duction	Quantum	algebra

Categorification

Affine symmetries

Categorical q-boson algebras

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ 三 のへぐ

2-categorical affine symmetries and q-boson algebras

Sam Qunell

UCLA

June 2025

 Introduction
 Quantum algebras
 Categorification
 Affine symmetries
 Categorical q-boson algebras

 •000
 000000
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000

 Quantum groups
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000
 0000000

Quantum groups are Hopf algebras deforming the universal enveloping algebra of several classes of Lie algebras.

Representations of quantum groups are useful for many things, including producing solvable lattice models in statistical physics.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

What are the symmetries of the symmetries? Who acts on the actors?



Some symmetries are more obvious from the categorical viewpoint.

For us, categorifying an algebra means finding a monoidal category whose Grothendieck group is the desired algebra.

Quantum groups and related structures can be categorified via representations of KLR (quiver Hecke) algebras.

Introduction 00●0	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
Affinizati	on			

For \mathfrak{g} a simple complex Lie algebra, we can obtain the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by taking a central extension (+ derivation) of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. It is known $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

Drinfeld showed that for \mathfrak{g} a Kac-Moody Lie algebra, the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ admits an "affinization" $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by a loop presentation.

Introduction 00●0	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
Affinizati	on			

For \mathfrak{g} a simple complex Lie algebra, we can obtain the affine Lie algebra $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ by taking a central extension (+ derivation) of the loop algebra $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathbb{C}[t, t^{-1}]$. It is known $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ is a Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

Drinfeld showed that for \mathfrak{g} a Kac-Moody Lie algebra, the algebra $U_q(\mathfrak{g})$ admits an "affinization" $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ given by a loop presentation.

A categorical understanding of this affinization process or of loop generators is still missing.

Representations of quantum affinizations are increasingly common.

Introduction 000●	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
Main Re	sults			

- We find new representations of $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$ by constructing and decategorifying certain 2-representations.
- The (1-)representation also exists at least in types D_4 and C_2 .
- We also present new categorifications of related algebras in all symmetrizable Kac-Moody types.

Introduction Quantum algebras Categorification Affine symmetries Categorical q-boson algebras

Positive part quantum groups

Notation

Let $(C_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to C. Let q be an indeterminate. Denote by $\binom{n}{k}_{q}$ the quantum binomial coefficient in q.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

Our algebras and categories work for symmetrizable C as well.

Introduction Quantum algebras Categorification Affine symmetries Categorical q-boson algebras 000000 0000000 0000000 0000000

Positive part quantum groups

Notation

Let $(C_{ij})_{i,j\in I}$ be a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix. Let \mathfrak{g} be the Kac-Moody Lie algebra associated to C. Let q be an indeterminate. Denote by $\binom{n}{k}_{q}$ the quantum binomial coefficient in q.

Our algebras and categories work for symmetrizable C as well.

Definition

Define the algebra $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ as the $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -algebra with generators E_i for $i \in I$ and quantum Serre relations for $i \neq j$

$$\sum_{k=0}^{1-C_{ij}} {\binom{1-C_{ij}}{k}}_{q} (-1)^{k} E_{i}^{k} E_{j} E_{i}^{1-C_{ij}-k} = 0$$

Example

 $U_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)\simeq \mathbb{C}(q)[E_1]$, the polynomial algebra in 1 variable.

Example

 $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ is generated by two non-commuting variables E_1 and E_0 subject to the relations

$$E_1^3 E_0 - (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})E_1^2 E_0 E_1 + (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2})E_1 E_0 E_1^2 - E_0 E_1^3 = 0$$

and

$$E_0^3 E_1 - (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) E_0^2 E_1 E_0 + (q^2 + 1 + q^{-2}) E_0 E_1 E_0^2 - E_1 E_0^3 = 0.$$

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
Adjoints				

• $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ carries an important symmetric bilinear form. On the generators, it is given by

$$(E_i, E_j) = \delta_{ij} \frac{1}{1-q^2}.$$

- This form is nondegenerate and gives maps E_i^* adjoint to (right multiplication by) E_i .
- Adjoints are more natural to categorify.

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
a-hoson	algebras			

Kashiwara shows that the operators E_i and E_j^* satisfy "q-boson relations"

$$E_i^*E_j-q^{-C_{ij}}E_jE_i^*=rac{\delta_{ij}}{1-q^2}.$$

Definition

Let $B(\mathfrak{g})$ be the *q*-boson algebra for the Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} . It has generators E_i, F_i for $i \in I$. The E_i and F_i both satisfy quantum Serre relations. We also have

$$F_i E_j - q^{-C_{ij}} E_j F_i = rac{\delta_{ij}}{1-q^2}.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲三▶ ▲三▶ 三三 のへの

The action of $B(\mathfrak{g})$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is faithful.



- When g is simple and finite-dimensional, adding semisimple elements K_i to B(g) in the natural way yields the *q*-oscillator algebra U_{qos}(g) for g.
- Bazhanov, Lukyanov, and Zamolodchikov observed that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, there is a homomorphism $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}) \to U_{qos}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

• There is therefore an action of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{b}})$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.

Introduction Quantum algebras Categorification Affine symmetries Categorial q-boson algebras q-oscillator & shifted affine algebras

- When g is simple and finite-dimensional, adding semisimple elements K_i to B(g) in the natural way yields the *q*-oscillator algebra U_{qos}(g) for g.
- Bazhanov, Lukyanov, and Zamolodchikov observed that for $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$, there is a homomorphism $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}) \to U_{qos}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.
- There is therefore an action of $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{b}})$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.
- The homomorphism $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{b}}) \to U_{qos}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$ does not extend to $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$.
- Hernandez showed that it extends to a *shifted quantum affine* algebra after localizing $U_{qos}(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$.



- Similar homomorphisms of shifted affine algebras are given in type \mathfrak{gl}_n by Frassek, Pestun, and Tsymbaliuk via RTT presentations.
- These are all similar to Jimbo's quantum evaluation homomorphisms U_q(ŝl_n) → U_q(gl_n).
- These quantum evaluation homomorphisms cannot be defined outside of type A_n .

• We will be primarily interested in finding a homomorphism $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}}) \to B(\mathfrak{g})$ and resulting action on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$.

Half 2-Kac-Moody algebra

We need a monoidal category that categorifies $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$. We will construct one in several steps.

Definition summary

For *C* a symmetric generalized Cartan matrix with Kac-Moody Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , define the strict monoidal additive category $\mathcal{U}'^+(\mathfrak{g})$ generated by objects E_i for $i \in I$ and by morphisms $X_i : E_i \to E_i$ and $T_{ij} : E_i E_j \to E_j E_i$. These morphisms are required to satisfy several KLR (quiver Hecke) relations that depend on *C*.

The X_i are like polynomial variables. The T_{ij} satisfy variants of the braid relations.

Introduction 0000 Categorification 000000 Affine symmetries

Categorical q-boson algebras

\mathbb{Z} -grading

Definition

Denote $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) := Kar(\mathcal{U}'^+(\mathfrak{g})) - gr$. By definition, $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is idempotent-closed and \mathbb{Z} -graded. Denote by q the suspension functor.

Morphisms are graded via $\deg(X_i) = 2$, $\deg(T_{ij}) = -C_{ij}$, and $\deg(Id_M) = 0$ for any object M.

For example, for each $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ we have morphisms

$$X_i: q^{m+2}E_i \to q^m E_i.$$

Suspending by q in $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ categorifies multiplying by q in $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$.

roduction Quantum algebras

Categorification

Affine symmetries

Categorical q-boson algebras

▲ロ ▶ ▲周 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ▲ 国 ▶ ● の Q @

Categorification

Theorem (Khovanov-Lauda)

$U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbb{C}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} K_0(\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})).$ This is an isomorphism of algebras.

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson al

ebras

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

Categorification

Theorem (Khovanov-Lauda)

 $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \simeq \mathbb{C}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} K_0(\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})).$ This is an isomorphism of algebras.

• There is a $\mathbb{C}(q)$ -valued q-semilinear form on K_0 defined by

$$([M], [N]) = \sum_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} q^n \operatorname{dim}(\operatorname{Hom}(q^n(M), N)).$$

If we apply the duality q
 i= q⁻¹, E
 i = E
 i to the first argument, then we recover the previous symmetric bilinear form on U⁺_q(g).

Introduction 0000 Categorification 0000000

Affine symmetries

Categorical q-boson algebras

2-representations

Definition

A 2-representation of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ or $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is an additive graded strict-monoidal functor $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g}) \to End_{\oplus,\mathbb{Z}}(\mathcal{D})$ for some additive \mathbb{Z} -graded category \mathcal{D} .

These yield (1-)representations of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ on $\mathbb{C}(q) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[q,q^{-1}]} \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{D})$.

Example

 $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ comes with endofunctors for tensoring on the right by E_i . This categorifies the right-multiplication representation of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$. Extending coefficients

Quantum algebras

We would like adjoints F_i to the E_i , but $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ is "too small".

Affine symmetries

Categorification

Definition

Introduction

We say that a coproduct of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ objects is *locally finite* if it has the form

$$\coprod_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}q^i(M_1^{\oplus k_{1,i}}\oplus M_2^{\oplus k_{2,i}}\cdots\oplus M_n^{\oplus k_{n,i}})$$

for some $M_j \in \mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ and $k_{j,i} \in \mathbb{N}$. We say also that the coproduct is *left-bounded* if there exists some $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ for which $k_{j,i} = 0$ for all j whenever i < m.

Definition

Denote by $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf}$ the category of all left-bounded, locally finite coproducts (in fact, biproducts) of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ objects.

Categorical q-boson algebras



The functor for tensoring on the right by E_i does have a right adjoint F_i defined on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{IbIf}$.

Theorem (Kang-Kashiwara) There is an isomorphism of functors $F_i E_j \simeq q^{-C_{ij}} E_j F_i \oplus \delta_{ij} \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{N}} q^{2n} Id$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

This categorifies the *q*-boson relations. Note that F_i is only right adjoint to E_i .



Certain infinite biproducts are allowed in $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf}$. We need a notion of Grothendieck group that gives meaning to expressions like

$$[M] = [N] + q^2[N] + q^4[N] + \dots$$

This is captured by the *topological split Grothendieck group*, also denoted as K_0 .

Theorem (Naisse-Vaz)

 $K_0(\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf})$ is a free module over $\mathbb{Z}[[q]][q^{-1}]$ generated by the classes of indecomposable objects (up to shifts). In particular,

 $\mathbb{C}((q))\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[[q]][q^{-1}]} \mathcal{K}_0(\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{\textit{lblf}})\simeq \mathbb{C}((q))\otimes_{\mathbb{C}(q)} U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}).$



Rouquier discovered a 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{lblf}$ extending that of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Here, E_1 acts by right tensoring by E_1 , and E_0 acts by the adjoint F_1 .



Rouquier discovered a 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{lblf}$ extending that of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Here, E_1 acts by right tensoring by E_1 , and E_0 acts by the adjoint F_1 .

The naturality of the construction suggests more important and interesting structure in the background.



Rouquier discovered a 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2)$ on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)^{lblf}$ extending that of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_2)$. Here, E_1 acts by right tensoring by E_1 , and E_0 acts by the adjoint F_1 .

The naturality of the construction suggests more important and interesting structure in the background.

Can this be generalized to other types? It is not easy to determine the action of E_0 in general.

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
Ohiective	2			

To produce a 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$ extending the right multiplication 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$, we need the following.

- Additive, graded endofunctor E_0 of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf}$.
- Natural transformations of appropriate grading

$$X_0: E_0
ightarrow E_0,$$

 $T_{00}: E_0 E_0
ightarrow E_0 E_0,$

and for each i a vertex for the Dynkin diagram of \mathfrak{g} ,

$$T_{0i}: E_0E_i \to E_iE_0,$$

$$T_{i0}: E_iE_0 \to E_0E_i$$

satisfying the KLR relations in $\mathcal{U}_{q}^{+}(\hat{\mathfrak{g}})$.



• In Jimbo's evaluation homomorphisms $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{n+1}) o U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$, we map

$$E_i \to E_i,$$

$$E_0 \to K \cdot [F_n, [F_{n-1}, \dots [F_2, F_1]_q \dots]_q]_q.$$

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三▶ ▲ 三 ● ● ●

where K is some semisimple element and $[A, B]_q = AB - qBA$.



• In Jimbo's evaluation homomorphisms $U_q(\hat{\mathfrak{gl}}_{n+1}) o U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_{n+1})$, we map

$$E_i \to E_i,$$

$$E_0 \to K \cdot [F_n, [F_{n-1}, \dots [F_2, F_1]_q \dots]_q]_q.$$

where K is some semisimple element and $[A, B]_q = AB - qBA$.

• We show that there is a similar homomorphism $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1}) \to B(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ given by

$$E_i \to E_i,$$

$$E_0 \to [F_n, [F_{n-1}, \dots [F_2, F_1]_q \dots]_q]_q.$$



As an example, let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. How can we categorify $[F_2, F_1]_q = F_2F_1 - qF_1F_2$?

We look for a natural transformation from F_1F_2 to F_2F_1 of degree 1. The adjoint of T_{12} works.



As an example, let $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_3$. How can we categorify $[F_2, F_1]_q = F_2F_1 - qF_1F_2$?

We look for a natural transformation from F_1F_2 to F_2F_1 of degree 1. The adjoint of T_{12} works.

We will take the cokernel in the larger category of bimodules of KLR algebras.



For $n \ge 2$ and $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_{n+1}$, we will iterate this procedure of taking cokernels of appropriately graded morphisms. This gives us a functor E_0 defined *a priori* on a larger category.

Theorem (Q.)

The E_0 functor obtained this way is well-defined on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf}$. Its action on $\mathbb{C}((q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[[q]][q^{-1}]} K_0(\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf})$ is

$$[E_n^*, [E_{n-1}^*, \dots, [E_2^*, E_1^*]_q \dots]_q]_q.$$

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries 00000€0	Categorical q-boson algebras
Main resu	ılt 1			

The needed natural transformations are all descended from X_i , T_{ij} , or from Kang-Kashiwara's q-boson isomorphism.

Theorem (Q.)

For $n \geq 2$, the described E_0 , X_{00} , T_{00} , T_{0i} , and T_{i0} give a 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_{n+1})$ extending the right-multiplication 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})$ on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_{n+1})^{lblf}$.

Slight modifications are made in the \mathfrak{sl}_2 case due to double arrows in the affine Dynkin diagram.



• Since many of the defining natural transformations are either injective or surjective, many of the complicated KLR relations reduce to simpler ones.

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ □ のQで

• One has to study what happens when substrings like $T_i T_{i+1} T_i$ are *deleted* from a larger string.

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries 000000●	Categorical q-boson algebras
Commen	ts			

- Since many of the defining natural transformations are either injective or surjective, many of the complicated KLR relations reduce to simpler ones.
- One has to study what happens when substrings like $T_i T_{i+1} T_i$ are *deleted* from a larger string.
- One can check (via Sage and GAP) that in type D_4 ,

 $E_0 := [[[[E_1^*, E_2^*]_q, E_3^*]_q, E_4^*]_q, E_2^*]_q$

gives an action of $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{so}}_8)$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_8)$. Here, 2 labels the central vertex in the Dynkin diagram. The C_2 case is similar.

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・



The previous results suggest the existence and importance of a (new) categorification of $B(\mathfrak{g})$.

We produce a generators-and-relations categorification $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ akin to $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$. This makes it easier to define 2-representations, compute Hom spaces, and generalize.

Our construction works for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra.

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras
Main rec	sult 0			

Lemma

The universal property of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ is as follows. A 2-representation of $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a 2-representation of $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$ such that

- The image of each E_i has a right dual F_i
- The image admits all left-bounded, locally finite direct sums
- The q-boson morphisms (to be defined) are invertible

The category $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf}$ with objects E_i acting by $\otimes E_i$ and F_i acting by the right adjoint.

(日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日) (日)

Theorem (Q.)

 $\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})$ acts faithfully on $\mathcal{U}_q^+(\mathfrak{g})^{lblf}$.

Generators

- First, we define a monoidal category with suspension functor q. The generating objects are E_i and F_i . We have morphisms X_i and T_{ij} just like in $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g})$.
- We also require that F_i is right dual to E_i , so we have units $\eta_i : \mathbf{1} \to F_i E_i$ and counits $\epsilon_i : E_i F_i \to \mathbf{1}$.
- Diagrammatic techniques can be used to control the size of Hom spaces.

Introduction Quantum algebras Categorification Affine symmetries Categorical q-boson algebras The q-boson morphism

• Recall there is an isomorphism of functors on $\mathcal{U}_{a}^{+}(\mathfrak{g})^{\textit{lblf}}$

$$q^{-C_{ij}}E_jF_i\oplus\delta_{ij}\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}q^{2n}\mathbf{1}\to F_iE_j.$$

• This "q-boson morphism" is

$$F_{j}E_{i}\epsilon_{j}\circ F_{j}T_{ji}F_{j}\circ q^{-C_{ij}}\eta_{j}E_{i}F_{j}\oplus \delta_{ij}\bigoplus_{n\in\mathbb{N}}F_{i}X_{i}^{n}\circ\eta_{i}$$

Very similar morphisms are formally inverted in Rouquier's categorification of the (idempotented) full quantum group U_q(g).

Introduction 0000	Quantum algebras	Categorification	Affine symmetries	Categorical q-boson algebras 0000●00
Other ad	ditions			

- We add all left-bounded locally finite coproducts (in fact, direct sums).
- We then localize at a class of morphisms containing these q-boson morphisms to obtain our desired category B(g). This class of morphisms admits a calculus of right fractions.
- For decategorification, we also need to take an idempotent completion.

Introduction Quantum algebras Categorification coopool Affine symmetries coopool Categorical q-boson algebras coopool Pool Coopool Coo

- We expect that $\mathbb{C}((q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}[[q]][q^{-1}]} K_0(Kar(\mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g})) \simeq \mathbb{C}((q)) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}(q)} B(\mathfrak{g}).$
- We expect that there is a functor U⁺_q(ŝl_{n+1}) → Ho(B(sl_{n+1})) coming from main result 1.
- Current work includes adding all duals to U⁺_q(g) and investigating connections to the quantum Grothendieck ring of U_q(L(g)).
- \bullet We are also investigating applications to the crystal basis of $U_q^+(\mathfrak{g}).$

Quantum algebras 000000 Categorification

Affine symmetries

Categorical q-boson algebras ○○○○○○●

A D N A 目 N A E N A E N A B N A C N

Thank you for listening!

Main results:

Introduction

- For $n \ge 2$, we construct a 2-representation of $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n)$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{sl}_n)$. The representation of algebras is new for $n \ge 3$.
- We also construct a representation of $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{so}}_8)$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{so}_8)$ and of $U_q^+(\hat{\mathfrak{sp}}_4)$ on $U_q^+(\mathfrak{sp}_4)$.
- We construct a generators-and-relations monoidal categorification of the *q*-boson algebras B(g) for any symmetrizable Kac-Moody Lie algebra g. It has a faithful 2-representation on a categorification of U⁺_a(g).