank of \( \text{Gal}(\tilde{F}/F) \).

3.2. Limits of class groups

Let \( F_\infty \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( F \) with \( \Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F) \). We define \( F_n \) and \( \Gamma_n \) as before.

DEFINITION 3.2.1. We refer to \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma] \) as the Iwasawa algebra of the extension \( F_\infty/F \).

DEFINITION 3.2.2. A \( \Lambda \)-module, or module over the Iwasawa algebra, is also called an Iwasawa module.

By definition, we have \( \Lambda = \varprojlim \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n] \). Note that any \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n] \)-module is automatically an Iwasawa module, with \( \Lambda \) acting through the quotient map \( \pi_n: \Lambda \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n] \). Therefore, given an inverse (resp., direct) system of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n] \)-modules \( M_n \) with respect to maps that are \( \Lambda \)-module homomorphisms, the inverse (resp., direct) has the structure of a \( \Lambda \)-module.
DEFINITION 3.2.3. Let $F_\infty/F$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. For $n \geq m \geq 0$, let us set

$$N_{n,m} = N_{F_n/F_m} : A_n \to A_m \quad \text{and} \quad j_{n,m} = j_{F_n/F_m} : A_m \to A_n.$$  

With respect to the systems defined by these maps, we set

$$X_\infty = \lim_{\leftarrow n} A_n \quad \text{and} \quad A_\infty = \lim_{\rightarrow n} A_n.$$  

TERMINOLOGY 3.2.4. The direct limit $\lim_{\rightarrow n} \text{Cl}_{F_n}$ contains $A_\infty$ as its $p$-part and is called the class group of $F_\infty$.

The maps $N_{n,m}$ and $j_{n,m}$ are $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_n]$-module homomorphisms, and so both $X_\infty$ and $A_\infty$ have canonical structures of $\Lambda$-modules.

Recall that the Artin map sets up an isomorphism between $A_n$ and $\text{Gal}(H_n/F_n)$, where $H_n$ is the $p$-Hilbert class field of $F_n$. Under this identification, the norm map $N_{n,m}$ becomes the map on Galois groups that is restriction. We then have the following.

REMARK 3.2.5. Let $E$ be an algebraic extension of $F$, and for a set of primes $S$ of $F$, let $S_E$ be the set of primes of $E$ lying above those in $S$. We will say that more simply that an extension of $E$ is $S$-ramified if it is $S_E$-ramified.

**Proposition 3.2.6.** Let $S$ be a set of primes of $F$. Let $L_n$ denote the maximal $S$-ramified abelian pro-$p$ extension of $F_n$ for $n \geq 0$ or $n = \infty$. Then the inverse limit of restriction maps

$$\text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \to \lim_{\leftarrow n} \text{Gal}(L_n/F_n)$$

is an isomorphism of $\Lambda$-modules.

**Proof.** Since $L_n/F_n$ is an $S$-ramified abelian pro-$p$ extension, so is $L_nF_\infty/F_\infty$. Therefore, $L_n \subseteq L_\infty$. We claim that $\bigcup_n L_n = L_\infty$. Let $x \in L_\infty$. Then $F_\infty(x)/F_\infty$ is an $S$-ramified abelian $p$-extension. Let $y$ be a field generator of the Galois closure of $F(x)$ as an extension of $F$. To show that $x \in L_n$ for some $n$, it therefore suffices to show that $y \in L_n$. Let $m$ be such that $F_n(y) \cap F_\infty = F_m$. Then $F_m(y) \cap F_\infty = F_m$ as well, and the restriction map

$$\text{Gal}(F_\infty(y)/F_\infty) \to \text{Gal}(F_m(y)/F_m)$$

is surjective, so $F_m(y)/F_m$ is abelian.

Since $L_\infty/F_\infty$ is $S$-ramified, and $F_\infty/F$ is $V_p$-ramified, we have that $F_m(y)/F_m$ is $S \cap V_p$-ramified. If $\nu$ is a place over $p$ in $F_m$ that is not in $S_{F_m}$, then since $F_\infty(y)/F_\infty$ is unramified over $\nu$, the same must be true of $F_n(y)/F_n$ for some $n$, and therefore $y \in L_\infty$.

It now follows that the inverse limit of restriction maps

$$\text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \simeq \lim_{\leftarrow n} \text{Gal}(L_n/F_\infty \cap L_n)$$
is an isomorphism, and since \( \bigcup_n (F_\infty \cap L_n) = F_\infty = \bigcup_n F_n \), we have that

\[
\lim_{\leftarrow n} \text{Gal}(L_n/F_\infty \cap L_n) \sim \lim_{\leftarrow n} \text{Gal}(L_n/F_n)
\]

is an isomorphism as well, as desired. \( \square \)

**Corollary 3.2.7.** The inverse limit of Artin maps provides a canonical identification between \( X_\infty \) and the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_\infty \).

**Terminology 3.2.8.** We call the \( \Lambda \)-module \( X_\infty \) the **unramified Iwasawa module**.

**Remark 3.2.9.** If \( K \) is an algebraic extension of \( \mathbb{Q} \), we may speak of its primes as the valuations on \( K \) extending the valuations of \( \mathbb{Q} \). To say that an extension \( L \) of \( K \) is unramified at a prime \( v \) is exactly to say that every extension of \( v \) to a prime \( w \) of \( L \) is unramified in the sense that the extension \( L_w/K_v \) of completions is unramified, which is to say Galois with trivial residue field extension. (If \( v \) is archimedean, this just means that \( L_w = K_v \).)

More generally, we make the following definition.

**Definition 3.2.10.** Let \( S \) be a set of primes of \( F \). The **\( S \)-ramified Iwasawa module** over \( F_\infty \) is the Galois group \( X_{\infty,S} \) of the maximal \( S \)-ramified abelian pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_\infty \).

Let us choose a topological generator \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \), which defines a unique continuous, \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear isomorphism \( \Lambda \sim \mathbb{Z}_p[T] \) that takes \( \gamma - 1 \) to \( T \). Therefore, we may speak of characteristic ideals of \( \Lambda \) as elements of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[T] \). We have the following result on the structure of \( X_\infty \).

**Proposition 3.2.11.** The \( \Lambda \)-module \( X_\infty \) is finitely generated and torsion.

**Proof.** For \( n \geq m \), set \( \Sigma_{n,m} = \Sigma_{F_n/F_m} \) in the notation of Theorem 1.3.14, which also provides exact sequences fitting into commutative diagrams

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{ker} \Sigma_{n',m} \rightarrow (A_{n'})_{\Gamma_n^{p^m}} \rightarrow A_m \rightarrow \text{coker} \Sigma_{n',m} \rightarrow 0 \\
\text{ker} \Sigma_{n,m} \rightarrow (A_n)_{\Gamma_n^{p^m}} \rightarrow A_m \rightarrow \text{coker} \Sigma_{n,m} \rightarrow 0
\end{array}
\]

of \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-modules, where \( \Gamma_n \) acts trivially on the kernels and cokernels. Let \( I_v^{(m)} \) denote the inertia group at \( v \) in \( \Gamma^{p^m} \), which can only be nontrivial for \( v \in V_p \) which do not split completely in \( F_\infty/F \), and let

\[
\Sigma^{(m)} : \bigoplus_{v \in V_p(F_m)} I_v^{(m)} \rightarrow \Gamma^{p^m}
\]
be the natural map given by inclusion and product. In the inverse limit over \( n \), we obtain exact sequences

\[
\ker \Sigma^{(m)} \to (X_\infty)_{\Gamma^p} \to A_m \to \coker \Sigma^{(m)} \to 0.
\]

Note that \( \ker \Sigma^{(m)} \) is finitely generated over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( A_m \) is finite. By Nakayama’s Lemma, we see that \( X_\infty \) is a finitely generated \( \Lambda \)-module. Moreover, we see that \( (X_\infty)_{\Gamma^p} \) is of bounded \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-rank for all \( m \). Were \( X_\infty \) to have nontrivial \( \Lambda \)-rank, then since there would exist a pseudo-isomorphism from \( X_\infty \) to the direct sum \( M \) of \( \Lambda^e \) and a torsion module, the ranks of \( (X_\infty)_{\Gamma^p} \) would necessarily have been unbounded, since \( \Lambda_{\Gamma^p} \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[\Gamma_m] \), and

\[
(X_\infty)_{\Gamma^p} \to M_{\Gamma^p}
\]

has finite cokernel.

\[
\tag*{\Box}
\]

**Remark 3.2.12.** If there exists a unique prime above \( p \) in \( F \), and it is unsplit in \( F_m \), then (3.2.1) implies that the map \( (X_\infty)_{\Gamma^p} \to A_m \) is an injection. If, moreover, \( p \) is totally ramified in \( F_\infty \), then \( (X_\infty)_{\Gamma^p} \to A_m \) is an isomorphism for every \( m \).

We have the following theorem of Iwasawa that was mentioned in the introduction.

**Theorem 3.2.13 (Iwasawa).** Let \( \lambda = \lambda(X_\infty) \) and \( \mu = \mu(X_\infty) \). Then there exists \( v \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that

\[
|A_n| = p^{\rho^m \mu + n \lambda + v}
\]

for all sufficiently large \( n \).

**Proof.** Let \( N_n : X_\infty \to A_n \) be the inverse limit of norm maps \( N_{n',n} \) for \( n' \geq n \). Let us use \( Y_n \) to denote the kernel of \( N_n \), which is a \( \Lambda \)-submodule of \( X_\infty \) that is pseudo-isomorphic to \( X_\infty \).

Fix \( m \) sufficiently large such that every prime over \( p \) that ramifies in \( F_\infty/F_m \) is totally ramified. In particular, we have that \( N_m \) is surjective. We consider \( n \geq m \). Let \( S_n \) be the set of primes (over \( p \)) in \( F_n \) that ramify in \( F_\infty \), and hence are totally ramified, and note that \( |S_n| = |S_m| \neq 0 \) by Lemma 3.1.8. Then the inertia group at \( v \in S_n \) in \( \Gamma^{p^m} \) is \( \Gamma^{p^m} \) itself.

Let \( L_n \) be the maximal unramified abelian pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_n \), and let \( L_\infty \) be the maximal unramified abelian pro-\( p \) extension of \( F_\infty \). We have \( X_\infty \cong \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \) and \( A_n \cong \text{Gal}(L_n/F_n) \), so \( Y_n \cong \text{Gal}(L_\infty/L_nF_\infty) \). Let \( E \) be the maximal unramified \( p \)-extension of \( F_n \) in \( L_\infty \). Since any \( v \in S_n \) is totally ramified in \( F_\infty/F_n \), we have \( E \cap F_\infty = F_n \). Since \( EF_\infty/F_\infty \) is abelian, this tells us that \( E/F_n \) is abelian as well. Thus, \( E \) is equal to the maximal unramified abelian \( p \)-extension \( F_n \). Consequently, \( \text{Gal}(L_\infty/L_n) \) is topologically generated by the inertia groups \( J_v^{(n)} \) in \( \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_n) \) for primes \( v \in S_n \), and \( Y_n \) is the intersection of the latter group with \( \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \), i.e., it consists of those elements which restrict trivially to \( \Gamma \).
In other words (for \( n = m \)), we have that \( Y_m \) is topologically generated as a pro-\( p \) group by elements \( g = \sigma \tau^{-1} \in \text{Gal}(L_\infty/F_\infty) \), where \( \sigma \in J^{(m)}_w \) and \( \tau \in J^{(m)}_w \) for primes \( v, w \in S_m \) are such that \( \sigma \) and \( \tau \) both restrict to \( \gamma^{p^m} \) for a fixed topological generator \( \gamma \) of \( \Gamma \). We can compute the action of the element \( \omega_{n,m} = \sum_{i=0}^{p^n - 1} \gamma^{p^m i} \) on \( g \) as follows:

\[
\omega_{n,m} \cdot g = \prod_{i=0}^{p^n - 1} \tau^i g \tau^{-i} = (g \tau)^{p^n - 1} \tau^{-p^n - m} = \sigma^{p^n - 1} \tau^{-p^n - m}.
\]

As the elements \( \sigma^{p^n - 1} \tau^{-p^n - m} \) topologically generate \( Y_n \), this implies that \( \omega_{n,m} Y_m = Y_n \).

Since \( A_n = X_\infty/Y_n \), we conclude that

\[
|A_n| = |X_\infty/Y_m| \cdot |Y_m/\omega_{n,m} Y_m|
\]

for all \( n \geq m \). Since \( Y_m \) is pseudo-isomorphic to \( X_\infty \), we have \( \lambda = \lambda(Y_m) \) and \( \mu = \mu(Y_m) \). Since \( |X_\infty/Y_m| \) is a constant power of \( p \), Theorem 2.4.7 yields the result.

\[ \square \]

Finally, we compare \( X_\infty \) and \( A_\infty \).

**Proposition 3.2.14.** The \( \Lambda \)-modules \( \alpha(X_\infty) \) and \( A_\infty^\vee \) are pseudo-isomorphic, and in particular \( A_\infty^\vee \) is finitely generated and \( \Lambda \)-torsion. Moreover, \( A_\infty^\vee \) has no nonzero finite \( \Lambda \)-submodules.

**Proof.** As in the proof of Theorem 3.2.13, we let \( Y_n \) denote the kernel of the inverse limit of norm maps \( N_n : X_\infty \to A_n \) for each \( n \geq 0 \). We showed that there exists \( m \geq 0 \) sufficiently large so that \( N_n \) is surjective and \( \omega_{n,m} Y_m = Y_n \) for all \( n \geq m \). We consider a directed system of short exact sequences with morphisms as in the following diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
0 & \longrightarrow & Y_m/\omega_{n,m} Y_m & \longrightarrow & X_\infty/\omega_{n,m} Y_m & \longrightarrow & X_\infty/Y_m & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
& & \downarrow \omega_{n',n} & & \downarrow \omega_{n',n} & & \downarrow \omega_{n',n} & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & Y_m/\omega_{n',m} Y_m & \longrightarrow & X_\infty/\omega_{n',m} Y_m & \longrightarrow & X_\infty/Y_m & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

for \( n' \geq n \geq m \). Since \( X_\infty/Y_m \) is a finite \( \Lambda \)-module, in the direct limit we obtain isomorphisms

\[
\alpha(Y_m)^\vee = \lim_{m \to \infty} Y_m/\omega_{n,m} Y_m \cong \lim_{m \to \infty} X_\infty/\omega_{n,m} Y_m \cong \lim_{m \to \infty} A_n = A_\infty.
\]

Since \( Y_m \) injects into \( X_\infty \) with finite cokernel, Proposition 2.6.10 yields that the natural map \( \alpha(X_\infty) \to \alpha(Y_m) \) is an injective pseudo-isomorphism. Since \( A_\infty^\vee \cong \alpha(Y_m) \), the final statement follows from Theorem 2.6.13.

\[ \square \]

Again noting Theorem 2.6.13, we have the following corollary.

**Corollary 3.2.15.** The \( \Lambda \)-module \( A_\infty^\vee \) is is pseudo-isomorphic to \( X_\infty^\vee \), and in particular, \( X_\infty \) and \( A_\infty^\vee \) have the same \( \lambda \) and \( \mu \)-invariants.
We end with a still open conjecture of Iwasawa, which is known in the case of abelian fields by work of Ferrero-Washington: see Theorem 6.2.1.

**CONJECTURE 3.2.16 (Iwasawa’s $\mu$-conjecture).** If $F_\infty$ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_{\text{cyc}}$ of $F$, then $\mu(X_\infty) = 0$.

We will also have cause to study two modules related to $X_\infty$ and $A_\infty$.

**DEFINITION 3.2.17.** Let $F_\infty/F$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. For $S = V_p$, let us set $A'_n = A_{F_n,S}$. We then define

$$X'_\infty = \lim_{\leftarrow n} A'_n \quad \text{and} \quad A'_\infty = \lim_{\rightarrow n} A'_n$$

with respect to the maps $N_{n,m}$ and $j_{n,m}$ on these groups.

**DEFINITION 3.2.18.** We call $X'_\infty$ the *completely split Iwasawa module*, while $A'_\infty$ is the $p$-part of the $p$-class group $\lim_{\rightarrow n} \text{Cl}_{F_n,V_p}$ of $F_\infty$.

We summarize without proof the results for $X_\infty$ and $A_\infty$ that also hold for $X'_\infty$ and $A'_\infty$ by much the same arguments.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.19.** The $\Lambda$-module $X'_\infty$ is finitely generated and torsion. It is canonically isomorphic via an inverse limit of Artin maps to the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian pro-$p$ extension of $F_\infty$ in which every prime over $p$ splits completely. Moreover, $(X'_\infty)^{\dagger}$ is pseudo-isomorphic to $(A'_\infty)^{\wedge}$, and the latter module has no nonzero finite $\Lambda$-submodules.

For the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension, we note that we could just have well have chosen any set of primes containing $V_p$ in defining $X'_\infty$.

**PROPOSITION 3.2.20.** Let $F_\infty/F$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. Then the natural maps

$$X'_\infty \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow n} A_{F_n,S} \quad \text{and} \quad A'_\infty \rightarrow \lim_{\rightarrow n} A_{F_n,S}$$

are respectively an isomorphism and a surjective pseudo-isomorphism for any finite set $S$ of primes of $F$ containing $V_p$.

### 3.3. The $p$-ramified Iwasawa module

In this section, we focus for simplicity on the case that $S = V_{p\infty}$, though there is no theoretical obstruction to considering a larger finite set. We make the following definition.

**DEFINITION 3.3.1.** Let $F_\infty/F$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. Let $\mathcal{X}_n = \mathcal{X}_{F_n,V_{p\infty}}$ for $n \geq 0$, and let

$$\mathcal{X}_\infty \cong \lim_{\rightarrow n} \mathcal{X}_n$$

be the $V_{p\infty}$-ramified Iwasawa module, which we refer to as the *$p$-ramified Iwasawa module*. 
Consider the following weakening of the Leopoldt conjecture.

**Conjecture 3.3.2 (Weak Leopoldt conjecture).** Let \( F_\infty / F \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension. Then the Leopoldt defects \( \delta(F_n) \) are bounded in \( n \geq 0 \).

We will abbreviate \( \delta(F_n) \) by \( \delta_n \).

The weak Leopoldt conjecture has the following consequence for the \( p \)-ramified Iwasawa module.

**Theorem 3.3.3.** Let \( F_\infty / F \) be a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension for which the weak Leopoldt conjecture holds. Then
\[
\text{rank}_\Lambda \mathcal{X}_\infty = r_2(F).
\]

**Proof.** Let \( M_\infty \) be such that \( \mathcal{X}_\infty = \text{Gal}(M_\infty / F_\infty) \), and define \( M_n \) for \( n \geq 0 \) by
\[
\mathcal{X}_n = \text{Gal}(M_n / F_n).
\]
We then have that \( (\mathcal{X}_\infty)_\Gamma_n \cong \text{Gal}(M_n / F_\infty) \), and therefore we have an exact sequence
\[
0 \to (\mathcal{X}_\infty)_\Gamma_n \to \mathcal{X}_n \to \Gamma \to 0.
\]
Since any archimedean place splits completely in a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension, we have \( r_2(F_n) = p^n r_2(F) + 1 + \delta_n \). It follows that \( \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{X}_\infty)_\Gamma_n = p^n r_2(F) + \delta_n \) for all \( n \). Since \( \delta_n \) is bounded in \( n \), the result then follows from Proposition 2.4.12. \( \square \)

We prove the weak Leopoldt conjecture in the case of the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension. Let \( \mathcal{E}_n = \mathcal{E}_{F_n} \) for each \( n \geq 0 \), and let \( \mathcal{U}_{n,v} \) be the \( p \)-completion of \( \mathcal{E}_{F_n}^\times \) for any prime \( v \) of \( F_n \).

**Theorem 3.3.4.** Suppose that \( F_\infty / F \) is the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( F \). Then the weak Leopoldt conjecture holds for \( F_\infty / F \). In fact, if \( F \) contains \( \mu_{2^p} \), then \( \delta_n \leq \lambda(X'_\infty) \) for every \( n \).

**Proof.** Assume first that \( F \) contains \( \mu_{2^p} \). Let \( r = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{E}_n \), and choose units such that \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in \mathcal{E}_{F_n}^\times \) generate \( \mathcal{E}_n \) modulo its torsion subgroup as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-module and such that the images of \( \alpha_{\delta_n+1}, \ldots, \alpha_r \) under
\[
i_n : \mathcal{E}_n \to \bigoplus_{v \in V_p(F_n)} \mathcal{U}_{n,v}
\]
generate \( i_n(\mathcal{E}_n) \) modulo its torsion subgroup.

Let \( p^k \) be the exponent of the \( p \)-power torsion in \( i_n(\mathcal{E}_n) \). Then, for each \( 1 \leq i \leq \delta_n \), there exist \( a_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) for each \( \delta_n + 1 \leq j \leq r \) such that
\[
i_n(\alpha_i) \prod_{j=\delta_n+1}^r \ni_n(\alpha_{ij}^a)
\]
has trivial \( p^k \)th power. Fix \( l \geq 1 \). For every \( i \) and \( j \) as above, choose \( b_{ij} \in \mathbb{Z} \) such that
\[
b_{ij} \equiv a_{ij} \mod p^l \mathbb{Z}_p.
\]
and then set
\[ \beta_i = \alpha_i \prod_{j=\delta_n+1}^r \alpha_j^{b_{ij}}. \]

It follows that \( t_n(\beta_i)P^k \in t_n(\mathcal{E}_n)P^{k+l} \) for each \( i \).

Since \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \) form a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear basis of the maximal \( p \)-torsion-free quotient of \( \mathcal{E}_n \), the images of the elements \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\delta_n} \) in \( F_n^\times / F_n^\times p^l \) generate a subgroup isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z})^{\delta_n}\). By Kummer theory, the group \( F_n^\times \cap F_\infty^\times p^l \) is isomorphic to \( \mu_{p^l} \), and since the closed subgroup of \( \mathcal{E}_n \) generated by \( \beta_1, \ldots, \beta_{\delta_n} \) is \( p \)-torsion-free, the images of these elements generate a subgroup isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z})^{\delta_n}\).

Now consider
\[ K = F_\infty(\beta_1^{1/p^l}, \ldots, \beta_{\delta_n}^{1/p^l}), \]

and note that \( \text{Gal}(K/F_\infty) \) is isomorphic to \((\mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z})^{\delta_n}\). Since \( t_n(\beta_i)P^k \in t_n(\mathcal{E}_n)P^{k+l} \) and \( \beta_i^{1/p^l} \) is a \( p \)-th root of \( \beta_i^{P^k} \), we have that every prime of \( \nu \) over \( p \) splits completely in this extension. Since \( \text{Gal}(K/F_\infty) \) is already a quotient of \( \mathcal{X}_\infty \), it is then a quotient of \( X'_\infty \). In other words, we have surjections
\[ X'_\infty \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/p^l\mathbb{Z})^{\delta_n} \]
for every \( l \). Since \( X'_\infty \) is \( \Lambda \)-torsion, Proposition 2.2.13 tells us that \( \delta_n \leq \lambda(X'_\infty) \).

Now, if \( F \) does not contain \( \mu_p \), we still have \( \delta(F_n) \leq \delta(F_n(\mu_{2p})) \), and since the latter numbers are bounded, we have the result. \( \square \)

We next study sequences into which \( \mathcal{X}_\infty \) fits. For this, we need to define several more \( \Lambda \)-modules.

**Definition 3.3.5.** Let \( F_\infty \) be the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension of \( F \), and let \( S = V_{p\infty} \). We let
\[ \mathcal{E}_\infty = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}_{F_n} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{E}'_\infty = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{E}_{F_n,S}. \]

where the inverse limits are taken under norm maps. Letting \( \mathcal{U}_{n,v} \) denote the pro-\( p \)-completion of \( \mathcal{O}_{F_n,v}^\times \) for \( v \in S(F_n) \), we set
\[ \mathcal{U}_{\infty,v} = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{U}_{n,v} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_{\infty,v} = \lim_{\longrightarrow} \mathcal{F}_{n,v}^\times, \]
for \( v \in S(F_\infty) \), with the inverse limits taken with respect to the local norm maps. Set
\[ \mathcal{U}_\infty = \prod_{v \in S(F_\infty)} \mathcal{U}_{\infty,v} \quad \text{and} \quad \mathcal{F}_\infty = \prod_{v \in S(F_\infty)} \mathcal{F}_{\infty,v}. \]

Let \( t_\infty \) and \( t'_\infty \) denote the canonical maps
\[ t_\infty : \mathcal{E}_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{U}_\infty \quad \text{and} \quad t'_\infty : \mathcal{E}'_\infty \rightarrow \mathcal{F}_\infty. \]
3.3. THE \(p\)-RAMIFIED IWASAWA MODULE

**Proposition 3.3.6.** Let \(F_\infty\) be the cyclotomic \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-extension of \(F\). Assume, moreover, that \(p\) is odd or \(F\) has no real places. We have a map of canonical exact sequences of \(\Lambda\)-modules

\[
0 \longrightarrow \ker \tau_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}_\infty \longrightarrow X_\infty \longrightarrow 0
\]

\[
0 \longrightarrow \ker \tau'_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}'_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{U}'_\infty \longrightarrow \mathcal{X}'_\infty \longrightarrow X'_\infty \longrightarrow 0.
\]

**Proof.** This is simply the inverse limit of the sequences of Theorem 1.5.4 for the fields \(F_n\), which remains exact as the modules in question are profinite. The assumptions are simply to insure that the number of terms in the direct sum of local unit or multiplicative groups is finite: otherwise, one need merely replace the direct sums by inverse limits of direct sums at the finite level.

**Definition 3.3.7.** We set

\[
A'_\infty = \lim_{\rightarrow n} A_{F_n, V_{p\infty}}.
\]

**Remark 3.3.8.** An element \(\gamma \in \Gamma\) acts on \(H^1(G_{F_\infty, S}, \mu_{p^m})\) through its action on cocycles: i.e., for a cocycle \(f, \gamma \in \Gamma, \) and \(\sigma \in G_{F, S}\) we have

\[
(\gamma \cdot f)(\sigma) = \gamma \cdot f(\tilde{\gamma}^{-1} \sigma \tilde{\gamma}),
\]

where \(\tilde{\gamma}\) is any lift of \(\gamma\) to \(G_{F, S}\). Giving this cohomology group the discrete topology, with respect to which it is \(p\)-power torsion, we have that \(\Gamma\) acts continuously and \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-linearly, and hence we obtain a \(\Lambda\)-action.

Kummer theory allows us to prove the following proposition.

**Proposition 3.3.9.** Let \(F_\infty/F\) be the cyclotomic \(\mathbb{Z}_p\)-extension, and let \(S = V_{p\infty}\). There is canonical map of exact sequences

\[
1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H^1(G_{F_\infty, S}, \mu_{p^m}) \longrightarrow A'_\infty \longrightarrow 0
\]

\[
1 \longrightarrow \mathcal{O}^\times_{F_\infty, S} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \longrightarrow H^1(G_{F_\infty, S}, \mu_{p^m}) \longrightarrow A'_\infty \longrightarrow 0
\]

of \(\Lambda\)-modules.

**Proof.** Recall from Theorem 1.4.5 that we have exact sequences

\[
1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F_{n, S}}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{F_{n, S}}^\times \rightarrow H^1(G_{F_{n, S}}, \mu_{p^m}) \rightarrow A'_n[p^m] \rightarrow 0,
\]

where \(A'_n = A_{F_n, S}\). The direct limit as \(n\) heads towards infinity yields

\[
1 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty, S}^\times / \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty, S}^\times \rightarrow H^1(G_{F_\infty, S}, \mu_{p^m}) \rightarrow A'_\infty[p^m] \rightarrow 0.
\]
3. IWASAWA THEORY

For any abelian group $B$, with respect to the maps $B/p^mB \to B/p^{m+1}B$ induced by multiplication by $p$, we have

$$\lim_{m \to} B/p^mB \cong B \otimes \mathbb{Z} \left( \lim_{m \to} \frac{1}{p^m} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \right) \cong B \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p,$$

where the maps are the natural inclusion maps on the right-hand side of the middle term. Applying this to $B = \mathcal{O}_{E_n}^\times$ and noting that

$$A'_\infty = \lim_{m \to} A'_\infty [p^m]$$

since $A'_\infty$ is $p$-power torsion, we have the lower exact sequence. (Note that this did not require $F_\infty$ to be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension).

Now, note that $H^1(G_{F_\infty,S}, \mu_{p^n})$ is isomorphic via Kummer theory to the direct limit of the groups $\mathcal{B}_{n,m}/F_n^{\times}p^m$, where $\mathcal{B}_{n,m}$ is the subgroup of $x \in F_n^{\times}$ such that $x\mathcal{O}_{F_n,S} = a^{p^m}$ for some fractional ideal $a$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F_n,S}$. We then have maps

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,m}/F_n^{\times}p^m \to A'_n[p^m], \quad x \mapsto [a'],$$

where $[a']$ denotes the class of $a$ in $A'_n$, of which the map

$$\theta': H^1(G_{F_\infty,S}, \mu_{p^n}) \to A'_\infty$$

is the direct limit. Given any $x \in \mathcal{B}_{n,m}$, note that there exists $n' > n$ independent of $x$ such that $x\mathcal{O}_{F_n,S} = b^{p^m}$ for some fractional ideal $b$ of $\mathcal{O}_{F_n,S}$, since every prime over $p$ is totally ramified in $F_\infty/F_t$ for sufficiently large $t$. We then have a map

$$\mathcal{B}_{n,m}/F_n^{\times}p^m \to A_{n'}[p^m], \quad x \mapsto [b].$$

In this way, we obtain in the direct limit a map

$$\theta: H^1(G_{F_\infty,S}, \mu_{p^n}) \to A_\infty$$

which is $\theta'$ after composing with the natural projection $A_\infty \to A'_\infty$, which implies that the diagram in the statement of the proposition commutes.

We need only verify exactness in the upper sequence in the statement of the proposition. The kernel of $\theta$ is identified by Kummer theory with exactly those

$$x \otimes p^{-m} \in F_\infty^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$$

such that $x\mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}$ is the $p^m$th power of a principal ideal $(z)$, which is to say that

$$x \otimes p^{-m} = xz^{-p^m} \otimes p^{-m} \in \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}^{\times} \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Moreover, if $b \in A_\infty$, then $b \in A_\infty[p^m]$ for some $m \geq 1$, and then $b$ is the image of some element $b_n \in A_n[p^m]$ by definition of the direct limit. We have then that $b_n^{p^m} = x\mathcal{O}_{F_n}$ for some $x \in F_n^{\times}$, and so $\theta(x \otimes p^{-m}) = [b]$. Hence, $\theta$ is surjective. \qed
Corollary 3.3.10. Let $F_\infty/F$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension, and let $S = V_{p\infty}$. Then there is a canonical exact sequence

$$1 \to \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathcal{O}_{F_\infty,S}^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \to A_\infty \to A'_\infty \to 0$$

of $\Lambda$-modules.

Proof. This follows from Proposition 3.3.9 via the snake lemma.

Definition 3.3.11. Let $M$ be a $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_E]$-module for a field $E$ of characteristic not $p$. For $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the $i$th Tate twist of $M$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_E]$-module $M(i)$ that is $M$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, but on which $G_E$ acts via the new action $\cdot_i$ given by

$$\sigma \cdot_i m = \chi(\sigma)^i \sigma m$$

for $\sigma \in G_E$ and $m \in M$.

Example 3.3.12. Given a field $E$ of characteristic not $p$, a choice of compatible system $\zeta_{p^n}$ of primitive $p^n$th roots of unity in a separable closure, i.e., such that $\zeta_{p^{n+1}} = \zeta_{p^n}$ for each $n \geq 1$, gives rise to isomorphisms

$$\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \lim_{\longleftarrow} \mu_{p^n}, \quad a \mapsto (\zeta_{p^n})_a,$$

$$\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p(1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mu_{p^\alpha}, \quad a \mapsto \zeta_{p^n}^a$$


Remark 3.3.13. The Tate twist $\mathbb{Z}_p(i)$ for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ may be viewed as a $\Lambda$-module that is isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ as a $\mathbb{Z}_p$-module, and on which $\gamma \in \Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F)$ acts by $\chi(\gamma)^i$, where $\chi: \Gamma \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ is the homomorphism induced by the cyclotomic character. More generally, if $B$ is any $\Lambda$-module, then we may speak of its Tate twist $B(i) \cong B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p(i)$, which is a new $\Lambda$-module that is $B$ with a modified action of $\Gamma$ given by $\gamma \cdot b = \chi(\gamma)^i \gamma b$.

Corollary 3.3.14. Suppose that $\mu_{2p} \subset F$ and $F_\infty/F$ is the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. Then we have an exact sequence

$$0 \to A_\infty^\vee(1) \to X_\infty \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\infty}^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \to 0$$

of finitely generated $\Lambda$-modules.

Proof. By assumption, we have $\mu_{p^\infty} \subset F_\infty$. Hence, we have

$$H^1(G_{F_\infty, S}, \mu_{p^\infty}) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{cts}}(X_\infty, \mu_{p^\infty}) \cong X_\infty^\vee(1).$$
Taking the Tate twist of the Pontryagin dual of the sequence of Proposition 3.3.9, we obtain an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow A_\infty^\vee(1) \rightarrow X_\infty \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{F}_\infty}^\times \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mu_{p^n}) \rightarrow 0.$$ 

The result now follows from the following calculation for an abelian group $B$:

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(B \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p),$$

where in the second-to-last step we have used the adjointness of Hom and $\otimes$.

$\square$

### 3.4. CM fields

In this subsection, we shall consider the behavior of inverse and direct limits of $p$-parts of class groups in the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_\infty$ of $F$ in the case that $F$ is a CM field. We remark that $F_\infty$ is itself a CM field, and for the most part, we could take $F_\infty$ to be any CM $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $F$ in this section (though conjecturally, as we shall see later, there no others). We assume that $p$ is odd throughout this subsection.

**Proposition 3.4.1.** The natural maps

$$j_n^- : A_n^- \rightarrow A_\infty^-$$

are injective for all $n$. Moreover, the natural maps

$$N_n^- : X_\infty^- \rightarrow A_n^-$$

are all surjective.

**Proof.** The second statement is easy, since the cokernel of $N_n$ is isomorphic as a $\Lambda$-module the maximal unramified quotient of $\Gamma_p^n$, and $\Gamma$ has trivial minus part.

For the first statement, it suffices to show that $j_{n+1,n}^- : A_n^- \rightarrow A_{n+1}^-$ is injective for each $n$. Let $G = \text{Gal}(F_{n+1}/F_n)$, and let $\mathcal{O}_n$ denote the ring of integers of $F_n$. As the maps in Proposition 1.3.5 are easily seen to be Galois equivariant, we have that ker $j_{n+1,n}^-$ is isomorphic to a submodule of $H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_n^\times)^-$. Let $\mu(F_n)$ denote the group of $p$-power roots of unity in $F_n$ for each $n$. The exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu(F_{n+1}) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_n^\times \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^\times/\mu(F_{n+1}) \rightarrow 1$$

of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(F_n/F_n^+)]$-modules, gives rise to a long exact sequence in Tate cohomology

$$\cdots \rightarrow \hat{H}^0(G, \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^\times/\mu(F_{n+1})) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mu(F_{n+1})) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_n^\times) \rightarrow H^1(G, \mathcal{O}_{n+1}^\times/\mu(F_{n+1})) \rightarrow \cdots,$$

also of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(F_n/F_n^+)]$-modules, so it remains exact after taking minus parts.
Now, for any \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(F_{n+1}/F_n^+)] \)-module \( A \), we have a canonical isomorphism
\[
\hat{H}^{-1}(G, A) \sim H^1(G, A) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} G \cong H^1(G, A)
\]
of \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(F_n/F_n^+)] \)-modules, as \( \text{Gal}(F_n/F_n^+) \) acts trivially on \( G \) (as it acts by lifting and conjugating). Since \( \hat{H}^i(G, (\mathcal{O}_{n+1}^X/\mu(F_{n+1}))^- \) is a \( p \)-group that is a subquotient of \( (\mathcal{O}_{n+1}^X/\mu(F_{n+1}))^- \) for \( i = 0, -1 \), and the latter group has trivial \( p \)-part, we have that there is an isomorphism
\[
\hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mu(F_{n+1}))^- \sim H^1(G, (\mathcal{O}_{n+1}^X^-)\).
\]

Note that \( \mu(F_{n+1})^p = \mu(F_n) \). The map \( N_G : \mu(F_{n+1}) \to \mu(F_{n+1}) \) induced by the norm element is given by raising to the \( p \)th power so has \( \ker(N_G) = \mu_p(F) \), while \( I_G \mu(F_{n+1}) = \mu_p(F) \), so we have
\[
\hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mu(F_{n+1}))^- = 0,
\]
finishing the proof. \( \square \)

We also have the following fact regarding \( X^- \).

PROPOSITION 3.4.2. The \( \Lambda \)-module \( X^- \) has no nonzero finite \( \Lambda \)-submodules.

PROOF. Let \( M \) be a finite \( \Lambda \)-submodule of \( X^- \). Since \( M \) is finite, there exists \( m \geq 0 \) such that \( M \to M_{\Gamma^p}^m \) is an isomorphism, which is to say that \( \Gamma^p \) acts trivially on \( M \). Let \( x \in M \), and suppose that \( x \) is an element of order \( p \) in \( M \). Set \( x_n = N_n(x) \). Then \( x_n \neq 0 \) for sufficiently large \( n \), which we may take be at least \( m \). For such an \( n \), note that \( j_{n+1, n}(x_n) \neq 0 \) by Proposition 3.4.1. We also have
\[
j_{n+1, n}(x_n) = j_{n+1, n}(N_{n+1, n}(x_{n+1})) = px_{n+1}
\]
by the triviality of the action of \( \Gamma^p \) on \( M \). In particular, \( px_{n+1} \neq 0 \), which forces \( px \neq 0 \), contradicting the existence of \( x \). Hence \( M = 0 \). \( \square \)

Note that \( \mu(X^-) = \mu(X^+) + \mu(X^-) \) and \( \lambda(X^-) = \lambda(X^+) + \lambda(X^-) \), since \( X^- \cong X^+ \oplus X^- \).

PROPOSITION 3.4.3. Suppose that \( \mu_p \subset F \). Then \( \mu(X^-) = 0 \) if and only if \( \mu(X^-) = 0 \).

PROOF. If \( \mu(X^-) = 0 \), then Lemma 2.4.10 tells us that the \( p \)-ranks of the \( (X^-)_{\Gamma^p} \) are bounded in \( n \). Since \( N_n^\prime \) is surjective, the \( p \)-ranks of the \( A_n^- \) are then bounded as well. By the reflection theorem, the \( p \)-ranks of the \( A_n^+ \) are then bounded, as \( r_p(A_n^+) \leq r_p(A_n^-) + 1 \). In turn, this implies that the \( p \)-ranks of the \( (X^+)_{\Gamma^p} \) are bounded (since the kernel to \( A_n^+ \) has \( p \)-rank less than or equal to the number of ramified primes minus 1 in \( F_n/F_n^+ \), and this number is bounded in \( n \)). Again applying Lemma 2.4.10, we have that \( \mu(X^+ \cap F_n^+) = 0 \). \( \square \)

CONJECTURE 3.4.4 (Greenberg). The Iwasawa module \( X^+ \) is finite.
Remark 3.4.5. Greenberg’s conjecture means exactly that \( \lambda (X^+_\infty) = \mu (X^+_\infty) = 0 \). Therefore, under the assumption of Iwasawa’s \( \mu \)-conjecture, Greenberg’s conjecture is equivalent to the statement that \( \lambda (X^+_\infty) = 0 \).

Proposition 3.4.6. Greenberg’s conjecture holds if and only if \( A^+_\infty = 0 \).

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Corollary 3.2.15, since \( (A^+_\infty)^\vee \) has no finite \( \Lambda \)-submodules and hence can be finite if and only if it is zero. \( \square \)

Proposition 3.4.7. Suppose that \( \mu_p \subset F \). We have an isomorphism
\[
(A^-_\infty)^\vee_1 \sim \mathcal{X}^+_\infty
\]
and an exact sequence
\[
0 \to (A^+_\infty)^\vee_1 \to \mathcal{X}^-_\infty \to \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \to 0.
\]
In particular, Greenberg’s conjecture implies that
\[
\mathcal{X}^-_\infty \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)).
\]

Proof. Dirichlet’s unit theorem tells us that
\[
\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p \cong (\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p) \times \mu_p^{\omega}
\]
as \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(F/F^+)] \)-modules. We have
\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))^- = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)),
\]
and
\[
\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{O}^\chi_{\infty} \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))^+ \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mu_p^{\omega}, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) = 0.
\]
The first statement is then a consequence of Corollary 3.3.14, and the second is then a consequence of Proposition 3.4.6. \( \square \)

Corollary 3.4.8. The finitely generated, \( \Lambda \)-torsion modules \( (X^-_\infty)^1(1) \) and \( \mathcal{X}^+_\infty \) are pseudo-isomorphic.

Proof. This is an immediate consequence of Proposition 3.4.7 and Corollary 3.2.15. \( \square \)

To obtain even finer information, we can pass to eigenspaces.

Corollary 3.4.9. Let \( F \) be totally real, let \( \chi : G_F \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) be a finite odd character of prime-to-\( p \) order, and let \( E \) be an abelian extension of \( F \) of degree prime to \( p \) containing \( F_{\chi}(\mu_p) \). Considering Iwasawa modules for the cyclotomic \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-extension \( E_\infty/E \), we have
\[
\mathcal{X}^{(\omega \chi^{-1})}_\infty \cong (A^{(\chi)}_\infty)^\vee_1(1) \sim (X^{(\chi)}_\infty)^1(1)
\]
as $\Lambda[\text{Gal}(E/F)]$-modules.

**Remark 3.4.10.** In Corollary 3.4.9, the Iwasawa modules in question, $X_\infty$, $A_\infty$, and $X_\infty$, have an action of $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ that commutes with the $\Lambda$-action, since

$$\text{Gal}(E_\infty/F) \cong \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F) \times \text{Gal}(E/F)$$

in that $E_\infty/F$ is abelian and $\text{Gal}(E/F)$ has prime-to-$p$ order.

### 3.5. Kida’s formula

Suppose that $F$ is a number field and $E$ is a cyclic extension with Galois group $G$. The exact sequence of Theorem 1.3.14 is not quite canonical as written, since one of the maps depends on a choice of generator of $G$, but it becomes canonical when written in the form

$$0 \to \ker j_{E/F} \otimes \mathbb{Z} G \to \hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mathcal{O}_{E}^\times) \to I_{E/F} \otimes \mathbb{Z} G \to \text{Cl}_{E}^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_{F}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} G$$

$$\to \mathcal{O}_{F/F}^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_{E}^\times \to \ker \Sigma_{E/F} \to (\text{Cl}_{E})_{G}^{N_{E/F}} \to \text{Cl}_{F} \to \text{coker} \Sigma_{E/F} \to 0,$$

which is to say that the map

$$\text{Cl}_{E}^G / j_{E/F}(\text{Cl}_{F}) \otimes \mathbb{Z} G \to \mathcal{O}_{F/F}^\times / N_{E/F} \mathcal{O}_{E}^\times$$

of Remark 1.3.15 is canonical, noting that there is a canonical isomorphism

$$H^1(G, A) \otimes \mathbb{Z} G \cong \hat{H}^{-1}(G, A)$$

for any $\mathbb{Z}[G]$-module $A$. Moreover, if $E$ is Galois over $F_0 \subset F$, the maps in the above sequence are all $\text{Gal}(F/F_0)$-equivariant.

Suppose now that we consider the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extensions $F_\infty/F$ and $E_\infty/F$. Then we may consider the inverse limit of the above exact sequences for the extensions $E_n/F_n$, and we obtain the following result, in which we distinguish Iwasawa modules over $F_\infty$ and $E_\infty$ by writing them in the notation of functions of the base field; e.g., $X_\infty(E)$ is the Galois group of the maximal unramified abelian pro-$p$ extension of $E_\infty$.

**Theorem 3.5.1.** Let $E/F$ be a cyclic of prime power order Galois extension of number fields with $G = \text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let $F_\infty$ denote the cyclotomic extension of $F$, and let $E_\infty = EF_\infty$ be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $E$. We suppose that $E \cap F_\infty = F$, so we have $G \cong \text{Gal}(E_\infty/F_\infty)$. Let

$$j_\infty : X_\infty(F) \to X_\infty(E)^G$$

denote the direct limit of the maps $j_{E_n/F_n}$. For $v \in V(F_\infty)$, let $I_v$ denote the inertia group of $v$ in $G$. Let

$$\Sigma_\infty : \bigoplus_{v \in V(F_\infty)} I_v \to G$$
denote the product of the inclusion maps. We then have a canonical exact sequence of $\Lambda$-modules:

$$0 \to \ker j_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Z} G \to \hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mathcal{E}_\infty(E)) \to \lim_{n} \frac{I_{E_n}^G}{I_{F_n} \otimes \mathbb{Z} G} \to \coker j_\infty \otimes \mathbb{Z} G$$

$$\to \hat{H}^0(G, \mathcal{E}_\infty(E)) \to \ker \Sigma_{\infty} \to X_\infty(E)_G \to X_\infty(F) \to \coker \Sigma_{\infty} \to 0.$$

If $F$ is a CM field and $p$ is odd, then $E$ is also CM, and the sequence of Theorem 3.5.1 is $\text{Gal}(F/F^+)$-equivariant. Taking minus parts, we are able to obtain the following.

**Lemma 3.5.2.** Let $E/F$ be a Galois extension of CM fields with $G = \text{Gal}(E/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, for $p$ odd. Suppose that $\mu(X_\infty^-(F)) = 0$. Let $\delta = 1$ if $p \not\in F$ and 0 otherwise. Let $T$ denote the set of primes of $F_\infty^+$ that split in $F_\infty/F_\infty^+$, ramify in $E_\infty/F_\infty$, and do not lie over $p$. Then the Herbrand quotient $h(X_\infty^-(E))$ exists and equals $p^{\delta - |T|}$. Moreover, $\mu(X_\infty^-(E)) = 0$.

**Proof.** Note that $G = G^+$ and $\mathcal{E}_\infty^-(E) = \mathbb{Z}_p(1)\delta$, so

$$\hat{H}^i(G, \mathcal{E}_\infty^-(E)) = \hat{H}^i(G, \mathcal{E}_\infty^-(E)) \cong \hat{H}^i(G, \mathbb{Z}_p(1))^\delta.$$

One checks immediately that $\hat{H}^0(G, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) = \mu_p$ and $\hat{H}^{-1}(G, \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) = 0$. In particular $j_\infty$ is injective on minus parts.

We remark first that $I_{E_n}^G/I_{F_n}$ is generated by the classes of the ramified primes of $E_n$ that are ramified over $F_n$, and is a direct sum of copies of $\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$, one for each such prime. Now, a norm compatible sequence of nontrivial images of primes in the $I_{E_n}^G/I_{F_n}$ as $n$ varies must consist of primes above $p$, for a prime ideal not over $p$ in $E_n$ is inert in $E_{n+1}/E_n$ for large enough $n$, and then therefore is not a norm from the extension. On the other hand, those above $p$ are totally ramified in $E_{n+1}/E_n$ for large enough $n$, so do form part of a unique norm compatible sequence. We therefore have that

$$\lim_{n} \frac{I_{E_n}^G}{I_{F_n}} \cong \bigoplus_{v \in V_p(F_\infty)} I_v.$$

Since $G$ is of order $p$, we have either $I_v = G$ or $I_v = 0$ if $v$ is a prime of $F_\infty$. We note that $I_v^- = 0$ if $u \in V_{F_\infty^+}$ does not split in $F_\infty/F_\infty^+$ and $v$ lies above $u$ while $(I_v \oplus I_{v'})^- \cong I_u$ if $u$ splits into $v$ and $v'$. Noting also that $G^- = 0$, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(3.5.1) \quad 0 \to \bigoplus_{v \in S_p} G \to (X_\infty^-(E))^G/j_\infty(X_\infty^-(F)) \to \mu_p^{\delta} \to \bigoplus_{v \in S} G \to X_\infty^-(E)_G \to X_\infty^-(F) \to 0,$$

where $S$ denotes the set of primes of $F_\infty^+$ that split in $F_\infty/F_\infty^+$ and ramify in $E_\infty/F_\infty$, and $S_p \subseteq S$ is the subset of primes over $p$.

The exact sequence (3.5.1) tells us that $\mu(X_\infty^-(E)_G) = 0$, since $\mu(X_\infty^-(F)) = 0$. But if $A_G$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, then $A$ is finitely generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$, and hence over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ since $G$ is finite. Therefore, we have $\mu(X_\infty^-(E)) = 0$. 
Since $j_\infty: X_\infty^-(F) \to X_\infty^-(E)^G$ is injective and $N^-_\infty: X_\infty^-(E) \to X_\infty^-(F)$ is surjective, we have

\[
\text{coker } j_\infty \cong \frac{X_\infty^-}{N^-_G X_\infty}(E) = \hat{H}^0(G, X_\infty^-(E)),
\]

\[
\ker N^-_\infty \cong \ker(\chi_\infty^-) \overset{N^-_G}{\to} X_\infty^- = \hat{H}^{-1}(G, X_\infty^-(E)).
\]

Therefore, we have

\[
h(X_\infty^-(E)) = \frac{|\text{coker } j_\infty|}{|\ker N^-_\infty|} = p^{[S^-_p]} - [S^-_\delta] = p^{\delta - |T|}.
\]

We are now ready to prove Kida’s formula. Kida’s formula may be thought of as an analogue of the Riemann-Hurwitz formula, which describes the growth of genus of Riemann surfaces in branched covers.

**Theorem 3.5.3 (Kida).** Let $p$ be an odd prime, and let $E/F$ be a finite $p$-extension of CM-number fields. Let $E_\infty$ (resp., $F_\infty$) be the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension of $E$ (resp., $F$), and suppose that $E \cap F_\infty = F$. Assume that $\mu(X_\infty^-(F)) = 0$. Then $\mu(X_\infty^-(E)) = 0$, and we have

\[
\lambda(X_\infty^-(E)) - \delta = [E : F] (\lambda(X_\infty^-(F)) - \delta) + \sum_{w \in Q_E} (|I_w| - 1),
\]

where $\delta = 1$ if $\mu_p \subset F$ and 0 otherwise,

\[
Q_E = \{w \in V(E_\infty^+ - V_p(E_\infty^+) \mid w \text{ splits in } E_\infty/E_\infty^+ \},
\]

and $I_w$ is the ramification group of $w$ in $\text{Gal}(E_\infty^+/E_\infty^+)$. **Proof.** First, we reduce the result to cyclic groups of order $p$ by induction on the order of $G = \text{Gal}(E_\infty^+/F_\infty^+) \cong \text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let $K$ be an intermediate field in $E/F$, let $G' = \text{Gal}(K/F)$, and let $G'' = \text{Gal}(E/K)$ (which can be taken to be of order $p$). For $v \in V_{K_\infty}$, let $I'_v$ denote the ramification group of $v$ in $G'$, and for $w \in V_{E_\infty^+}$, let $I''_w$ denote the ramification group of $w$ in $G''$. The statement on $\mu$-invariants then follows immediately by induction and Lemma 3.5.2. Then, by induction, we have

\[
\lambda(X_\infty^-(E)) - \delta = [E : K] (\lambda(X_\infty^-(K)) - \delta) + \sum_{w \in Q_E} (|I''_w| - 1)
\]

\[
= [E : K] \left([K : F](\lambda(X_\infty^-(F)) - \delta) + \sum_{v \in Q_K} (|I'_v| - 1)\right) + \sum_{w \in Q_E} (|I''_w| - 1)
\]

\[
= [E : F] (\lambda(X_\infty^-(F)) - \delta) + [E : K] \sum_{v \in Q_K} (|I'_v| - 1) + \sum_{w \in Q_E} (|I''_w| - 1).
\]
For any \( v \in Q_K \) and \( w \in Q_E \) lying above \( v \), Corollary 3.1.10 tells us that \( [G'': I''_w] \) is the number of primes of \( Q_E \) lying above \( v \). We then have

\[
[E : K] \sum_{v \in \mathcal{Q}_K} (|I_v| - 1) = |G''| \sum_{w \in \mathcal{Q}_E} [G'': I''_w]^{-1} (|I_v| - 1)
\]

\[
= \sum_{w \in \mathcal{Q}_E} (|I_w| - |I''_w|) = \sum_{w \in \mathcal{Q}_E} (|I_w| - 1) - \sum_{w \in \mathcal{Q}_E} (|I''_w| - 1),
\]

finishing the inductive step.

Now, we are reduced to the case that \( [E : F] = p \). Note that in this case, a prime \( w \in Q_E \) is either totally ramified (of degree \( p \)) or completely split in \( E/F \), so

\[
\sum_{w \in \mathcal{Q}_E} (|I_w| - 1) = \sum_{v \in T} (p - 1) = (p - 1)|T|,
\]

where \( T \) is, as in Lemma 3.5.2, the set of primes of \( Q_E \) that ramify in \( E_\infty^+ / F_\infty^+ \). By Proposition 3.4.2 and the fact that \( \mu(X_\infty^{-}(E)) = 0 \), we have that \( X_\infty^{-}(E) \) is free of finite rank over \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). It is also a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[G] \)-module, and therefore

\[
X_\infty^{-}(E) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p[G]^r \oplus X^s \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^t
\]

for some \( r,s,t \). It follows immediately that

\[
\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(E)) = pr + (p - 1)s + t = p(r + t) + (p - 1)(s - t).
\]

We compute, under these isomorphisms

\[
X_\infty^{-}(E)^G \cong (N_G)^r \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p^t \quad \text{and} \quad N_GX_\infty^{-}(E) \cong (N_G)^r \oplus (p\mathbb{Z}_p)^t
\]

\[
X_\infty^{-}(E)[N_G] = X^T \oplus X^s \quad \text{and} \quad I_GX_\infty^{-}(E) = X^T \oplus (I_GX)^s,
\]

so

\[
h(X_\infty^{-}(E)) = \frac{|\hat{H}^0(G, X_\infty^{-}(E))|}{|\hat{H}^{-1}(G, X_\infty^{-}(E))|} = p^{t-s}.
\]

By Lemma 3.5.2, we therefore have that

\[
s - t = |T| - \delta.
\]

One sees immediately from Theorem 3.5.1 that the inverse limit of norm maps

\[
X_\infty^{-}(E)_G \rightarrow X_\infty^{-}(F)
\]

is a pseudo-isomorphism. We then have that

\[
\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(F)) = \lambda(X_\infty^{-}(E)_G) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(X_\infty^{-}(E)_G) = r + t.
\]

It follows that

\[
\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(E)) - \delta = p\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(F)) + (p - 1)(|T| - \delta) - \delta = p(\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(F)) - \delta) + (p - 1)|T|,
\]

\[
\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(E)) - \delta = p\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(F)) + (p - 1)(|T| - \delta) - \delta = p(\lambda(X_\infty^{-}(F)) - \delta) + (p - 1)|T|.
\]
finishing the proof.
CHAPTER 4

Cyclotomic fields

4.1. Dirichlet $L$-functions

In this section, we summarize, largely without proof, various results regarding $L$-functions of Dirichlet characters.

**Definition 4.1.1.** A multiplicative function $\chi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ is called a Dirichlet character if it is periodic of some period $n \geq 1$ and $\chi(a) \neq 0$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ if and only if $\langle a, n \rangle = 1$. The integer $n$ is called the modulus of $\chi$.

**Example 4.1.2.** There is a unique Dirichlet character $1$ which has value $1$ at every $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, and it is known as the trivial character.

**Definition 4.1.3.**

a. The conductor $f_\chi$ of a Dirichlet character $\chi$ is the smallest integer $f$ dividing its period such that there exists a Dirichlet character $\psi$ of modulus $f$ with $\chi(a) = \psi(a)$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\langle a, n \rangle = 1$.

b. We say that a Dirichlet character is **primitive** if its conductor equals its modulus.

**Definition 4.1.4.** We say that a Dirichlet character $\chi$ is **even** (resp., **odd**) if $\chi(-1) = 1$ (resp., $\chi(-1) = -1$).

Every character $\phi: (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ gives rise to a Dirichlet character $\chi: \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{C}$ of period $n$ with $\chi(a) = \phi(a \mod n)$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $\langle a, n \rangle = 1$. The resulting character $\chi$ has conductor $f$, where $f$ is minimal such that $\phi$ factors through $(\mathbb{Z}/f\mathbb{Z})^\times$.

**Definition 4.1.5.** Let $\phi: (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$, and suppose that the induced Dirichlet character has conductor $f$. The **primitive Dirichlet character attached to $\phi$** is the primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $f$ that satisfies $\phi(a) = \chi(a')$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\langle a, f \rangle = 1$, where $a' \in \mathbb{Z}$ is any integer with $a' \equiv a \mod f$ and $\langle a', n \rangle = 1$.

Let $F/\mathbb{Q}$ be an abelian field, and let $n \geq 1$ be such that $F \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_n)$. The cyclotomic character then allows us to identify $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ with a quotient of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. 93
Notation 4.1.6. The set $X(F)$ of primitive Dirichlet characters of $F \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\mu_N)$ consists of the primitive characters of conductor dividing $n$ attached to characters of $(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ that factor through $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$.

Remark 4.1.7. A Dirichlet character $\chi \in X(F)$ is even if and only if the associated character on $\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$ is even.

To any Dirichlet character, we can attach an $L$-series.

Definition 4.1.8. Let $\chi$ be a Dirichlet character. The Dirichlet $L$-series attached to $\chi$ is the complex-valued function on $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } s > 1$ defined by

$$L(\chi, s) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n^s}.$$

Example 4.1.9. For $\chi = 1$, one has $L(1, s) = \zeta(s)$, the Riemann $\zeta$-function.

We note that Dirichlet $L$-series have Euler product expansions.

Proposition 4.1.10. One has

$$L(\chi, s) = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} \frac{1}{1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}}$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } s > 1$.

Theorem 4.1.11. The $L$-series $L(\chi, s)$ has a meromorphic continuation to all of $\mathbb{C}$ that is analytic if $f_\chi > 1$, while $\zeta(s)$ is holomorphic aside from a simple pole at $s = 1$ with residue 1.

Definition 4.1.12. The Dirichlet $L$-function $L(\chi, s)$ of a Dirichlet character $\chi$ is the meromorphic continuation of the $L$-series $L(\chi, s)$ to $\mathbb{C}$.

Definition 4.1.13. The Gamma function is the unique meromorphic function on $\mathbb{C}$ that satisfies

$$\Gamma(s) = \int_0^{\infty} t^{s-1}e^{-t}dt$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{C}$ with $\text{Re } s > 0$ and

$$\Gamma(s+1) = s\Gamma(s)$$

for all $s$ for which it is defined.

Remark 4.1.14. The Gamma function has poles, which are all simple, at exactly the nonpositive integers. It also satisfies $\Gamma(n) = (n - 1)!$ for any positive integer $n$.

Definition 4.1.15. The Gauss sum attached to a Dirichlet character $\chi$ of modulus $n$ is the value

$$\tau(\chi) = \sum_{a=1}^{n} \chi(a)e^{2\pi ia/n}.$$
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**Definition 4.1.16.** For a Dirichlet character \( \chi \), we let \( \bar{\chi} \) denote its complex conjugate, which satisfies \( \bar{\chi}(a) = \chi(a) \) for all \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \).

We mention a couple of basic lemmas regarding Gauss sums that will be of use.

**Lemma 4.1.17.** Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character. Then we have

\[
\chi(b) \tau(\bar{\chi}) = \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \bar{\chi}(a)e^{2\pi iab/f_{\chi}}
\]

for all \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \).

**Proof.** If \( \chi(b) = 0 \), then setting \( d = (b, f_{\chi}) \) and \( m = d^{-1}f_{\chi} \), we have

\[
\sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \bar{\chi}(a)e^{2\pi iab/f_{\chi}} = \sum_{a=1}^{m} \sum_{c=1}^{d} \bar{\chi}(a + mc)e^{2\pi iab/f_{\chi}},
\]

and

\[
\sum_{c=1}^{d} \bar{\chi}(a + mc) = 0
\]

for all \( a \). If \( \chi(b) \neq 0 \), then

\[
\chi(b) \tau(\bar{\chi}) = \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \bar{\chi}(ab^{-1})e^{2\pi ia/f_{\chi}},
\]

which gives the desired equality upon reordering the sum. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.1.18.** For a primitive Dirichlet character \( \chi \), we have

\[
|\tau(\chi)| = \frac{f_{\chi}}{2}.
\]

**Proof.** Note that \( \tau(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)\tau(\bar{\chi}) \). We then have

\[
|\tau(\chi)| = \chi(-1) \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(a) \tau(\bar{\chi})e^{2\pi ia/f_{\chi}},
\]

and by Lemma 4.1.17, this equals

\[
\chi(-1) \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \left( \sum_{b=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(b)e^{2\pi iab/f_{\chi}} \right)e^{2\pi ia/f_{\chi}} = \chi(-1) \sum_{b=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(b) \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} e^{2\pi i(b+1)a/f_{\chi}}.
\]

The latter sum of exponentials is zero unless \( b = f_{\chi} - 1 \), in which case it is \( f_{\chi} \). Hence,

\[
|\tau(\chi)| = |\chi(-1)|^2 f_{\chi} = f_{\chi}.
\]

\( \square \)
DEFINITION 4.1.19. For a primitive Dirichlet character \( \chi \), we set
\[
\delta_\chi = (1 - \chi(-1))/2, \quad \varepsilon_\chi = \frac{\tau(\chi)}{i^{\varepsilon_\chi} \sqrt{f_{\chi}}}, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda(\chi, s) = \left( \frac{f_{\chi}}{\pi} \right)^{s/2} \Gamma \left( \frac{s + \delta_\chi}{2} \right) L(\chi, s),
\]

THEOREM 4.1.20. Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character. Then the \( L \)-functions of \( \chi \) and \( \bar{\chi} \) satisfy
\[
\Lambda(\chi, s) = \varepsilon_\chi \Lambda(\bar{\chi}, 1 - s)
\]
for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} \).

We give the relationship between Dirichlet \( L \)-functions and the Dedekind zeta function of an abelian field.

PROPOSITION 4.1.21. Let \( F \) be an abelian field. Then
\[
\zeta_F(s) = \prod_{\chi \in X(F)} L(\chi, s).
\]

PROOF. It suffices to check this on \( s \) with \( \text{Re}\ s > 1 \) by uniqueness of the meromorphic continuations. In turn, it suffices to check that for each prime \( p \), we have
\[
\prod_{p \in V_p(F)} (1 - (Np)^{-s}) = \prod_{\chi \in X(F)} (1 - \chi(p)p^{-s}). \tag{4.1.1}
\]
As \( F/\mathbb{Q} \) is Galois, we have \( Np = p^{-fs} \), where \( f \) is the common residue degree of the primes over \( p \) in \( F \), so the lefthand side is just \( (1 - p^{-fs})^g \), where \( g = |V_p(F)| \). Note that \( \chi(p) = 0 \) if \( p \) ramified in the fixed field of the kernel of \( \chi \). Thus, the product reduces to \( \chi \in X(E) \), where \( E \) is the maximal unramified subextension of \( F/\mathbb{Q} \). Viewing \( \chi \in X(E) \) as a Galois character, so \( \chi(p) \) is the value of \( \chi \) on the Frobenius at \( p \), which is a generator of a cyclic subgroup of order \( f \) in \( \text{Gal}(E/\mathbb{Q}) \). Since \( fg = [E : \mathbb{Q}] \), there are \( g \) characters \( \chi \) such that \( \chi(f) = \zeta_f^i \) for a fixed primitive \( f \)th root of unity \( \zeta_f \) and given integer \( i \) with \( 0 \leq i \leq f - 1 \). The righthand side of (4.1.1) is then simply
\[
\prod_{i=0}^{f-1} (1 - \zeta_f^i p^{-s})^g = (1 - p^{-fs})^g,
\]
as required. \( \square \)

COROLLARY 4.1.22. Let \( \chi \neq 1 \). Then \( L(\chi, 1) \neq 0 \).

PROOF. Since \( \zeta_F(s) \) has a simple pole at \( s = 1 \), as does \( L(1, s) \), while \( L(\chi, s) \) is analytic for \( \chi \neq 1 \), this is a direct result of Proposition 4.1.21. \( \square \)
4.2. Bernoulli numbers

**Definition 4.2.1.** For \( n \geq 0 \), the \( n \)th **Bernoulli number** \( B_n \) is the value of the \( n \)th derivative of \( \frac{t}{e^t - 1} \) at 0.

In other words, \( B_n \) is the rational number appearing in the Taylor expansion

\[
\frac{t}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n \frac{t^n}{n!}
\]

**Example 4.2.2.** We have

\[
\frac{e^t - 1}{t} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{t^n}{(n+1)!} = 1 + \frac{1}{2}t + \frac{1}{6}t^2 + \cdots,
\]

so \( B_0 = 1 \), \( B_1 = -\frac{1}{2} \), and \( B_2 = \frac{1}{6} \) after inverting the series.

**Remark 4.2.3.** Note that

\[
\frac{-t}{e^{-t} - 1} = \frac{te^t}{e^t - 1} = \frac{t}{e^t - 1} + t,
\]

so

\[
\frac{t}{e^t - 1} + \frac{1}{2}t
\]

is an even function, and therefore we have \( B_n = 0 \) for all odd \( n \geq 2 \).

We shall require generalizations of these numbers attached to Dirichlet characters.

**Definition 4.2.4.** Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character, and let \( m \) be any multiple of \( f_\chi \). Then the **generalized Bernoulli number** \( B_{n, \chi} \) is the algebraic number appearing in the series expansions

\[
\sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) \frac{te^{mt}}{e^{mt} - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_{n, \chi} \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

**Remark 4.2.5.** The independence from \( m \) in the definition of \( B_{n, \chi} \) is easily seen to boil down to the fact that

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{r} \frac{x^i}{x^r - 1} = \frac{1}{x - 1},
\]

taking \( r = m/f_\chi \) and \( x = e^{f_\chi t} \).

**Remark 4.2.6.** We have \( B_{n,1} = B_n \) for all \( n \geq 2 \), but \( B_{1,1} = \frac{1}{2} = -B_1 \).

**Remark 4.2.7.** We have that \( B_{n, \chi} = 0 \) for \( n \not\equiv \delta_\chi \mod 2 \), aside from \( B_{1,1} \).

We also have Bernoulli polynomials.
DEFINITION 4.2.8. The \( n \)th Bernoulli polynomial \( B_n(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \) is the polynomial appearing in the series expansion

\[
\frac{te^{Xt}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(X) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

EXAMPLE 4.2.9. We have \( B_0(X) = 1 \) and \( B_1(X) = X - \frac{1}{2} \).

LEMMMA 4.2.10. Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character, and let \( m \) be a multiple of \( f_\chi \). We have

\[
B_n,\chi = m^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) B_n\left(\frac{a}{m}\right)
\]

for \( n \geq 1 \).

PROOF. We have

\[
\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} m^{n-1} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) B_n\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) \frac{t^n}{n!} = \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) m^{-1} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) \frac{(mt)^n}{n!} = \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) \frac{te^{at}}{e^{mt} - 1}.
\]

COROLLARY 4.2.11. Let \( \chi \) be a primitive, nontrivial Dirichlet character of conductor dividing \( m \). Then we have

\[
B_{1,\chi} = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a)a.
\]

PROOF. We compute easily that \( B_1(x) = x - 1/2 \). The result then follows from Lemma 4.2.10 and the fact that the sum over all \( \chi(a) \) for \( 1 \leq a \leq m \) is zero, since \( \chi \) is nontrivial.

DEFINITION 4.2.12. A value of \( L(\chi, s) \) at \( s \in \mathbb{Z} \) is known as an \( L \)-value, or as a special value of the \( L \)-function \( L(\chi, s) \).

The following proposition gives a relationship between \( L \)-values and generalized Bernoulli numbers.

PROPOSITION 4.2.13. Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character. Then we have

\[
L(\chi, 1-n) = -\frac{B_{n,\chi}}{n}
\]

for all positive integers \( n \).

PROOF. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < x \leq 1 \), and consider the complex function

\[
f(t) = \frac{te^{(1-x)t}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(1-x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]

The following proposition gives a relationship between \( L \)-values and generalized Bernoulli numbers.

PROPOSITION 4.2.13. Let \( \chi \) be a primitive Dirichlet character. Then we have

\[
L(\chi, 1-n) = -\frac{B_{n,\chi}}{n}
\]

for all positive integers \( n \).

PROOF. Let \( x \in \mathbb{R} \) with \( 0 < x \leq 1 \), and consider the complex function

\[
f(t) = \frac{te^{(1-x)t}}{e^t - 1} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} B_n(1-x) \frac{t^n}{n!}.
\]
For \( s \in \mathbb{C} \), set

\[
g(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{\gamma_\varepsilon} f(t) t^{s-2} dt,
\]

where the path \( \gamma_\varepsilon \) consists of the horizontal infinite path along the real axis to \( \varepsilon \), following by a counterclockwise traversal around the circle \( C_\varepsilon \) of radius \( \varepsilon \), followed by the horizontal infinite path from \( \varepsilon \) along the positive real axis. Here, \( t^{s-2} = e^{(s-2)\log t} \), where we take the branch of the logarithm given by the positive real axis. Then

\[
g(s) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \left( (e^{2\pi i s} - 1) \int_{\varepsilon}^{\infty} f(t) t^{s-2} dt + \int_{C_\varepsilon} f(t) t^{s-2} dt \right).
\]

If \( \text{Re} s > 1 \), the second term vanishes in the limit, and this simplifies to

\[
(e^{2\pi i s} - 1)^{-1} g(s) = \int_{0}^{\infty} f(t) t^{s-2} dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \int_{0}^{\infty} r^{s-1} e^{-(x+k)t} dt = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x+k)^{-s} \Gamma(s) = \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, x),
\]

where we set \( \zeta(s, x) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} (x+k)^{-s} \). The latter function can be meromorphically continued to all of \( \mathbb{C} \) which is again analytic away from \( s = 1 \). We therefore have

\[
g(s) = (e^{2\pi i s} - 1) \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, x)
\]

for all \( s \in \mathbb{C} - \{1\} \).

For \( s = 1 - n \), we obtain

\[
\lim_{s \to 1-n} (e^{2\pi i s} - 1) \Gamma(s) \zeta(s, x) = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \int_{C_\varepsilon} f(t) t^{-1-n} dt = 2\pi i \frac{B_n(1-x)}{n!}
\]

by Cauchy’s integral formula. We have

\[
\lim_{s \to 1-n} (e^{2\pi i s} - 1) \Gamma(s) = 2\pi i \lim_{s \to 1-n} s \Gamma(s) = 2\pi i \frac{(-1)^{n-1}}{(n-1)!},
\]

so we obtain

\[
\zeta(1-n, x) = (-1)^{n-1} \frac{B_n(1-x)}{n} = - \frac{B_n(x)}{n}.
\]

Finally, setting \( f = f_\chi \), we need only note that

\[
L(\chi, 1-n) = \sum_{a=1}^{f} \chi(a) f^{n-1} \zeta(1-n, \frac{a}{f}) = - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{a=1}^{f} \chi(a) f^{n-1} B_n(\frac{a}{f}) = - \frac{B_n, \chi}{n}.
\]

**Theorem 4.2.14.** Let \( \chi \) be a nontrivial primitive Dirichlet character. We have

\[
L(\chi, 1) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{\pi \tau(\chi)}{f_\chi} B_{1, \chi} & \text{if } \chi \text{ is odd}, \\
\frac{\tau(\chi)}{f_\chi} \sum_{a=1}^{f_\chi} \chi(a) \log |1 - e^{2\pi i a / f_\chi}| & \text{if } \chi \text{ is even}.
\end{cases}
\]
PROOF. If \( \chi \) is odd, then the functional equation and the fact that \( \Gamma(1/2) = \pi^{1/2} \) imply that

\[
L(\chi, 1) = -\frac{\pi i \tau(\chi)}{f_\chi} L(\chi, 0) = \frac{\pi i \tau(\chi)}{f_\chi} B_1, \chi.
\]

Now let \( \chi \) be even, and set \( f = f_\chi \). By Lemma 4.1.17, we then have

\[
L(\chi, 1) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\chi(n)}{n} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{a=1}^{f} \frac{\chi(a)e^{2\pi i a/n}}{n} = -\frac{1}{\tau(\chi)} \sum_{a=1}^{f} \chi(a) \log (1 - e^{2\pi i a/f}).
\]

By Lemma 4.1.18 (and Lemma 4.1.17), we have that \( \tau(\bar{\chi}) \tau(\chi) = f \), and the evenness of \( \bar{\chi} \) plus the fact that the sum is taken over all \( a \mod f \) tell us that we may replace \( \log (1 - e^{2\pi i a/f}) \) with

\[
\log |1 - e^{2\pi i a/f}| = \frac{1}{2} (\log (1 - e^{2\pi i a/f}) + \log (1 - e^{2\pi i (f-a)/f})).
\]

\( \square \)

Combining the analytic class number formula with Proposition 4.1.21 and Theorem 4.1.11, we obtain the following, which we will at times also refer to as the analytic class number formula.

**Corollary 4.2.15.** Let \( F \) be an abelian field. Then we have

\[
\prod_{\chi \in \chi(F)} L(\chi, 1) = \frac{2^{r_1(F)} (2\pi)^{r_2(F)} h_F R_F}{w_F |d_F|^{1/2}}.
\]

We note the following.

**Lemma 4.2.16.** Let \( F \) be a CM field. Set \( Q_F = [E_F : \mu(F)E_F^+] \). Then \( Q_F \in \{1, 2\} \) and

\[
[E_F : E_F^+] = Q_F w_F.
\]

**Proof.** Let \( \tau \) be the generator of \( \text{Gal}(F/F^+) \). For \( \alpha \in E_F \), we have \( |\alpha^{1-\tau}| = 1 \) under any complex embedding of \( F \), so \( \alpha^{1-\tau} \in \mu(F) \). Consider the commutative diagram

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \langle -1 \rangle \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & E_F^+ \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & E_F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & E_F^+/\langle -1 \rangle \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mu(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mu(F)^2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mu(F) & \longrightarrow & 1-	au \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mu(F)/\mu(F)^2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & E_F \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & \mu(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]

\[
\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & \mu(F) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\mu(F)/\mu(F)^2 & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & 1
\end{array}
\]
The snake lemma tells us that the cokernels $K$ of the two maps $\tau - 1$ are isomorphic. The lower two rows yield

$$[E_F : E_F^+] = \frac{w_F}{|K|} \quad \text{and} \quad [E_F : \mu(F)E_F^+] = \frac{2}{|K|},$$

and the result follows. □

We remark that for cyclotomic fields, $Q_F$ is computable.

**Lemma 4.2.17.** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$ for some $m \geq 1$ with $m \not\equiv 2 \pmod{4}$. Then

$$Q_F = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } m \text{ is a prime power} \\ 2 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Let $\tau$ be the generator of Gal$(F/F^+)$. Note that

$$Q_F = 2|\text{coker}(E_F \xrightarrow{1-\tau} \mu(F))|^{-1}$$

by the proof of Lemma 4.2.16. If $m$ is not a prime power, then $1 - \zeta_m$ is a unit, and $(1 - \zeta_m)^{1-\tau} = -\zeta_m$, which generates $\mu(F)$. Thus $Q_F = 2$ in this case. Conversely, if $\alpha^{1-\tau} = -\zeta_m$ generates $\mu(F)$ for some $\alpha \in E_F$, we would have $\alpha^{-1}(1 - \zeta_m) \in F^+$. If $m$ were a power of a prime $p$, then $\alpha^{-1}(1 - \zeta_m)$ would generate the unique prime over $p$ in $F$. Since this prime is ramified in $F/F^+$, its generator cannot lie in $F^+$. This forces $Q_F$ to be 1 if $m$ is a prime power. □

**Notation 4.2.18.** For a CM field $F$, we set $R_F^+ = R_{F^+}$

**Lemma 4.2.19.** Let $F$ be a CM field. Then

$$R_F = 2^{r_2(F) - 1} \frac{w_F}{[E_F : E_F^+]} R_{F^+}^F.$$

**Proof.** Let

$$r = r_2(F) - 1 = \text{rank } E_F = \text{rank } E_F^+.$$

Suppose that $\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \in E_F^+$ satisfy

$$\langle -1, \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \rangle = E_F^+. $$

Then

$$\mu(F) \cdot \langle \alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r \rangle = \mu(F)E_F^+, $$

which has index $2[E_F : E_F^+]/w_F$ in $E_F$, so Lemma 1.2.10 tells us that

$$R_F = \frac{w_F}{2[E_F : E_F^+]} R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r).$$
On the other hand, note that each $c_i$ in Definition 1.2.5 is $2$ for $F$ but $1$ for $F^+$, so

$$R_F(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \ldots, \alpha_r) = 2^r R_F^+,$$

as desired. \hfill \square

Corollary 4.2.15 implies the following.

**THEOREM 4.2.20.** Suppose that $F$ is a CM abelian field. Then

$$h_F^- = 2[E_F : E_F^+] \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(F) \atop \chi \text{ odd}} \frac{-B_1, \chi}{2} \quad \text{and} \quad h_F^+ = \frac{1}{R_F^+} \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(F) \atop \chi \neq 1 \text{ even}} \left( \frac{-1}{2} \sum_{a=1}^{f_{\chi}} \chi(a) \log |1 - e^{2\pi ia/f_{\chi}}| \right).$$

**PROOF.** Let $E$ be an arbitrary abelian field. We remark that for $\chi \in \mathcal{X}(E)$, the quantity $f_{\chi}$ is the conductor of the corresponding character $(\mathbb{Z}/f_{\chi}\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$. Therefore, the conductor-discriminant formula tells us that

$$|d_E| = \prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(E)} f_{\chi}. \quad (4.2.1)$$

Moreover, a comparison of the functional equations of the Dirichlet $L$-functions and the Artin $L$-functions yields that

$$\prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(E)} \varepsilon_{\chi} = 1,$$

so

$$\prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(E)} \tau(\chi) = i^{\tau_2(E)}|d_E|^{1/2}. \quad (4.2.2)$$

Taking the quotient of the analytic class number formula for $F$ by that for $F^+$ and applying Theorem 4.2.14, we obtain

$$\prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(F) \atop \chi \text{ odd}} \frac{\pi i \tau(\chi)}{f_{\chi}} B_{1, \chi} = \frac{\pi^{\tau_2(F)}}{|d_F/d_F^+|^{1/2} w_F/w_{F^+}} R_F/R_F^+ h_F^- \quad (4.2.3)$$

Applying (4.2.1) and (4.2.2) for $E = F$ and $E = F^+$, we see that

$$\prod_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}(F) \atop \chi \text{ odd}} \frac{\pi i \tau(\chi)}{f_{\chi}} = \frac{(-\pi)^{\tau_2(F)}}{|d_F/d_F^+|^{1/2}},$$

and Lemma 4.2.19 tells us that

$$\frac{R_F/R_F^+}{w_F/w_{F^+}} = 2^{\tau_2(F)-1}[E_F : E_F^+],$$

since $w_{F^+} = 2$. Equation (4.2.3) is then immediately reduced to the desired form.
4.3. CYCLOTOMIC UNITS

On the other hand, the analytic class number formula for $F^+$ and Theorem 4.2.14,

$$\prod_{\chi \in X(F)} \left( \frac{-\tau(\chi)}{f_\chi} \sum_{a=1}^{f_\chi} \bar{\chi}(a) \log |1 - e^{2\pi i a/f_\chi}| \right) = \frac{2^{r_1(F^+)} h^+_F R^+_F}{2|d_F|^{1/2}},$$

Applying (4.2.1) and (4.2.2) and noting that replacing $\bar{\chi}(a)$ by $\chi(a)$ in the resulting sum makes no difference in the result, we obtain the formula for $h^+_F$.

4.3. Cyclotomic units

The product appearing in the formula for $h^+_F$ in Theorem 4.2.20 may appear itself something like a regulator. This is essentially the case.

**Definition 4.3.1.** If $F$ is an abelian field contained in $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$ for $m \geq 1$, we let $S = V_{m_\infty}$ and define the group of *cyclotomic S-units* $C^+_{F,S}$ of $F$ to be the subgroup

$$C^+_{F,S} = \langle 1 - \zeta^a_m \mid 1 \leq a < m \rangle \cap F^\times$$

of $\mathcal{O}^\times_{F,S}$, where $\zeta_m$ is a primitive $m$th root of unity. The group of *cyclotomic units* of $F$ is then defined as the intersection $C_F = E_F \cap C^+_{F,S}$.

**Remark 4.3.2.** The definition of $C_F$ is independent of the multiple $m$ of the conductor of $F^+$.

We have the following result of Hasse, which is due to Kummer in the case of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ for a prime $p$. We will prove a generalization of this result to arbitrary cyclotomic fields in Theorem 4.7.1.

**Theorem 4.3.3 (Hasse).** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^n)$ for an odd prime $p$ and $n \geq 1$. Then we have

$$h^+_F = [E^+_F : C^+_F].$$

**Proof.** The set

$$\left\{ \xi_a = \frac{\zeta^{a/2}_{p^n}}{\zeta^{1/2}_{p^n}} - \frac{\zeta^{-a/2}_{p^n}}{\zeta^{-1/2}_{p^n}} \mid 1 < a < p^n/2, (a, p) = 1 \right\}$$

forms an independent set of generators of $C^+_{F}$.

Let us let $R_{cyc}$ denote the regulator of the latter set. Then $R_{cyc}$ is the absolute value of the determinant of the matrix with rows and columns indexed by the integers $a$ prime to $p$ with $1 < a < p^n/2$ with entries in the row and column corresponding to $(a, b)$ given by $\log |\sigma_a(\xi_b)|$, where $\sigma_a(\zeta_{p^n}) = \zeta_{p^n}^a$. Now

$$\log |\sigma_a(\xi_b)| = \log |1 - \zeta^{ab}_{p^n}| - \log |1 - \zeta^a_{p^n}|.$$
Proposition 1.5.18 applied to the group $\text{Gal}(F^+ / \mathbb{Q})$ yields

$$R_{\text{cyc}} = \left| \prod_{\chi \in \chi(F^+)} \left( \sum_{b=1}^{p^n-1} \chi(b) \log |1 - \zeta_m^b| \right) \right| = \left| \prod_{\chi \in \chi(F^+)} \sum_{(c,p)=1}^{p^n-1} \chi(c) \log |1 - \zeta_m^c| \right|.$$ 

As $\chi$ has conductor dividing $p^n$ and

$$1 - \zeta_m^c = \prod_{j=0}^{k-1} (1 - \zeta_m^{c+jk})$$

for $n, k \geq 1$ and $c \not\equiv 0 \mod n$, we have

$$\sum_{(c,p)=1}^{p^n-1} \chi(c) \log |1 - \zeta_m^c| = \sum_{(c,p)=1}^{p^n-1} \chi(c) \log |1 - \zeta_m^c| = -\frac{f_x}{\tau(\chi)} L(\tilde{\chi}, 1) = -\tau(\chi) L(\tilde{\chi}, 1),$$

the middle step by Theorem 4.2.14. Therefore, it follows that

$$R_{\text{cyc}} = \left| \prod_{\chi \in \chi(F^+)} \frac{\tau(\chi)}{2} L(\tilde{\chi}, 1) \right| = h_F^+ R_F^+$$

by Theorem 4.2.20. On the other hand, we have $R_{\text{cyc}} = R_F^+ |E_F^+ : C_F^+|$ by Lemma 1.2.10. 

A standard choice of primitive $m$th roots of unity for $m \geq 1$, viewing $\mathbb{Q}$ as a subset of $\mathbb{C}$, is to take $\zeta_m = e^{2\pi i / m}$ for $m \geq 1$. This choice has the advantage that $\zeta_m^{n/m} = \zeta_m$ for $m$ dividing $n$. Let us make such a choice. We first remark that the elements $1 - \zeta_m$ for $m$ divisible by two distinct primes are in fact units.

**Lemma 4.3.4.** If $m$ is divisible by two distinct primes, then $1 - \zeta_m \in \mathbb{C}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)}$.

**Proof.** For a positive integer $d$, let $\Phi_d$ denote the $d$th cyclotomic polynomial. We have

$$\Phi_m(1) = \prod_{i=1}^{m-1} (1 - \zeta_m^i),$$

so it suffices to show that $\Phi_m(1) = \pm 1$. We have

$$\frac{x^m - 1}{x - 1} = \prod_{d|m, d>1} \Phi_d(x).$$

Plugging in $x = 1$, we obtain

$$m = \prod_{d|m, d>1} \Phi_d(1).$$
Note $\Phi_{p^n}(1) = p^k$ for any power $p^k$ of a prime $p$. Expressing $m = \prod_{i=1}^{g} p_i^{k_i}$ as a product of powers of distinct primes $p_i$, we then also have

$$m = \prod_{i=1}^{g} \Phi_{p_i^{k_i}}(1).$$

Since each $\Phi_d(1)$ is an integer, it follows that $\Phi_m(1) = \pm 1$, as desired. \hfill \Box

Next, we note the following the compatibility of the elements $1 - \zeta_m$ under norms.

**Lemma 4.3.5.** For $m \geq 1$ and a prime $\ell$, we have

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)}(1 - \zeta_{m\ell}) = \begin{cases} 1 - \zeta_m & \text{if } \ell | m, \\ -\zeta_m^{-1} \frac{1 - \zeta_m}{1 - \zeta_\ell^{-1}} & \text{if } \ell \nmid m. \end{cases}$$

**Proof.** Note that

$$\prod_{i=1}^{\ell} (1 - \zeta_{m\ell} \zeta_i^\ell) = 1 - \zeta_m.$$

If $\ell$ divides $m$, then the left-hand side runs over the conjugates of $1 - \zeta_{m\ell}$ under $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell))$, so the product equals the norm.

If $\ell$ does not divide $m$, then let $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with $a\ell + bm = 1$. We then have $\zeta_{m\ell}^a \zeta_\ell^b = \zeta_{m\ell}$, so the conjugates of $\zeta_{m\ell}$ have the form $\zeta_{m\ell} \zeta_\ell^i$ with $i \not\equiv -b \mod \ell$. Note that $b \equiv m^{-1} \mod \ell$, so moving this term from the product to the other side, we have

$$N_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell)}(1 - \zeta_{m\ell}) = \frac{1 - \zeta_m}{1 - \zeta_m^{-1}} = -\zeta_\ell^{-1} \frac{1 - \zeta_m}{1 - \zeta_\ell^{-1}}.$$

\hfill \Box

### 4.4. Reflection theorems

We now refine Theorem 1.4.15 by working with eigenspaces. Start with a totally real field $F$. Let

$$\chi : G_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$$

be a character with finite image. Any embedding $\varphi$ of $\overline{F}$ in $\mathbb{C}$ fixes an element $c_\varphi \in G_F$ that is the restriction of complex conjugation in $\text{Gal}({\mathbb{C}}/{\mathbb{R}})$, since $F$ is taken to a subfield of $\mathbb{R}$ under the embedding. All such complex conjugations in $G_F$ arise in this way, and they form $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ distinct conjugacy classes in $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ for the real embeddings of $F$ in $\overline{F} = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$. In $G_F^{ab}$, these complex conjugations restrict to exactly $[F : \mathbb{Q}]$ distinct elements, with the elements of the same class restricting to the same element.

**Definition 4.4.1.** We say that a character $\chi : G_F \to \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^\times$ of a totally real field $F$ is **totally even** if $\chi$ is trivial on all complex conjugations and **totally odd** if $\chi$ is nontrivial on all complex conjugations. If $F = \mathbb{Q}$, we say more simply that $\chi$ is **even** or **odd** in the respective cases.
We let $F_\chi$ denote the extension of $F$ that is the fixed field of the kernel of $\chi$, which will itself be totally real if $\chi$ is totally even and CM if $\chi$ is totally odd. If $F = \mathbb{Q}$, these are the only cases.

We now suppose that $\chi$ has order prime to a given odd prime $p$. We fix an embedding $t_p : \mathbb{Q} \to \mathbb{Q}_p$, which allows us to view $\chi$ as a character with values in $\mathbb{Q}_p^\times$, and hence in $\mathbb{Z}_p^\times$.

One key character of interest to us is the Teichmüller character

$$\omega : G_F \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$$

which has image contained in $\mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)$ and is defined by the equality

$$\sigma(\zeta) = \zeta^{\omega(\sigma)}$$

for any $\sigma \in G_F$ and $\zeta \in \mu_p$. Note that the Teichmüller character is an odd character on $G_F$.

**Theorem 4.4.2 (Leopoldt’s Spiegelungssatz).** Let $F$ be a totally real field, and let $\chi : G_F \to \mathbb{Q}_p^\times$ be a totally odd character of finite order prime to $p$. Let $E$ be an abelian extension of $F$ of degree prime to $p$ that contains $F_\chi(\mu_p)$. Then we have

$$r_p(A_E^{(\omega \chi^{-1})}) - \delta_\chi \leq r_p(A_E^{(\chi)}) \leq r_p(A_E^{(\omega \chi^{-1})}) + r_p((\mathcal{O}_E^\times / \mathcal{O}_E^{(\omega \chi^{-1})})$$

where $\delta_\chi$ is 0 unless $\chi = \omega$ and the extension $E(\mu(F)^{1/p})/E$ is unramified, in which case it is 1.

**Proof.** Let $\Delta = \text{Gal}(E/F)$. Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the ring generated over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ by the character values of $\Delta$. Let $k$ denote the residue field of $\mathcal{O}$, and let $k_\psi$ denote the residue field of $\mathcal{O}_\psi$, the ring of values of $\psi$, for any $\psi \in \Delta^\times$. As $\mathcal{O}$ is unramified over $\mathbb{Z}_p$, we have $[\mathcal{O} : \mathcal{O}_\psi] = [k : k_\psi]$.

For a $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module $B$, we let $B_{\mathcal{O}} = B \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathcal{O}$. We remark that Lemma 2.8.7 implies that

$$r_p(B_{\mathcal{O}}^\psi) = [k : k_\psi]r_p(B^\psi).$$

Note also that we have

$$r_p(B_{\mathcal{O}}^\psi) = [k : \mathbb{F}_p] \dim_k((B/pB)^\mathcal{O})^\psi,$$

so

(4.4.1) $$r_p(B^\psi) = [k_\psi : \mathbb{F}_p]^{-1} \dim_k((B/pB)^\mathcal{O})^\psi.$$ 

Since $\mathcal{O}_\chi = \mathcal{O}_{\omega \chi^{-1}}$ and since $\delta_\chi = 0$ unless $\chi = \omega$, in which case $k_\chi = \mathbb{F}_p$, equation (4.4.1) tells us that the desired inequalities are equivalent to

$$\dim_k(A_{\mathcal{O}}^{\omega \chi^{-1}}) - \delta_\chi \leq \dim_k(A_{\mathcal{O}}^\chi) \leq \dim_k(A_{\mathcal{O}}^{\omega \chi^{-1}}) + \dim_k((\mathcal{O}_E^\times / \mathcal{O}_E^{\omega \chi^{-1}}))_{\mathcal{O}}^{\omega \chi^{-1}}),$$

where we have set $A = A_E/pA_E$ to shorten notation.

Note that we have the following isomorphisms of groups

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A_E, \mu_p)_{\mathcal{O}} \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(A_E, (\mu_p)_{\mathcal{O}}) \cong \text{Hom}_G((A_E)_{\mathcal{O}}, (\mu_p)_{\mathcal{O}}).$$
the first step following from the freeness of $\mathcal{O}$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p$ and the second from the adjointness of $\text{Hom}$ and $\otimes$. Moreover, Lemma 2.8.7 implies that

$$\text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}((A_E)_\mathcal{O}, (\mu_p)_\mathcal{O})^\psi \cong \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}((A_E)^{\omega \psi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}, (\mu_p)_\mathcal{O})$$

for any $\psi \in \Delta^*$. Recalling Lemma 1.4.6, we then have an exact sequence

$$0 \to ((B \cap \mathcal{O}_E^\times)/\mathcal{O}_E^\times p)_\mathcal{O} \to \text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}((A_E)^{\omega \psi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}, (\mu_p)_\mathcal{O}) \to (A_E)_\mathcal{O}[p],$$

where $B$ is the set of elements of $E^\times$ that have $p$th roots that generate unramified extensions of $E$. Since $(\mu_p)_\mathcal{O}$ is a one-dimensional $k$-vector space, we have

$$\text{Hom}_\mathcal{O}((A_E)^{\omega \psi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}, (\mu_p)_\mathcal{O}) \cong \text{Hom}_k(A^{\omega \psi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}, k),$$

which as the $k$-dual of a $k$-vector space has dimension equal to $\dim_k(A^{\omega \psi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O})$.

In the case that $\psi = \chi$, we then have that

$$\dim_k(A^{\omega \chi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}) \leq \dim_k(((B \cap \mu(E))/\mu(E)^p)_\mathcal{O}) + \dim_k(A^{(\chi)}_\mathcal{O}) = \delta_{\chi} + \dim_k(A^{(\chi)}_\mathcal{O}),$$

since the $p$-power roots of unity in $E$ have trivial $\chi$-eigenspace unless $[\chi] = [\omega]$, which happens if and only if $\chi = \omega$, as $\omega$ takes its values in $\mathbb{Z}_p$. On the other hand, if we take $\psi = \omega \chi^{-1}$, then we have

$$\dim_k(A^{\chi}_\mathcal{O}) \leq \dim_k(((\mathcal{O}_E^\times / \mathcal{O}_E^\times p)^{\omega \chi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}) + \dim_k(A^{\omega \chi^{-1}}_\mathcal{O}),$$

finishing the proof.

In the special case that $F = \mathbb{Q}$ and $E = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$, we remark that $\delta_{\omega} = 0$, as $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p^2)/F$ is ramified at the unique prime over $p$. Moreover, we have the following.

**LEMMA 4.4.3.** Let $k$ be an even integer. Then

$$(\mathcal{O}_E^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p)^{(\omega^k)} \cong \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}_p & k \not\equiv 0 \mod (p - 1) \\ 0 & k \equiv 0 \mod (p - 1). \end{cases}$$

**COROLLARY 4.4.4.** For any even integer $k$, we have

$$r_p(A^{(\omega^k)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)}) \leq r_p(A^{(\omega^{i-k})}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)}) \leq r_p(A^{(\omega^k)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)}) + 1.$$

**COROLLARY 4.4.5.** We have $A^{(\omega)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)} = A^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)} = 0$.

**PROOF.** We know that

$$A^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)} = A^{\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q})}_Q \cong A_Q = 0.$$ 

As Theorem 4.4.2 and Lemma 4.4.3 tell us that $r_p(A^{(1)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)}) = r_p(A^{(\omega)}_{\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)})$, so we are done. \qed
4.5. Stickelberger theory

Let us fix an integer \( m \geq 1 \) and a primitive \( m \)th root of unity \( \zeta_m \) throughout this section.

**Definition 4.5.1.** Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \), and let \( G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \).

a. For \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( (a,m) = 1 \), let \( \sigma_a \in G \) be such that \( \sigma_a(\zeta_m) = \zeta_m^a \). The **Stickelberger element** \( \theta_F \) is the element of \( \mathbb{Q}[G] \) given by
   \[
   \theta_F = \frac{1}{m} \sum_{a=1 \atop (a,m) = 1}^m a\sigma_a^{-1}.
   \]

b. The **Stickelberger ideal** of \( F \) is the ideal \( \mathcal{I}_F = \mathbb{Z}[G] \cap \mathbb{Z}[G] \) of \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \).

**Lemma 4.5.2.** Let \( J \) denote the ideal of \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \) generated by elements of the form \( \sigma_b - b \) for \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( (b,m) = 1 \). Then \( J = \{ x \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \mid x\theta_F \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \} \).

**Proof.** Let us use \( \langle \alpha \rangle \) to denote the fractional part of \( \alpha \in \mathbb{Q} \). We note
   \[
   \sigma_b \theta_F = \sum_{a=1 \atop (a,m) = 1}^m \frac{a}{m} \sigma_b \sigma_a^{-1} = \sum_{a=1 \atop (a,m) = 1}^m \langle \frac{ab}{m} \rangle \sigma_a^{-1}.
   \]
Since \( \langle \frac{ab}{m} \rangle - \langle \frac{a}{m} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have \( (\sigma_b - b)\theta_F \in \mathcal{I}_F \) for all \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \) prime to \( m \), and hence \( J\theta_F \subseteq \mathbb{Z}[G] \).

Now take \( x = \sum_b e_b \sigma_b \) with \( x\theta_F \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \). Writing this out, we have
   \[
   \sum_{a=1 \atop (a,m) = 1}^m \left( \sum_{b=1 \atop (b,m) = 1}^m e_b \langle \frac{ab}{m} \rangle \right) \sigma_a^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}[G],
   \]
which implies that
   \[
   \sum_{b=1 \atop (b,m) = 1}^m e_b b \in m\mathbb{Z}.
   \]
But note that \( m = (m+1) - \sigma_1 \in J \), so \( m\mathbb{Z} \subset J \). We then have
   \[
   x = \sum_{b=1 \atop (b,m) = 1}^m e_b (\sigma_b - b) + \sum_{b=1 \atop (b,m) = 1}^m e_b b \in J,
   \]
finishing the proof. \( \square \)

**Definition 4.5.3.** Let \( q \) be a power of a prime \( \ell \) and \( \chi : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times \) be a character, which we extend to a function \( \chi : \mathbb{F}_q \to \mathbb{C} \) by \( \chi(0) = 0 \). The **Gauss sum** attached to \( \chi \) is
   \[
   g(\chi) = -\sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times} \chi(\alpha) e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(\alpha)/\ell}
   \]
where \( \text{Tr} = \text{Tr}_{\mathbb{F}_q/\mathbb{F}_\ell} \) is the trace map.
LEMMMA 4.5.4. Let $q$ be a power of a prime $\ell$ prime to $m$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mu_m$ be a character, so $g(\chi) \in \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$. Let $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ be relatively prime to $m$, and let $\sigma_b \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell))$ be the unique lift of $\sigma_b \in G$. Then

$$g(\chi)^{\sigma_b-b} \in \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m).$$

In particular, we have $g(\chi)^m \in \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$.

PROOF. For $\tau \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell))$ with $\tau(\zeta_\ell) = \zeta_\ell^t$, we have

$$g(\chi)^\tau = - \sum_{\alpha \in \mathbb{F}_q} \chi(\alpha) e^{2\pi i \text{Tr}(c\alpha)/\ell} = \chi(c)^{-1}g(\chi).$$

On the other hand, we have $g(\chi)^{\sigma_b} = g(\chi)^b$ as $\sigma_b$ fixes $\mu_\ell$, so we see that

$$(g(\chi)^{\sigma_b-b})^\tau = g(\chi)^{\tau}g(\chi)^{-b\tau} = \chi(c)^{-1}g(\chi)^{1-b\tau} = g(\chi)^{\sigma_b-b},$$

as desired. □

LEMMMA 4.5.5. Let $q$ be a power of a prime $\ell$ and $\chi : \mathbb{F}_q^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ be a character. Then

$$g(\chi)g(\bar{\chi}) = \chi(-1)^{\ell}.$$  

We state Stickelberger’s theorem for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$. A similar result holds for abelian fields in general.

THEOREM 4.5.6 (Stickelberger). Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$, set $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Then the Stickelberger ideal of $F$ annihilates the class group: $\mathcal{I}_F \cdot \text{Cl}_F = 0$.

PROOF. Fix $C \in \text{Cl}_F$, and let $\mathfrak{l}$ be a prime ideal representing $C$ in $\mathcal{O}_F$ that lies above a completely split prime $\ell$ of $\mathbb{Q}$. Note that $\ell \equiv 1 \mod m$, and let $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ be a primitive root modulo $\ell$. Let $\chi : \mathbb{F}_\ell^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times$ denote the character with $\chi(c) = e^{2\pi i / m}$. There is unique prime $\mathcal{L}$ of $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$ lying above $\mathfrak{l}$, and $\mathcal{L}^{\ell-1} = \mathfrak{l} \cdot \mathbb{Z}[\mu_m]$. We use $v_{\mathcal{L}}$ to denote the additive valuation attached to $\mathcal{L}$. For $b \in \mathbb{Z}$ prime to $m$, and $\sigma_b \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_\ell))$ the unique lift of $\sigma_b \in \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$, we set

$$t_b = v_{\mathcal{L}}(g(\chi)).$$

By Lemma 4.5.5, we have that $g(\chi) \mid (\ell)$, so $t_b \leq \ell - 1$, and by Lemma 4.5.4, we have in the smaller field $F$ that

$$v_{\mathcal{L}}(g(\chi)^{\ell-1}) = t_b.$$  

In other words, we have the factorization

$$g(\chi)^{\ell-1} \mathcal{O}_F = \prod_{b=1}^m \mathcal{O}_F^{\ell-1}(\sigma_b^{-1})^{t_b}.$$
so
\[
\sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ (b,m)=1}}^{m} t_b \sigma_b^{-1}
\]
annihilates the class of \( I \).

Now take \( \tau \in \text{Gal}(F(\mu_\ell)/F) \) given by \( \tau(\zeta_\ell) = \zeta_\ell^c \). Then since every prime over \( \ell \) is totally ramified \( F(\mu_m)/F \), we have that \( \tau \) is in the inertia group of all such primes. Note that
\[
v_{\sigma_b^{-1}L}(\zeta_\ell - 1) = 1
\]
for all \( b \). We calculate
\[
\frac{g(\chi)}{(\zeta_\ell - 1)^{\ell b}} \equiv \frac{g(\chi)^{\tau}}{(\zeta_\ell^c - 1)^{\ell b}} \equiv \frac{\chi(c)^{-1}g(\chi)}{c^{\ell b}(\zeta_\ell - 1)^{\ell b}} \mod \sigma_b^{-1}L.
\]
This forces \( e^{2\pi i/m} \equiv c^{-\ell b} \mod \sigma_b^{-1}L \) and therefore modulo \( \sigma_b^{-1}I \), since both sides of the latter congruence lie in \( F \). In other words, we have
\[
e^{2\pi b/m} \equiv c^{-\ell b} \mod I.
\]
On the other hand, there exists some \( a \) prime to \( m \) such that
\[
e^{2\pi i/m} \equiv c^{-(\ell - 1)a/m} \mod I.
\]
We therefore have that
\[
t_b \equiv \frac{(\ell - 1)ab}{m} \mod (\ell - 1),
\]
forcing
\[
t_b = (\ell - 1)\left\langle \frac{ab}{m} \right\rangle.
\]
It follows that
\[
(\ell - 1) \sum_{\substack{b=1 \\ (n,m)=1}}^{m} \left\langle \frac{ab}{m} \right\rangle \sigma_b^{-1} = (\ell - 1)\sigma_a \theta_F
\]
annihilates \( C \).

Now suppose \( x \in \mathbb{Z}[G] \) is such that \( x\theta_F \in \mathfrak{I}_F \). We then have
\[
g(\chi) \sigma_a^{-1}x \in F(\mu_\ell). \quad \text{On the other hand, by the above formula it is the (\ell - 1)st root of an element of F generating the (\ell - 1)th power of an ideal of } \mathfrak{O}_F \text{. Hence, it generates an extension of } F \text{ that is unramified outside of the primes dividing } \ell - 1. \quad \text{Since } F(\mu_\ell)/F \text{ is unramified outside of the primes over } \ell, \text{ it follows that } g(\chi) \sigma_a^{-1}x \in F. \text{ Therefore, the identity }
\]
\[
(g(\chi) \sigma_a^{-1}x)\mathfrak{I}_F = \mathfrak{I}^{(\ell - 1)x}\theta_F.
\]
actually holds, and so we see that $x\theta_F$ annihilates $C$. Therefore, $\mathcal{I}_F$ annihilates $C$, so we are done. □

This has an interesting application for the field $\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$.

**THEOREM 4.5.7 (Herbrand).** Let $p$ be an odd prime, and set $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$. Let $j \not\equiv 1 \mod p$ be an odd integer, and suppose that $A_F^{(\omega^i)} \neq 0$. Then $B_{1,\omega^{-j}} \in p\mathbb{Z}_p$. Moreover, we have $A_F^{(\omega)} = 0$.

**PROOF.** By Stickelberger’s theorem, we have that $\mathcal{I}_F \cdot A_F = 0$. In particular, we have that $I_j = e_{\omega^j}\mathcal{I}$ annihilates $A_F^{(\omega^i)}$, where $e_{\omega^j} \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ is the idempotent attached to $\omega^j$. Note that for, $b$ prime to $p$, we have

$$e_{\omega^j}(\sigma_b - b)\theta_F = (\omega^j(b) - b)\frac{1}{p}\sum_{a=1}^{p-1} a\omega^{-j}(a)e_{\omega^j} = (\omega^j(b) - b)B_{1,\omega^{-j}}e_{\omega^j},$$

where we have applied Corollary 4.2.11 in the last step. It follows that $(\omega^j(b) - b)B_{1,\omega^{-j}}$ annihilates $A_F^{(\omega^i)}$ for all $b$ prime to $p$. Choosing $b$ to be a primitive root of 1, we have that $\omega^j(b) \not\equiv b \mod p$, so if $A_F^{(\omega^j)}$ is nontrivial, then $B_{1,\omega^{-j}}$ must be divisible by $p$. For $j = 1$, we note that

$$B_{1,\omega^{-1}}(1 + p) = -pB_{1,\omega^{-1}} = -\sum_{i=1}^{p} \omega(a)^{-1}a \equiv 1 \mod p,$$

so we get that 1 annihilates $A^{(\omega)}$, hence the result. □

As with the plus part, the minus part of the class number of a cyclotomic field of prime power roots of unit can be interpreted as an index, as in the following result of Iwasawa. The proof is deferred to its generalization to arbitrary cyclotomic fields in Theorem 4.7.1.

**THEOREM 4.5.8 (Iwasawa).** Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{p^n})$ for a prime $p$ and $n \geq 1$. Then

$$h_F^{-} = [\mathbb{Z}[G]^{-} : \mathcal{I}_F^{-}].$$

4.6. Distributions

**DEFINITION 4.6.1.** Let $\{X_i \mid i \in I\}$ be a collection of finite sets, were $I$ is a directed set under $\leq$, and let $\pi_{ij}: X_i \to X_j$ for $i \geq j$ be a collection surjective maps. Let $A$ be an abelian group. An $A$-valued distribution on the collection $(X_i, \pi_{ij})$ is a set of maps $\psi_i: X_i \to A$ for $i \in I$ that satisfy the distribution relation

$$\psi_j(x) = \sum_{y \in \pi_{ij}^{-1}(x)} \psi_i(y)$$

for all $j \leq i$ and $x \in X_i$. 
**Remark 4.6.2.** Given a collection \((X_i, \pi_{ij})\) as above, we may consider the inverse limit

\[
X = \lim_{\leftarrow i} X_i.
\]

Let \(\pi_i: X \to X_i\) be the map induced by the system. Let \(\text{Step}(X,A)\) denote the set of \(A\)-valued step functions on \(X\). Supposing now that \(A\) is a ring, a distribution \(\{\psi_i: X_i \to A \mid i \in I\}\) on the collection \((X_i, \pi_{ij})\) (or more simply, on \(X\)) gives rise to an \(A\)-module homomorphism

\[
\tilde{\psi}: \text{Step}(X,A) \to A
\]
as follows. If \(\chi_Y\) denotes the characteristic function of a compact-open subset \(Y\) of \(X\), then we let

\[
\tilde{\psi}(\chi_{\pi_i^{-1}(x)}) = \psi_i(x)
\]
for any \(i \in I\) and \(x \in X_i\). We take \(\tilde{\psi}\) as the \(A\)-linear extension of this map to the group of all step functions. The distribution relation insures that it is well-defined. Conversely, given an \(A\)-module homomorphism \(\tilde{\psi}: \text{Step}(X,A) \to A\), we may define \(\psi_i(x)\) to be \(\tilde{\psi}(\chi_{\pi_i^{-1}(x)})\), and the \(\psi_i\) provide a distribution on \(X\).

**Example 4.6.3.** Let \(I\) be the set of positive integers, ordered in the usual manner. Let \(X_i = \mathbb{Z}/p^i\mathbb{Z}\), and let \(\pi_j\) for \(j \leq i\) be the reduction modulo \(p^j\) map. Let \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\). Define

\[
\psi_i(x) = \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } x \equiv a \mod p^i, \\
0 & \text{otherwise}.
\end{cases}
\]

Then \(\{\psi_i \mid i \geq 0\}\) is an \(R\)-valued distribution for any ring \(R\), called the \(\delta\)-distribution at \(a\). The corresponding functional \(\delta_a\) satisfies \(\delta_a(f) = f(a)\), where \(f \in \text{Step}(\mathbb{Z}_p, R)\) is any congruence function.

Let us focus on a specific case of interest.

**Definition 4.6.4.** Let \(A\) be an abelian group, and let \(D\) be a divisible abelian group with finitely topologically generated Pontryagin dual.

a. By an \(A\)-valued distribution on \(D\), we mean a function \(\psi: D \to A\) with the property that

\[
(4.6.1) \quad \psi(d) = \sum_{c \in D} \psi(c) \quad \text{for all positive integers } n \text{ and } d \in D.
\]

b. By an \(A\)-valued punctured distribution on \(D\), we mean a function \(\psi: D - \{0\} \to A\) satisfying the distribution relation (4.6.1) for all positive integers \(n\) and \(d \in D - \{0\}\).
Remark 4.6.5. For an abelian group $A$ and a divisible abelian group $D$, the $A$-valued distributions on $D$ are in one-to-one correspondence with the $A$-valued distributions $\{ \psi_n \mid n \geq 1 \}$ on the collection of $n$-torsion subgroups $D[n]$ in $D$ for $n \geq 1$, together with the transition maps $\pi_{n,m} : X_n \to X_m$ for $m$ dividing $n$ given by multiplication by $\frac{n}{m}$. That is, $\psi$ and the maps $\psi_n$ take the same values on the elements of $\frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$. If $A$ is a ring, then the maps $\psi$ also give rise to a functional $\tilde{\psi}$: $\text{Step}(\lim_{\to} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}, A) \to A$, as noted above.

Remark 4.6.6. Punctured distributions on $D$ do not quite give rise to distributions on the sets $D[n] - \{0\}$, since multiplication by $\frac{n}{m}$ does not preserve these sets.

Example 4.6.7. Let $I$ be the set of positive integers, ordered by divisibility. Fix $k \geq 0$, and for $0 \leq a < n$ with $n \geq 1$, set

$$\psi_n^{(k)}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = n^{k-1}B_k\left(\frac{a}{n}\right).$$

For $m$ dividing $n$, we have

$$\psi_n^{(k)}\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) = m^{k-1}B_k\left(\frac{a}{m}\right) = \sum_{j=0}^{n/m-1} n^{k-1}B_k\left(\frac{a+jm}{n}\right) = \sum_{b \equiv a \mod m}^{n-1} \psi_m^{(k)}\left(\frac{b}{n}\right).$$

Thus, we can safely make the following definition.

Definition 4.6.8. For $k \geq 0$, the $k$th Bernoulli distribution $\psi_n^{(k)}$ is the $\mathbb{Q}$-valued distribution on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ defined by

$$\psi_n^{(k)}\left(\frac{a}{n}\right) = n^{k-1}B_k\left(\frac{a}{n}\right),$$

where $\langle \alpha \rangle$ denotes the smallest nonnegative rational number representing $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

We also mention the following example of something close to a distribution.

Example 4.6.9. Define $\psi : \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} - \{0\} \to \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{\infty})^\times$ by $\psi(\frac{i}{n}) = 1 - \zeta_m^i$. If $m \mid n$ and $i \not\equiv 0 \mod m$, we have

$$\psi\left(\frac{i}{m}\right) = 1 - \zeta_m^i = \prod_{k=0}^{n/m-1} (1 - \zeta_m^{i+k}) = \prod_{j=0}^{n-1 \mod m} \psi\left(\frac{j}{n}\right),$$

so $\psi$ satisfies the distribution relations under multiplication. Thus $\psi$ is a punctured distribution on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.

We will be interested in the following resulting distribution.

Notation 4.6.10. Let $\psi_{\text{cyc}}$ be the $\mathbb{R}$-valued punctured distribution on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ given by

$$\psi_{\text{cyc}}(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \log |1 - e^{2\pi i(\alpha)}|$$

for $\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$.
Remark 4.6.11. Note that an $A$-valued (punctured) distribution $\psi$ on $\mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ gives rise to an $A$-valued map $\widetilde{\psi}$ on (nontrivial) Dirichlet characters $\chi$ in that Dirichlet characters are step functions on $\hat{\mathbb{Z}}$ (that are zero at zero). In particular, if $\chi$ has modulus dividing $m$, then

$$\Psi(\chi) = \sum_{a=0}^{m-1} \chi(a) \psi \left( \frac{a}{m} \right).$$

Example 4.6.12. By Lemma 4.2.10, we have

$$\psi^{(n)}(\chi) = B_{n, \chi}$$

for a primitive Dirichlet character $\chi$. In particular, $\psi^{(n)}(\chi) = 0$ if $n \not\equiv \chi(-1) \mod 2$, unless $n = 1$ and $\chi = 1$. Similarly, $\psi_{\text{cyc}}(\chi) = 0$ unless $\chi$ is even.

4.7. Sinnott’s theorem

In this section, we fix $m > 1$ with $m \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$. We set $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$ and $G = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. The goal of this section is to prove the following generalization of the results of Hasse and Iwasawa for $F$, which is due to Sinnott.$^1$

Theorem 4.7.1 (Sinnott). Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)$ for $m > 1$ with $m \not\equiv 2 \mod 4$. Then we have

$$[E_F^+ : C_F^+] = 2^ah_F^+$$

and

$$[\mathbb{Z}[G]^- : \mathcal{S}_F^-] = 2^bh_F^-,$$

where

$$a = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 2^{g-2} + 1 - g & \text{if } g \geq 2 \end{cases}$$

and

$$b = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 2^{g-2} - 1 & \text{if } g \geq 2 \end{cases},$$

for $g$ the number of primes dividing $m$.

Notation 4.7.2. For $\chi \in \hat{G}$, we have the idempotent

$$e_\chi = \frac{1}{\varphi(m)} \sum_{a=1}^{m} \chi(a) \sigma_a^{-1} \in \mathbb{C}[G].$$

We also have idempotents

$$e^\pm = \frac{1 \pm \sigma^{-1}}{2} \in \mathbb{Q}[G].$$

The following is essentially immediate from the definitions.

Lemma 4.7.3. We have $e^\pm A = \frac{1}{2} \mathbb{Z}[G]^\pm$ inside $\mathbb{Q}[G]$. In particular, we see that

$$[e^\pm \mathbb{Z}[G] : \mathbb{Z}[G]^\pm] = 2^{\varphi(m)/2}.$$  

$^1$This section is roughly written at present and might be safely skipped for now, aside from the statement of the theorem.
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**Notation 4.7.4.** For any \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module \( A \), set \( A_0 = \ker(N_G: A \to A) \).

**Remark 4.7.5.** For a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module \( A \), we note that \( e^-(1 - e_1)A = e^-A \).

**Notation 4.7.6.** For each prime \( p \) dividing \( m \), set
\[
\lambda_p = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G}} (1 - \bar{\chi}(p)) e_\chi \in \mathbb{Q}[G].
\]
For each positive integer \( f \) dividing \( m \), set \( G_f = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q}(\mu_f)) \). Let \( U \) denote the \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module generated by the elements
\[
u_f = N_{G_f} \prod_{p | f} \lambda_p \in \mathbb{Q}[G]
\]
for positive integers \( f \) dividing \( m \), where the product is taken over primes dividing \( f \).

We briefly sketch a proof of the following proposition.

**Proposition 4.7.7.** Let \( g \) be the number of primes dividing \( m \). Then we have the following equalities:
\[
(e^\pm \mathbb{Z}[G]: e^\pm U) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } g = 1 \\ 2^{2g-2} & \text{if } g \geq 2 \end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** If \( g = 1 \), then \( U \) is generated by \( N_G \) and \( \lambda_p \) for the unique prime \( p \) dividing \( m \). We have \( u_1 = N_G = |G|e_1 \) and \( u_p = \lambda_p = 1 - e_1 \). Then
\[
[e_1 \mathbb{Z}[G] + \mathbb{Z}[G]: U] = |G| = [e_1 \mathbb{Z}[G] + \mathbb{Z}[G]: \mathbb{Z}[G]],
\]
so \( [\mathbb{Z}[G]: U] = 1 \). Moreover, note that \( e^-e_1 = 0 \), and from this it is easily seen that \( e^-\mathbb{Z}[G] = e^-U \), and as a result, \( [e^+\mathbb{Z}[G]: e^+U] = 1 \) as well.

For \( g \geq 2 \), we indicate only a few details of the proof. One uses the fact that \( U \) is the product over primes \( p \) dividing \( m \) of the modules \( U_p \) generated by \( N_p \) and \( \lambda_p \), where \( I_p < \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \) is the inertia group at \( p \), to see that \( (\mathbb{Z}[G]: U) = 1 \). On the other hand,
\[
(\mathbb{Z}[G]: U) = (e^+\mathbb{Z}[G]: e^+U)(\mathbb{Z}[G]^+: U^-).
\]
One checks that the order of
\[
\hat{H}^{-1}(\text{Gal}(F/F^+), U) = U^-/(\sigma_{-1} - 1)U \cong e^-\mathbb{Z}[G]/e^-U
\]
is \( 2^{2g-1} \). We then have
\[
(e^+\mathbb{Z}[G]: e^+U)(e^-\mathbb{Z}[G]: e^-U) = 2^{2g-1},
\]
and the proof is finished upon showing that \( (e^-\mathbb{Z}[G]: e^-U) = 2^{2g-2} \), which we omit. \( \square \)

Recall that \( I_G \) denotes the augmentation ideal in \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \).
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**Corollary 4.7.8.** Let \( g \) be the number of primes dividing \( m \). Then

\[
(e^+I_G : e^+U_0) = \begin{cases} 
\varphi(m)^{-1} & \text{if } g = 1 \\
2^{2g-2}\varphi(m)^{-1} & \text{if } g \geq 2,
\end{cases}
\]

**Proof.** The quotient \( e^+\mathbb{Z}[G]/e^+I_G \) is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z} \) via the augmentation map, while \( e^+U/e^+U_0 \) is generated by the class of \( u_1 = N_G \), and the image of \( N_G \in e^+\mathbb{Z}[G] \) under the augmentation map is \( |G| = \varphi(M) \). It follows that

\[
(e^+\mathbb{Z}[G] : e^+U) = \varphi(M)(e^+I_G : e^+U_0),
\]

and we apply Proposition 4.7.7. \( \square \)

**Notation 4.7.9.** For a punctured \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued distribution \( \psi \) on \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \), let \( T_\psi \) be the subgroup of \( \mathbb{C}[G] \) generated by the elements

\[
\eta_\psi(c) = \sum_{b=1 \atop (b,m)=1}^m \psi\left(\frac{bc}{m}\right) \sigma_b^{-1}
\]

for positive integers \( c \) with \( c \not\equiv 0 \mod m \).

**Remark 4.7.10.** The group \( T_\psi \) is a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module, as \( \sigma_a \eta_\psi(c) = \eta_\psi(ac) \) for a prime to \( m \). As a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module, it is then generated by the elements \( \eta_\psi(d) \) for \( d \) positive dividing \( m \).

At times, we will view the elements of \( \hat{G} \) also as primitive Dirichlet characters.

**Proposition 4.7.11.** Let \( \psi \) be a punctured \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued distribution on \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \). Then

\[
(1 - e_1)T_\psi = \omega_\psi U,
\]

where

\[
\omega_\psi = \sum_{\chi \in \hat{G} - \{1\}} \psi(\bar{\chi}) e_\chi \in \mathbb{C}[G].
\]

**Proof.** For \( d \geq 1 \) dividing \( m \), set \( f = \frac{m}{d} \). Let \( \chi \) be a nontrivial character of \( \hat{G} \). Then \( e_\chi \eta_\psi(d) \) vanishes if \( f \) does not divide the conductor \( f_\chi \) of \( \chi \), and if \( f \mid f_\chi \), then

\[
e_\chi \eta_\psi(d) = e_\chi \sum_{b=1 \atop (b,m)=1}^m \psi\left(\frac{b}{f}\right) \bar{\chi}(b) = e_\chi \frac{\varphi(m)}{\varphi(f)} \left(\prod_{p|f}(1 - \bar{\chi}(p))\right) \psi(\bar{\chi}).
\]

Noting that \( e_\chi \omega_\psi = e_\chi \psi(\bar{\chi}), \) that \( e_\chi \hat{\lambda}_p = e_\chi (1 - \bar{\chi}(p)) \), and that

\[
e_\chi N_{G_f} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{e_\chi \varphi(m)}{\varphi(f)} & \text{if } f_\chi \mid f \\
0 & \text{otherwise},
\end{cases}
\]

and we apply Proposition 4.7.7. \( \square \)
we conclude that
\[ e_\chi \eta_\psi(d) = e_\chi \omega_\psi u_f. \]
This holds for all \( \chi \neq 1 \), and we also have that \( e_1 \omega_\psi = 0 \), so we obtain \( (1 - e_1) \eta_\psi(d) = \omega_\psi u_f \). In that this holds for all \( d \), the result follows. \( \square \)

**Lemma 4.7.12.** Let \( \psi \) be a punctured \( \mathbb{C} \)-valued distribution on \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \). In the notation of Proposition 4.7.11, if \( \psi(\chi) = 0 \) for all nontrivial \( \chi \in \hat{G} \) with \( \chi(-1) = \mp 1 \), then
\[ (e^\pm U_0 : (1 - e_1)T_\psi) = \prod_{\substack{\chi \in \hat{G} - \{1\} \\ \chi(-1) = \mp 1}} \psi(\chi). \]

**Proof.** By our condition on \( \chi \), the element \( \omega_\psi \) of Proposition 4.7.11 is
\[ \omega_\psi = \sum_{\substack{\chi \in \hat{G} - \{1\} \\ \chi(-1) = \pm 1}} \psi(\chi)e_\chi. \]
Then \( \omega_\psi \in (1 - e_1)e^\pm \mathbb{C}[G] \) by assumption on \( \psi \), and Proposition 4.7.11 implies that
\[ (1 - e_1)T_\psi = \omega_\psi U = (1 - e_1)e^\pm \omega_\psi U_0. \]

Note that \( e_1 \lambda_p = 0 \) for any prime \( p \) dividing \( m \), so \( e_1 u_f = 0 \) if \( f \) is a positive divisor of \( m \) other than 1. Since the \( u_f \) generate \( U \) as a \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module and \( u_1 = N_G \), we therefore have \( U = U_0 + N_G \mathbb{Z} \). It follows that \( (1 - e_1)U = U_0 \). Multiplication by \( \omega_\psi \) determines an \( \mathbb{C} \)-linear endomorphism of \( (1 - e_1)e^\pm \mathbb{C}[G] \) that takes \( e^\pm U_0 \) onto \( (1 - e_1)T_\psi \). The idempotent \( e_\chi \) for nontrivial \( \chi \in \hat{G} \) with \( \chi(-1) = \pm 1 \) is an eigenvector of this endomorphism with eigenvalue \( \psi(\chi) \). The determinant is of course the product of these eigenvalues. The result then follows by Lemma 1.2.9. \( \square \)

**Remark 4.7.13.** For any \( \mathbb{Z}[G] \)-module \( A \) that is free over \( \mathbb{Z} \), we have \( A_0 = A \cap (1 - e_1)A \), since \( e_1 A_0 = 0 \) and the kernel of \( N_G \) is the image of \( e_1 \) on \( A \otimes \mathbb{Z} \mathbb{Q} \).

**Example 4.7.14.** The \( \mathbb{R} \)-vector space \( V \) spanned by the elements of \( G \) has \( V_0 \) equal to the elements with coefficients summing to 0. For \( S \) the set of primes above \( m \) in \( F \), we have \( T = T_{\psi_{\text{cyc}}} = \rho(C_{F,S}) \) is contained in \( V \), and note that \( T_0 = \rho(C_F) \) by the product formula.

**Lemma 4.7.15.** For \( \psi = \psi_{\text{cyc}} \) and \( T = T_{\psi_{\text{cyc}}} \), we have
\[ [(1 - e_1)T : T_0] = 2^{-g} \varphi(m). \]

**Proof.** Note that
\[ (1 - e_1)T/T_0 \cong ((1 - e_1)T + T)/T \cong (e_1 T + T)/T \cong e_1 T/T^G. \]
We have
\[
e_1 T = \frac{1}{\phi(m)} N_G \rho(C_{F,S}) = \frac{1}{\phi(m)} \rho(C_{F,S}^G).
\]
Note that \(|(1 - \zeta_f)^{N_G}| = 1\) if \(f\) is not a prime power, and \((1 - \zeta_p^k)^{N_G} = p^{\phi(m)/\phi(p^k)}\). It follows that
\[
e_1 T = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p|m} \frac{1}{\phi(p^k)} \log p \cdot N_G \mathbb{Z},
\]
where \(k_p \geq 1\) is the additive \(p\)-adic valuation of \(m\).

Next, note that \(\alpha \in C_{F,S}\) satisfies \(j(\alpha) \in T^G\) if and only if \(j(\alpha^{\sigma^{-1}}) = 0\) for all \(\sigma \in G\), which is equivalent to \(\alpha^{\sigma^{-1}} \in \mu(F)\), which is in turn equivalent to \(\alpha^{1+\tau} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times\), with \(\tau\) complex conjugation.

Let
\[
P = \{ \alpha \in C_{F,S} \mid \alpha^{1+j} \in \mathbb{Q}^\times \},
\]
and note that \(T^G = \rho(P) = \frac{1}{2} \rho(P^{1+\tau})\). For an odd prime \(p\) dividing \(m\), set
\[
\alpha_p = \prod_{a=1}^{(p-1)/2} (1 - \zeta_p^a),
\]
and set \(\alpha_2 = 1 - \zeta_4\) if \(m\) is even. Then each \(\alpha_p\) for \(p\) dividing \(m\) lies in \(P\), so \(P^{1+\tau}\) contains the group \(H\) generated by all primes dividing \(m\). Since \(P^{1+\tau}\) is a subgroup of the positive rationals, the quotient \(P^{1+\tau}/H\) is torsion-free, and on the other hand \((P^{1+j})^{\phi(m)} = (P^{1+j})^{N_G} \subseteq H\), which forces \(P^{1+\tau} = H\). Thus
\[
T^G = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{p|m} \log p \cdot N_G \mathbb{Z}.
\]

It follows that
\[
[(1 - e_1)T : T_0] = [e_1 T : T^G] = \prod_{p|m} \frac{\phi(p^k)}{2} = \frac{\phi(m)}{2^g}.
\]

\[\square\]

**Lemma 4.7.16.** Let \(\rho : E_{F,S} \to V^+\) denote the \(\mathbb{Z}[G]\)-module homomorphism
\[
\rho(\alpha) = -\frac{1}{2} \sum_{\sigma \in G} \log |\sigma(\alpha)| \sigma^{-1}.
\]
Then
\[
(e^+_I G : \rho(E_F)) = \frac{R^+_\ell}{Q_F}.
\]
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PROOF. Let \( X = (1 - e_{1})^* V \), in which \( \rho(E_F) \) forms a lattice of full rank \( r = \frac{q(m)}{2} - 1 \). The lattice \( e^* I_G \) has a basis \( e^*(1 - \sigma_a^{-1}) \) for \( 1 < a < \frac{m}{2} \) with \((a,m) = 1\). Fix a complex embedding of \( F \), hence an absolute value. For an independent system of units \( \alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_r \in E_F^{+} \) generating \( E_F / \mu_F \), we have

\[
\rho(\alpha_i) = - \sum_{a=1 \atop (a,m)=1}^{[\frac{m}{2}]-1} \log |\sigma_a(\alpha_i)| \sigma_a^{-1} = \sum_{a=2 \atop (a,m)=1}^{[\frac{m}{2}]-1} \log |\sigma_a(\alpha_i)| e^*(1 - \sigma_a^{-1}).
\]

Since the matrix with entries \( \log |\eta_i|^{\sigma_a} \) has determinant \( 2^{-r} R_F \) by definition and \( R_F = \frac{2}{Q_F} R_F^+ \), we are done.

\[ \square \]

**LEMMA 4.7.17.** We have

\[ [e^{-Z}[G] \Theta_F : J_F^{-}] = w_F. \]

\[ . \]

PROOF. Let \( \Theta_F = Z[G] \Theta_F \) for brevity. Since \( (\sigma_a - a) \theta_F \in J_F \) for all \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \), we have that

\[ \Theta_F = J_F + \theta_F \mathbb{Z}, \]

and therefore \( \Theta_F / J_F \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z} \) as \( m \) is minimal with \( m \theta_F \) integral. From the fact that \( (\alpha) + 1 - \alpha = 1 \) for \( \alpha \notin \mathbb{Z} \), one see that \( e^+ \theta_F = \frac{1}{2} N_G \mathbb{Z} \). Since \( (\sigma_2 - 2) \theta_F \in J_F \) and \( e^+ (\sigma_2 - 2) = -\frac{1}{2} N_G \), we then have that \( e^+ \Theta_F = e^+ J_F \) and therefore \( (Z[G] \theta)_F^+ = J_F^+ \), which in turn implies that

\[ \Theta_F / J_F^+ \cong \Theta_F / J_F \cong \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z}. \]

If \( m \) is even, then \( \sigma_m/2 \theta_F = \frac{1}{2} N_G = e^+ \theta_F \). Therefore, we have \( e^+ \Theta_F \subseteq \Theta_F \), and in turn this implies that \( e^- \Theta_F \subseteq \Theta_F \). In other words, we have \( [e^- \Theta_F : \Theta_F^-] = 1. \)

If \( m \) is odd, then \( e^- \sigma_{m/2} \theta_F = \sigma_{m/2} \theta_F - \frac{1}{2} N_G \), so

\[ e^- \Theta_F + \Theta_F = \frac{1}{2} N_G \mathbb{Z} + \theta_F, \]

and therefore

\[ e^- \Theta_F / \Theta_F^- \cong \frac{1}{2} N_G \mathbb{Z} / (\Theta_F \cap \frac{1}{2} N_G \mathbb{Z}). \]

Note that \( N_G = (1 + j) \theta_F \in \Theta_F \) but \( \frac{1}{2} N_G \notin \Theta_F \) since \( m \Theta_F \subset Z[G] \) and \( m \) is odd. Therefore, we have \( [e^- \Theta_F : \Theta_F^-] = 2. \)

For arbitrary \( m \), we conclude that

\[ [e^- Z[G] \theta_F : J_F^-] = [e^- \Theta_F : \Theta_F^-] [\Theta_F : J_F^-] = \frac{w_F}{m} \cdot m = w_F. \]

\[ \square \]

We are now ready to prove Sinnott’s theorem.
PROOF OF THEOREM 4.7.1. First consider $ψ = ψ_{cyc}$, and set $T = T_ψ$. Since $T_0 = ρ(C_F)$, we may write our index as a product

$$[E_F^+ : C_F^+] = [ρ(E_F) : ρ(C_F)] = (ρ(E_F) : ρ(C_F))(e^+I_G)(e^+U_0)(e^+U_0 : (1 - e_1)T)((1 - e_1)T : T_0).$$

The latter four relative indices are computed by Lemma 4.7.16, Lemma 4.7.12, Corollary 4.7.8, and Lemma 4.7.15, respectively. Plugging in, we obtain

$$[E_F^+ : C_F^+] = \frac{Q_F}{R_F} \cdot \left(\frac{2^{2g-1}}{\varphi(m)}\right)^{1/2} \cdot \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G} - \{1\} \atop \chi \text{ even}} ψ_{cyc}(\chi) \cdot \frac{\varphi(m)}{2^g} = 2^a \cdot \frac{1}{R_F} \cdot \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G} - \{1\} \atop \chi \text{ even}} ψ_{cyc}(\chi) = 2^a h_F^+,$$

where the last equality follows from Theorem 4.2.20.

Next, consider $ψ = ψ^{(1)}$, the first Bernoulli distribution, which by definition has $T_ψ^{(1)} = e^{-Z[G]}θ_F$. We write the index in question as a product as follows:


The latter four relative indices are computed by Lemmas 4.7.3, Proposition 4.7.7, 4.7.12, and 4.7.17, respectively. Noting also that $2^b Q_F = 2^{2g-2}$ if $g \geq 2$ and $2^b Q_F = 1$ if $g = 1$, we obtain

$$[Z[G]^- : \mathcal{I}_F^-] = 2^{-\varphi(m)/2} \cdot 2^b Q_F \cdot \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G} \atop \chi \text{ odd}} ψ^{(1)}(\chi) \cdot w_F = 2^b \cdot 2[E_F : E_F^+] \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G} \atop \chi \text{ odd}} \frac{-B_1χ}{2} = 2^b h_F^-$$

where the second equality uses that $Q_F w_F = 2[E_F : E_F^+]$ by Lemma 4.2.16, and the final equality follows from Theorem 4.2.20. □
CHAPTER 5

Kubota-Leopoldt $p$-adic $L$-functions

5.1. $p$-adic measures

In this section, we study $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued distributions.

**Definition 5.1.1.** We say that a $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued distribution $\{\psi_i\}_{i \in I}$ on an inverse system of finite sets $X_i$ is **bounded** if there exists a constant $B \in \mathbb{R}_{\geq 0}$ such that $|\psi_i(x)| \leq B$ for all $x \in X_i$ for all $i \in I$, where $| \cdot |$ is the unique extension of the $p$-adic valuation on $\mathbb{Q}_p$ to $\mathbb{C}_p$.

**Remark 5.1.2.** To say that $\{\psi_i\}$ is bounded is the same as saying the corresponding functional $\psi$ on step functions on the profinite space $X = \lim_{i \leftarrow} X_i$ satisfies

$$|\psi(\chi)| \leq B\|\chi\|,$$

where $\|\chi\| = \sup_{x \in X} |\chi(x)|$ (which is actually a maximum, as $X$ is compact).

**Notation 5.1.3.** Let $C(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$ denote the space of continuous functions from $X$ to $\mathbb{C}_p$, endowed with the compact-open topology.

**Remark 5.1.4.** The set $\text{Step}(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$.

**Definition 5.1.5.** A $\mathbb{C}_p$-valued measure on a profinite space $X$ is a bounded linear functional

$$\mu : C(X, \mathbb{C}_p) \to \mathbb{C}_p.$$

We write

$$\int_X gd\mu$$

for the value $\mu(g)$. For a topological subring $\mathcal{O}$ of $\mathbb{C}_p$, we say that

**Remark 5.1.6.** Measures on $X$ are in one-to-one correspondence with bounded distributions, since $\text{Step}(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$ is dense in $C(X, \mathbb{C}_p)$.

**Example 5.1.7.** The $\delta$-distribution at $x \in X$ gives rise to the Dirac measure

$$\int_X gd\delta_x = g(x).$$

We briefly discuss measures on $\mathbb{Z}_p$. 
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Remark 5.1.8. Let \( g : \mathbb{Z}_p \to \mathbb{C}_p \) be a continuous function, and let \( \mu \) be a \( \mathbb{C}_p \)-valued measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) with corresponding distribution \( \{ \mu_n \} \). Then

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} g \, d\mu = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{a=0}^{p-1} g(a) \mu_n(a).
\]

Let \( \mathcal{O} \) denote the valuation ring of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \).

Proposition 5.1.9. There is a canonical bijection between \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measures \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and elements \( \lambda \) of \( \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}_p] \), seen explicitly as follows. Write \( \lambda = (\lambda_n)_n \) with \( \lambda_n \in \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}] \). Then \( \mu \) is the measure associated to the distribution \( \{ \mu_n \}_{n \geq 1} \) with \( \mu_n : \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z} \to \mathcal{O} \) corresponds to \( \lambda \) if and only if

\[
\lambda_n = \sum_{a=0}^{p^n-1} \mu_n(a)[a]_n
\]

where \( [a]_n \in \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}] \) is the group element attached to \( a \).

Proof. Clearly, the data of the \( \lambda_n \) determine the \( \mu_n \) and conversely. One need only see that \( f \) is well-defined if and only if the \( \mu_n \) satisfy the distribution relations. But, from the definitions, the element \( \lambda_{n+1} \) maps to \( \lambda_n \) if and only if

\[
\mu_n(a) = \sum_{b=0}^{p^n-1} \mu_{n+1}(a + p^n b),
\]

as required. \( \square \)

Remark 5.1.10. We have \( \mu(\chi_{a+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p}) = \mu_n(a) \), so knowing each \( \mu_n \) determines \( \mu \) on step functions explicitly.

Remark 5.1.11. Since \( \mathcal{O}[T] \cong \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}_p] \) via the continuous \( \mathcal{O} \)-linear isomorphism taking \( T+1 \) to the group element of 1, we have a canonical bijection between \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measures on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) and power series in \( \mathcal{O}[T] \).

Corollary 5.1.12. The power series \( f \) attached to an \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) is given by

\[
f(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \left( \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^i \, d\mu(x) \right) T^i \in \mathcal{O}[T].
\]

Proof. Let \( f_n \in \mathcal{O}[T]/(\omega_n) \) be the image of \( f \). By Proposition 5.1.9, the measure \( \mu \) attached to \( f \) given by the distribution \( \{ \mu_n \}_{n \geq 1} \) is related to \( f_n \) through the formula

\[
f_n(T) = \sum_{a=0}^{p^n-1} \mu_n(a)(T+1)^a = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \sum_{a=0}^{p^n-1} \binom{a}{i} \mu_n(a) T^i,
\]

so the inverse limit \( f \) of the \( f_n \) satisfies the desired equation. \( \square \)
COROLLARY 5.1.13. Let \( f \) be the power series attached to an \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \). If \( t \in \mathfrak{m} \), where \( \mathfrak{m} \) is the maximal ideal of \( \mathcal{O} \), the value \( f(t) \) may be calculated by
\[
f(t) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} (1 + t)^x d\mu(x),
\]
where \( \mu \) is the measure corresponding to \( f \).

THEOREM 5.1.14 (Mahler). We have
\[
C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathcal{O}) = \left\{ \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \binom{x}{i} \mid c_i \in \mathcal{O}, c_i \to 0 \right\},
\]
and the representation of \( g \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathcal{O}) \) as a sum as in the latter set is unique.

PROOF. Suppose that there is a sequence \((c_i)_{i \geq 1}\) of elements of \( \mathcal{O} \) that converges to 0. Since each \( |\binom{x}{i}| \) is bounded by 1 on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \), any \( g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \binom{x}{i} \) with \( c_i \to 0 \) is the uniform limit of its continuous partial sums, hence continuous.

Consider the difference operator \( \nabla \) on \( g \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathcal{O}) \) defined by \( \nabla(g)(x) = g(x+1) - g(x) \). Then
\[
\nabla \binom{x}{i} = \binom{x+1}{i} - \binom{x}{i} = \binom{x}{i-1},
\]
so if \( g \) has the form in the theorem, then \( \nabla^i(g)(0) = c_i \). In other words, the representation of \( g \) as a sum is unique if it exists.

We now show existence. For this, it suffices to consider \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-valued functions by choice of a basis and projection. We have a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear map from the set of sequences in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) that converge to 0 to \( C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{Z}_p) \) given by \((c_i)_{i \geq 0} \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \binom{x}{i} \). It suffices to show that this map is surjective. This can be derived via recursion from the claim that the set of eventually zero sequences in \( \mathbb{F}_p \) surjects onto \( C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) \) via the reduction modulo \( p \) of this map.

Note that
\[
C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{F}_p) = \lim_{n \to \infty} Maps(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_p),
\]
For \( 0 \leq i \leq p^n - 1 \), the map \( x \mapsto \binom{x}{i} \mod p \) lies in \( Maps(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_p) \), since
\[
(1+T)^{x+p^n} \equiv (1+T)^x (1+T^{p^n}) \equiv (1+T)^x \mod (p, T^{p^n})\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]].
\]
Thus, our map restricts to a map
\[
\{(c_i)_{0 \leq i < p^n} \mid c_i \in \mathbb{F}_p \} \to Maps(\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{F}_p)
\]
that is injective by our earlier uniqueness argument using \( \nabla \) and surjective by equality of \( \mathbb{F}_p \)-dimensions. This proves the desired surjectivity. \( \square \)

The following is a matter of switching the order of a sum and an integral.
**Corollary 5.1.15.** For \( g = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} c_i \binom{x}{i} \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathcal{O}) \) and \( \mu \) the measure attached to \( f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i T^i \in \mathcal{O}[T] \), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} g \, d\mu = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i c_i.
\]

Typically, we are more interested in measures on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}_p \), or the units in a slightly larger ring. Let us recall that \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p \), where \( q = p \) if \( p \) is odd and \( q = 4 \) for \( p = 2 \), is isomorphic to \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) via the map that takes \( u^a \) to \( a \) for any \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), where \( u \) is a fixed topological generator of \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p \), such as \( 1 + q \). In this way, measures on \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p \) are made to correspond to measures on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \).

**Definition 5.1.16.** For an \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure \( \nu \) on \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p \), let \( \mu \) be the \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) defined by
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} g(u^x) \, d\mu(x) = \int_{1+q\mathbb{Z}_p} g \, d\nu.
\]
The power series in \( \mathcal{O}[T] \) attached to \( \nu \) is the power series corresponding to \( \mu \) by Proposition 5.1.9.

**Lemma 5.1.17.** The power series \( f \) attached to an \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure \( \nu \) on \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p \) satisfies
\[
f(u^s - 1) = \int_{1+q\mathbb{Z}_p} x^s \, d\nu(x)
\]
for \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), and \( f \) is uniquely determined by this formula.

**Proof.** Let \( \mu \) be the measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) corresponding to \( \nu \) and \( f \). Set \( t = u^s - 1 \) for some \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p \). Then Corollary 5.1.13 tells us that
\[
f(u^s - 1) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} u^s \, d\mu(x) = \int_{1+q\mathbb{Z}_p} x^s \, d\nu(x).
\]
We leave the last simple statement to the reader. \( \square \)

**Remark 5.1.18.** We can also attach a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) to a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \), by extension by zero. Similarly, we can restrict measures on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) to the latter multiplicative subgroups.

**5.2. \( p \)-adic \( L \)-functions**

**Definition 5.2.1.** Let \( p \) be a prime number, and let \( m \geq 1 \) be prime to \( p \). Set
\[
\mathbb{Z}_{p,m} = \lim_{\longrightarrow n} (\mathbb{Z}/mp^n\mathbb{Z}).
\]
Then
\[
\mathbb{Z}_{p,m} \sim \mathbb{Z}_p \times \mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z},
\]
and we let \( c_p \) denote the first coordinate of the image of \( c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,m} \).
Note that
\[ Z_{p,m}^\times = \lim_{n \to \infty} (\mathbb{Z}/mp^n\mathbb{Z})^\times \cong \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \times (\mathbb{Z}/m\mathbb{Z})^\times , \]
and, setting \( q = p \) for \( p \) odd and \( q = 4 \) for \( p = 2 \), we also have
\[ Z_{p,m}^\times \sim \to (1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p) \times (\mathbb{Z}/qm\mathbb{Z})^\times . \]

**Definition 5.2.2.** For \( c \in Z_{p,m}^\times \), we let \( c_p \) denote its image in \( Z_p^\times \) and \( \langle c \rangle_p \) denote its image in \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p \).

Note also that \( Z_{p,m}^\times \) is canonically isomorphic to the Galois group of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp^n})/\mathbb{Q} \). We will typically be interested in measures on \( Z_{p,m}^\times \).

Let us set \( \Delta = (\mathbb{Z}/qm\mathbb{Z})^\times \). We have
\[ Z_p[Z_{p,m}^\times] \cong Z_p[\Delta][1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p] \cong Z_p[\Delta][T] , \]
the latter isomorphism taking the group element \( u \) to \( T + 1 \).

Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the valuation ring of a finite extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \). By the same discussion as before, replacing \( \mathcal{O} \) by the group ring \( \mathcal{O}[\Delta] \), we have the following.

**Lemma 5.2.3.** There is a canonical bijection between \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measures on \( Z_{p,m}^\times \) and elements of \( \mathcal{O}[T][\Delta] \).

Explicitly, the power series \( f \in \mathcal{O}[T][\Delta] \) attached to an \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure \( \nu \) on \( Z_{p,m}^\times \) satisfies
\[ f(u^x - 1) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \int_{1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p} x^\sigma d\nu(\sigma x) \cdot \sigma \]
If \( \nu \) arises from a distribution \( \psi = (\psi_n) \) on the groups \( (\mathbb{Z}/mp^n\mathbb{Z})^\times \), then \( f \) is then given by the compatible system of elements
\[ \sum_{a=1}^{p^m} \psi_n(a)[a]_n \in \mathcal{O}[(\mathbb{Z}/p^m\mathbb{Z})^\times] , \]
where \([a]_n \) denotes the group element of \( a \).

The Bernoulli distribution will be the key to our definition of \( p \)-adic \( L \)-functions, but it is not necessarily integral. Therefore, we introduce the following modification.

**Definition 5.2.4.** Set \( N = p^m \), let \( c \in Z_{p,m}^\times \), and take \( k \geq 1 \). For \( x \in \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \), we view \( x^\frac{1}{N} \) as an element of \( \frac{1}{N}\mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \) and define
\[ E_n^{(k)}(x) = \frac{1}{k} N^{k-1} B_k \left( \left\langle \frac{x}{N} \right\rangle \right) \]
and
\[ E_{n,c}^{(k)}(x) = E_n^{(k)}(x) - c^k E_n^{(k)}(c^{-1}x) . \]
Note that \( E_n^{(k)} = \frac{1}{k} \psi_k^{(N)} \), so the \( E_n^{(k)} \) and \( E_{n,c}^{(k)} \) form distributions on \( \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \).

**PROPOSITION 5.2.5.** For \( N = p^n m \) with \( n \geq 1 \) and \( k \geq 1 \), we have \( E_n^{(k)}(x) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) and

\[
E_{n,c}^{(k)}(x) \equiv x^{k-1} E_n^{(1)}(x) \mod p^n \mathbb{Z}_p
\]

for all \( x \in \mathbb{Z}/N \mathbb{Z} \).

**PROOF.** We have

\[
\frac{e^x - 1}{e^t - 1} = \left(1 - \frac{1}{2} t + \frac{1}{6} t^2 + \cdots\right) \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} \frac{X^i}{i! t^i},
\]

from which we see that the \( k \)th Bernoulli polynomial has the form

\[
B_k(X) = X^k - \frac{k}{2} X^{k-1} + k f(X)
\]

with \( f \in \mathbb{Q}[X] \) of degree \( k - 2 \) (or 0 if \( k = 1 \)), the leading term of \( f(X) \) being \( \frac{k}{6} X^{k-2} \). Let \( e_k \geq 0 \) be minimal such that \( p^{e_k} f(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X] \).

Let \( a \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( 0 \leq a < N \) lift \( x \in \mathbb{Z}/N \mathbb{Z} \), and let \( b \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( 0 \leq b < N \) and \( y \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) be such that

\[
\frac{c_p^{-1} a}{N} = \frac{b}{N} + y.\]

We then have

\[
b^j \equiv c_p^{-j} a^j - j N c_p^{j+1} a^{j+1} y \mod N^2
\]

for \( j \geq 1 \), which yields

\[
E_{n,c}^{(k)}(x) \equiv \frac{1}{k} \left( \frac{1}{N} a^k - \frac{k}{2} a^{k-1} - c_p \left( \frac{1}{N} b^k - \frac{k}{2} b^{k-1} \right) \right) \equiv a^{k-1} \left( c_p y + \frac{1}{2} (c_p - 1) \right) \mod p^{n-e_k} \mathbb{Z}_p.
\]

Since this holds for all \( k \), we have in particular that \( E_n^{(1)}(x) \) is in \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) for all \( n \), noting that \( c_p \equiv 1 \mod 2 \mathbb{Z}_p \), and that

\[
E_{n,c}^{(k)}(x) \equiv x^{k-1} E_n^{(1)}(x) \mod p^{n-e_k} \mathbb{Z}_p
\]

is integral for sufficiently large \( n \) as well. By the distribution relation for the \( E_{n,c}^{(k)} \), this integrality then holds for all \( n \). If we choose \( n \geq e_k' \), where \( e_k' \) is minimal such that \( p^{e_k'} (f(X) - \frac{k}{6} X^{k-2}) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X] \), then we can refine the above to to

\[
E_{n,c}^{(k)}(x) \equiv x^{k-1} E_n^{(1)}(x) + N x^{k-2} \frac{k}{6} (1 - c_p^2) \mod p^n \mathbb{Z}_p
\]

Since \( c_p^2 \equiv 1 \mod 6 \mathbb{Z}_p \), this reduces to

\[
E_{n,c}^{(k)}(x) \equiv x^{k-1} E_n^{(1)}(x) \mod p^n \mathbb{Z}_p,
\]

and the congruence then follows for arbitrary \( n \) by the distribution relation. \( \square \)

**REMARK 5.2.6.** Together the \( E_{n,c}^{(k)} \) form a \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-valued measure \( E_c^{(k)} \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_{p,m} \), hence on \( \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^{\times} \) as well by restriction. We can integrate the resulting measure against functions on \( \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^{\times} \) that arise as limits of Dirichlet characters of conductor dividing \( p^n m \) for some \( n \).
5.2. \(p\)-ADIC \(L\)-FUNCTIONS

**Definition 5.2.7.** We let \(E^{(k)}_c\) denote the measure defined by the \(E_{n,c}\).

**Remark 5.2.8.** Given \(g \in C(\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times, \mathcal{O})\), we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} g(x) dE^{(k)}_c(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} g(x)x_p^{k-1} dE^{(1)}_c(x)
\]
for every \(k \geq 1\).

**Remark 5.2.9.** When \(\chi : \mathbb{Z}_{p,m} \to \mathcal{O}^\times\) is a continuous multiplicative function, we have
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}} \chi(x) dE^{(k)}_c(x) = (1 - \chi(c)e_p^k)^{-1} \frac{kB_k, \chi}{k}.
\]
Note that if \(\chi\) has finite order, so is the map attached to a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor dividing \(mp^n\) for some \(n\), then \(\chi E^{(k)}_c\) defines an \(\mathcal{O}\)-valued measure on \(\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}\), with volume given by the above formula. Here, the \(\chi E^{(k)}_{n,c}\) are really only defined for \(mp^n\) a multiple of the conductor of \(\chi\), but the \(i\)th terms of the distribution for \(i\) less than the minimal such \(n\) can be defined by the distribution relations.

**Definition 5.2.10.** Let \(\nu\) be an \(\mathcal{O}\)-valued measure on \(\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times\). We define its \(p\)-adic Mellin transform to be the \(\mathcal{O}\)-valued function \(M_p(\nu)\) on \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) given by
\[
M_p(\nu)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} \langle x \rangle_p^s x_p^{-1} d\nu(x).
\]

**Remark 5.2.11.** When \(p\) is odd, \(x_p = \langle x \rangle_p \omega(x)\) for any \(x \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times\), where \(\omega\) is the Teichmüller character, which factors through \((\mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z})^\times\). For \(p = 2\), we simply define \(\omega : \mathbb{Z}_{2,m}^\times \to \mu_2(\mathbb{Z}_2)\) by the above formula.

**Remark 5.2.12.** If \(\nu\) is an \(\mathcal{O}\)-valued measure on \(\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times\), then so is \(\psi \nu\) for any Dirichlet character \(\psi\) of conductor dividing \(p^n m\) for some \(n\). In particular, we have
\[
M_p(\nu)(s) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} \langle x \rangle_p^{s-1} d(\omega^{-1} \nu).
\]

**Definition 5.2.13.** Let \(\chi : \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times \to \mathbb{C}_{p}^\times\) be a finite-order character. We define the Kubota-Leopoldt \(p\)-adic \(L\)-function of \(\chi\) to be the \(\mathbb{C}_p\)-valued function on \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) given by
\[
L_p(\chi, s) = -(1 - \chi(c)\langle c \rangle_p^{1-s})^{-1}M_p(\chi E^{(1)}_c)(1 - s)
\]
for \(s \in \mathbb{Z}_p\) and \(c \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times\) such that \(\chi(c) \neq 1\) if \(\chi \neq 1\).

Rewriting this, we have
\[
-(1 - \chi(c)\langle c \rangle_p^{1-s})L_p(\chi, s) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} \chi(x)\langle x \rangle_p^{1-s}x_p^{-1} dE^{(1)}_c(x)
\]
\[
= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} \langle x \rangle_p^s d(\chi \omega^{-1} E^{(1)}_c)(x).
\]
Remark 5.2.14. The factor \((1 - \chi(c)(c)^{1-s})^{-1}\) in the definition of \(L_p(\chi, s)\) removes the dependence of the definition of the \(p\)-adic \(L\)-function on the value \(c\). Note that such a factor (without the inverse) was used in defining \(E_c^{(1)}\) in the first place.

A finite order character \(\chi : \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times \to \mathbb{C}^\times\) takes values in \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\) and may be viewed as a \(p\)-adic character through a choice of embedding of \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\) in \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}_p\), we have the following.

Proposition 5.2.15. Let \(\chi\) be a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor \(p^n m\) for some \(n \geq 0\), and let \(\chi\) also denote the resulting character \(\chi : \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times \to \mathbb{C}_p^\times\), fixing a place over \(p\) in \(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}\). For \(k \geq 1\), we have

\[
L_p(\chi, 1 - k) = -(1 - \chi \omega^{-k}(p)p^{k-1}) \frac{B_{k, \chi \omega^{-k}}}{k} = (1 - \chi \omega^{-k}(p)p^{k-1})L(\chi \omega^{-k}, 1 - k).
\]

Proof. Set \(\chi_k = \chi \omega^{-k}\). We note that

\[
(1 - \chi_k(c)c_k^p)L_p(\chi, 1 - k) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} \chi_k(x)x_k^{p-1}dE_c^{(1)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times} \chi_k(x)dE_c^{(k)}(x),
\]

and we split the latter integral into a difference of an integral over \(\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}\) by an integral over \(p\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}\), given that \(\chi_k\) is trivial on elements of \(\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}\) not prime to \(m\). By Remark 5.2.9, the former is

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}} \chi_k(x)dE_c^{(k)}(x) = (1 - \chi_k(c)c_k^p) \frac{B_{k, \chi_k}}{k}.
\]

Since \(E_{n,c}^{(k)}(pb) = E_{n-1,c}^{(k)}(b)\) for \(b \in \mathbb{Z}/mp^n\mathbb{Z}\), the latter is

\[
\int_{p\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}} \chi_k(x)dE_c^{(k)}(x) = \sum_{a=1}^{mp^n-1} \chi_k(pa)E_{n,c}^{(k)}(pa) = \chi_k(p)p^{k-1} \sum_{a=1}^{mp^n-1} \chi_k(a)E_{n-1,c}^{(k)}(a)
\]

\[
= \chi_k(p)p^{k-1} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}} \chi_k(x)dE_c^{(k)}(x).
\]

Taking the difference of the two terms, we have the result.

Corollary 5.2.16. The \(p\)-adic \(L\)-function of \(\chi\) is independent of the choice of \(c\) in its definition.

Proof. The function \(L_p(\chi, s)\) is continuous, and its values at the dense subset of \(\mathbb{Z}_p\) consisting of the nonnegative integers are independent of \(c\) by Proposition 5.2.15.
5.3. Iwasawa power series

**Definition 5.3.1.** A finite order $p$-adic character $\chi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times$ is a *of the first kind* if $\chi$ is trivial on $1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p$ and *of the second kind* if it is trivial on $\Delta$.

In general, a finite order $p$-adic character $\chi$ on $\mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times$ is a unique product $\chi = \chi_t\chi_w$ of a $p$-adic character $\chi_t$ of the first kind and a $p$-adic character $\chi_w$ of the second kind. We use the subscripts “t” and “w” to indicate “tame” and “wild”, respectively, though the terminology is technically incorrect if $p = 2$. If we view $\chi$ as corresponding to a primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $mp^n$ for $n \geq 0$, then $\chi$ is of the first kind if and only if it has conductor dividing $mq$, and $\chi$ is of the second kind if and only if it has $p$-power order and conductor $p^n$ for some $n \geq 1$ (and then necessarily at least 2 if $p = 2$). If $\chi$ is of the second kind, it is necessarily even.

**Notation 5.3.2.** For any Dirichlet character $\chi$, let $\Lambda_\chi = \mathcal{O}_\chi[T]$, where $\mathcal{O}_\chi$ is the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra generated by the values of $\chi$, fixing a choice of a embedding $\overline{\mathbb{Q}} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p$. Let $K_\chi$ denote the quotient field of $\mathcal{O}_\chi$, and let $Q(\Lambda_\chi)$ denote the quotient field of $\Lambda_\chi$, which contains $K_\chi[T]$.

**Proposition 5.3.3.** Let $\chi$ be a primitive even Dirichlet character of conductor $m$ or $mq$. There exists a unique element $F_\chi \in Q(\Lambda_\chi)$ such that

$$F_\chi(\xi u^s - 1) = L_p(\chi \rho, s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and $\xi$ of $p$-power order, where $\rho$ is of the second kind satisfying $\rho(u) = \xi^{-1}$.

**Proof.** It follows from (5.2.1) that

$$-(1 - \chi \rho(c)(c)_p^{1-s})L_p(\chi \rho, s) = \sum_{\sigma \in \Delta} \left( \int_{1+q\mathbb{Z}_p} \langle x \rangle_p^{-s} \rho(x) dE_1(1)(x) \right) \chi \omega^{-1}(\sigma)$$

Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be such that $\langle c \rangle_p = u^a$, and set

$$h_{\chi,c}(T) = \chi(c)(c)_p(1 + T)^{-a} - 1.$$ 

Then

$$h_{\chi,c}(\xi u^s - 1) = \chi(c)(c)_p \xi^{-a}u^{-sa} - 1 = -(1 - \chi \rho(c)(c)_p^{1-s}).$$

Similarly, if we let $f_{\chi,c} \in \mathcal{O}_\chi[T]$ be such that

$$f_{\chi,c}(u^s - 1) = -(1 - \chi(c)(c)_p^{1-s})L_p(\chi, s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, then

$$f_{\chi,c}(\xi u^s - 1) = -(1 - \chi \rho(c)(c)_p^{1-s})L_p(\chi \rho, s).$$

Thus $F_\chi = \frac{f_{\chi,c}}{h_{\chi,c}}$ has the desired property. In that the integral power series $f_{\chi,c}$ satisfies $f_{\chi,c}((1 + q)^s - 1) = h_{\chi,c}((1 + q)^s - 1)L_p(\chi, s)$ for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, it is unique, and therefore so is $F_\chi$. 

□
REMARK 5.3.4. In the notation of Proposition 5.3.3, we have if \( \chi \neq 1 \), then we may take \( c \in \Delta \subset \mathbb{Z}_{p, m}^{\times} \) to be such that \( \chi(c) \neq 1 \), so \( h_{\chi, c} = \chi(c) - 1 \), and \( (\chi(c) - 1)F_{\chi} \in \Lambda_{\chi} \). If \( \chi = 1 \), then we may take \( c = u \in 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_{p} \), so \( h_{\chi, u} = u(T + 1)^{-1} - 1 \).

DEFINITION 5.3.5. Let \( F_{n} = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp^{n}}) \), and let \( G_{n} = \text{Gal}(F_{n}/\mathbb{Q}) \). For any \( b \in \mathbb{Z}_{p, m}^{\times} \), set

\[
\Theta_{n}^{(k)}(b) = \sum_{a=1}^{mp^{n}} E_{n}^{(k)}(ab)\sigma_{a}^{-1}.
\]

We set \( \Theta_{n}^{(k)} = \Theta_{n}^{(k)}(1) \) and refer to \( \Theta_{n}^{(k)} \) as the \( k \)-th higher Stickelberger element for \( F_{n} \).

Since the \( E_{n}^{(k)} \) form a distribution, the \( \Theta_{n}^{(k)} \) give a compatible system in the inverse limit. Set \( G_{\infty} = \text{Gal}(F_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q}) \). We have a continuous isomorphism \( \mathbb{Z}_{p, m}^{\times} \cong G_{\infty} \) via \( a \mapsto \sigma_{a} \), under which \( 1 + q\mathbb{Z}_{p} \) is identitifed with \( \Gamma = \text{Gal}(F_{\infty}/F) \) for \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp}) \), and \( \Delta = (\mathbb{Z}/mp\mathbb{Z})^{\times} \) is identified with the torsion subgroup of \( G_{\infty} \), which we also denote by \( \Delta \). For locally compact \( \mathbb{Z}_{p} \)-algebra \( R \), we then have an identification

\[
R[G_{\infty}] = R[\Delta][\Gamma] \cong R[\Delta][T]
\]
of topological rings, where \( T = \gamma - 1 \) for \( \gamma = \sigma_{u} \).

NOTATION 5.3.6. Let

\[
\Theta_{\infty}^{(k)}(b) = (\Theta_{n}^{(k)}(b))_{n} \in \mathbb{Q}_{p}[\Delta][T],
\]

and set \( \Theta_{\infty}^{(k)} = \Theta_{\infty}^{(k)}(1) \).

REMARK 5.3.7. Since

\[
(1 - c_{p}^{k}\sigma_{c}^{-1}) \sum_{a=1}^{mp^{n}} E_{n}^{(k)}(a)\sigma_{a}^{-1} = \sum_{a=1}^{mp^{n}} E_{n, p}^{(k)}(a)\sigma_{a}^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[G_{n}],
\]

we have \( (1 - c_{p}^{k}\sigma_{c}^{-1})\Theta_{\infty}^{(k)} \in \mathbb{Z}_{p}[\Delta][T] \). Aside from the use of \( \sigma_{a}^{-1} \) in place of \( \sigma_{a} \), the latter is the power series corresponding to the measure given by the \( E_{n, p}^{(k)} \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_{p, m}^{\times} \).

NOTATION 5.3.8. For any nontrivial primitive even Dirichlet character \( \chi \) of conductor \( m \) or \( mq \), let

\[
f_{\chi} = -\omega\chi^{-1}(\Theta_{\infty}^{(1)}),
\]

where \( \omega: \mathbb{Q}_{p}[\Delta][T] \to K[\chi][T] \) is the unique continuous \( \mathbb{Q}_{p}[T] \)-linear map that restricts to \( \chi_{1}^{-1} = \omega\chi^{-1} \) on \( \Delta \). Set

\[
f_{1} = (1 - u(1 + T)^{-1})\omega(\Theta_{\infty}^{(1)}).
\]

DEFINITION 5.3.9. For any primitive even Dirichlet character of conductor \( m \) or \( mq \), the power series \( f_{\chi} \) is called the Iwasawa power series of \( \chi \).
Remark 5.3.10. For any nontrivial $\chi$, the image of $f_\chi$ in $\text{Gal}(F_n/\mathbb{Q})$ is
\[- \sum_{\substack{a \equiv 1 \pmod{mp^n} \atop (a, mp^n) = 1}} \left( \frac{a}{mp^n} - \frac{1}{2} \right) \omega \chi^{-1}(a) \sigma_{(a)p}.\]

This breaks up into two terms, the second of which vanishes by the nontriviality of $\chi_1$, and $-f_\chi$ becomes identified with
\[
\left( \hat{\omega} \chi^{-1}(\theta_{F_n}) \right)_n \in \mathbb{Q}_p[\Gamma],
\]
where $\omega \chi^{-1}$ is defined in the obvious fashion. In other words, $f_\chi$ is the negative of the $\omega \chi^{-1}$-specialization of the inverse limit of Stickelberger elements of the fields $F_n$.

For $\chi$ nontrivial, the power series $f_\chi$ agrees with $F_\chi$ defined above.

Lemma 5.3.11. For any primitive even Dirichlet character $\chi$ of conductor $m$ or $mq$, we have $f_\chi = F_\chi$. For $\chi = 1$, we have $f_1 = h_1 F_1$, where $h_1 = 1 - u(1 + T)^{-1}$.

Proof. We have
\[-(1 - \chi(c)) f_\chi(u^s - 1) = \hat{\omega} \chi^{-1} \left( \sum_{\substack{a \equiv 1 \pmod{mp^n} \atop (a, mp^n) = 1}} \int_{1 + q\mathbb{Z}_p} x^{-s} dE_c^{(1)}(x) \sigma_a^{-1} \right).
\]
\[= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^m} \chi_1(x) \langle x \rangle_p^{-s} dE_c^{(1)}(x) \]
\[= \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^m} \chi(x) \langle x \rangle_p^{-s} x_p^{-1} dE_c^{(1)}(x) \]
\[= -(1 - \chi(c)) L_p(\chi, s).
\]
It follows that $f_\chi = F_\chi$. The case that $\chi = 1$ is similar and left to the reader. \hfill \Box

We now prove the integrality of the Iwasawa power series $f_\chi$ for odd $p$.

Proposition 5.3.12. Let $\chi$ be a primitive even Dirichlet character of conductor $m$ or $mq$. Then $\frac{1}{2} f_\chi \in \Lambda_\chi$.

Proof. We prove this in the case that $p$ is odd. For $\chi = 1$, this is immediate from Lemma 5.3.11 and Remark 5.3.4. For $\chi$ nontrivial, we are already done if $\chi$ is not of $p$-power order, as $\chi(c) - 1$ can be chosen to be a unit. In particular, we may suppose that $m$ is divisible by a prime $\ell$. We claim that
\[
\Theta^{(1)}_\infty - \ell^{-1} \Theta^{(1)}_\infty(\ell) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathbb{G}_\infty].
\]
To see this, note that
\[
\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)^{-1} - \ell^{-1}\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell) = \sum_{a=1}^{mp^n} \left( \frac{\ell a}{mp^n} - \ell^{-1} \langle \frac{a}{mp^n} \rangle \right) \sigma_{mp^n}^a \in \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]
\]
for all \(n\), since \(\ell \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times\) and \(\ell \frac{a}{mp^n} - \langle \frac{a}{mp^n} \rangle \in \mathbb{Z}\) by definition. Setting \(m' = \frac{m}{p}\), for \(\tilde{\Delta} \subset \{1, \ldots, mp^n\}\) a set of representatives of \(\Delta\) viewed inside \(\mathbb{Z}/mp^n\mathbb{Z}\) and a fixed \(b \in \mathbb{Z}_p\), we have that the coefficient of \(\gamma^{-b} \in \tilde{\Theta}_G[G_n]\) of \(\omega\chi^{-1}\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)\) is
\[
\sum_{a \in \tilde{\Delta}} \left( \ell ab \right) \omega\chi^{-1}(a) = \sum_{a=1}^{m'p^n} \frac{ab}{m'p^n} \sum_{i=0}^{\ell-1} \omega\chi^{-1}(a + im'p^n),
\]
and the latter sum is 0 since \(\ell\) divides the conductor of \(\omega\chi^{-1}\). (Note that one value of \(a + im'p^n\) in the sum will not be prime to \(m\) if \(\ell \mid m'\), but \(\omega\chi^{-1}(a + im'p^n) = 0\) for this value.) Thus, \(\omega\chi^{-1}(\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)) = 0\), so
\[
f_\chi = \omega\chi^{-1}(\Theta_n^{(1)} - \ell^{-1}\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)) \in \Lambda_\chi.
\]

Putting this all together, we have the following.

**Theorem 5.3.13.** Let \(\chi\) be a primitive even \(p\)-adic Dirichlet character of conductor of the first kind. There exists a unique element \(f_\chi \in \Lambda_\chi\) such that if \(\chi\) is nontrivial, we have
\[
f_\chi(\xi u^s - 1) = L_p(\chi\rho, s),
\]
and if \(\chi = 1\), then for \(h_1 = u(1 + T)^{-1} - 1\), we have
\[
f_1(\xi u^s - 1) = h_1(\xi u^s - 1)L_p(\rho, s)
\]
for all \(s \in \mathbb{Z}_p\) and \(\xi\) of \(p\)-power order, where \(\rho\) is of the second kind satisfying \(\rho(u) = \xi^{-1}\).

Recall that \(X_\infty\) denotes the unramified Iwasawa module over \(F_\infty\). The interpretation of \(f_\chi\) in terms of Stickelberger elements also gives the following.

**Proposition 5.3.14.** For any primitive Dirichlet character \(\chi\) of conductor \(m\) or \(mq\), the Iwasawa power series \(\frac{1}{2}f_\chi \in \Lambda_\chi\) annihilates \(X_\infty^{(\omega\chi^{-1})}\).

**Proof.** We again suppose that \(p\) is odd. Recall that \(\Theta_n^{(1)} - \ell^{-1}\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)\) is integral, and
\[
\omega\psi^{-1}(\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)) = 0
\]
for every nontrivial even character \(\psi\) of conductor \(m\) or \(mp\). Write \(\chi = \nu\rho\) where \(\nu\) has order prime to \(p\) and \(\rho\) has \(p\)-power order. By varying \(\rho\) over its \(G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}\)-conjugates, this implies that \(e_{\omega\nu^{-1}\Theta_n^{(1)}(\ell)} = 0\),
where \( e_{\omega^{-1}} \) is the idempotent for \( \omega^{-1} \) on the prime-to-\( p \) part of \( \Delta \). Then \( e_{\omega^{-1}}\Theta_1 \in \mathcal{O}_v[\mathcal{G}_\infty] \). By Remark 5.3.10, it annihilates \( e_{\omega^{-1}}X_\infty \). By projection, we then have that \( f_\chi \) annihilates the quotient \( X_\infty^{(\omega^{-1})} \).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 5.3.15.** Suppose that \( p \) is odd. For any even \( k \geq 2 \) not divisible by \( p - 1 \) and every \( j \geq 1 \), we have

\[ B_{1,\omega^{k-1}} \equiv \frac{B_{j,\omega^{k-j}}}{j} \bmod p. \]

In particular, we have

\[ B_{1,\omega^{k-1}} \equiv \frac{B_k}{k} \bmod p. \]

**Proof.** We have \( L_p(0, \omega^k) = -B_{1,\omega^{k-1}} \) and \( L_p(1 - j, \omega^k) = -\frac{B_{j,\omega^{k-j}}}{j} \), so this follows from the fact that \( \omega^k \neq 1 \), then \( L_p(\chi, s) = f_\chi(u^s - 1) \), and \( u^{1-j} - 1 \equiv 0 \bmod p \).

\[ \square \]

**Corollary 5.3.16.** Suppose that \( p \) is odd and \( j \equiv k \bmod p^{n-1}(p-1) \) are even positive integers not divisible by \( p - 1 \). Then

\[ (1 - p^{j-1}) \frac{B_j}{j} \equiv (1 - p^{k-1}) \frac{B_k}{k} \bmod p^n. \]

**Proof.** We have \( L_p(1 - j, \omega^j) = -(1 - p^{j-1}) \frac{B_j}{j} \). As

\[ \omega^j(x)\langle x\rangle^{j-1} = x^j_p \langle x\rangle^{j-1}_p \]

and \( x^j_p \equiv x^k_p \bmod p^n \), we have the result so long as

\[ 1 - \omega^j(c)\langle c\rangle^j_p = 1 - c^j_p \]

can be taken to be a unit, which occurs if \( j \not\equiv 0 \bmod p - 1 \).

\[ \square \]

5.4. Coleman theory

Let \( E \) be an unramified extension of \( \mathbb{Q}_p \) with valuation ring \( \mathcal{O} \). Let \( q \) denote the order of the residue field of \( \mathcal{O} \). Let \( E_n = E(\mu_{p^n}) \), and let \( \mathcal{O}_n \) denote its valuation ring, for \( n \geq 0 \). Fix a sequence \( (\xi_{p^n})_n \) of primitive \( p^n \)th roots of unity in \( E_n \) such that \( \xi_{p^{n+1}} = \xi_{p^n} \) for each \( n \geq 1 \). Let \( \Lambda = \mathcal{O}[T] \).

**Notation 5.4.1.** Let \( [p] \) denote the continuous \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-linear endomorphism of \( \Lambda \) given on \( f \in \Lambda \) by

\[ [p](f)(T) = f((1 + T)^p - 1). \]

**Lemma 5.4.2.** The image of \( [p] \) is equal to the set of all \( f \in \Lambda \) such that

\[ f(\xi^i_p(1 + T) - 1) = f(T) \]

for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \).
PROOF. We need only show that every \( f \) with the above property is in the image of \([p]\), which is to say that it can be expanded in a power series in \( P = [p](T) = (1 + T)^p - 1 \). For this, suppose inductively that we have written \( f \) as
\[
  f = \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i P^i + P^n f_n
\]
with \( a_i \in \mathcal{O} \) for some \( n \geq 0 \). Then \( f_n \) also has the property that \( f_n(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) = f_n(T) \) for all \( i \). Taking \( T = 0 \), we see that \( f_n(\zeta_p^i - 1) = f_n(0) \) for all \( i \), and therefore
\[
  f_n - f_n(0) = P f_{n+1}
\]
for some \( f_{n+1} \in \Lambda \) having the desired property, and we set \( a_n = f_n(0) \). We then have \( f = \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_i P^i \) in the limit. \( \square \)

PROPOSITION 5.4.3. There exist unique maps \( N : \Lambda \to \Lambda \) and \( S : \Lambda \to p\Lambda \) satisfying
\[
  ([p] \circ N)(f)(T) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \quad \text{and} \quad ([p] \circ S)(f)(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1)
\]
for all \( f \in \Lambda \).

PROOF. For \( f \in \Lambda \), consider
\[
  g(T) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1),
\]
which is clearly in \( \Lambda \) as its coefficients are fixed by \( \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)/\mathbb{Q}) \). We have \( g(T) = g(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \) for all \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), so by Lemma 5.4.2, we have \( g = [p](N(f)) \) for some \( N(f) \in \Lambda \), which is unique by the injectivity of \([p]\).

If we take
\[
  h = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \in \Lambda
\]
then as
\[
  f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \equiv f(T) \mod (1 - \zeta_p),
\]
for each \( i \), we have \( h(T) \in p\Lambda \). As in the case of \( N \), we have \( h(T) = h(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \) for all \( i \), so \( h = [p](S(f)) \) for a unique \( S(f) \in p\Lambda \). \( \square \)

DEFINITION 5.4.4. Coleman's norm operator \( N : \Lambda \to \Lambda \) and Coleman's trace operator \( S : \Lambda \to \Lambda \) are the maps characterized by Proposition 5.4.3.

LEMMA 5.4.5. If \( f \in \Lambda \) and \( n \geq 1 \), then \( f \equiv 1 \mod p^n \) if and only if \([p](f) \equiv 1 \mod p^n\).
5.4. COLEMAN THEORY

PROOF. We consider the nontrivial direction. Let \( m \geq 0 \) be maximal with \( f \equiv 1 \mod p^m \), and let \( k \geq 0 \) be maximal such that
\[
f \equiv 1 + ap^m T^k \mod (p^{m+1}, T^{k+1})
\]
for some nonzero \( a \in \mathcal{O}^\times \). Since \([p](T) \equiv T^p \mod p\), we have
\[
[p](f) \equiv 1 + p^m a T^k \mod (p^{m+1}, T^{k+1}).
\]
So, if \([p](f) \equiv 1 \mod p^n\), then \( n \leq m \). \( \square \)

Let \( \varphi \) denote the unique Frobenius element in \( \text{Gal}(E_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p) \), where \( E_\infty = \bigcup_n E_n \), which we also let act on \( \Lambda \) through its action on coefficients.

PROPOSITION 5.4.6. If \( f \in \Lambda^\times \), then \( \mathcal{N}(f) \equiv \varphi(f) \mod p \). If \( f \equiv 1 \mod p^n \) for some positive integer \( n \), then \( \mathcal{N}(f) \equiv 1 \mod p^{n+1} \).

PROOF. Take \( f \in \Lambda^\times \), and suppose that \( f \equiv 1 \mod p^k \) for some \( k \geq 0 \). We then have
\[
f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \equiv f(T) \mod p^k(1 - \zeta_p)
\]
for each \( i \in \mathbb{Z} \), and our assumption on \( f \) implies that
\[
([p] \circ \mathcal{N})(f) = \prod_{i=1}^{p-1} f(\zeta_p^i(1 + T) - 1) \equiv f(T)^p \mod p^{k+1}.
\]
If \( k \geq 1 \), then \( f^p \equiv 1 \mod p^{k+1} \), so Lemma 5.4.5 tells us that \( \mathcal{N}(f) \equiv 1 \mod p^{k+1} \) as well.

If \( k = 0 \), then we can at least say that \( f(T)^p \equiv \varphi(f)(T^p) \equiv [p](f)(T) \mod p \), so
\[
[p]\left(\frac{\mathcal{N}(f)}{\varphi(f)}\right) \equiv 1 \mod p,
\]
and therefore Lemma 5.4.5 tells us that \( \mathcal{N}(f) \equiv \varphi(f) \mod p \). \( \square \)

COROLLARY 5.4.7. Suppose that \( f \in \Lambda^\times \). For \( n \geq m \), we have
\[
\mathcal{N}^n(\varphi^{-n}(f)) \equiv \mathcal{N}^m(\varphi^{-m}(f)) \mod p^{m+1}.
\]

PROOF. By repeated application of Proposition 5.4.6 with \( k = 0 \), we have
\[
\mathcal{N}^{n-m}(f) \equiv \varphi^{n-m}(f) \mod p,
\]
and again by Proposition 5.4.6, the congruence follows by applying \( \mathcal{N}^m \circ \varphi^{-n} \) to \( \frac{\mathcal{N}^{n-m}(f)}{\varphi^{n-m}(f)} \). \( \square \)

COROLLARY 5.4.8. Suppose that \( f \in \Lambda^\times \). Then \( g = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mathcal{N}^i(\varphi^{-i}(f)) \) exists, and \( \mathcal{N}(g) = \varphi(g) \).
PROOF. By Corollary 5.4.7, the limit $g$ in question exists, and we have

$$\mathcal{N}(g) = \lim_{i \to \infty} \mathcal{N}^{i+1}(\varphi^{-i}(f)) = \varphi \lim_{i \to \infty} \mathcal{N}^{i+1}(\varphi^{-(i+1)}(f))\varphi(g).$$

\[\tag*{\Box}\]

THEOREM 5.4.9 (Coleman). Suppose that $u = (u_n)_{n \geq 0}$ forms a norm compatible sequence of units with $u_n \in \mathcal{O}_n^\times$. Then there exists a unique $f \in \Lambda^\times$ such that $f(\zeta_p^n - 1) = \varphi^n(u_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$, and it has the property that $\mathcal{N}(f) = \varphi(f)$.

PROOF. We choose arbitrary $f_n \in \Lambda^\times$ that satisfy $f_n(\zeta_p^n - 1) = \varphi^n(u_n)$ for each $n$, and we set $g_n = \mathcal{N}^n(\varphi^{-n}(f_{2n}))$. As $(g_n)_n$ is a sequence in a compact set $\Lambda$, it has a limit point, which we call $f$. We claim that this $f$ has the desired property.

For any $n \geq m$, we have

$$\varphi^m(u_m) = \varphi^m(N_{E_n/E_m}(u_n)) = \varphi^{m-n} \prod_{i=0}^{p^{n-m}-1} f_i(\zeta_{p^{n-m}} - 1) = (\mathcal{N}^{n-m} \varphi^{m-n} f_n)(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = (\mathcal{N}^{n-m} \varphi^{m-n} f_n)(\zeta_{p^n} - 1).$$

Since $2n - m \geq n$, Corollary 5.4.7, tells us that

$$\mathcal{N}^{2n-m} \varphi^{m-2n} f_{2n} \equiv \mathcal{N}^n \varphi^{-n} f_{2n} \bmod p^{n+1},$$

so

$$\varphi^m(u_m) = \mathcal{N}^{2n-m} \varphi^{m-2n} f_{2n}(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) \equiv g_n(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) \bmod p^{n+1}.$$  

This forces $f(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \varphi^m(u_m)$ by taking the limit over the subsequence of $(g_n)_n$ converging to $f$.

The power series $f$ is unique, as its difference with any other such power series would have infinitely many zeros in the maximal ideal of $\mathcal{O}_n$. Note that

$$\mathcal{N}(f)(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \mathcal{N}(f)([p](\zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1)) = ([p] \circ \mathcal{N})(f)(\zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_{p^{n+1}} - 1) = N_{E_{n+1}/E_n} \varphi^{p+1}(u_{n+1}) = \varphi^{n+1}(u_n) = \varphi(f)(\zeta_{p^n} - 1)$$

for all $n$, which similarly forces $\mathcal{N}(f) = \varphi(f)$. \[\tag*{\Box}\]

**Notation 5.4.10.** Let $\hat{\Gamma} = \text{Gal}(E_{\infty}/E)$. Let $U_{\infty} = \lim_{\mathcal{O}_n^\times}$ under norm maps.

We let $\sigma \in \hat{\Gamma}$ act on $f \in \mathcal{O}[T]$ by

$$(\sigma f)(T) = f((1 + T)\chi(\sigma) - 1),$$
where $\chi: \hat{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ denotes the $p$-adic cyclotomic character. The group $\text{Gal}(E_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q}_p) \cong (\varphi) \times \hat{\Gamma}$ then acts on $\mathcal{O}[T]$ through the action of powers of Frobenius on coefficients and the action of $\hat{\Gamma}$ described above.

**Notation 5.4.11.** Set
$$\mathcal{M} = \{ f \in \Lambda^\times \mid \mathcal{N}(f) = \varphi(f) \}.$$

**Definition 5.4.12.** The Coleman power series attached to $u = (u_n)_{n \in U_{\infty}}$ is the unique $f \in \mathcal{M}$ such that $f(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \varphi^n(u_n)$ for all $n \geq 0$.

**Corollary 5.4.13.** The map $U_{\infty} \to \mathcal{M}$ that takes a norm compatible sequence to its associated Coleman power series is a continuous $\text{Gal}(E_{\infty}/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant isomorphism.

**Proof.** That the map is an injective homomorphism is a consequence of uniqueness of the power series $f$ attached to $u$ by Theorem 5.4.9, and its image is in $\mathcal{M}$ by said theorem.

For any $f \in \mathcal{M}$, if we set $u_n = \varphi^{-n}(f(\zeta_{p^n} - 1))$, then
$$\varphi^n(u_n) = f(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \varphi^{-1}\mathcal{N}(f)(\zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \varphi^{-1}\prod_{i=0}^{p-1} f(\zeta_{p^{n+1}}^i \zeta_{p^n} - 1) = \varphi^n(N_{F_{n+1}/F_n} u_{n+1}).$$

Thus $f$ is the power series attached to $(u_n)_{n \in U_{\infty}}$. Continuity follows from the construction of the map and is easily checked. □

**Lemma 5.4.14.** For all $f \in \Lambda$, we have
$$\mathcal{S}([p](f)) = pf.$$

**Proof.** By definition, we have that
$$([p] \circ \mathcal{S} \circ [p](f))(T) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} f([p](\zeta_p^i (1 + T) - 1)) = pf([p](T)) = [p](pf(T)).$$

The result then follows by injectivity of $[p]$. □

**Notation 5.4.15.** Let
$$\Lambda^{\mathcal{S}=0} = \{ f \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{S}(f) = 0 \} \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda^{\mathcal{S}=\varphi} = \{ f \in \Lambda \mid \mathcal{S}(f) = \varphi f \}.$$

**Proposition 5.4.16.** The sequence
$$0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p \to \Lambda^{\mathcal{S}=\varphi} \xrightarrow{1-[p]\varphi} \Lambda^{\mathcal{S}=0} \xrightarrow{f_{\to \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}}f(0)} \mathbb{Z}_p \to 0$$
is exact.
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PROOF. Any constant \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) satisfies \( p\varphi a = pa = (\mathcal{S} \circ \lfloor a \rfloor)(a) = \mathcal{S}(a) \), so sits inside \( \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=p\varphi} \). If \( f \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=p\varphi} \), then

\[
\mathcal{S}((1 - [p]\varphi)(f)) = p\varphi(f) - p\varphi(f) = 0
\]

by Lemma 5.4.14, so \( (1 - [p]\varphi)(f) \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=0} \). Thus, the sequence is well-defined.

Note that \( (1 - [p]\varphi)(a) = a - \varphi(a) = 0 \) for \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) and \( (1 - [p]\varphi)(f)(0) = f(0) - \varphi(f(0)) \) for \( f \in \Lambda \), which is carried to 0 under \( \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} \). Thus, the sequence is a complex.

Injectivity of the first map is obvious, so we consider exactness at \( \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=p\varphi} \). If \( f \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=p\varphi} \) satisfies \( [p]\varphi(f) = f \), then \( f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), and we may replace \( f \) by \( g = p^{-m}(f - f(0)) \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=p\varphi} \) for \( m \geq 0 \) maximal, supposing \( g \neq 0 \). We then have

\[
g \equiv bT^i \mod (p, T^{i+1})
\]

for some \( b \in \mathcal{O} \times \) and \( i \geq 1 \). But this congruence forces \( \varphi(g)(T^p) \equiv 0 \mod (p, T^{i+1}) \), a contradiction. Thus, we have \( f = f(0) \in \mathbb{Z}_p \).

We next consider exactness at \( \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=0} \). Suppose that \( g \in \Lambda \) with \( \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}g(0) = 0 \). Then \( g(0) = (1 - [p]\varphi)(b) \) for some \( b \in \mathcal{O} \) by Hilbert’s theorem 90, and

\[
(1 - [p]\varphi)(aT^i) \equiv aT^i \mod (pT^i, T^{i+1})
\]

for all \( a \in \mathcal{O} \) and \( i \geq 1 \), so we can find a sequence in the image of \( 1 - [p]\varphi \) that converges to \( g \) recursively, and thus \( g = (1 - p[\varphi])(f) \) for some \( f \in \Lambda \). If moreover \( g \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=0} \), then

\[
\mathcal{S}(f) = \mathcal{S}(g) + \mathcal{S}([p]\varphi(f)) = \mathcal{S}([p]\varphi(f)) = p\varphi(f).
\]

Let \( \xi \in \mu_{q-1}(E) \) satisfy \( \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p}\xi = 1 \). Note that \( \xi(1 + T) \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{J}=0} \), since

\[
[p] \circ \mathcal{S}([p](1 + T)) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\xi_p \xi(1 + T)}{i} = 0
\]

and \( [p] \) is injective. Thus, the final map is surjective. \( \square \)

NOTATION 5.4.17.

a. Define \( D: \Lambda \to \Lambda \) on \( f \in \Lambda \) by \( D(f) = (1 + T)f'(T) \).

b. Define \( \log: \Lambda^\times \to \mathbb{E}[T] \) to be the homomorphism satisfying

\[
\log(1 + f) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} (-1)^{i-1} \frac{f^i}{i}
\]

for \( f \in (p, T) \) and \( \log(\xi) = 0 \) for \( \xi \) any root of unity in \( \mathcal{O} \).

c. Define \( D\log: \Lambda^\times \to \Lambda \) on \( f \in \Lambda^\times \) by \( D\log(f) = (1 + T)\frac{f'(T)}{f(T)} \).

REMARK 5.4.18. Note that \( D\log = D \circ \log \). We also consider \( D^k \log = D^{k-1} \circ D\log \) for \( k \geq 1 \).
Lemma 5.4.19. For any $f \in \Lambda^\times$, the quantity

$$\frac{1}{p} \log \left( \frac{f^p}{[p] \phi(f)} \right)$$

lies in $\Lambda$.

Proof. We have

$$\phi(f)((1 + T)^p - 1) \equiv \phi(f)(T^p) \equiv f^p(T) \mod p,$$

so $\frac{f^p}{[p] \phi(f)} = 1 + pg$ for some $g \in \Lambda^\times$. We have

$$\frac{1}{p} \log(1 + pg) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{i-1} p^{i-1} g^i}{i},$$

and the latter quantity clearly lies in $\Lambda$, since $i \geq \nu_p(i) + 1$ for all $i \geq 1$. □

Notation 5.4.20. Define $\mathcal{L} : \Lambda^\times \to \Lambda$ on $f \in \Lambda^\times$ by

$$\mathcal{L}(f) = \log f - \frac{1}{p} \log([p] \phi(f)).$$

Proposition 5.4.21. We have a commutative square

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathcal{M} & \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} & \Lambda^{\mathcal{V}=0} \\
\downarrow \text{Dlog} & & \downarrow D \\
\Lambda^{\mathcal{V}=p[p] \phi} & \xrightarrow{1-p[p] \phi} & \Lambda^{\mathcal{V}=0}
\end{array}$$

of continuous $\text{Gal}(E_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant $\mathbb{Z}_p$-linear homomorphisms.

Proof. For any $g \in \Lambda$, we have

$$([p] \circ \mathcal{V})(D(g)) = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \xi_p^i (1 + T) g^i (\xi_p^i (1 + T) - 1)$$

(5.4.1)

$$= \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} D(g(\xi_p^i (1 + T) - 1))$$

$$= D(([p] \circ \mathcal{V})(g)),$$

employing the chain rule in the second equality. Since $[p]$ is an injective endomorphism, it follows that $D(\Lambda^{\mathcal{V}=0}) \subseteq \Lambda^{\mathcal{V}=0}$. 
For $h \in \mathcal{M}$, that $D \log h \in \Lambda^\mathcal{P} = p\mathcal{P}$ follows from the string of equalities

\[
[p](\mathcal{J}(D \log h)) = D(\mathcal{J}_0(p) \circ \mathcal{J})(\log h))
= D\log((\mathcal{J}_0(p) \circ \mathcal{J})(h))
= D\log(\varphi(p)(h))
= [p](p\varphi(D \log h)),
\]

the first using (5.4.1), the second using that log is a homomorphism, the third using $h \in \mathcal{M}$, and the fourth by definition of the endomorphism $[p]$. We also have $L(h) \in \Lambda^\mathcal{P} = 0$, since

\[
[p](\mathcal{J}_0(S(L(h)))) = p^{-1} \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \log(h)(\xi_p^i(1 + T) - 1) - \log([p]\varphi(h)) = 0,
\]

the last step using $[p](T) = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (\xi_p^i(1 + T) - 1)$.

Next, for any $h \in \Lambda^\times$, we have by the chain rule that

\[
D\log([p] \circ h) = (1 + T) \frac{(h \circ [p])'(T)}{h \circ [p](T)} = (1 + T)p\frac{h'([p](T))}{h([p](T))} = [p](D \log h).
\]

It follows from this that the diagram commutes. Galois-equivariance of log and then $\mathcal{L}$ is clear, and Galois-equivariance of $D$ and then $D \log$ follows from the chain rule (for the $\tilde{\Gamma}$-action).

**Lemma 5.4.22.** For every $n \geq 1$, we have

\[
\frac{1}{p} \mathcal{J}\left([p](T)^n \frac{1+T}{T}\right) = T^n \frac{1+T}{T}.
\]

**Proof.** Set $P = [p](T)$, and note that $P = \prod_{i=0}^{p-1} (\xi_p^i(1 + T) - 1)$, and apply $\log$ to both sides. We then have

\[
p\frac{1+P}{P} = \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\xi_p^i(1+T)}{\xi_p^i(1+T) - 1}.
\]

We have

\[
[p]\left(\mathcal{J}(p^n \cdot \frac{1+T}{T})\right) = p^n \sum_{i=0}^{p-1} \frac{\xi_p^i(1+T)}{\xi_p^i(1+T) + 1} = pP^n \frac{1+P}{P} = [p]\left(pT^n \frac{1+T}{T}\right),
\]

which yields the result. □

**Notation 5.4.23.** Let $\Omega = \mathbb{F}_q[T]$, and define $\partial : \Omega^\times \to T\Omega$ by

\[
\partial(f) = T \frac{f'(T)}{f(T)}
\]

for $f \in \Omega$. 
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Lemmat 5.4.24. We have
\[ T\Omega = \partial(\Omega^\times) + \{ f^p \mid f \in T\Omega \}. \]

Proof. We claim that
\[ \partial(\Omega^\times) = \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} a_i T^i \in \Omega \mid a_{pi} = a_i^p \text{ for all } i \geq 1 \right\}. \]

Let us denote the latter set by \( S \). For this, we note that any \( u \in \Omega^\times \) may be written uniquely as an infinite product
\[ u = c \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} (1 - b_n T^n) \]
with \( c \in \mathbb{F}_q^\times \) and \( b_n \in \mathbb{F}_q \) for \( n \geq 1 \). Note that
\[ \partial(1 - b_n T^n) = \frac{-nb_n T^n}{1 + b_n T^n} = -n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{ni} T^{ni} \in S, \]
so \( \partial(u) \in S \) as \( \partial \) is a continuous homomorphism. Conversely, any element of \( S \) may be written as an infinite sum of terms of the form \( -n \sum_{i=0}^{\infty} a_{ni} T^{ni} \) for some \( a \in \mathbb{F}_q \) and \( n \) prime to \( p \) (by using it to specify the coefficient of \( T^n \) and thereby of the \( T^{np^i} \) for \( i \geq 1 \)), and such an element is equal to \( \partial(1 - a T^n) \). Thus, we have the claim.

Note that we can pick the coefficients \( a_i \) with \( p \nmid i \) of a power series in \( S \) arbitrarily. The fact that \( \partial(\Omega^\times) = S \) implies the result since the \( p \)th powers of elements of \( T\Omega \) are exactly the power series in \( T^p \mathbb{F}_q[T^p] \), for which we can pick the coefficients \( a_i \) with \( p \mid i \) arbitrarily. \( \square \)

Proposition 5.4.25. The map \( D\log: \mathcal{M} \to \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_q/p} \) is surjective and has kernel the group \( \mu_{q-1} \) of roots of unity of \( \mathcal{O}_q \) of prime-to-\( p \) order.

Proof. Clearly, the kernel of \( D\log \) on \( \Lambda^\times \) is \( \mathcal{O}_q^\times \). Note that \( a \in \mathcal{O}_q^\times \cap \mathcal{M} \) if and only if \( a^p = \varphi(a) \). It is easy to see that no element of \( 1 + p\mathcal{O}_q \) can have this property, while every element of \( \mu_{q-1} \) does. Thus, the kernel is as stated.

We claim first that it suffices to check the surjectivity of \( D\log \) modulo \( p \). Let \( \Omega = \mathbb{F}_q[T] \), and note that the formula for \( D\log \) makes sense on \( \Omega^\times \). Let \( f \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_q/p} \). Suppose by induction that there exists \( h_k \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( D\log(h_k) \equiv f \mod p^k \Lambda \). Then set
\[ f' = \frac{1}{p^k} (f - D\log(h_k)) \in \Lambda^{\mathcal{O}_q/p}, \]
and choose \( h' \in \mathcal{M} \) such that \( Dh' \equiv f' \mod p \Lambda \). Setting \( h_{k+1} = h_k + p^k h' \), we then have
\[ D\log(h_{k+1}) \equiv f \mod p^{k+1} \Lambda. \]
If we set \( h = \lim_{k \to \infty} h_k \), then \( D\log(h) = f \). Thus, we have the claim.
Next, we note that the reduction modulo $p$ map $\mathcal{M} \to \Omega^\times$ is surjective. This is straightforward: if $\bar{f} \in \Omega^\times$, then choose any lift $f$ of it to $\Lambda^\times$ and consider $g = \lim_{k \to \infty} \varphi^{-k} \mathcal{N}^k f$, which also lifts $\bar{f}$ but now lies in $\mathcal{M}$. To see that $D\log$ is surjective, it is then enough to see that the image $\Phi$ of $\Lambda^{\varphi=p\varphi}$ under reduction modulo $p$ is contained in $\frac{1+T}{T} \partial(\Omega^\times)$.

Let $v \in \Phi$. By Lemma 5.4.24, we have that

$$\frac{T}{1+T} v = \partial(u) + f^p$$

for some $u \in \Omega^\times$ and $f \in T\Omega$. Note that $\frac{1}{p} \varphi^{-1} \mathcal{S} : \Lambda \to \Lambda$ reduces to an operator $s : \Omega \to \Omega$ that fixes both $v$ and $\frac{1}{1+T} \partial(u)$, so fixes $\frac{1}{1+T} f^p$. On the other hand, Lemma 5.4.22 tells us that $s \left( \frac{1}{1+T} f^p \right) = \frac{1}{1+T} f$, since $[p](\varphi(f)) = f^p$ in $\Omega$. But for $\frac{1}{1+T} f = \frac{1}{1+T} f^p$ to hold for $f \in T\Omega$, we must have $f = 0$. Therefore, $v = \frac{1}{1+T} \partial(u)$, finishing the proof.

**Corollary 5.4.26.** The map $D : \Lambda^{\varphi=0} \to \Lambda^{\varphi=0}$ is a bijection.

**Proof.** The kernel of $D$ on $\Lambda$ is $\mathbb{Z}_p$, but $\mathcal{S}(a) = p a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, so $D$ is an injection. Since $D\log$ is a surjection by Proposition 5.4.25, the exact sequence of Proposition 5.4.16 reduces us to the claim that the composite map $g \mapsto \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} Dg(0)$ is surjective. For $a \in \mathcal{O}$, one may observe that $\mathcal{S}(a(1+T)) = 0$, so $a(1+T) \in \Lambda^{\varphi=0}$, and $D(a(1+T))(0) = a$. The corollary now follows by the surjectivity of trace in unramified extensions.

We now have the following consequence of what we have proven.

**Proposition 5.4.27.** The diagram

$$0 \to \mu_{q-1} \times \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \xrightarrow{(\xi, a) \mapsto \xi(1+T)^a} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}} \Lambda^{\varphi=0} \xrightarrow{f \mapsto \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} f'(0)} \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to 0$$

is an exact sequence in the category of compact abelian groups with continuous $\text{Gal}(E_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-actions.

**Proof.** We use Proposition 5.4.21, Proposition 5.4.25, and Corollary 5.4.26 to replace the middle terms in the exact sequence of Proposition 5.4.16. The fact that $D\log : \mathcal{M} \to \Lambda^{\varphi=p\varphi}$ has kernel $\mu_{q-1}$ is taken care of by adding it to the first term to preserve exactness. Note for this that the Coleman power series attached to the norm compatible sequence $(\xi_p^a)_n$ for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ is exactly $(1+T)^a$, and the map is clearly $\text{Gal}(E_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant. Also, note that $Df(0) = f'(0)$, so the last map is as stated, and

$$f((1+T)^{\chi(a)} - 1)|_{T=0} = \chi(a) f'(0),$$

so it is also $\text{Gal}(E_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant.

Recall that an $\mathcal{O}$-valued measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ is identified with an element of $\mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}_p]$ which is isomorphic to $\Lambda$ under the continuous $\mathcal{O}$-linear map that takes the group element of $[i]$ to $(1+T)^i$. 
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**Notation 5.4.28.** We define an operator \( \Phi : \Lambda \to \Lambda \) on \( f \in \Lambda \) by
\[
\Phi(f) = f - \frac{1}{p} [p](F(f)).
\]
By definition, \( f \in \Lambda \) satisfies \( \Phi(f) = f \) if and only if \( f \in \Lambda^F=0 \).

**Proposition 5.4.29.** A measure \( \mu \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_p \) is the extension by zero of a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) if and only if the power series attached to \( \mu \) lies in \( \Lambda^F=0 \). In other words, the continuous \( \mathcal{O} \)-linear isomorphism \( \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \to \Lambda^F=0 \) sending the group element 1 to \( T+1 \) restricts to an isomorphism \( \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \to \Lambda^F=0 \) of topological \( \mathcal{O} \)-modules.

**Proof.** Let \( f \) be the power series attached to \( \mu \), and let \( \tilde{f}_n \in \mathcal{O}[T]/((1+T)p^n - 1) \) denote its image. For the distribution \( (\mu_n)_n \) attached to \( \mu \), we have that
\[
f_n = \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} \mu_n(k)(1+T)^k \in \mathcal{O}[T]
\]
lifts \( \tilde{f}_n \). Here, \( \mu_n(k) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \chi_{k+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p} d\mu \) for \( \chi_{k+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p} \) the characteristic function of \( k+p^n\mathbb{Z}_p \). So, \( \mu \) is the extension by zero of a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) if and only if \( \mu_n(k) = 0 \) for all \( 0 \leq k \leq p^n - 1 \) with \( p \mid k \). We claim this occurs if and only if \( \Phi(f) = f \), which will finish the proof.

Note that for any \( a \in \mathcal{O} \), we have
\[
\Phi(a(1+T)^k) = a(1+T)^k - \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} a_{i+k} (1+T)^k = \begin{cases} a(1+T)^k & \text{if } p \nmid k \\ 0 & \text{if } p \mid k. \end{cases}
\]
Then
\[
\Phi(f_n) = \sum_{\substack{k=0 \\text{mod} \; p \mid k}}^{p^n-1} \mu_n(k)(1+T)^k \in \mathcal{O}[T],
\]
and it is clear that
\[
\Phi(f_n) \equiv f_n \mod ((1+T)p^n - 1)
\]
if and only if \( \mu_n(k) = 0 \) for all \( p \mid k \). This holds for all \( n \) if and only if \( \mu \) is the extension by zero of a measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). \( \square \)

Note that \( \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \to \Lambda^F=0 \) is Gal\( (\mathbb{E}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p) \)-equivariant, using the action of \( \sigma \in \text{Gal}(\mathbb{E}_\infty/E) \) by multiplication by the group element of \( \chi(\sigma) \) on \( \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \).

**Definition 5.4.30.** The Coleman map \( \text{Col} : U_\infty \to \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \) is the map that takes \( u \in U_\infty \) to the element of \( \mathcal{O}[[\mathbb{Z}_p]] \) corresponding to \( \mathcal{L}(f) \), where \( f \) is the Coleman power series attached to \( u \).

Set \( \zeta = (\zeta_p)_{p} \in U_\infty \). For \( \xi \in \mu_{q-1} \), let \( \tilde{\xi} \in U_\infty \) be the unique norm compatible sequence of elements \( \mu_{q-1} \) with norm \( \xi \in \mathcal{O}^\times \).
Theorem 5.4.31. There is an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to \mu_{q^{-1}} \times \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \xrightarrow{(\xi, a) \to \xi^a} U_\infty \xrightarrow{\text{Col}} \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times] \xrightarrow{\lambda \mapsto \text{Tr}_{E/\mathbb{Q}_p} \int x \, d\lambda(x)} \mathbb{Z}_p(1) \to 0 \]
of continuous $\text{Gal}(E_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_p)$-equivariant homomorphisms.

Proof. The Coleman map is the composite
\[ \text{Col}: U_\infty \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{M} \xrightarrow{\mathcal{L}} \mathcal{V} = 0 \xrightarrow{\sim} O[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times] \]
of the Coleman power series isomorphism with $\mathcal{L}$ and the isomorphism of Proposition 5.4.29. We use this to replace the middle part of the exact sequence of Proposition 5.4.27 with Col. That the first map is then as stated is immediate. That the final map is as stated comes from the fact that for $f \in \mathcal{V}$ corresponding to $\lambda \in O[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times]$ (which yields a measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p$ by extension by zero) and the distribution $(\lambda_n)_n$, we have
\[ f'(0) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} k \lambda_n(k)(1+T)^{k-1} \big|_{T=0} = \lim_{n \to \infty} \sum_{k=0}^{p^n-1} k \lambda_n(k) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x \, d\lambda(x). \]

□

Lemma 5.4.32. Let $\mu$ be an $\mathcal{O}$-valued measure on $\mathbb{Z}_p$, and let $f \in \mathcal{V}$ be the corresponding power series. For all $k \geq 0$, we have
\[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k \, d\mu(x) = (D_k f)(0). \]

Proof. We have a linear functional defined by
\[ L(g) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} xg(x) \, d\mu(x) \]
for all $g \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p)$. We then have
\[ |L(g)| \leq \max_{a \in \mathbb{Z}_p} |g(a)| \]
for all $g$, so $L$ is bounded and thus gives a measure $\mu_1$, with a corresponding power series $h \in \mathcal{V}$.

We claim that $h = Df$. To see this, write $f = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} c_n T^n \in \mathcal{V}$, where $c_n = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \binom{x}{n} \, d\mu(x)$. Note that
\[ Df = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (nc_n + (n+1)c_{n+1}) T^n. \]
Write $h = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} e_n T^n$. Then
\[ e_n = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x \binom{x}{n} \, d\mu(x). \]
Since $x \binom{x}{n} = (n+1) \binom{x}{n+1} + n \binom{x}{n}$, we have $e_n = (n+1)c_{n+1} + nc_n$ and therefore the claim.
Now, to prove the lemma, it suffices (by repeated application of the claim) to show that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\mu = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} d\mu_k, \]
where \( \mu_k \) is the measure corresponding to \( D^k f \). We can see this by induction, it being a consequence of the claim for \( k = 1 \). That is, if we know if for all measures with \( k - 1 \) in place of \( k \), then
\[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^{k-1} d\mu_1 = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\mu, \]
since \( D^{k-1}(Df) = D^k f \). But by the claim, we have
\[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^{k-1} d\mu_1 = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} x^k d\mu, \]
so we are done. \( \square \)

**Definition 5.4.33.** For \( k \geq 1 \), the \( k \)th Coates-Wiles homomorphism \( \delta_k : U_\infty \to \mathcal{O} \) takes \( u \in U_\infty \) to \( D^k \log(f)(0) \), where \( f \) is the Coleman power series attached to \( u \).

**Lemma 5.4.34.** Let \( \chi : \tilde{\Gamma} \to \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) be the \( p \)-adic cyclotomic character. Then
\[ \delta_k(\sigma(u)) = \chi(\sigma)^k \delta_k(u) \]
for all \( u \in U_\infty \) and \( \sigma \in \tilde{\Gamma} \).

**Proof.** Note that for any \( g \in E[[T]] \) and \( a \in \mathbb{Z}_p \), we have
\[ D(g((1 + T)^a - 1)) = a(1 + T)^ag'((1 + T)^a - 1) = a(Dg)((1 + T)^a - 1). \]
So, by recursion we see that
\[ (5.4.2) \quad D^k(g((1 + T)^a - 1)) = a^k(Dg)((1 + T)^a - 1). \]
We can apply this with \( g = \log f \) for \( f \) the Coleman power series attached to \( u \in U_\infty \) and \( a = \chi(\sigma) \) for \( \sigma \in \tilde{\Gamma} \). For this, note that the Coleman power series attached to \( \sigma(u) \) is \( \sigma(f)(T) = f((1 + T)^{\chi(\sigma)} - 1) \). Therefore, we have
\[ D^k(\log \sigma(f)) = \chi(\sigma)^k(D^k \log f)(\sigma(T)), \]
and plugging in 0, we get the desired formula. \( \square \)

**Proposition 5.4.35.** For \( u \in U_\infty \), let \( \lambda_u = \text{Col}(u) \), which we view as an \( \mathcal{O} \)-valued measure on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). We then have
\[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} x^k d\lambda_u = (1 - p^{k-1})\delta_k(u) \]
for all \( k \geq 1 \).
PROOF. Let \( f \in \Lambda^\times \) denote the power series attached to \( u \), and note that

\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} x^k d\lambda_u = (D^k \mathcal{L}(f))(0)
\]

\[
= D^k \log(f)(0) - p^{-1} D^k \log(\varphi(f) \circ [p])(0)
\]

\[
= \delta_k(u) - p^{-1} D^k \log \varphi(f)(0)
\]

\[
= (1 - p^{-1} \varphi) \delta_k(u),
\]

the second-to-last step following from (5.4.2). \( \square \)
CHAPTER 6

The Iwasawa main conjecture

6.1. Semi-local units modulo cyclotomic units

Let \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \), where \( p \nmid m \) and \( m \not\equiv 2 \mod 4 \). Let us first observe that we have a map \( \text{Col}: \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\chi) \to \Lambda_{\chi} \). Note that each place of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \) over \( p \) is totally ramified in \( F_{\infty} \). Let \( V_p \) denote the set of places over \( p \) in any intermediate field.

Let \( \mathcal{O} \) be the valuation ring of a place \( w \) of \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \) over \( p \). (Note that such places are totally ramified in \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m) \), and even in \( F_{\infty} \).) Then \( \mathcal{O} \) is free of rank one over \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta_p] \), for \( \Delta_p \) the decomposition group at \( p \) in \( \Delta = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \).

Giving \( \bigoplus_{v \in V_p} \mathcal{O} \) the structure of a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q})] \)-module by allowing \( \Delta \) to permute the factors, it is then is free of rank one as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)/\mathbb{Q})] \)-module, and we can think of 1 in the term for \( w \) as a generator. Similarly, then, we obtain

\[
\tilde{\Lambda} = \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}^\times] \simeq \bigoplus_{v \in V_p} \mathcal{O}[\mathbb{Z}_{p,\infty}^\times],
\]

and we can use this to define a Coleman map \( \text{Col}: \mathcal{U}_{\infty} \to \tilde{\Lambda} \) as the direct sum of the Coleman maps at the places over \( p \).

**Proposition 6.1.1.** There is a homomorphism \( \text{Col}: \mathcal{U}_{\infty} \to \tilde{\Lambda} \) induced by the Coleman maps at the places of \( F_{\infty} \) above \( p \), fitting in an exact sequence

\[
0 \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta/\Delta_p](1) \to \mathcal{U}_{\infty} \xrightarrow{\text{Col}} \tilde{\Lambda} \to \mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta/\Delta_p](1) \to 0
\]

of \( \tilde{\Lambda} \)-modules.

**Corollary 6.1.2.** Let \( \chi \) be a nontrivial Dirichlet \( p \)-adic character of conductor dividing \( mp \). Then the Coleman map induces a map \( \mathcal{U}_{\infty}(\chi) \to \Lambda_{\chi} \) which is an isomorphism if and only if \( \chi \omega^{-1}(p) - 1 \in \mathcal{O}_{\chi}^\times \).

We aim to prove the following theorem that, at least in the case of \( F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \), was proven by Iwasawa.
Theorem 6.1.3. Let $\chi$ be a nontrivial, even primitive Dirichlet character of conductor $m$ or $mp$, where $p$ is an odd prime and $m$ is a positive integer prime to $p$. Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp})$, and let $F_\infty$ be its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. Then there is an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \Lambda_\chi(1) \rightarrow \mathcal{H}_\infty^{(\chi)}/\mathcal{G}_\infty^{(\chi)} \rightarrow \Lambda_\chi/(g_\chi') \rightarrow 0,$$

where $\Lambda_\chi = \mathcal{O}_F/((\chi \omega^{-1}(p) - 1)$, and where $g_\chi' = T^{-\delta}g_\chi$ for $\delta = 1$ if $\chi \omega^{-1}(p) = 1$ and $\delta = 0$ otherwise, where $g_\chi \in \Lambda_\chi$ satisfies

$$g_\chi(u^s - 1) = L_p(\chi, 1 - s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Corollary 6.1.4. With the notation of Theorem 6.1.3, suppose that $\chi \omega^{-1}(p) - 1 \in \mathcal{O}_\infty^{\chi}$. Then

$$\mathcal{H}_\infty^{(\chi)}/\mathcal{G}_\infty^{(\chi)} \cong \Lambda_\chi/(g_\chi).$$

Proposition 6.1.5. Suppose that $p \nmid \varphi(m)$. Let $\chi$ be a nontrivial, even Dirichlet $p$-adic character of conductor dividing $mp$, and let $f$ be the prime-to-$p$ part of its conductor. The $\Lambda_\chi$-module $\mathcal{C}_\infty^{\chi}$ is generated by the image of the norm compatible sequence $(1 - \zeta_{fp^n})_n$.

Proof. Since only primes over $p$ ramify in $F_\infty/F$, the norm compatible sequences of elements of $F_\infty^{\chi}$ are all norm compatible sequences of $p$-units. The group of norm compatible sequences of cyclotomic $p$-units in $F_\infty/F$ is generated as an $\tilde{A}$-module by $(1 - \zeta_{dp^n})_n$ for $d$ dividing $m$. Such a sequence is of true units if $f \neq 1$. From this, it is easy to see that $\mathcal{C}_\infty$ is similarly generated by the $(1 - \zeta_{dp^n})_n$ and $((1 - \zeta_{dp^n})^{\sigma - 1})_n$, where $c \in \mathbb{Z}$ is a primitive root modulo $p$. The elements $e_\chi(1 - \zeta_{dp^n})_n$ vanish unless $f$ is a multiple of $d$. On the other hand, $e_\chi(1 - \zeta_{dp^n})_n$ for $d$ a multiple of $f$ equals $e_\chi$ times the norm for $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{dp^n})/\mathbb{Q}(\zeta_{fp^n})$ of the elements $(1 - \zeta_{dp^n})$. That is, $e_\chi(1 - \zeta_{dp^n})_n$ is a $\tilde{A}$-multiple of $e_\chi(1 - \zeta_{fp^n})_n$ for $f$ dividing $d$.

Let us now focus on the case that $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$, for which we suppose that $E = \mathbb{Q}_p$. Note that $U_\infty = \mathcal{U}_\infty \times \mu_{p-1}$. Consider the cyclotomic unit

$$u_{n,c} = \frac{\zeta_{p^n}^{-c/2} - \zeta_{p^n}^{-c/2}}{\zeta_{p^n}^{-1/2} - \zeta_{p^n}^{1/2}}$$

for $c$ prime to $p$, and let $u_c = (u_{n,c})_n \in U_\infty$. Let $\tilde{\zeta}_{p,c} = \text{Col}(u_{n,c})$.

Proposition 6.1.6. For $k \geq 1$, we have

$$\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^k} x^k d\tilde{\zeta}_{p,c}(x) = (1 - c^k)(1 - p^{k-1})\zeta(1 - k) = (1 - c^k)L_p(\omega^k, 1 - k).$$
PROOF. We employ the Coleman power series
\[ f(T) = \frac{(1 + T)^{-c/2} - (1 + T)^{c/2}}{(1 + T)^{-1/2} - (1 + T)^{1/2}} \]
and the change of variables \( T = e^t - 1 \). By Lemma 5.4.32, we have that
\[ \delta_k(u_c) = \frac{d}{dt} \log f(e^t - 1) \bigg|_{t=0}. \]
We have
\[
\frac{d}{dt} \log f(e^t - 1) = \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-t} - 1}{e^t - 1} \right) - \frac{c}{2} \left( \frac{1 - e^{-ct} - 1}{e^{ct} - 1} \right) \\
= \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} B_k \left( (-t)^{k-1} - t^{k-1} + c((-t)^{k-1} - (-t)^{k-1}) \right) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_k}{k!} (c - 1) t^{k-1},
\]
so
\[ \delta_k(u_c) = (c - 1) \frac{B_k}{k} = (1 - c^k) \zeta(1-k). \]
The result then follows from Proposition 5.4.35. □

We then have the following.

**Corollary 6.1.7.** The \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-valued measure \( E_c^{(0)} \) on \( \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \) satisfying
\[
\int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} h(x) dE_c^{(0)}(x) = \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p^\times} x^{-1} h(x) dE_c^{(1)}(x)
\]
for all \( h \in C(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{C}_p) \) is equal to \( \tilde{\zeta}_{p,c} \).

**Sketch of Proof of Theorem 6.1.3** for \( \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p) \). Note that \( \mathcal{C}_\infty \) is topologically generated by the elements \( u_c \), and in particular it is generated as a \( \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times] \cong \Lambda[\Delta] \)-module, where \( \Delta = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{F}_\infty/\mathbb{Q}_\infty) \), by \( u_c \) for any integer \( c \) that is a primitive root modulo \( p \). Proposition 6.1.6 tells us that
\[ \text{Col}(\mathcal{C}_\infty) = \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathbb{Z}_p^\times] \tilde{\zeta}_{p,c}. \]
Note that \( \tilde{\zeta}_p = (1 - \sigma_c)^{-1} \tilde{\zeta}_{p,c} \) is independent of \( c \), though it is not quite integral, though it becomes integral up application of any element in the augmentation ideal \( I \) of \( \Lambda[\Delta] \). If \( k \not\equiv 0 \mod p - 1 \), then \( 1 - c^k \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times \). The “equivariant” version of Iwasawa’s theorem is then proven: it reads
\[ \mathcal{U}_\infty / \mathcal{C}_\infty \cong \Lambda[\Delta]/I \tilde{\zeta}_p. \]
Recall that a character \( \omega^k \) of \( \Delta \) defines an in this case surjective homomorphism \( \tilde{\omega}^k : \Lambda[\Delta] \to \Lambda \) of \( \Lambda \)-algebras. For even \( k \), the image \( \tilde{\omega}^k(\tilde{\zeta}_p) \) is nonzero, and it is integral if and only if \( k \not\equiv 0 \mod p - 1 \). A simple check yields using Corollary 6.1.7 yields that the power series corresponding to \( \tilde{\omega}^k(\tilde{\zeta}_p) \) (or \( T \) times it if \( k \equiv 0 \mod p - 1 \)) is \( g_{\omega^k} \), so we have Iwasawa’s theorem. □
6.2. The Ferrero-Washington theorem

THEOREM 6.2.1 (Ferrero-Washington). Let $F$ be a finite abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $F_{\infty}$ be its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension for a prime $p$. Then $\mu(X_{\infty}) = 0$.

The following is immediate from the theorem and Proposition 3.4.2.

COROLLARY 6.2.2. Let $F$ be an abelian extension of $\mathbb{Q}$, and let $F_{\infty}$ be its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension for an odd prime $p$. Then the $p$-torsion subgroup of $X_{\infty}^{-}$ is zero.

In this section, we prove the Ferrero-Washington theorem in the case of $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ for an odd prime $p$. We follow their original proof in this case.

NOTATION 6.2.3. For $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and a nonnegative integer $m$, let $[a]_m \in \mathbb{Z}$ denote the unique integer with $0 \leq a < p^{m+1}$ to which $a$ is congruent modulo $p^{m+1}$. Let $\delta_0(a) = [a]_0$ and $\delta_m(a) = p^{-m}([a]_m - [a]_{m-1})$ if $m \geq 1$.

We may think of $\delta_m(a)$ as the coefficient of $p^m$ in the usual $p$-adic expansion of $a$.

PROPOSITION 6.2.4. The $\mu$-invariant of $X_{\infty}$ is nonzero if and only if there exists an even integer $k \not\equiv 0 \bmod p - 1$ such that

$$\sum_{\xi \in \mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} \delta_m(a \xi) \xi^{k-1} \equiv 0 \bmod p$$

for all $m \geq 0$ and all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

PROOF. Since $X_{\infty}(\omega)$ is trivial, we need only show that the $\mu$-invariant $\mu_k$ of $X_{\infty}(\omega^{1-k})$ is zero for every even $k$ with $2 \leq k \leq p - 3$. Since $f_{\omega^k}$ annihilates $X_{\infty}(\omega^{1-k})$, it suffices to show that $f_{\omega^k}$ is not in $p\mathbb{Z}_p[T]$.

For $b \in \mathbb{Z}_p$, let $1 \leq i_m(b) \leq p^m$ be such that $\langle b \rangle_p \equiv (1 + p)^{i_m(b)} \bmod p^{m+1}$. The expression for $f_{\omega^k}$ given by Lemma 5.3.11 reduces to

$$f_{\omega^k} \equiv -\frac{1}{p^m} \sum_{b=1 \atop p \mid b}^{p^m} b \omega^{k-1}(b)(T + 1)^{p^m - i_m(b)} \bmod \omega_m.$$

Since $\omega_m \equiv T^{p^m} \bmod p$, the congruence holds modulo $(p,T^{p^m})$ as well. To say that $\mu_k$ is nonzero is then equivalent to saying that every coefficient of a power of $T + 1$ in each such expansion as we vary $m$ is zero. Let $T_m$ denote the set of positive integers $b < p^{m+1}$ that are prime to $p$ and satisfy $i_m(b) \equiv i_m(a) \bmod p^m$. By what we have just said, we have $\mu_k > 0$ if and only if

$$\sum_{b \in T_m} b \omega^{k-1}(b) \equiv 0 \bmod p^{m+1}$$
for all \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\) and \(m \geq 0\). Note that \(i_m(b) \equiv i_m(a) \mod p^{m+1}\) if and only if there exists \(\xi \in \mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)\) such that \([b]_m = [\xi a]_m\). For given \(a\) and \(\xi\) there is exactly one \(0 < b < p^m\) with \(p \nmid b\) having this property. Since \(\alpha(b) = \alpha(\xi)\), we then have \(\mu_k > 0\) if and only if

\[
\sum_{\xi \in \mu_{p-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p)} [a\xi]_m \xi^k \equiv 0 \mod p^{m+1}.
\]

As \(\delta_0(a\xi) = [a\xi]_0\) and \(\delta_m(a\xi) = p^{-m}([a\xi]_m - [a\xi]_{m-1})\) for all \(m \geq 1\), the result follows from the equivalence of \(\mu_k > 0\) with the latter congruences. \(\square\)

**Definition 6.2.5.** A sequence \((b_i)_{i \geq 1}\) of tuples in \([0, 1)^r\) is *uniformly distributed* if for every product \(U \subseteq (0, 1)^r\) of open intervals in \((0, 1)\), the volume of \(U\) is equal to the density of the \(b_i\) in \(U\), which is to say the limit of \(\frac{1}{N} |\{i \leq N \mid b_i \in U\}|\) as \(N \to \infty\).

**Definition 6.2.6.** For \(r \geq 1\), we say that \((a_1, \ldots, a_r) \in \mathbb{Z}_p^r\) is *normal* if the sequence of tuples \((p^{-m}[a_1]_{m-1}, \ldots, p^{-m}[a_r]_{m-1})\) with \(m \geq 1\) is uniformly distributed in \([0, 1)^r\).

We omit the proof of the following.

**Theorem 6.2.7** (Weyl). A sequence \((b_{i1}, \ldots, b_{ir})_{i \geq 1}\) of tuples in \([0, 1)^r\) is uniformly distributed if and only if for every tuple \((t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r - \{0\}\), we have

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N e^{2\pi i \sum_{j=1}^r b_{ij} t_j} = 0.
\]

**Proposition 6.2.8.** For \(r \geq 1\), let \(b_1, \ldots, b_r \in \mathbb{Z}_p\) be such that \(b_1, \ldots, b_r\) are \(\mathbb{Q}\)-linearly independent. Then the complement of the set of \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\) with \((ab_1, \ldots, ab_r)\) normal has Haar measure zero.

**Proof.** Let \(t = (t_1, \ldots, t_r) \in \mathbb{Z}^r - \{0\}\), and let \(c = \sum_{j=1}^r b_j t_j\), which is nonzero by the linear independence of the \(b_j\). For \(a \in \mathbb{Z}_p\), we have

\[
[ac]_{m-1} \equiv ac \equiv \sum_{j=1}^r ab_j t_j \equiv \sum_{j=1}^r [ab_j]_{m-1} t_j \mod p^m.
\]

Therefore, that \((ab_1, \ldots, ab_r)\) is normal is equivalent by the criterion of Weyl to the statement that

\[
\lim_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^N e^{2\pi i p^{-m}[ac]_{m-1}} = 0
\]

for all \(t\). We claim that this holds outside a set of \(a\) of measure zero for each \(t\). Since there are only countably many \(t\), this implies the result. We also suppose that \(t \notin p\mathbb{Z}'\), as the convergence to zero of limit in question is unaffected by dividing by a power of \(p\).
Set
\[ p_N(a) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{m=1}^{N} e^{2\pi i p^{-m}[ac]_m}, \]
and note that
\[ \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |p_N(a)|^2 da = \frac{1}{N} + \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{m,n=1 \atop m \neq n}^{N} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} e^{2\pi i (p^{-n}[ac]_{n-1} - p^{-m}[ac]_{m-1})} da = \frac{1}{N}, \]
each integral in the latter sum being zero, being a multiple of a sum over all \( p^n \)th (resp., \( p^m \)th) roots of unity if \( n > m \) (resp., \( m > n \)). It follows that
\[ \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \int_{\mathbb{Z}_p} |p_{M^2}(a)|^2 da = \sum_{M=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{M^2} = \frac{\pi^2}{6}, \]
is finite, which forces \( \lim_{M \to \infty} p_{M^2}(a) = 0 \) outside of a set of measure zero. Note also that for any \( N \in \mathbb{Z} \) with \( M^2 \leq N < (M + 1)^2 \), we have
\[ |p_N(a)| < |p_{M^2}(a)| + \frac{2M}{N} \leq |p_{M^2}(a)| + \frac{2}{M}, \]
as \( p_N(a) - p_{M^2}(a) \) is a sum of \( N - M^2 \) roots of unity. Thus \( (p_N(a))_N \) has limit 0 outside of the same measure zero set.

PROPOSITION 6.2.9. Set \( s = \frac{p-1}{2} \) and \( r = \varphi(p-1) \). Let \( b_1, \ldots, b_s \in \mathbb{Z}_p \) be such that \( (b_1, \ldots, b_r) \) is normal, \( b_i b_j^{-1} \notin \mathbb{Z} \) for all \( 2 \leq i \leq s \), and
\[ b_i = \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{i,j} b_j \]
for some \( c_{i,j} \in \mathbb{Z} \) for all \( r < i \leq s \). Then there exist nonnegative integers \( m \) and \( n \) such that \( \delta_n(b_j) = \delta_m(b_j) \) for all \( 2 \leq j \leq s \), while \( \delta_n(b_1) = 1 \) and \( \delta_m(b_1) = 0 \).

PROOF. Take \( x_1 = \frac{1}{p} \), and let \( x_2, \ldots, x_r \in (0,1) \) be such that the \( x_1, \ldots, x_r \) are \( \mathbb{Q} \)-linearly independent. For \( r < i \leq s \), set
\[ x_i = \left\langle \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{i,j} x_j \right\rangle. \]
If \( x_i \in \mathbb{Q} \) for such an \( i \), then \( c_{i,j} = 0 \) for \( 2 \leq j \leq r \) by the assumed linear independence, so \( x_i = c_{1,j} x_1 \). But this would imply that \( b_i b_1^{-1} \in \mathbb{Z} \), contradicting our hypotheses. Thus, the \( x_i \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq s \) are all irrational.

Let \( y_1 \in (0, \frac{1}{p}) \), set \( y_i = x_i \) for \( 2 \leq i \leq r \), and set \( y_i = \langle \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{i,j} y_j \rangle \) for \( r < i \leq s \). Suppose that \( x_1 - y_1 \) is sufficiently small so that for each \( 2 \leq i \leq s \), we have an \( 0 \leq a < p \) such that \( x_i, y_i \in \left( \frac{a}{p}, \frac{a+1}{p} \right) \). Since \( (b_1, \ldots, b_r) \) is normal, there exists an \( m \geq 0 \) such that
\[ |p^{-m-1}[b_i]_m - y_i| < \epsilon. \]
for a given choice of $\varepsilon > 0$. For all $1 \leq i \leq r$. For $r < i \leq s$, we have

$$p^{-m-1} \left( [b_i]_m - \sum_{j=1}^{r} c_{i,j} [b_j]_m \right) \in \mathbb{Z},$$

so

$$|p^{-m-1} [b_i]_m - y_i| \leq \sum_{j=1}^{r} |c_{i,j}| |p^{-m-1} [b_j]_m - y_i| < \sum_{i=1}^{r} |c_{i,j}| \cdot \varepsilon,$$

noting that both terms are in $(0, 1)$ in the middle step. We may take $\varepsilon$ small enough that small enough $p^{-m-1} [b_i]_m$ lies in the same open interval $(\frac{a}{p}, \frac{a+1}{p})$ as $y_i$ for all $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then we have

$$p^{-1} \delta_m(b_i) < p^{-m} [b_i]_m < p^{-1} (\delta_m(b_i) + 1),$$

so $\delta_m(b_i) = [py_i]$, and we note that $[py_i] = [px_i]$ for $i \geq 2$. Since $y_1 < \frac{1}{p}$, we have $\delta_m(b_1) = 0$.

Now repeat the argument, but this time replace $y_1$ with $z_1$ where $\frac{1}{p} < z_1 < \frac{2}{p}$ and $z_1 - x_1$ is small enough. We then again obtain an $n \geq 0$ such that $\delta_n(b_i) = [px_i]$, this time for all $i$, noting that $[px_1] = 1$. Thus $\delta_n(b_i) = \delta_m(b_i)$ for all $i \geq 2$, while $\delta_n(b_1) = 1 > 0 = \delta_m(b_1)$. □

**Proof of Theorem 6.2.1 for $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_p)$ with $p$ odd.** Set $s = \frac{p-1}{2}$ and $r = \phi(p-1)$. Let $\xi$ be a primitive $(p-1)$th root of unity. Note that $\xi^{s+1} = -\xi$, so for $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ we have $\delta_m(-a\xi) = p-1 - \delta_m(a\xi)$ so long as $m \geq 1 + v_p(a)$. It follows that

$$(6.2.1) \quad \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_m(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} = 2 \sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta_m(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} - (p-1) \sum_{i=1}^{s} \xi^{i(k-1)}$$

for all $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ and even integers $k$. The $\xi^i$ with $1 \leq i \leq r$ are linearly independent: in fact, they form a $\mathbb{Z}$-basis of $\mathbb{Z} [\mu_{p-1}] \subset \mathbb{Z}_p$. Let $a \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ be such that $(a\xi, a\xi^2, \ldots, a\xi^s)$ is normal, and set $b_i = a\xi^i$ for each $1 \leq i \leq s$. Then the conditions of Proposition 6.2.9 are satisfied for the $b_i$, so we can find nonnegative integers $m$ and $n$ as in its statement.

Suppose that $\mu(X_{\infty}^-) > 0$. By Proposition 6.2.4, there exists an even $2 \leq k \leq p-1$ such that

$$\sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_l(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p$$

for all $l \geq 0$. Applying (6.2.1), we then have that

$$2 \xi^{k-1} = 2 \left( \sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta_n(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{s} \delta_m(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} \right)$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_n(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} - \sum_{i=1}^{p-1} \delta_m(a\xi) \xi^{i(k-1)} \equiv 0 \mod p,$$

providing the desired contradiction. □
6.3. The main conjecture over $\mathbb{Q}$

In its most classical form, the main conjecture of Iwasawa theory, or Iwasawa main conjecture, states that the characteristic ideals of odd eigenspaces of $X_\infty$ are generated by the power series interpolating corresponding $p$-adic $L$-functions in the case that $F$ is an abelian field and $F_\infty$ is its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension. We refer to this as the main conjecture over the rationals, since it deals with fields cut out by abelian characters of the absolute Galois group over $\mathbb{Q}$. Its formulation in print is due to Greenberg. While the main conjecture was actually proven by Mazur and Wiles in 1984, we shall label it as a conjecture here in order to discuss its equivalent forms. We discuss its proof in later sections.

**CONJECTURE 6.3.1 (The Iwasawa Main Conjecture).** Let $p$ be an odd prime. Let $\chi$ be a nontrivial, even finite order $p$-adic character of $G_\mathbb{Q}$ of conductor not divisible by $p^2$, and let $F$ be the the fixed field of the kernel of $\chi$. For the cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension $F_\infty$ of $F$, we have

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda_\chi} X_\infty^{(\omega \chi^{-1})} = (f_\chi),$$

where $f_\chi \in \Lambda_\chi$ satisfies

$$f_\chi ((1 + p)^s - 1) = L_p(\chi, s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

We can reformulate the main conjecture in terms of the $p$-ramified Iwasawa module.

**PROPOSITION 6.3.2.** The Iwasawa main conjecture is equivalent to the statement that

$$\text{char}_{\Lambda_\chi} X_\infty^{(\chi)} = (g_\chi),$$

where $g_\chi \in \Lambda_\chi$ satisfies

$$g_\chi ((1 + p)^{1-s} - 1) = L_p(\chi, s)$$

for all $s \in \mathbb{Z}_p$.

**PROOF.** By Corollary 3.4.9, we have a pseudo-isomorphism

$$X_\infty^{(\chi)} \simeq (X_\infty^{(\omega \chi^{-1})})^t(1),$$

and pseudo-isomorphic modules have the same characteristic ideal. We then have

$$g_\chi(T) = f_\chi(u(1 + T)^{-1} - 1),$$

and the result follows. □

We can also reformulate the main conjecture as a comparison between global units modulo cyclotomic units and the plus part of the Iwasawa module. This formulation eschews the use of $L$-functions.
THEOREM 6.3.3. The Iwasawa main conjecture is equivalent to the statement that
\[ \text{char}_\Lambda (E_\infty (\chi) / C_\infty (\chi)) = \text{char}_\Lambda (X_\infty (\chi)). \]

PROOF. From the first exact sequence of Proposition 3.3.6, we obtain an exact sequence
\[ 0 \to E_\infty (\chi) / C_\infty (\chi) \to \Upsilon_\infty (\chi) / \Upsilon_\infty (\chi) \to X_\infty (\chi) \to X_\infty (\chi) \to 0. \]
Iwasawa’s theorem tells us that the characteristic ideal of the second term has characteristic ideal \((g_\chi)\).
Since the alternating product of characteristic ideals of Iwasawa modules in an exact sequence of finite
length is 1, we have that
\[ \text{char}_\Lambda (E_\infty (\chi) / C_\infty (\chi)) = \text{char}_\Lambda (X_\infty (\chi)) \]
if and only if \(\text{char}_\Lambda X_\infty (\chi) = (g_\chi)\). The latter statement is an equivalent form of the main conjecture by
Proposition 6.3.2. □

Mazur and Wiles proved the following interesting consequence of the main conjecture.

THEOREM 6.3.4 (Mazur-Wiles). Let \(p, F, \chi, \) and \(\Theta_\chi\) be as in the Iwasawa main conjecture, and
suppose that \(\chi\) has prime-to-\(p\) order. We then have
\[ |A_F^{(\omega \chi^{-1})}| = |B_{1, \chi \omega^{-1}}|_\chi^{-1}, \]
where \(| \cdot |_\chi\) denotes the normalized multiplicative valuation on the unramified extension \(\Theta_\chi\) of \(\mathbb{Z}_p\).

In particular, the converse to Herbrand’s theorem (due to Ribet) holds.

We also note that any one divisibility of characteristic ideals in the main conjecture for all \(\chi\) of
the Galois group of a given totally real abelian field implies the other. This is a consequence of the
following result, which can be derived using the analytic class number formula (for instance, using
Sinnott’s work).

PROPOSITION 6.3.5. Let \(F\) be an abelian, CM extension of \(\mathbb{Q}\) of conductor not divisible by \(p^2\),
and let \(G = \text{Gal}(F^+ / \mathbb{Q})\). Let \(f = \prod_{\chi \in \hat{G}} f_\chi \in \mathbb{Z}_p\llbracket T \rrbracket\), and let \(\mu (f) = \mu (\Lambda / (f))\) and \(\lambda (f) = \lambda (\Lambda / (f))\). Then
\[ \mu (X^-) = \mu (f) \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda (X^-) = \lambda (f). \]

As a final note, we treat the powers of the variable \(T\) itself that appear in the ideals of the main
conjecture.

PROPOSITION 6.3.6. We have that \(T \mid \text{char}_\Lambda X_\infty ^{(\omega \chi^{-1})}\) if and only if \(\chi \omega^{-1} (p) = 1\).
6. THE IWASAWA MAIN CONJECTURE

PROOF. Let $N_{F_\infty/F} : \mathcal{E}_\infty \to \mathcal{E}_F$ be projection to the first term of a norm compatible sequence. Consider the exact sequence

$$\mathcal{E}_F / N_{F_\infty/F} \mathcal{E}_\infty \to \ker \left( \bigoplus_{v \in V_p(F)} \Gamma_v \to \Gamma \right) \to (X_\infty)_{\Gamma} \to A_F$$

that exists by Theorem 1.3.14. We take $\omega \chi^{-1}$-eigenspaces. Since $A_F$ is finite, $\mathcal{E}_F(\omega \chi^{-1}) = 0$, and $\Gamma(\omega \chi^{-1}) = 0$, we have that

$$(X_\infty(\omega \chi^{-1}))_\Gamma \simeq \left( \bigoplus_{v \in V_p(F)} \Gamma_v \right)^{(\omega \chi^{-1})},$$

and the latter isomorphic to $\mathbb{Z}_p$ or 0 depending on whether $\chi \omega^{-1}(p) = 1$ or not. \qed

THEOREM 6.3.7 (Ferrero-Greenberg). We have $T^2 \nmid f_\chi$, and $T \mid f_\chi$ if and only if $\chi \omega^{-1}(p) = 1$.

We can see from this (and Sinnott’s work, for instance) that $T^2 \nmid \text{char}_A X_\infty(\omega \chi^{-1})$ for all $\chi$ as well, so the same power of $T$ divides both $f_\chi$ and $\text{char}_A X_\infty(\omega \chi^{-1})$.

6.4. The Euler system of cyclotomic units

Let $m > 1$ be a positive integer, and let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_m)^+$. Let $\Delta = \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})$. Consider the set $\mathcal{P}$ of nontrivial products of distinct prime numbers that split completely in $F$, which is to say are congruent to $\pm 1$ modulo $m$. For any $r \in \mathcal{P}$, we set $F_r = F(\mu_r)$ for brevity, and we let $G_r = \text{Gal}(F_r/F)$, which is isomorphic to $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(\mu_r)/\mathbb{Q})$ by restriction. For $\ell \mid r$, we view $G_\ell$ as the subgroup $\text{Gal}(F_r/F_r/\ell)$ of $G_r$.

With this identification, if we let $N_r \in \mathbb{Z}[G_r]$ be the norm element, we then have

$$N_r = \prod_{\ell \mid r} N_\ell,$$

the product being (implicitly) taken over primes. Fix a generator $\sigma_\ell$ of $G_\ell$ for each prime $\ell \in \mathcal{P}$, and let $\phi_\ell$ denote the Frobenius in $G_r$ for any $r \in \mathcal{P}$ not divisible by $\ell$.

DEFINITION 6.4.1. For $r \in \mathcal{P}$, the $r$th derivative element is

$$D_r = \prod_{\ell \mid r} D_\ell \in \mathbb{Z}[G_r]$$

where for a prime $\ell \in \mathcal{P}$, we set

$$D_\ell = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-2} i \sigma_\ell^i.$$

The $\ell$th derivative element has the following key property.
LEMMA 6.4.2. For \( \ell \in \mathcal{P} \), we have

\[( \sigma_{\ell} - 1)D_{\ell} = \ell - 1 - N_{\ell}. \]

PROOF. We have

\[
\sigma_{\ell}D_{\ell} = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-2} i\sigma_{\ell}^{i+1} + \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (i - 1)\sigma_{\ell}^i = \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} i\sigma_{\ell}^i - \sum_{i=1}^{\ell-1} \sigma_{\ell}^i = (D_{\ell} + \ell - 1) - N_{\ell}.
\]

\[\square\]

Fix a primitive \( m \)th root a unity \( \zeta_m \) and a primitive \( \ell \)th root of unity \( \zeta_{\ell} \) for each \( \ell \in \mathcal{P} \). For \( r \in \mathcal{P} \), set \( \zeta_r = \prod_{\ell \mid r} \zeta_{\ell} \). Let

\[\alpha_r = (\zeta_m \zeta_r - 1)(\zeta_m^{-1} \zeta_r - 1) \in F_r,\]

which is a cyclotomic unit if \( r \neq 1 \) or \( m \) is composite. It has two key properties: the first is that

\[\alpha_r \equiv \alpha_r / \ell \mod \mathfrak{L}\]

for every prime \( \mathfrak{L} \) of \( F_r \) over \( \ell \). The second is the so-called Euler system relation found in the following lemma. Note that we use additive notation for the multiplicative action of the group ring.

LEMMA 6.4.3. We have \( N_{\ell}\alpha_r = (\varphi_{\ell} - 1)\alpha_r / \ell \).

PROOF. Set \( s = \frac{\ell}{\ell} \). We have

\[N_{\ell}(\zeta_m \zeta_r - 1) = \prod_{i=1}^{\ell-1} (\zeta_m \zeta_r^i \zeta_s - 1) = \frac{\zeta_m^\ell \zeta_r^{-1}}{\zeta_m^s \zeta_s^{-1}} = (\varphi_{\ell} - 1)(\zeta_m \zeta_s - 1),\]

and replacing \( \zeta_m \) with \( \zeta_m^{-1} \), we have the lemma. \[\square\]

Fix an odd positive integer \( n \), and let \( \mathcal{P}_n \) denote the subset of elements of \( \mathcal{P} \) that are products of primes that are \( 1 \) modulo \( n \).

LEMMA 6.4.4. If \( r \in \mathcal{P}_n \), then \( D_r\alpha_r \in (F_r^x / F_r^xn)G_r \).

PROOF. We prove this by induction on the number of primes dividing \( r \), the case that the number is zero, i.e., \( r = 1 \), being clear. If \( r = \ell s \) for some prime \( \ell \) and \( s \in \mathcal{P}_n \), then

\[(\sigma_{\ell} - 1)D_r\alpha_r = (\ell - 1 - N_{\ell})D_s\alpha_r = (\ell - 1)D_s\alpha_r + (1 - \varphi_{\ell})D_s\alpha_s\]

by the Euler system relation. The latter of course agrees with \( (1 - \varphi_{\ell})D_s\alpha_s \mod (F_r^x)^{\ell - 1} \). Now, by induction we have \( D_s\alpha_s \in F_s^x n \), and since \( \ell \in \mathcal{P}_n \), this tells us that \( (\sigma_{\ell} - 1)D_r\alpha_r \in F_r^xn \). Since this holds for all \( \ell \), we have proven the lemma. \[\square\]
Note that $\mu_n \cap F = \{1\}$ since $F$ is totally real and $n$ is odd, and this and the fact that $n$ and $r$ are relatively prime tell us that $\mu_n \cap F(\mu_r) = \{1\}$. We therefore have that $\mu_n$ has trivial $G_F$-invariants, so the sequence of base terms in the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence yields an isomorphism

$$(F_r^{\times}/F_r^{\times n})^{G_r} \cong F^{\times}/F^{\times n}$$

inverse to the inflation map $H^1(G_F, \mu_n) \to H^1(G_{F_r}, \mu_n)^{G_r}$. Let $\kappa_r \in F^{\times}/F^{\times n}$ denote the image of $D_r\alpha_r$ under this map.

**Terminology 6.4.5.** The element $\kappa_r$ is called the Kolyvagin derivative of $\alpha_r$.

**Remark 6.4.6.** Note that for any $y \in F_{\ell}^{\times}$, the element $(1 - \sigma_{\ell})y = \frac{y}{\sigma_{\ell}y}$ is necessarily a unit at primes over $\ell$. As $\ell$ splits completely in $F$ and all primes over it are totally ramified in $F_\ell/F$, it makes sense to take the image of $(\sigma_{\ell} - 1)y$ in

$$(\mathcal{O}_F/\ell\mathcal{O}_F)^{\times} \cong \prod_{l|\ell}(\mathcal{O}_F/l\mathcal{O}_F)^{\times} \cong \prod_{l|\ell}(\mathcal{O}_{F_\ell}/\ell\mathcal{O}_{F_\ell})^{\times}.$$ 

Let $\tilde{\kappa}_r$ denote a lift of $\kappa_r$ to $F^{\times}$. Write

$$D_r\alpha_r = \tilde{\kappa}_r\beta_r^n$$

for some $\beta_r \in F_r^{\times}$.

**Lemma 6.4.7.** The fractional ideal $\beta_r\mathcal{O}_F$ is invariant under $G_r$.

**Proof.** For $\sigma \in G_r$, the element $(\sigma - 1)\beta_r$ is an $n$th root of $(\sigma - 1)D_r\alpha_r$, since $\kappa_r \in F$. In particular, $(\sigma - 1)\beta_r$ is a unit for all $\sigma \in G_r$, and the result follows from this. \(\square\)

Let $I_\ell$ denote the subgroup of the ideal group $I_F$ of $F$ generated by the prime ideals $l$ in $\mathcal{O}_F$ dividing a rational prime $\ell$. Then $I_F = \bigoplus I_\ell$, where the direct sum is taken over all primes.

**Lemma 6.4.8.** If $r \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and $\ell$ is prime with $\ell \nmid r$, then we may choose $\tilde{\kappa}_r$ so that $\beta_r \in F_r^{\times}$ is a unit at all primes over $\ell$.

**Proof.** Note that the choice of $\tilde{\kappa}_r$ is canonical up to an element of $F^{\times n}$, so $\beta_r$ is similarly-well determined exactly up to an element of $F^{\times}$. Since no prime over $\ell$ ramifies in $F_\ell/F$, we have that the $G_r$-fixed part of the summand of $I_F$ generated by primes over $\ell$ is $I_\ell$. By Lemma 6.4.7, we can find $a \in F^{\times}$ such that $a\beta_r$ is a unit at all primes over $\ell$, as required. \(\square\)

For $a \in F^{\times}/F^{\times n}$, we let $[a]_\ell$ to denote the image of $a\mathcal{O}_F$ in $I_\ell/nI_\ell$ under the canonical projection.
LEMMA 6.4.9. Let \( \ell \in \mathcal{P}_n \). Then there exists a unique \( \Delta \)-equivariant surjection

\[
\Pi_{\ell}: (\mathcal{O}_F / \ell \mathcal{O}_F)^\times \to I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}
\]

such that

\[
\Pi_{\ell}((1 - \sigma_{\ell})x) = [N_{\ell}x]_{\ell}
\]

for all \( x \in F_{\ell}^\times / F_{\ell}^{\times n} \).

PROOF. Since \( F_{\ell}/F \) is tamely ramified at each prime dividing \( \ell \), the \( \Delta \)-equivariant map

\[
p_{\ell}: F_{\ell}^\times / F_{\ell}^{\times n} \xrightarrow{1-\sigma_{\ell}} (\mathcal{O}_F / \ell \mathcal{O}_F)^\times
\]

that exists by Remark 6.4.6 is surjective. Similarly, the \( \Delta \)-equivariant map \( q_{\ell}: F_{\ell}^\times / F_{\ell}^{\times n} \to I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell} \) given by \( q_{\ell}(x) = [N_{\ell}x]_{\ell} \) is surjective as all primes dividing \( \ell \) in \( F \) are totally ramified in \( F_{\ell} \).

For \( x \in F_{\ell}^\times / F_{\ell}^{\times n} \), we have \( p_{\ell}(x) = 0 \) if and only if the order \( \ell - 1 \) of the residue field of each prime \( \mathfrak{L} \) over \( \ell \) in \( F_{\ell} \) divides the valuation \( v_{\mathfrak{L}}(x) \), which of course implies that \( \ell - 1 \) divides \( v_{\mathfrak{L}}(N_{\ell}(x)) \) for each prime \( \mathfrak{L} \) of \( F \) over \( L \). Since \( \ell \in \mathcal{P}_n \), we then have \( [N_{\ell}(x)]_{\ell} = 0 \). Consequently, the map \( q_{\ell} \) factors through the map \( p_{\ell} \), producing the unique map \( \Pi_{\ell} \).

Let

\[
\pi_{\ell}: \{ a \in F_{\ell}^\times / F_{\ell}^{\times n} \mid [a]_{\ell} = 0 \} \to I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}
\]

be the map that takes an element \( a \) to the value of \( \Pi_{\ell} \) on the image of \( a \) in \( (\mathcal{O}_F / \ell \mathcal{O}_F)^\times \).

REMARK 6.4.10. From the proof of Lemma 6.4.9, we have \( t \) for \( x \in \ker \pi_{\ell} \) if and only if \( x \) is an \( n \)th power modulo \( q \) for all \( q \) dividing \( \ell \).

PROPOSITION 6.4.11. For any \( r \in \mathcal{P}_n \) and prime \( \ell \), we have

\[
[k_{\ell}]/_{\ell} = \begin{cases} 
\pi_{\ell}(k_{r/\ell}) & \text{if } \ell \mid r \\
0 & \text{if } \ell \nmid r.
\end{cases}
\]

PROOF. If \( \ell \mid r \), then we saw in Lemma 6.4.8 that \( \beta_r \) may be chosen to be a unit at all primes over \( \ell \), in which case \( k_{\ell} \) will also be a unit at \( \ell \), and therefore \( [k_{\ell}]/_{\ell} = 0 \).

If \( \ell \nmid r \), then write \( r = \ell s \). We choose \( \beta_{r} \) to be a unit at primes over \( \ell \). Since \( \beta_{r}^{n} \) is a unit times an element of \( F_{\ell}^\times \), we have that \( v_{\mathfrak{L}}(\beta_{r}^{n}) \) is a multiple of the ramification index \( \ell - 1 \) for each prime \( \mathfrak{L} \) of \( F_{r} \) over \( \ell \). Since such primes are unramified over \( F_{r} \), we can find \( v \in F_{\ell}^\times \) such that \( \beta_{r} v^{(\ell-1)/n} \) is a unit at all primes over \( \ell \). Since \( N_{\ell} v \) and \( v^{(\ell-1)/n} \) have the valuation at each \( \mathfrak{L} \) over \( \ell \) and \( \beta_{r}^{-n} \mathcal{O}_{F_{r}} = \kappa_{r} \mathcal{O}_{F_{r}} \), we therefore have \( [N_{\ell} v]_{\ell} = [k_{\ell}]/_{\ell} \).

Fix a prime \( \mathfrak{L} \) over \( \ell \) in \( F_{r} \). Since \( \mathfrak{L} \) is ramified over \( F \), we have

\[
(1 - \sigma_{\ell}) v^{(\ell-1)/n} \equiv (\sigma_{\ell} - 1) \beta_{r} \mod \mathfrak{L}.
\]
Since $\tilde{k}_r, \tilde{k}_s \in F$, we have

$$(\sigma - 1)\beta^n_r = (\sigma - 1)D_r\alpha_r = (\ell - 1 - N)D_s\alpha_r = (\ell - 1)D_s\alpha_r - (\phi - 1)D_s\alpha_s = (\ell - 1)D_s\alpha_r - (\phi - 1)\beta^n_s,$$

the third equality by the Euler system relation. Since $\alpha_r \equiv \alpha_e \mod \mathfrak{L}$, and

$$(\phi - 1)\beta_s \equiv (\ell - 1)\beta_s \mod \mathfrak{L}$$

by definition of the Frobenius, we have

$$(\sigma - 1)\beta_r = D_s\alpha_r^{(\ell - 1)/n} \equiv (D_s\alpha_s^{(\ell - 1)/n}) \equiv k_s^{(\ell - 1)/n} \mod \mathfrak{L}.$$ 

In other words, the elements $(1 - \sigma)\nu$ and $k_s$ differ by an $\ell^{-1}$ th root of unity modulo primes over $\ell$ in $F_{\ell}$. We then have that $\Pi_{\ell}((1 - \sigma)\nu) = \pi(\kappa_s)$. By Lemma 6.4.9, we have the result. 

Now suppose that $p$ is an odd prime, and let $n = p^k$ for some $k \geq 1$. The following theorem guarantees the existence of enough primes for our application.

**Proposition 6.4.12.** Given an ideal class $c \in A_F$, a finite $\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-submodule $M$ of $F^\times / F^\times n$, and a Galois-equivariant map $\theta : M \to \mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$, there exist infinitely many primes $\ell \in \mathfrak{P}_n$ such that $M$ has trivial image in $I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}$ and there exists a unit $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that

$$\pi_{\ell}(x) = u\theta(x)l \mod nI_{\ell}$$

for all $x \in M$.

**Proof.** Let $E = F(\mu_n)$ and $H$ be the $p$-Hilbert class field of $F$. The inertia group at any prime over $p$ in $\Gal(E/F)$ has index at most 2, so $H \cap E = F$ as $p$ is odd. Note that $\Gal(E(\sqrt[n]{M})/E)$ injects into $\Hom(M, \mu_n)$ by Kummer theory. The element $\rho \in \Gal(E/F)$ corresponding to complex conjugation acts as 1 on $M$ and as $-1$ on $\mu_n$, so $\rho$ acts as $-1$ on $\Hom(M, \mu_n)$. It also acts as 1 on $\Gal(HE/E)$, so $E(\sqrt[n]{M}) \cap HE = E$. Since $H \cap E = F$, it follows that $E(\sqrt[n]{M}) \cap H = F$.

Since $\mu_n \cap F = \{1\}$, we have $\hat{H}^0(\Gal(E/F), \mu_n) = 0$ and therefore $H^1(\Gal(E/F), \mu_n) = 0$ as $\Gal(E/F)$ is cyclic. The natural map $F^\times / F^\times n \to E^\times / E^\times n$ is therefore an injection, and we see that the injection

$$\Gal(E(\sqrt[n]{M})/E) \to \Hom(M, \mu_n)$$

is in fact an isomorphism.

Fix a primitive $n$th root of unity $\zeta_n$, and define a homomorphism $\iota : (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[\Delta] \to \mu_n$ on group elements by $\iota(1) = \zeta_n$ and $\iota(\delta) = 1$ for $\delta \neq 1$. The homomorphism $\iota : \theta : M \to \mu_n$ corresponds to an
element $\tau \in \text{Gal}(E(\sqrt{M}/E)$ satisfying
\[
\frac{\tau(\sqrt{x})}{\sqrt{x}} = t \circ \theta(x)
\]
for all $x \in M$.

By what we have shown, restriction maps define an isomorphism
\[
\text{Gal}(HE(\sqrt{M}/F)) \cong \text{Gal}(H/F) \times \text{Gal}(E/F) \times \text{Gal}(E(\sqrt{M}/E).
\]
So, we may choose $\sigma \in \text{Gal}(HE(\sqrt{M}/F)$ such that $\sigma|_{E(\sqrt{M})} = \tau$ and $\sigma|_{H}$ corresponds to $c \in A_F$ via the Artin isomorphism. By the Čebotarev density theorem, there exist infinitely many primes $\ell$ that are unramified in $E$ and for which the Frobenius $\phi_{\ell}$ at $\ell$ has the same conjugacy class as $\sigma$ in $\text{Gal}(HE(\sqrt{M}/\mathbb{Q})$.

Now fix such a prime $\ell$, and let $I$ be a prime lying over it. Here then are its most easily derived properties. Since $\sigma|_{F} = 1$, the prime $I$ has degree 1, or in other words $\ell \in \mathcal{P}$. Since $\sigma|_{H}$ corresponds to $c$, we have $I \subseteq c$. Since $\sigma|_{E} = 1$, the prime $I$ splits in $E/F$, so $\ell \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Since $\ell$ is unramified in the Galois extension $E(\sqrt{M})$ of $\mathbb{Q}$, we have $[x]_\ell = 0$ for all $x \in M$.

The component of $\pi_{\ell}(x) \in I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}$ as $I$ is trivial if and only if $x$ is an $n$th power modulo $I$, as in Remark 6.4.10. On the other hand, $\theta(x)I \subseteq I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}$ is trivial if and only if $t \circ \theta(x) = 1$, so if and only if $\tau|_I$ fixes $\sqrt{x}$, and then if and only if $\phi_{\ell}$ fixes $\sqrt{x}$, and then finally if and only if $x$ is an $n$th power modulo $I$. Thus, there exists a $u \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$ such that the $I$-component of $\pi_{\ell}(x)$ and $u\theta(x)I$ agree for all $x \in M$.

The map
\[
\pi_{\ell}(x) - u\theta(x)I: M \to \bigoplus_{I'\mid I\neq I'}(\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})I' \subset I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}
\]
is $\Delta$-equivariant as the difference of $\Delta$-equivariant maps, so its image is $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-stable, but its image also lies in a subgroup of $I_{\ell}/nI_{\ell}$ containing no nontrivial $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}[\Delta]$-submodule, as $\Delta$ acts transitively on the primes of $F$ over $\ell$. It follows that $\pi_{\ell}(x) = u\theta(x)I$ for all $x \in M$. □

Recall that $\mathcal{E}_F = E_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$, and set $\mathcal{C}_F = C_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$.

**Lemma 6.4.13.** Suppose that $\chi: \Delta \to \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ is a $p$-adic character of $\Delta$. Extending $\chi$ to a primitive Dirichlet character, if $1 - \chi(\ell) \in \mathcal{O}_F^\times$ for all $\ell \mid m$, then $e_\chi(1 - \zeta_m)$ generates $\mathcal{C}_F^{(x)}$ as an $\mathcal{O}_F$-module.

**Proof.** Let $\zeta_d = \zeta_m^{m/d}$ for $d$ dividing $m$. The group $\mathcal{C}_F$ is the intersection with $\mathcal{E}_F$ of the $\mathbb{Z}_p[\Delta]$-module generated by the elements $1 - \zeta_d$ for $d$ dividing $m$. Since the norm from $Q(\zeta_d)$ to $Q(\zeta_e)$ for $e$ dividing $d$ of the element $1 - \zeta_d$ is $1 - \zeta_e$ so long as every prime dividing $d$ also divides $e$, we can reduce this generating set to the set of $1 - \zeta_d$ with $(d, \frac{m}{d}) = 1$. In general, if $\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_k$ are the primes dividing $d$ but not $e$, then the norm of $1 - \zeta_d$ is the application of $(1 - \phi_{\ell_1}^{-1}) \cdots (1 - \phi_{\ell_k}^{-1})$ to $1 - \zeta_e$. 


Projecting to the $\chi$-isotypical quotient, we have that it becomes the multiple of the image of $1 - \zeta_e$ by $(1 - \chi(\ell_1)^{-1}) \cdots (1 - \chi(\ell_k)^{-1})$, which is a unit by assumption. \hfill \Box

We may now bound the orders of eigenspaces of even eigenspaces of $p$-parts of class groups. The proof of the following result using Euler systems is due to Kolyvagin. We suppose that $m$ is divisible by 4 if it is even.

**Theorem 6.4.14.** Suppose that $p \nmid |\Delta|$, and let $\chi$ be a primitive finite order $p$-adic character of $\Delta$. Then the order of $A_F^{(\chi)}$ divides the order of $(\mathcal{O}_F'/\mathcal{O}_F)^{(\chi)}$.

**Proof.** Let $\mathcal{O}$ be the $\mathbb{Z}_p$-algebra generated by the image of $\chi$, and let $f$ be its residue degree. Let $a_\chi = |A_F^{(\chi)}|^{1/f}$ and $q_\chi = |(\mathcal{O}_F'/\mathcal{O}_F)^{(\chi)}|^{1/f}$, and set $n = a_\chi q_\chi$. Let $c_1, \ldots, c_g$ be ideal classes generating $A_F^{(\chi)}$ as an $\mathcal{O}$-module.

Set $\delta_r = e_\chi \kappa_r$, for $r \in \mathcal{P}_n$. Primitivity and the fact that $p \nmid |\Delta|$ imply, by Lemma 6.4.13, that $\mathcal{O}_F^{(\chi)}$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathcal{O}_F^{(}\chi\,)$, generated by $\delta_1 = e_\chi \alpha_1 = e_\chi (\zeta_m - 1)^2$. Then $q_\chi$ is the maximal integer $t_0$ such that $\delta_1 \in (F^{x_{t_0}}/F^{x_n})^{(\chi)}$.

Let $1 \leq i \leq g$, and suppose that for each $1 \leq j < i$, we have found primes $l_j \in c_j$ lying over primes $\ell_j \in \mathcal{P}_n$ such that for $r_j = \prod_{h=1}^j \ell_h$ and $t_j \leq n$ the largest power of $p$ such that

$$
\delta_{r_j} \in (F^{x_{t_j}}/F^{x_n})^{(\chi)}
$$

one has $t_j | t_{j-1}$ and

$$
t_{j-1}^{-1}t_j c_j \in \mathcal{O}(c_1, \ldots, c_{j-1}).
$$

We look for $l_i$ with the same properties.

Let $M_i$ be the $\mathcal{O}$-submodule of $F^{x_i}/F^{x_n}$ generated by $\delta_{r_{i-1}}$. Define

$$
\theta_i : M_i \rightarrow ((\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})[\Delta])^{(\chi)}, \quad \theta_i(\delta_{r_{i-1}}) = t_{i-1} e_\chi.
$$

By Proposition 6.4.12, there exists a prime $l_i \in c_i$ over some $\ell_i \in \mathcal{P}_n$ and satisfying $[\delta_{r_{i-1}}]_{\ell_i} = 0$ and

$$
\pi_{\ell_i}(\delta_{r_{i-1}}) = u_{t_i} t_{i-1} e_\chi l_i
$$

for some $u_i \in (\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z})^\times$. Now let $r_i = \prod_{j=1}^i \ell_j$ and $t_i \leq n$ be the largest power of $p$ such that $\delta_{r_i} \in F^{x_{t_i}}/F^{x_n}$.

We have by Proposition 6.4.11 that

$$
[\delta_{r_i}]_{\ell_i} = \pi_{\ell_i}(\delta_{r_{i-1}}) = u_{t_i} t_{i-1} e_\chi l_i
$$

in $I_{\ell_i}/nI_{\ell_i}$. Since $\delta_{r_i} \in F^{x_{t_i}}/F^{x_n}$, this forces $t_i | t_{i-1}$. In particular, $t_i$ divides $t_0 = q_\chi$, and therefore $a_\chi = n/q_\chi$ divides $n/t_i$. 

\begin{refnote}[(6.4.1)]{}
\end{refnote}
Proposition 6.4.11 also tells us that \([\delta_{r_i}]_{\ell} = 0\) unless \(\ell \mid r_i\). Thus \(\delta_{t_i}\) has a \(t_i\)th root in \(F^\times\) and nonzero valuation modulo \(n\) only at primes dividing \(\ell_1, \ldots, \ell_i\). It follows that \(1/t_i[\delta_{r_i}]_{\ell_i}\) has trivial image in the quotient of \(A^{(\mathcal{O})}\) by the \(\mathcal{O}\)-span of the classes \(c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1}\) of \(1, \ldots, i-1\). Moreover, we have by (6.4.2) that

\[
\frac{1}{t_i}[\delta_{r_i}]_{\ell_i} \equiv \frac{t_i - 1}{t_i}e_{\chi_i} \mod \frac{n}{t_i}L_i,
\]

This implies that \(\frac{t_i - 1}{t_i}c_i \in \mathcal{O}(c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1})\), completing the recursion.

Multiplying together (6.4.1) for \(1 \leq j \leq g\) gives that \(a_\chi\) divides

\[
\prod_{i=1}^{g} \frac{t_i - 1}{t_i} = \frac{q_\chi}{t_g},
\]

which clearly divides \(q_\chi\).  

\[\square\]

### 6.5. The main conjecture via Euler systems

Let \(p\) be an odd prime. Let \(m\) be a positive integer not divisible by \(p\) and divisible by 4 if \(m\) is even. Set \(F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp})\), and let \(F_n = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp^n})\) for \(n \geq 1\) and \(F_\infty = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} F_n\). Let \(\Gamma(n) = \Gamma(p^{n-1}) = \text{Gal}(F_\infty/F_n)\) and \(\Gamma_n = \text{Gal}(F_n/F) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}\mathbb{Z}\). Let \(\chi: (\mathbb{Z}/mp\mathbb{Z})^\times \to \mathcal{O}^\times\) be an even character of order prime to \(p\), where \(\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}[\Gamma]\), which we also view as a primitive \(\mathcal{O}\)-valued Dirichlet character. Set \(\Lambda = \mathcal{O}[\Gamma]\) and \(\Lambda_n = \mathcal{O}[\Gamma_n]\). We make a usual choice of identification of \(\Lambda\) with \(\mathcal{O}[\mathcal{T}]\).

**Lemma 6.5.1.**

1. The restriction map \((X_\infty)_{\Gamma(n)}^{(\mathcal{O})} \to X_n^{(\mathcal{O})}\) is an isomorphism.

2. The restriction map \((X_\infty)_{\Gamma(n)}^{(\mathcal{O})} \to X_n^{(\mathcal{O})}\) has trivial kernel unless \(\chi(p) = 1\) and \(\chi \neq 1\), in which case it is isomorphic to \(\mathcal{O}\). It has trivial cokernel unless \(\chi = 1\), in which case it is a finite quotient of \(\Gamma_n\) that is zero for sufficiently large \(n\).

3. The inverse limit of norm maps \((\mathcal{E}_\infty)_{\Gamma(n)}^{(\mathcal{O})} \to \mathcal{E}_n^{(\mathcal{O})}\) is an injection unless \(\chi(\omega^{-1}(p)) = 1\) and \(\chi(p) = 1\).

4. The inverse limit of norm maps \((\mathcal{E}_\infty)_{\Gamma(n)}^{(\mathcal{O})} \to \mathcal{E}_n^{(\mathcal{O})}\) is an injection which is an isomorphism if \(\chi(p) \neq 1\).

Note that if \(M\) is a finitely generated \(\Lambda\) modules such that \(M_{\Gamma}\) is finite, then it is torsion and \(T\) does not divide its characteristic ideal, so \(M^\Gamma\) is finite as well, and in particular \(M^\Gamma\) is contained in the maximal finite submodule \(M_{\text{fin}}\) of \(M\).

**Proposition 6.5.2.** Suppose that \(\chi(p) \neq 1\) and \(\chi(\omega^{-1}(p)) \neq 1\). Then there exists an open ideal \(a\) of \(\Lambda\) that annihilates both the kernel and cokernel of the inverse limit of norm maps \(N_n: (\mathcal{E}_\infty)_{\Gamma_n}^{(\mathcal{O})} \to \mathcal{E}_n^{(\mathcal{O})}\).
PROOF. Consider the following two commutative diagrams with top rows arising from taking the \( \Gamma(n) \)-homology of Proposition 3.3.6 (noting Theorem 3.3.4) and the bottom rows coming from Theorem 1.5.4 (noting Theorem 1.5.21):

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
(X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow \pi_n & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \iota & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & U_{n}^{(\chi)}/E_{n}^{(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{X}_{n}^{(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & A_{n}^{(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\end{array}
\]

and

\[
\begin{array}{ccccccccc}
(U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & (E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
\downarrow N_n & & \downarrow \iota & & \downarrow \pi_n & & \\
0 & \longrightarrow & E_{n}^{(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & U_{n}^{(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & U_{n}^{(\chi)}/E_{n}^{(\chi)} & \longrightarrow & 0. \\
\end{array}
\]

By Lemma 6.5.1 and our assumption that \( \chi(p) \neq 1 \), we have that the rightmost two vertical arrows in the first diagram and the middle vertical arrow in the second diagram are isomorphisms. In particular, we have exact sequences

\[(6.5.1) \quad (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \to (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \xrightarrow{\pi_n} U_{n}^{(\chi)}/E_{n}^{(\chi)} \to 0.\]

and

\[(6.5.2) \quad (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \to (E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \xrightarrow{N_n} E_{n}^{(\chi)} \to \ker \pi_n \to 0.\]

Since \( (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \cong A_{n}^{(\chi)} \) is finite, so is \( (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \), and being contained in the maximal finite \( \Lambda \)-submodule of \( X_{\infty}^{(\chi)} \), it has order bounded independent of \( n \). By (6.5.1) and (6.5.2), we then have that coker \( N_n \cong \ker \pi_n \) also has bounded order. The group \( \mathfrak{X}_{n}^{(\chi)} \cong (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \) is finite by our assumption on \( \chi \) and the theorem of Ferrero-Greenberg, so its subgroup \( U_{n}^{(\chi)}/E_{n}^{(\chi)} \) is finite as well. Equation (6.5.1) then also yields that \( (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \) is finite, so ker \( N_n \cong (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\Gamma(n)} \) is finite of order bounded in \( n \) by the order of the maximal finite submodule of \( U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)} \) (which is in fact trivial). Letting \( a \) be the annihilator of \( (X_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\text{fin}} \oplus (U_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)})_{\text{fin}} \), we are done. \( \square \)

Let \( h_{\chi} \) denote a characteristic power series of \( X_{\infty}^{(\chi)} \), and let \( j_{\chi} \) denote a characteristic power series of \( E_{\infty}^{(\chi)}/E_{\infty}^{(\chi)} \).

**Proposition 6.5.3.** Suppose that \( \chi(p) \neq 1 \). Then there exists an open ideal \( \alpha \) of \( \Lambda \) such that for all \( \lambda \in \alpha \) and \( n \geq 1 \), there exists a \( \Lambda_{\alpha} \)-module homomorphism \( \theta_{n,\lambda} : E_{n}^{(\chi)} \to \Lambda_{\alpha} \) such that

\[ \theta_{n,\lambda}(E_{\infty}^{(\chi)}) = \lambda j_{\chi} \Lambda_{\alpha}. \]
PROOF. Under our assumption that $\chi(p) \neq 1$, we have $\mathcal{U}_\infty(\g) \cong \Lambda$ via the product of Coleman maps by Corollary 6.1.2. Consequently, its submodule $E_\infty^\theta$ is torsion-free of rank one. In particular, there exists an injective pseudo-isomorphism $\theta : E_\infty^\theta \to \Lambda$. By Proposition 6.1.5, the $\Lambda$-module $E_\infty^\theta$ is cyclic, so

$$\theta(E_\infty^\theta) = \text{char}_\Lambda(\Lambda / \theta(E_\infty^\theta)) = \text{char}_\Lambda(E_\infty^\theta / E_\infty^\theta) = (j_\Lambda).$$

Now let $\theta$ be as in Proposition 6.5.2. Let $\theta_n : (E_\infty^\theta)_{\Gamma(n)} \to \Lambda_n$ be the map induced by $\theta$. For any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{a}$ and $u \in E_\infty^\theta$, we let $\theta_n(\lambda)(u) = \theta_n(u)$ for any $v$ with $N_n(v) = \lambda u$, which exists since $\lambda$ annihilates $\text{coker}N_n$ and is unique since $\theta_n$ is necessarily trivial on the finite kernel of $N_n$. The result then follows by definition of $\theta_n, \lambda$.

LEMMA 6.5.4. Suppose that $\chi(p) \neq 1$. Let $f_i$ with $1 \leq i \leq g$ be such that $X_\infty^{\theta} \cong \prod_{i=1}^g \Lambda / (f_i)$. Then there exists an open ideal $\mathfrak{b}$ of $\Lambda$ such that, for each $n \geq 1$, there exist elements $c_1, \ldots, c_g$ of $A_n^{\theta}$ such that the annihilator $\text{Ann}(c_i)$ of each $c_i$ as an element of the $\Lambda_n$-module $A_n^{\theta}/\Lambda_n(c_1, \ldots, c_{i-1})$ satisfies $\mathfrak{b} \text{Ann}(c_i) \subseteq f_i \Lambda_n$.

PROOF. By the given pseudo-isomorphism, there exists an exact sequence

$$0 \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \Lambda / (f_i) \to X_\infty^{\theta} \to Q \to 0$$

with $Q$ finite. Taking $\Gamma_n$-homology and noting that $(X_\infty^{\theta})_{\Gamma(n)} \cong A_n^{\theta}$ by assumption, we obtain an exact sequence

$$(6.5.3) \quad Q_{\Gamma(n)} \to \bigoplus_{i=1}^g \Lambda_n / f_i \Lambda_n \to A_n^{\theta} \to Q_{\Gamma(n)} \to 0.$$

Let $\mathfrak{b}$ be the $\Lambda$-annihilator of $Q$. Let $c_i$ be the image of the generator $e_i$ of the $i$th summand $\Lambda_n / f_i \Lambda_n$ in $A_n^{\theta}$. If $x \in \Lambda_n$ is such that $x \cdot c_i \in \Lambda_n(c_1, \ldots, c_n)$, then we have $xe_i$ is in the sum of the image of $Q_{\Gamma(n)}$ and $\bigoplus_{j \neq i} \Lambda_n / f_j \Lambda_n$ in $\bigoplus_{j=1}^g \Lambda_n / f_j \Lambda_n$ by (6.5.3). Since $\mathfrak{b}$ annihilates $Q$, any $\lambda \in \mathfrak{b}$ satisfies $\lambda xe_i \in \bigoplus_{j \neq i} \Lambda_n / f_j \Lambda_n$, but $\lambda xe_i$ is clearly in the $i$th summand, so $\lambda xe_i = 0$. In other words, $\mathfrak{b} \text{Ann}(c_i) \subseteq f_i \Lambda_n$.

Let us now work over $F_n^+$. LEMMA 6.5.5. Let $r \in \mathcal{P}_m$ for a power $m$ of $p$, let $\ell$ be a prime divisor of $r$, and let $q$ be a prime of $F_n^+$ over $\ell$. Let $B$ be the subgroup of $A_n^+$ generated by the primes dividing $\frac{r}{\ell}$. Let $c = e_\chi[q] \in A_n^{\theta}$. Let $\delta_r = e_\chi \kappa_r$, and let $M = \Lambda_n \delta_r \subseteq (F^\times / F^\times m)^{\theta}$. Suppose that we can choose

$$m \geq |A_n^{\theta}| \cdot |(I_{\ell} / mI_{\ell})^{\theta} / \Lambda_n[\delta_r]_{\ell}|.$$
Let \( I \subseteq \Lambda_n \) denote the annihilator of the image of \( c \) in \( A_n^+ / B \). Suppose also that \( \lambda, f \in \Lambda_n \) are such that

\[
\lambda I \subseteq f \Lambda_n, \quad \text{and} \quad \Lambda_n / f \Lambda_n \text{ is finite}.
\]

Then there exists a \( \Lambda_n \)-module homomorphism

\[
\theta : M \to (\Lambda_n / m\Lambda_n)^{(\chi)}
\]

such that for

\[
\eta : F^\times / F^\times n \to \Lambda_n / m\Lambda_n, \quad \eta(x)q = \pi_\ell(x),
\]

we have

\[
\theta(\delta_r) = \lambda \eta(\tilde{\delta}_r).
\]

**Proof.** By assumption, we have \( m \cdot A_n^{(\chi)} = 0 \). Define \( \tilde{\eta} : F_n^\times \to \Lambda_n \) by \( \tilde{\eta}(x)q = (x)_\ell \), where \( (x)_\ell \) denotes the image of \( (x) \) in \( F_\ell \) so that \( \tilde{\eta} \) lifts \( \eta \). Let \( \tilde{\delta}_r \in F_n^\times \) be a lift of \( \delta_r \). Then \( (\tilde{\delta}_r) \) is a multiple of \( m \) at primes not dividing \( r \), so its image in \( A_n^+ / B \) is \( \eta(\delta_r)q \), but also zero as the image of a principal ideal. Thus \( \eta(\delta_r) \in I \), and so \( \lambda \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\delta}_r) \in f \Lambda_n \) by assumption. Since \( \Lambda_n / f \Lambda_n \) is finite, we may set

\[
\alpha = \frac{\lambda \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\delta}_r)}{f} \in \Lambda_n.
\]

We define \( \theta \) as in the lemma as the unique \( \Lambda_n \)-module homomorphism with \( \theta(\delta_r) = \alpha \), if it exists. If \( a \in \Lambda_n \) is such that \( a\delta_r = 0 \), then \( [a\delta_r]_\ell = 0 \). For \( h = |A_n^{(\chi)}| \), we have

\[
\frac{m}{h} \cdot (I_\ell / mL_\ell)^{(\chi)} \subseteq \Lambda_n[\delta_r]_\ell,
\]

so we must have \( a \in h\Lambda_n \). Writing \( a\tilde{\delta}_r = x^m \) for some \( x \in F_n^\times \), we have \( e_x[x]_\ell = [\frac{1}{m}a\tilde{\delta}_r]_\ell \), and \( (x) \) has valuation a multiple of \( h \) at primes not dividing \( r \). Since \( h \cdot A_n^{(\chi)} = 0 \), the element \( \frac{1}{m}(a\tilde{\delta}_r)_\ell \) has trivial image in \( A_n^{(\chi)} / B \). In other words, \( \frac{1}{m} \tilde{\eta}(a\tilde{\delta}_r) \cdot e \in B \). Then

\[
a\alpha f = a\lambda \tilde{\eta}(\tilde{\delta}_r) \in mf\Lambda_n,
\]

so \( \theta \) is 0 on \( a\delta_r \). Therefore, \( \theta \) is well-defined.

We now come to our proof of a divisibility in the main conjecture. For now, the proof is omitted.

**Theorem 6.5.6.** If \( \chi(p) \neq 1 \) and \( \chi \omega^{-1}(p) \neq 1 \), then \( \text{char}(\chi^{(\chi)}_\infty) \) divides \( \text{char}(\mathcal{E}_\infty^{(\chi)}) / \mathcal{E}_\infty^{(\chi)} \).
6.6. Geometry of modular curves

The original approach of Mazur and Wiles to the main conjecture was a heavily involved study of Galois actions on the cohomology of modular curves, inspired by the work of Ribet in his proof of the converse to Herbrand’s theorem, which looked at the Galois representations attached to a newform satisfying a mod $p$ congruence with an Eisenstein series. The work of Wiles was a significant refinement, and in some sense simplification, of the work of Mazur-Wiles that employed Hida theory and Galois representations constructed out of pseudo-representations to complete the proof of the more general main conjecture over totally real extensions of $\mathbb{Q}$. Back in the setting of the main conjecture over $\mathbb{Q}$, a further simplification of Wiles’ work can be found in the work of Masami Ohta (for primes $p \geq 5$).

In this setting, the Galois representations that Wiles constructs are quotients of inverse limits of cohomology groups of modular curves, so one can study cohomology directly. It is this approach that we will attempt to roughly sketch here. For this, we will have to assume substantially more background than earlier in these notes, so we will try to focus on ideas to compensate for this.

For a given level $N \geq 4$, the modular curve $X_1(N)$ may be defined as a scheme over $\mathbb{Z}$. Over, $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$, it is a compactification of the fine moduli scheme $Y_1(N)$ that represents the functor that to a $\mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{N}]$-scheme $S$ associates the set of pairs $(E, P)$, where $E$ is an elliptic curve over $S$ and $P$ is a point of order $N$ generating a subgroup scheme of $E_S$ isomorphic to $(\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})_S$. It we consider the base change $X_1(N)$ of $X_1(N)$ to $\mathbb{Q}$, then its $p$-adic étale cohomology group $\mathcal{T}_N = H^1_{\text{ét}}(X_1(N), \mathbb{Q}_p(1))$ has a continuous action of $G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ that is unramified outside of the primes over $N$ and $\infty$.

There is also an action on cohomology of Hecke operators given by correspondences. To describe this, we remark that a choice of embedding $\mathbb{Q} \hookrightarrow \mathbb{C}$ gives rise to an isomorphism

$$\mathcal{T}_N \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p)$$

of $\mathbb{Q}_p$-vector spaces, where the right-hand side is singular cohomology. This isomorphism commutes with the actions of Hecke operators, so we can describe them on the right side. Recall that $X_1(N)(\mathbb{C})$ is a quotient of the union $\mathbb{H}^*$ of the upper-half place $\mathbb{H}$ and $\mathbb{Q} \cup \{\infty\}$ by the congruence subgroup

$$\Gamma_1(N) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \mid (c, d) \equiv (0, 1) \mod N \right\}.$$

For a prime $\ell$, set

$$\Gamma_1(N, \ell) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} \in \Gamma_1(N) \mid c \equiv 0 \mod \ell \right\}.$$
Consider the diagram

\[ \frac{\Gamma_1(N, \ell)}{\mathbb{H}^*} \]

\[ \xymatrix{ & \mathcal{X}_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \ar[dl]_{\psi_\ell} \ar[dr]^{\pi_\ell} & \mathcal{X}_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \ar[l]_{\psi_\ell} \ar[r]^{\pi_\ell} & \mathcal{X}_1(N)(\mathbb{C}) \ar[l]_{\psi_\ell} \ar[r]^{\pi_\ell} & \cdots } \]

where \( \psi_\ell \) is induced by multiplication by \( \ell \) on \( \mathbb{H}^* \) and \( \pi_\ell \) is induced by the identity. This gives rise to two correspondences on \( H^1(X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p) \) which are in a sense dual: we take the dual correspondence \( T^*(\ell) \) given by pullback by \( \psi_\ell \) followed by pushforward by \( \pi_\ell \). (The usual Hecke correspondence \( T(\ell) \) is given instead by \( (\psi_\ell)_* \pi_\ell^* \).) We also have dual diamond operators \( (j)^* \) for \( j \in (\mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z})^\times \) (inverse to the usual ones) that are the automorphisms induced by the maps on \( Y_1(N) \) given by \( \left( \begin{smallmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{smallmatrix} \right) \in \text{SL}_2(\mathbb{Z}) \) with \( d \equiv j^{-1} \mod N \). We let \( \mathfrak{h}(N) \) denote the Hecke algebra of endomorphisms of \( H^1(X_1(N)(\mathbb{C}), \mathbb{Z}_p) \) generated by these dual correspondences and diamond operators. Back on étale cohomology, the Galois and Hecke actions commute.

If \( N \mid M \), then we have trace maps \( \text{Tr}: \mathcal{T}_M \to \mathcal{T}_N \) given on singular cohomology by summing over \( \Gamma_1(N)/\Gamma_1(M) \)-conjugates (upon pullback to \( \mathcal{T}_M \) via the injective map induced by the identity on \( \mathbb{H} \)). One key reason for our use of dual Hecke operators is that the trace map commutes with their actions. In particular, if we consider a tower of modular curves \( X_1(Np^n) \) for a fixed \( N \geq 1 \) not divisible by \( p \) and \( n \geq 1 \), then we have an inverse limit of cohomology groups \( \varprojlim_n \mathcal{T}_{mp^n} \) under trace maps. Of particular interest to us is the \( T^*(p) \)-ordinary part \( \mathcal{T} = \varprojlim_n \mathcal{T}_{mp^n}^{\text{ord}} \) of \( H \): it is the maximal direct summand of \( H \) on which \( T^*(p) \) acts invertibly. The ordinary part \( \mathfrak{h}^* = \varprojlim_n \mathfrak{h}(mp^n)^{\text{ord}} \) inverse limit of Hecke algebras acting on \( \mathcal{T} \). This Hecke algebra \( \mathfrak{h}^* \) is known as Hida’s ordinary (dual, cuspidal) \( \mathbb{Z}_p \)-Hecke algebra of tame level \( m \).

One of the key properties of Hida’s ordinary Hecke algebra \( \mathfrak{h} \) is it nicely encapsulates the structure of ordinary parts of cuspidal Hecke algebras of all weights and levels. The Hecke algebra is free of finite rank over the Iwasawa algebra \( \Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[T]] \), where \( T = (1 + p)^* - 1 \). If for \( k \geq 2 \) and \( n \geq 1 \), the ordinary part of the weight \( k \), level \( Np^n \) Hecke algebra that acts on \( H^1(X_1(mp^n)(\mathbb{C}), \text{Sym}^{k-1}(\mathbb{Z}_p^2))^{\text{ord}} \), is isomorphic to \( \mathfrak{h}/((1 + T)p^n - (1 + p)p^{n(k-2)}) \). Moreover, the latter cohomology group is isomorphic to the quotient of the free of finite rank \( \Lambda \)-module \( \mathcal{T} \) by the action of \( (1 + T)p^n - (1 + p)p^{n(k-2)} \).

It is perhaps more typical to speak of Hida’s Hecke algebra as acting on the space of ordinary \( \Lambda \)-adic cusp forms via the usual (not dual) action of Hecke operators. (The algebras of usual and dual Hecke algebras are isomorphic via the map that takes a Hecke operator to the corresponding dual operator.) For this, one has the theory of \( \Lambda \)-adic modular forms, which are \( q \)-expansions with coefficients in \( \Lambda \) that specialize upon plugging in \( (1 + p)^{k-2} - 1 \) for \( T \) to weight \( k \) cusp forms for each (or, equivalently, all but finitely many) \( k \geq 2 \). For an eigenform to be \( T(p) \)-ordinary means that its \( p \)th Fourier coefficient is a unit. Again, we have the same sort of good control when we specialize.
at various weights and levels. Let us denote the $\mathfrak{h}$-module of $\Lambda$-adic cusp forms by $\mathcal{I}$. Hida proved that the pairing $\mathfrak{h} \times \mathcal{I} \to \Lambda$ of $\Lambda$-modules that takes $(T, f)$ to the $q$-coefficient of $T f$ is perfect, so $\mathfrak{h} \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathcal{I}, \Lambda)$ and $\mathcal{I} \cong \text{Hom}_\Lambda(\mathfrak{h}, \Lambda)$. Moreover, $\mathcal{I} \otimes \mathcal{D}$, where $\mathcal{D}$ is the quotient field of $\Lambda$, is free of rank one over $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$.

One sees that $\mathcal{I}$ fits in an exact sequence of $\mathbb{Z}_p[\overline{G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}}]$-modules of the form

$$0 \to \mathcal{I}_{\text{sub}} \to \mathcal{I} \to \mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}} \to 0,$$

where $\mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}}$ has unramified action and is noncanonically isomorphic to the space of ordinary $\Lambda$-adic cusp forms via an isomorphism that switches dual and usual Hecke actions. The key point here is that for the Galois representation $\mathcal{I}$ to be ordinary for $T^*(p)$ means also to be ordinary in the sense of $p$-adic Hodge theory, which insures that it has a filtration of the above form. The Hecke operator $T^*(p)$ acts as the Frobenius $\varphi_p$ on $\mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}}$. The characteristic polynomial of the Frobenius $\varphi_p$ for $\ell \nmid mp$ acting on the rank two module $\mathcal{I} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$ is an $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$-representation with $T^*(p)$-action given by $x^2 - T^*(\ell)x + \ell(\ell)^*$. One might roughly think of $\mathcal{I}$ as encapsulating all of the $p$-adic Galois representations attached to ordinary cusp forms of tame level (dividing) $m$ at once.

A version of Poincaré duality, modified to be compatible with the inverse limit, sets up a perfect pairing of $\Lambda$-modules $(\; , ) : \mathcal{I} \times \mathcal{I} \to \Lambda$ such that $(T x, y) = (x, T y)$ for $x, y \in \mathcal{I}$ and $T \in \mathfrak{h}$, and this induces a perfect pairing $\mathcal{I}_{\text{sub}} \times \mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}} \to \Lambda$. From this and the duality between Hida’s Hecke algebra and ordinary $\Lambda$-adic cusp forms, we see that $\mathcal{I}_{\text{sub}} \cong \mathfrak{h}$. We remark that we may lift $\mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$ to a subspace of $\mathcal{I} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$ complementary to $\mathcal{I}_{\text{sub}} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$. We would preferably lift $\mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}}$ itself, but it is not clear one can do this if $\theta \varpi^{-1}(p) = 1$. However, we can get away with something close in all eigenspaces using the action of a chosen element $\nu$ of the inertia group $I_p$ at $p$ with $\nu(\zeta_p^n) = \zeta_p^{1+np}$ for all $n$. Set $u = (1 + T)(1 + p)$ and $\mathcal{N} = \Lambda[u(1) - 1]$. We declare $\mathcal{I}^+$ to be the $\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{N}$-submodule fixed by $\nu$. This clearly works, as the determinant in $\mathcal{D}^\times$ of the action of $\nu$ is $u$, but $\nu$ acts trivially on the quotient $\mathcal{I}_{\text{quo}} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{N}$. We set $\mathcal{I}^- = \mathcal{I}_{\text{sub}} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{N}^+$.

By picking an ordered basis of $\mathcal{I} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}$ from $\mathcal{I}^-$ and $\mathcal{I}^+$, respectively, we see that the Galois representation

$$\rho : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to \text{GL}_2(\mathfrak{h} \otimes \Lambda \mathcal{D}), \quad \rho = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$$

is upper-triangular on $G_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ and has the form

$$\rho|_{I_p} = \begin{pmatrix} \det \rho & b \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

on the inertia subgroup $I_p$. We are particularly interested in the map $c$.

Let $I$ denote the ideal of $\mathfrak{h}$ generated by all $T^*(\ell) - 1 - \ell(\ell)^*$ for primes $\ell \nmid mp$ and $T^*(\ell) - 1$ for primes $\ell \mid mp$, and fix an even $p$-adic character $\theta$ of $(\mathbb{Z}/mp\mathbb{Z})^\times$ of conductor $m$ or $mp$. Set $\chi = \theta \varpi^2$. 
The image \( I_\theta \) of \( I \) in \( h^{(\theta)} \) (which corresponds to the \( \theta^{-1} \)-eigenspace of the usual non-cuspidal Hecke algebra acting on the space \( \Lambda \)-adic cuspidal modular forms) is the image of the ideal of the Hecke algebra acting on \( \Lambda \)-adic modular forms that is the annihilator of the \( \Lambda \)-adic Eisenstein series

\[
G_{\theta^{-1}} = \frac{1}{2} g_\chi^0 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{d|n, (d,m^p)=1} d\theta^{-1}(d) (\kappa(d)) q^n,
\]

where \( \kappa(d) \) is the projection of \( d \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times \) into \( 1 + p\mathbb{Z}_p \). Here \( g_\chi^0 = g_\chi \) if \( \theta \omega(p) \neq 1 \) and \( g_\chi^0 = (T - p)^{-1} g_\chi \) otherwise.

The quotient \( (h/I)^{(\theta)} \) measures, in a sense, the failure of the above Eisenstein series \( G_{\theta^{-1}} \) to be a cusp form. This Eisenstein series induces map from Hida’s full modular Hecke algebra \( \mathcal{H} \) acting on the space of \( \Lambda \)-adic modular forms to \( \Lambda_{\theta^{-1}} \), taking \( T(\ell) \) to the corresponding Fourier coefficient, and its kernel is the Eisenstein ideal in the \( \theta^{-1} \)-eigenspace of this Hecke algebra. On the dual cuspidal Hecke algebra \( h^{(\theta)} \), this yields a surjection \( (h/I)^{(\theta)} \to \Lambda_{\theta^{-1}} / (g_\chi^0) \) since \( G_{\theta^{-1}} \) becomes a cusp form when reduced modulo its constant term. In fact, this surjection is an isomorphism for \( \theta \neq \omega^2 \), though we shall not require it in our proof.

Now suppose that \( f_\chi \notin (\Lambda_\chi[T^{-1}])^\times \). Note that \( T \) divides \( f_\chi \) if and only if \( \chi \omega^{-1}(p) = \theta \omega(p) = 1 \). (Recall that \( f_\chi((1+p)^s-1) = L_p(\chi, s) \) for all \( s \in \mathbb{Z}_p \).) By the result of Ferrero and Greenberg, \( T \) exactly divides \( f_\chi \) in the “exceptional” case that \( \chi \omega^{-1}(p) = 1 \), and \( T \nmid f_\chi \) for non-exceptional \( \chi \).

We shall be interested in the \( \theta \)-eigenspaces (under the action of diamond operators) of our Galois representation \( \rho \) that is defined by \( \mathcal{F}^{(\theta)} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{O} \), so we view \( \rho \) as taking values in \( \text{GL}_2(h^{(\theta)} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{O}) \) by projection.

**Lemma 6.6.1.** For \( \sigma, \tau \in G_\mathbb{Q} \), the elements \( a(\sigma) - \det \rho(\sigma), d(\sigma) - 1 \), and \( b(\sigma)c(\tau) \) of \( h^{(\theta)} \otimes_\Lambda \mathcal{O} \) are all contained in \( I_\theta \subset h^{(\theta)} \).

**Proof.** Note that \( h = \text{End}_h(h) = \text{End}_h(\mathcal{F}) \), so \( a \) and \( d \) take values in \( h \), and moreover \( b(\sigma)c(\tau) \in h \) for all \( \sigma, \tau \in G_\mathbb{Q} \) since compositions of elements in \( \text{Hom}_h(\mathcal{F}, h) \) and \( \text{Hom}_h(h, \mathcal{F}) \) lie in one of the aforementioned endomorphism groups.

It suffices to show the containments in question on Frobenius elements \( \varphi_\ell \) (or their “geometric” inverses) at \( \ell \mid Np \) by the Čebotarev density theorem. One has that

\[
a(\varphi_\ell^{-1}) + d(\varphi_\ell^{-1}) = \ell^{-1} T(\ell) = \ell^{-1} \langle \ell \rangle T^*(\ell) \equiv 1 + \ell^{-1} \langle \ell \rangle \text{ mod } I_\theta.
\]

Since \( \det \rho(\varphi_\ell^{-1}) = \ell^{-1} \langle \ell \rangle \) for all \( \ell \), we therefore have

\[
a(\sigma) + d(\sigma) \equiv 1 + \det \rho(\sigma) \text{ mod } I_\theta
\]
for all $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The element $v$ used to lift $\mathcal{R}_{\text{quo}}$ satisfies

$$\rho(v) = \begin{pmatrix} u & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $u = (1 + p)(T + 1)$. Taking the trace of $\rho(v\sigma)$, we see that

$$ua(\sigma) + d(\sigma) \equiv 1 + u\det\rho(\sigma) \mod I_{\theta},$$

again for all $\sigma$. It follows that $a(\sigma) - \det\rho(\sigma) \in I_\theta$ and $d(\sigma) - 1 \in I_\theta$.

Now consider $\sigma, \tau \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$ and note that $a(\sigma\tau) = a(\sigma)a(\tau) + b(\sigma)c(\tau)$. Thus we have

$$b(\sigma)c(\tau) = (a(\sigma\tau) - \det\rho(\sigma\tau)) - (a(\sigma)a(\tau) - \det\rho(\sigma)\cdot\det\rho(\tau)) \in I_{\theta}.$$

\[\square\]

Let $B$ (resp., $C$) denote the $\mathfrak{h} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda'$-submodules of $h^{(\theta)} \otimes_{\Lambda} \mathcal{Q}$ generated by the elements $b(\sigma)$ (resp., $c(\sigma)$) with $\sigma \in G_{\mathbb{Q}}$. The $\mathfrak{h}$-module $BC$ of sums of products is an ideal of $h^{(\theta)}$ contained in $I_{\theta}$.

**Lemma 6.6.2.** The ideal $BC$ of $h^{(\theta)} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda'$ is a faithful $h^{(\theta)}$-module.

**Proof.** The map $\delta : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to (\mathfrak{h}/BC)^{\times}$ induced by $\sigma \mapsto d(\sigma)$ is a homomorphism that is unramified outside of the primes over $m$. It is then at most tamely ramified at these primes, so by class field theory the map factors through a quotient of $\prod_{\ell | m} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}$. Since the pro-abelian group $(\mathfrak{h}/BC)^{\times}$ has finite prime-to-$p$ part and the group $\prod_{\ell | m} \mathbb{Z}_{\ell}^{\times}$ has finite $p$-part, we see that the image of $\delta$ is finite.

For $\ell \nmid mp$, we have

$$\ell^{-1}(\ell)(T^*(\ell) - 1 - \ell(\ell)^*) = a(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}) + d(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}) - \det\rho(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}) - 1$$

$$= -(a(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}) - 1)(d(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}) - 1) + b(\phi^{-1}_{\ell})c(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}),$$

By the Čebotarev density theorem, we can find infinitely many primes $\ell \nmid mp$ such that $d(\phi^{-1}_{\ell}) - 1 \in BC$. For such an $\ell$, we have then $T^*(\ell) - 1 - \ell(\ell)^* \in BC$. This element is not a zero divisor in $h^{(\theta)}$ (as it does not annihilate any ordinary $\Lambda$-adic cuspidal eigenform with character $\theta^{-1}$, which we do not verify here), so the annihilator of $BC$ in $h^{(\theta)}$ is trivial. \[\square\]

We have the following corollary.

**Corollary 6.6.3.** The $h^{(\theta)} \otimes_{\Lambda} \Lambda'$-modules $B$ and $C$ are faithful.

Let $F = \mathbb{Q}(\mu_{mp})$, and let $F_{\infty}$ be its cyclotomic $\mathbb{Z}_p$-extension.

**Proposition 6.6.4.** The map $\tilde{c} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \to C/I_{\theta}C$ induced by $c$ restricts to a homomorphism on $G_{F_{\infty}}$ with the same image as $\tilde{c}$ and which factors through $X_{\infty}^{(\theta)(\chi^{-1})}$
For \( \sigma, \tau \in G_Q \), we have that
\[
c(\sigma \tau) = a(\tau)c(\sigma) + c(\tau)d(\sigma) \equiv \det \rho(\tau)c(\sigma) + c(\tau) \mod I_0.
\]
Since \( \det \rho \) factors through \( \text{Gal}(F_\infty/Q) \), we see that \( \bar{c} \) is a homomorphism, and it factors through \( X_\infty \) since \( c|_{I_p} = 0 \).

For \( \sigma_j \in \text{Gal}(F_\infty/Q) \) with \( \sigma_j(\zeta_{m^p^n}) = \zeta_{m^p^n}^j \) for all \( n \), where \( j \in \mathbb{Z}_{p,m}^\times \), we have
\[
\det \rho(\sigma_j) = j_p(j)^* = \omega \theta(j) \kappa(j) \langle \kappa(j) \rangle^*.
\]
In particular, for \( j \in (\mathbb{Z}/mp\mathbb{Z})^\times \) and \( \tau \in G_{F_\infty} \), we have
\[
\bar{c}(\sigma_j \tau \sigma_j^{-1}) = \det \rho(\sigma_j)^{-1} \bar{c}(\tau) = (\omega \theta)^{-1}(j) \bar{c}(\tau) = \omega \chi^{-1}(j) \bar{c}(\tau).
\]
Finally, letting \( \sigma \in G_Q \), the commutator \([\nu, \sigma]\) lies in \( G_{F_\infty} \), and we have
\[
\bar{c}([\nu, \sigma]) = (u^{-1} - 1) \bar{c}(\sigma).
\]
Since \( u^{-1} - 1 \) is a unit in \( \Lambda' \), we are done.

Using the fact that \( C \) is a faithful \( \mathfrak{h}^{(\theta)} \)-module and the theory of Fitting ideals, one can show that the characteristic ideal of \( C/I_\theta C \) as a module over the algebra \( \Lambda_\theta \) of diamond operators is divisible by \( g_0 \omega \theta^{-1} \). Since \( X_\infty^{(\omega \chi^{-1})} \) maps surjectively to \( C/I_\theta C \) via \( \bar{c} \), we obtain the following theorem (upon application of the theorem of Ferrero and Greenberg to deal with exceptional zeros).

**Theorem 6.6.5.** The ideal \( (f_\chi) \) divides \( \text{char}_{\Lambda \chi} X_\infty^{(\omega \chi^{-1})} \).
APPENDIX A

Duality in Galois cohomology

Fix a prime $p$. For a field $E$, let $G_E$ denote its absolute Galois group, i.e., the Galois group of its separable closure $E^{\text{sep}}$ as an extension of $E$. Let $\mu_{p^n}$ denote the group of all $p$-power roots of unity in $E^{\text{sep}}$.

Now let $E$ be a nonarchimedean local field of characteristic not equal to $p$. Recall that its Brauer group $\text{Br}(E) = H^2(G_E, (E^{\text{sep}})^\times)$ is canonically isomorphic to $\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$ by class field theory. This has the following corollary.

**Lemma A.0.1.** We have an isomorphism

$$H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p(1)) \sim \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p.$$  

**Proof.** Of course, we may replace $\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p(1)$ by $\mu_{p^n}$ in the statement, which in fact will make the isomorphism canonical. First, we remark that, since direct limits are exact, we have an isomorphism

$$H^2(G_E, \mu_{p^n}) \cong \varprojlim H^2(G_E, \mu_{p^n}).$$

Kummer theory sets up an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^2(G_E, \mu_{p^n}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(E) \xrightarrow{p^n} \text{Br}(E),$$

the left exactness following from Hilbert’s theorem 90. Since the $p^n$ torsion in $\text{Br}(E)$ is canonically isomorphic to $1/p^n \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p$ is the direct limit of the latter groups, we have the result. \qed

**Remark A.0.2.** If $T$ is a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_E]$-module, then $H^i(G_E, T)$ is finite for every $i$.

**Theorem A.0.3** (Tate duality). Let $T$ be a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_E]$-module. Then for $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ the cup product

$$H^i(G_E, T) \times H^{2-i}(G_E, T^\vee(1)) \rightarrow H^2(G_E, \mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p(1))$$

is nondegenerate, inducing an isomorphism

$$H^i(G_E, T) \cong H^{2-i}(G_E, T^\vee(1))^\vee.$$  

**Remark A.0.4.** In fact, we have, more generally, such a duality for compact $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules $T$ with continuous $G_E$-actions. Here, we must use continuous cohomology, i.e., the cohomology groups of the complex of continuous $G_E$-cochains with values in $T$. We will in general denote such cohomology groups using the same notation as the usual profinite cohomology groups.
Finally, let $F$ denote a global field of characteristic not equal to $p$, and let $S$ be a finite set of primes of $F$.

**Definition A.0.5.** Let $T$ be a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{F,S}]$-module. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, the $i$th Shafarevich-Tate group of $T$ is

$$\text{III}^i(G_{F,S}, T) = \ker \left( H^i(G_{F,S}, T) \xrightarrow{\sum \text{Res}_v} \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^i(G_{F,v}, T) \right),$$

where the map $\text{Res}_v$ is the composition of restriction to a decomposition group at $v \in S$ in $G_{F,S}$ with inflation to the absolute Galois $G_{F,v}$.

The duality theorem is then as following

**Theorem A.0.6 (Poitou-Tate duality).** Let $T$ be a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{F,S}]$-module. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, we have isomorphisms

$$\text{III}^i(G_{F,S}, T) \sim \text{III}^{3-i}(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1)) \vee.$$

For $v \in S_\infty$ and any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, we will use $H^i(G_{F,v}, T)$ to denote the $i$th Tate cohomology group of $T$, by abuse of notation.

**Remark A.0.7.** For $v \in S_\infty$, the cup product induces isomorphisms

$$H^i(G_{F,v}, T) \sim H^{2-i}(G_{F,v}, T^\vee(1)) \vee$$

for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Combining Poitou-Tate duality with Tate duality, we obtain the following nine-term exact sequence.

**Theorem A.0.8 (Poitou-Tate sequence).** For a finite $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_{F,S}]$-module $T$, we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow H^0(G_{F,S}, T) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^0(G_{F,v}, T) \rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1)) \vee \rightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(G_{F,v}, T) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1)) \vee \rightarrow$$

$$\bigoplus_{v \in S} H^2(G_{F,v}, T) \rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1)) \vee \rightarrow H^0(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1)) \vee \rightarrow 0.$$

**Proof.** We first define the maps in question. The maps

$$\text{Res}_v : H^i(G_{F,S}, T) \rightarrow H^i(G_{F,v}, T)$$
are the compositions of the restriction maps from $G_{F,S}$ to a decomposition group above $v \in S$ with inflation to the absolute Galois group $G_{F_r}$. The maps
\[ H^i(G_{F_r}, T) \to H^{2-i}(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1))^\vee \]
are the compositions of the maps
\[ H^i(G_{F_r}, T) \to H^{2-i}(G_{F_r}, T^\vee(1))^\vee \]
of Tate duality with the Pontryagin duals of the maps $\text{Res}_v$ with for the module $T^\vee(1)$. Finally the maps
\[ H^{3-i}(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1))^\vee \to H^1(G_{F,S}, T) \]
are defined to be the natural maps that factor through the Poitou-Tate isomorphisms
\[ \text{I}^3-i(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1))^\vee \to \text{I}^i(G_{F,S}, T). \]

We briefly sketch the proof of exactness. Exactness at the first and last stages follows from injectivity of restriction on zeroth cohomology groups. Exactness at the local stages follows from global class field theory, which tells us that the image of $H^i(G_{F,S}, T)$ is the orthogonal complement of the image of $H^{2-i}(G_{F,S}, T^\vee(1))$ under the sum of local cup products. (We omit the argument, but see [NSW, Section 8.6].) Finally, exactness at the other four global stages follows directly from Poitou-Tate duality.

**Remark A.0.9.** As with Tate duality, we have Poitou-Tate duality and the Poitou-Tate sequence more generally for compact $\mathbb{Z}_p$-modules $T$ with continuous $G_{F,S}$-actions.
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