

## Sampling of Homological Ring Theory

We give some definitions and theorems (no proofs) in homological ring theory.

**Question I.** What is the relationship between free and projective modules?

1.  $\mathbf{Z}/(2) \amalg \mathbf{Z}/(3) \cong \mathbf{Z}/(6)$  in  ${}_{\mathbf{Z}/(6)}\mathfrak{M}$  but  $\mathbf{Z}/(2)$  is not  $\mathbf{Z}/(6)$ -free since it does not have enough elements.
2. Examples when all projectives over  $R$  are free.
  - i.  $R$  is a division ring.
  - ii.  $R$  is a PID.
  - iii. (Kaplansky)  $R$  is a local ring.
3. (Bass) If  $R$  is commutative and noetherian ring then any **non** finitely generated  $R$ -projective is free.
4. **Serre Conjecture.** Let  $R = k[t_1, \dots, t_n]$  with  $k$  a field. Let  $P \in {}_R\mathfrak{M}$  be a finitely generated projective  $R$ -module.
  - i. (Hilbert-Serre)  $P$  is **stably free**, i.e., there exist positive integers  $n, m$  such that  $P \amalg R^m \cong R^n$  in  ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$ .
  - ii. (Quillen-Suslin)  $P$  is free.

[**Remark.** Let  $R = \mathbf{C}[t_1, t_2, t_3]/(t_1^2 + t_2^2 + t_3^2)$  or  $R = D[t_1, t_2]$  with  $D$  a division ring. Then there exist non-free projective  $R$ -modules.]

**Question II.** Let  $R$  be a domain. What is the relationship between  $M \in {}_R\mathfrak{M}$  being **torsion-free**, i.e.,

$$rm = 0 \text{ with } r \neq 0 \quad \implies \quad m = 0$$

and projective?

1. If  $P \in {}_R\mathfrak{M}$  is projective ( $R$  is a domain) then  $P$  is a submodule of a free so torsion-free.
2.  $\mathbf{Q} \in \mathcal{A}b$  is torsion-free but not projective. It is flat. Indeed, flats in  ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$  are always torsion-free.
3. Finitely generated torsion-free modules in  ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$  are always free if and only if  $R$  is a **Prüfer** domain, i.e., a domain in which every finitely generated ideal is projective.
 

Examples:

  - i. **Valuation Rings**, i.e., domains  $R$  in which every non-zero element or its inverse in the quotient field of  $R$  lies in  $R$ . In fact,  $R$  is Prüfer if and only if  $R_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is a valuation ring for each prime ideal  $\mathfrak{p}$  in  $R$ .
  - ii. **Dedekind** domains. These are just the noetherian Prüfer rings.
  - iii. The ring of entire functions on an open Riemann surface.
4. If  $R$  is Prüfer then an  $R$ -module is flat if and only if it is torsion-free.

**Question III.** When are all  $M \in {}_R\mathfrak{M}$  projective?

This is easy. This is when  $R$  is a semi-simple artinian ring, i.e.,  $R \in {}_R\mathfrak{M}$  is a sum of **irreducible**  $R$ -modules (i.e., modules  $M \neq 0$  which have no proper submodules).

**Question IV.** When are all submodules of a projective module in  ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$  always projective?

1. If  $R = \mathbf{Z}/(p^2)$  with  $p$  a prime then

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(p) \rightarrow R \xrightarrow{p} \mathbf{Z}/(p) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$  but is not split exact. (Why?) So  $\mathbf{Z}/(p)$  is not projective but a submodule of a free (hence projective). Thus submodules of projectives need not be projective.

2. A ring  $R$  is called **left hereditary** if every left ideal of  $R$  is projective. If  $R$  is left hereditary, every submodule of a projective  $R$ -module is projective.  
example.  $R$  is a dedekind domain, e.g.,  $\mathbf{Z}[\sqrt{-5}]$  which has non-free projectives.
3. A finitely submodule of an  $R$ -projective is always projective if  $R$  is a **left semi-hereditary** ring, i.e., a ring in which every finitely generated left ideal in  $R$  is projective.  
examples. Prüfer domains, left hereditary rings, and the Small ring

$$R := \begin{pmatrix} \mathbf{Z} & \mathbf{Q} \\ 0 & \mathbf{Q} \end{pmatrix}$$

which is right noetherian, right hereditary, left semi-hereditary but not left noetherian.

**Question V.** When is every flat  $R$ -module in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$  projective, i.e.,  $P$  is projective in  ${}_R\mathcal{M}$  if and only if  $\otimes_R P$  is exact?

1.  $\mathbf{Q} \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$  is flat and not projective as it is not  $\mathbf{Z}$ -free and  $R$  is a PID.
2. (Bass) Every  $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$  flat is projective if and only if  $R$  is a **left perfect** ring, i.e.,  $R$  satisfies the descending chain condition on *right* principal ideals, equivalently, every  $0 \neq M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$  contains an irreducible  $R$ -submodule.
3.  $M \in {}_R\mathcal{M}$  is called **finitely presented** or **fp** if there exist positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  and an exact sequence

$$R^m \rightarrow R^n \rightarrow M \rightarrow 0 \text{ in } {}_R\mathcal{M}.$$

Every finitely presented flat module is projective. In particular, if  $R$  is left noetherian every finitely generated flat is projective.

**Question VI.** When is  $P = \prod_{\alpha} P_{\alpha}$  projective if and only if  $P_{\alpha}$  is projective for all  $\alpha$ ?

1. It is easy to see that if  $P$  is projective so is each  $P_{\alpha}$ .
2.  $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \mathbf{Z}$  is not  $\mathbf{Z}$ -free hence not  $\mathbf{Z}$ -projective.
3. (Chase)  $R$  is called **right coherent** if every finitely generated right ideal of  $R$  is finitely presented.
  - i.  $R$  is right coherent if and only if

$$F_{\alpha} \in {}_R\mathcal{M} \text{ is flat for all } \alpha \quad \implies \quad \prod F_{\alpha} \text{ is flat.}$$

- ii.  $R$  is right coherent and left perfect if and only if Question VI always has a positive answer. [If  $R$  is commutative this is equivalent to  $R$  being artinian, e.g.,  $\mathbf{Z}/(4)$ .]

**Question VII.** What is the relationship between  $P$  being projective in  ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$  and  $h_P$  being exact?

1.  $\mathbf{Z}$  is  $\mathbf{Z}$ -free but  $h_{\mathbf{Z}}$  is not exact:

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbf{Z} \xrightarrow{n} \mathbf{Z} \rightarrow \mathbf{Z}/(n) \rightarrow 0$$

is exact but  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}/(n), \mathbf{Z}) = 0$  in  ${}_R\mathfrak{M}$  as  $\mathbf{Z}/(n)$  is torsion and  $\mathbf{Z}$  is torsion-free. Since  $\text{Hom}_{\mathbf{Z}}(\mathbf{Z}, \mathbf{Z}) \cong \mathbf{Z}$  and multiplication by  $n$  is not an isomorphism,  $h_{\mathbf{Z}}$  is not exact.

2. If  $R$  is **quasi-Frobenius**, i.e., right and left noetherian and  $h_R$  is exact (e.g.,  $\mathbf{Z}/(n)$  or  $k[G]$  with  $k$  an arbitrary field and  $G$  an arbitrary finite group) then
  - i. If  $P$  is projective then  $h_P$  is exact.
  - ii. If  $P$  is finitely generated and  $h_P$  is exact then  $P$  is projective.