

# GLUING $p$ -PERMUTATION MODULES

RAPHAËL ROUQUIER

## 1. INTRODUCTION

We give a “local” construction of the stable category of  $p$ -permutation modules : a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module gives rise, via the Brauer functor, to a family of  $p$ -permutation modules for  $kN_G(Q)/Q$ , where  $Q$  runs over the non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ , together with certain isomorphisms. Conversely, the data of a compatible family of  $kN_G(Q)/Q$ -modules comes from a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module, unique up to a unique isomorphism in the stable category.

This should be the first half of a paper with a second part devoted to complexes of  $p$ -permutation modules.

## 2. THE BRAUER FUNCTOR

Let  $G$  be a finite group and  $k$  a field of characteristic  $p > 0$ .

Let  $Q$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We denote by  $\text{Br}_Q$  the Brauer functor  $\text{Br}_Q : kG\text{-mod} \rightarrow kN_G(Q)\text{-mod}$ .

For  $V$  be a  $kG$ -module,

$$\text{Br}_Q(V) = V^Q / \left( \sum_{P < Q} \text{Tr}_P^Q V^P \right).$$

We write also  $V(Q)$  for  $\text{Br}_Q(V)$ . For basic results about  $p$ -permutation modules and the Brauer functor, see [Br] and [Th, §27].

Restriction induces a fully faithful functor  $kN_G(Q)/Q\text{-mod} \rightarrow kN_G(Q)\text{-mod}$  and we will identify  $kN_G(Q)/Q\text{-mod}$  with the full subcategory of  $kN_G(Q)\text{-mod}$  of the modules with a trivial action of  $Q$ .

We denote by  $kG\text{-perm}$  the full subcategory of  $kG\text{-mod}$  of  $p$ -permutation modules. This is the smallest full additive subcategory of  $kG\text{-mod}$  closed under direct summands and containing the permutation modules.

From now on, we will always consider the restriction of the Brauer functor  $\text{Br}_Q : kG\text{-perm} \rightarrow kN_G(Q)\text{-perm}$ .

Let  $\Omega$  be a  $G$ -set. The composition  $\gamma_\Omega = \gamma_\Omega^Q : k\Omega^Q \hookrightarrow (k\Omega)^Q \twoheadrightarrow (k\Omega)(Q)$  is an isomorphism. It induces an isomorphism of functors  $\gamma : k(-)^Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Br}_Q k(-)$ , *i.e.*, there is a diagram of functors, commutative up to isomorphism :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} G\text{-sets} & \xrightarrow{k(-)} & kG\text{-perm} \\ (-)^Q \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Br}_Q \\ N_G(Q)\text{-sets} & \xrightarrow{k(-)} & kN_G(Q)\text{-perm} \end{array}$$

The following easy result describes the effect of the Brauer construction on a permutation module. Let  $H$  and  $L$  be two subgroups of  $G$ . We put  $T_G(L, H) = \{g \in G \mid L \leq H^g\}$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *We have*

$$(G/H)^L = \bigcup_{g \in H \backslash T_G(L, H) / N_G(L)} N_G(L) / (N_G(L) \cap H^g)$$

as  $N_G(L)$ -sets.

**2.1. Tensor product and duality.** Let us describe the effect of the functor  $\text{Br}_Q$  on tensor products and duality.

Let  $V$  and  $W$  be two  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -modules. The inclusion  $V^Q \otimes W^Q \rightarrow (V \otimes W)^Q$  induces a map  $\alpha_{V, W} : V(Q) \otimes W(Q) \rightarrow (V \otimes W)(Q)$ .

Restriction  $(V^*)^Q = \text{Hom}_{kQ}(V, k) \rightarrow (V^Q)^* = \text{Hom}_k(V^Q, k)$  induces a map  $\beta_V : V^*(Q) \rightarrow V(Q)^*$ .

We put  $\Delta G = \{(x, x) | x \in G\} \subseteq G \times G$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *The maps  $\alpha_{V, W}$  and  $\beta_V$  are isomorphisms and induce isomorphisms of functors from  $kG$ -perm  $\times$   $kG$ -perm to  $kN_G(Q)$ -perm*

$$\alpha : \text{Res}_{\Delta N_G(Q)}^{N_G(Q) \times N_G(Q)} \text{Br}_{Q \times Q} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Br}_Q \text{Res}_{\Delta G}^{G \times G}$$

and from  $kG$ -perm to  $kN_G(Q)$ -perm

$$\beta : \text{Br}_Q(-)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} (-)^* \text{Br}_Q.$$

*Proof.* In order to prove that  $\alpha_{V, W}$  and  $\beta_V$  are isomorphisms, it is enough to consider permutation modules. So, let  $V = k\Omega$  and  $W = k\Psi$ , where  $\Omega$  and  $\Psi$  are  $G$ -sets.

We have an isomorphism  $t_{\Omega, \Psi} : k\Omega \otimes k\Psi \xrightarrow{\sim} k(\Omega \times \Psi)$  given by  $\omega \otimes \psi \mapsto (\omega \times \psi)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$  and  $\psi \in \Psi$ .

There is a commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} & & \xrightarrow{\sim} & & \\ & & \curvearrowright & & \\ (k\Omega^Q) \otimes (k\Psi^Q) & \hookrightarrow & (k\Omega)^Q \otimes (k\Psi)^Q & \twoheadrightarrow & (k\Omega)(Q) \otimes (k\Psi)(Q) \\ t_{\Omega^Q, \Psi^Q} \downarrow \simeq & & t_{\Omega, \Psi} \downarrow & & \alpha_{V, W} \downarrow \\ k(\Omega \times \Psi)^Q & \hookrightarrow & (k(\Omega \times \Psi))^Q & \twoheadrightarrow & k(\Omega \times \Psi)(Q) \\ & & \curvearrowleft & & \\ & & \xrightarrow{\sim} & & \end{array}$$

Hence,  $\alpha_{V, W}$  is an isomorphism.

We have an isomorphism  $d_\Omega : k\Omega \xrightarrow{\sim} (k\Omega)^*$  given by  $\omega \mapsto (\sum_{\omega' \in \Omega} a_{\omega'} \omega' \mapsto a_\omega)$  for  $\omega \in \Omega$ . The composition

$$k\Omega^Q \hookrightarrow (k\Omega)^Q \xrightarrow{d_\Omega} ((k\Omega)^*)^Q \twoheadrightarrow (k\Omega)^*(Q)$$

is an isomorphism. Since the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} (k\Omega)^Q & \xrightarrow{d_\Omega} & ((k\Omega)^*)^Q & \twoheadrightarrow & (k\Omega)^*(Q) \\ \uparrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \beta_V \\ k\Omega^Q & \xrightarrow{d_{\Omega^Q}} & (k\Omega^Q)^* & \xleftarrow{\gamma_\Omega^*} & (k\Omega)(Q)^* \end{array}$$

we deduce that  $\beta_V$  is an isomorphism.

Let us now check that  $\alpha_{V, W}$  and  $\beta_V$  induce natural transformations of functors.

Let  $V_1, V_2, W_1$  and  $W_2$  be  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -modules and  $f_i \in \text{Hom}(V_i, W_i)$ . The following diagram is commutative :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 V_1(Q) \otimes V_2(Q) & \xrightarrow{f_1(Q) \otimes f_2(Q)} & W_1(Q) \otimes W_2(Q) \\
 \downarrow \alpha_{V_1, V_2} & \swarrow & \downarrow \alpha_{W_1, W_2} \\
 & V_1^Q \otimes V_2^Q \xrightarrow{f_1 \otimes f_2} W_1^Q \otimes W_2^Q & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & (V_1 \otimes V_2)^Q \xrightarrow{f_1 \otimes f_2} (W_1 \otimes W_2)^Q & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 (V_1 \otimes V_2)(Q) & \xrightarrow{(f_1 \otimes f_2)(Q)} & (W_1 \otimes W_2)(Q)
 \end{array}$$

Hence,  $\alpha_{V,W}$  induces a morphism of functors :  $\text{Res}_{\Delta N_G(Q)}^{N_G(Q) \times N_G(Q)} \text{Br}_{Q \times Q} \rightarrow \text{Br}_Q \text{Res}_{\Delta G}^{G \times G}$ .  
The commutativity of the diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 W_1^*(Q) & \xrightarrow{f_1^*(Q)} & V_1^*(Q) \\
 \downarrow \beta_{W_1} & \swarrow & \downarrow \beta_{V_1} \\
 & (W_1^*)^Q \xrightarrow{f_1^*} (V_1^*)^Q & \\
 & \downarrow & \downarrow \\
 & (W_1^Q)^* \xrightarrow{f_1^*} (V_1^Q)^* & \\
 & \swarrow & \searrow \\
 W_1(Q)^* & \xrightarrow{f_1(Q)^*} & V_1(Q)^*
 \end{array}$$

shows finally that  $\beta_V$  induces a morphism of functors :  $\text{Br}_Q(-)^* \xrightarrow{\sim} (-)^* \text{Br}_Q$ . □

**Proposition 2.3.** *There is a commutative diagram*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{Hom}(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}(V \otimes W^*, k) \\
 \downarrow \text{Br}_Q & & \downarrow \text{Br}_Q \\
 & & \text{Hom}((V \otimes W^*)(Q), k) \\
 & & \cong \downarrow \beta \alpha^{-1} \\
 \text{Hom}(V(Q), W(Q)) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}(V(Q) \otimes W(Q)^*, k)
 \end{array}$$

where the horizontal maps are isomorphisms provided by the adjoint pairs  $(- \otimes W^*, - \otimes W)$  and  $(- \otimes W(Q)^*, - \otimes W(Q))$ .

*Proof.* More explicitly, the first horizontal map is the composition

$$\text{Hom}(V, W) \xrightarrow{- \otimes W^*} \text{Hom}(V \otimes W^*, W \otimes W^*) \xrightarrow{\text{tr}(W)^*} \text{Hom}(V \otimes W^*, k)$$

where  $\text{tr}(W) : W \otimes W^* \rightarrow k$  is the trace map.

Thanks to Lemma 2.2, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathrm{Hom}(V, W) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes W^*} & \mathrm{Hom}(V \otimes W^*, W \otimes W^*) \\
\downarrow \mathrm{Br}_Q & & \downarrow \mathrm{Br}_Q \\
& & \mathrm{Hom}((V \otimes W^*)(Q), (W \otimes W^*)(Q)) \\
& & \downarrow \alpha^{-1} \\
& & \mathrm{Hom}(V(Q) \otimes W^*(Q), W(Q) \otimes W^*(Q)) \\
& & \downarrow \beta \\
& & \mathrm{Hom}(V(Q) \otimes W(Q)^*, W(Q) \otimes W(Q)^*) \\
\mathrm{Hom}(V(Q), W(Q)) & \xrightarrow{-\otimes W^*(Q)} & \mathrm{Hom}(V(Q) \otimes W(Q)^*, W(Q) \otimes W(Q)^*) \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow -\otimes W(Q)^*
\end{array}$$

We will be done if we prove that the image of  $\mathrm{tr}(W) : W \otimes W^* \rightarrow k$  under the morphism

$$\mathrm{Hom}(W \otimes W^*, k) \xrightarrow{\beta\alpha^{-1}\mathrm{Br}_Q} \mathrm{Hom}(W(Q) \otimes W(Q)^*, k)$$

is  $\mathrm{tr}(W(Q))$ . It is enough to prove this for  $W$  a permutation module.

Let  $W = k\Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  a  $G$ -set. The claim follows from the commutativity of the diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
& & (k\Omega \otimes k\Omega)^Q \xrightarrow{1 \otimes d_\Omega} (k\Omega \otimes (k\Omega)^*)^Q \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow \\
k\Omega^Q \otimes k\Omega^Q & & (k\Omega \otimes (k\Omega)^*)(Q) \\
& \searrow & \downarrow \beta\alpha^{-1} \\
& & k\Omega(Q) \otimes k\Omega(Q)^* \\
& \nearrow & \downarrow \gamma_\Omega \otimes (\gamma_\Omega^{-1})^* \\
& & k\Omega^Q \otimes (k\Omega^Q)^* \xrightarrow{\gamma_\Omega \otimes (\gamma_\Omega^{-1})^*} k\Omega(Q) \otimes k\Omega(Q)^*
\end{array}$$

□

**2.2. Compatibilities.** Let us define a category  $\mathcal{T}_G$ . Its objects are the non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . Let  $P$  and  $Q$  be two non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . Then, the set of maps between  $P$  and  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{T}_G$  is  $\{P g_Q | g \in T_G(P, Q)\}$ . The composition of maps is the product in  $G$  :  $(Q h_R) \cdot (P g_Q) = P (h g)_R$ . We put  $\bar{\phi} = g$  for  $\phi = P g_Q$  and  $\phi(P) = {}^g P$ .

We call a map  $\phi = P g_Q$  in  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(P, Q)$  *normal* if  ${}^g P$  is normal in  $Q$ . Every normal map can be expressed uniquely as the composition of an isomorphism with a normal inclusion  $\phi = \phi_{\triangleleft} \phi_{\sim}$  where  $\phi_{\sim} = P g_S P$  and  $\phi_{\triangleleft} = {}^g P 1_Q$ .

An important property of the normal maps is that they generate the category  $\mathcal{T}_G$ , *i.e.*, every map in  $\mathcal{T}_G$  is a composition of normal maps.

For  $g \in G$  and  $H$  a subgroup of  $G$ , we denote by

$$g_* : kH\text{-mod} \xrightarrow{\sim} k^g H\text{-mod}$$

the isomorphism of categories induced by the group isomorphism  $H \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^g H$ ,  $x \mapsto {}^g x$ . We also denote by

$$g_* : H\text{-sets} \xrightarrow{\sim} {}^g H\text{-sets}$$

the isomorphism of categories induced by this group isomorphism. We have the obvious compatibility with the previous isomorphism of categories.

Let  $V$  be a  $p$ -permutation  $kH$ -module and  $\phi \in \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(P, Q)$  invertible. Then, the isomorphism of  $N_{{}^g H}(Q)$ -modules  $\bar{\phi}_*(V^P) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{\phi}_* V)^Q$ ,  $v \mapsto v$ , induces an isomorphism

$$\langle \phi \rangle_V^0 : \bar{\phi}_*(V(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{\phi}_* V)(Q).$$

If  $H = G$ , then we have an isomorphism of  $kG$ -modules

$$\iota_{\bar{\phi}, V} : V \mapsto \bar{\phi}_* V, \quad v \mapsto \bar{\phi}v$$

and an isomorphism of  $kN_G(Q)$ -modules

$$\langle \phi \rangle_V = \text{Br}_Q(\iota_{\bar{\phi}, V}^{-1}) \cdot \langle \phi \rangle_V^0 : \bar{\phi}_*(V(P)) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(Q).$$

Let now  $P \trianglelefteq Q$  and  $\phi = {}_P 1_Q$ . The canonical map  $V^Q \hookrightarrow V^P \twoheadrightarrow V(P)$  factors through the inclusion  $V(P)^Q \hookrightarrow V(P)$  to give a map  $V^Q \rightarrow V(P)^Q$ . Composing with the canonical map  $V(P)^Q \twoheadrightarrow V(P)(Q)$ , we get a map  $V^Q \rightarrow V(P)(Q)$  which factors through the canonical map  $V^Q \twoheadrightarrow V(Q)$ . The induced map  $V(Q) \rightarrow V(P)(Q)$  is an isomorphism and we denote the inverse isomorphism by  $\langle \phi \rangle_V$

$$\langle \phi \rangle_V : V(P)(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(Q)$$

Let us summarize the construction by the following commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} V^P & \longrightarrow & V(P) \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ V^Q & \cdots \cdots \cdots & V(P)^Q \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ V(Q) & \cdots \cdots \cdots \cong & V(P)(Q) \end{array}$$

For  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(P, Q)$  a normal map with  $P \leq H$ ,  $Q \leq \bar{\phi}H$  and  $V$  a  $p$ -permutation  $kH$ -module, we put

$$\langle \phi \rangle_V^0 = \langle \phi_{\triangleleft} \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V} \cdot \text{Br}_Q(\langle \phi_{\sim} \rangle_V^0) : (\bar{\phi}_*(V(P)))(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} (\bar{\phi}_* V)(Q).$$

If  $V$  is a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module, we put

$$\langle \phi \rangle_V = \langle \phi_{\triangleleft} \rangle_V \cdot \text{Br}_Q(\langle \phi_{\sim} \rangle_V) : (\bar{\phi}_*(V(P)))(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} V(Q).$$

This gives an isomorphism of functors from  $kH$  – perm to  $kN_{\bar{\phi}H}(\phi(P), Q)$  – perm

$$\langle \phi \rangle^0 : \text{Br}_Q \bar{\phi}_* \text{Br}_P \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Res}_{N_{\bar{\phi}H}(\phi(P), Q)}^{N_{\bar{\phi}H}(Q)} \text{Br}_Q \bar{\phi}_*.$$

and an isomorphism of functors from  $kG$  – perm to  $kN_G(\phi(P), Q)$  – perm

$$\langle \phi \rangle : \text{Br}_Q \bar{\phi}_* \text{Br}_P \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Res}_{N_G(\phi(P), Q)}^{N_G(Q)} \text{Br}_Q.$$

When  $V = k\Omega$ ,  $\Omega$  a  $G$ -set, we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & k(\bar{\phi}_* \Omega^P)(Q) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_Q \bar{\phi}_* \gamma_{\Omega}^P} & (\bar{\phi}_*(k\Omega)(P))(Q) \\ & \nearrow \gamma_{\bar{\phi}_* \Omega^P}^Q & & \downarrow \langle \phi \rangle_V \\ k(\bar{\phi}_* \Omega^P)^Q & & & \\ & \searrow k\langle \phi \rangle_{\Omega} & & \\ & k\Omega^Q & \xrightarrow{\gamma_{\Omega}^Q} & (k\Omega)(Q) \end{array}$$

where

$$\langle \phi \rangle_{\Omega} : (\bar{\phi}_* \Omega^P)^Q \xrightarrow{\sim} \Omega^Q, \quad \omega \mapsto \bar{\phi}^{-1}\omega.$$

Note that this justifies the claim that  $\langle \phi \rangle_V$  is an isomorphism for  $V$  a permutation module and consequently for  $V$  an arbitrary  $p$ -permutation module.

We will now check a transitivity property of the isomorphisms constructed above.

**Lemma 2.4.** *Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(P, Q)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(Q, R)$  such that  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\psi\phi$  are normal maps and let  $V$  be a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module. Then, the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\psi}_* ((\bar{\phi}_*(V(P)))(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* \langle \phi \rangle_V} & (\bar{\psi}_*(V(Q)))(R) \\ \langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_*(V(P))}^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow \langle \psi \rangle_V \\ ((\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_*(V(P)))(R) & \xrightarrow{\langle \psi\phi \rangle_V} & V(R) \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Indeed, it is enough to check commutativity for  $V = k\Omega$  a permutation module. It follows from the commutativity of the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\psi}_* ((\bar{\phi}_*(\Omega^P))^Q)^R & \xrightarrow{\omega \mapsto \bar{\psi}\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\psi}^{-1}\omega} & (\bar{\psi}_*(\Omega^Q))^R \\ \omega \mapsto \omega \downarrow & & \downarrow \omega \mapsto \bar{\psi}^{-1}\omega \\ ((\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_*(\Omega^P))^R & \xrightarrow{\omega \mapsto \bar{\psi}\bar{\phi}^{-1}\omega} & \Omega^R \end{array}$$

□

The difference between  $\langle \phi \rangle$  and  $\langle \phi \rangle^0$  is given by the following lemma :

**Lemma 2.5.** *Let  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(Q, R)$  be a normal map with  $\bar{\psi} = 1$ ,  $g \in G$  and  $V$  a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module. Then, the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (V(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\langle \psi \rangle_V} & V(R) \\ \text{Br}_R \text{Br}_Q(\iota_{g,V}^{-1}) \uparrow & & \uparrow \text{Br}_R(\iota_{g,V}^{-1}) \\ ((g_*V)(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\langle \psi \rangle_{g_*V}} & (g_*V)(R) \end{array}$$

*In particular, if  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{T}_G}(P, Q)$  is any normal map, then  $\langle \phi \rangle_V = \text{Br}_Q(\iota_{\bar{\phi},V}^{-1}) \cdot \langle \phi \rangle_V^0$ .*

*Proof.* Again, it is enough to deal with  $V = k\Omega$  a permutation module. Then, the lemma reduces to the commutativity of

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\Omega^Q)^R & \xrightarrow{\omega \mapsto \omega} & \Omega^R \\ \omega \mapsto g^{-1}\omega \uparrow & & \uparrow \omega \mapsto g^{-1}\omega \\ ((g_*\Omega)^Q)^R & \xrightarrow{\omega \mapsto \omega} & (g_*\Omega)^R \end{array}$$

For the second part of the lemma, we take  $\psi = \phi_{\triangleleft}$  and  $g = \bar{\phi}$  in the commutative diagram. □

### 3. A CATEGORY OF SHEAVES ON $p$ -SUBGROUPS COMPLEXES

**3.1. Definition.** Let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}_G$ . We define a category  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$  of “sheaves” on  $\mathcal{F}$ .

Its objects are families  $\{V_Q, [\phi]\}_{Q,\phi}$  where  $Q$  runs over the objects of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\phi$  over the normal maps of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Here,  $V_Q$  is a  $p$ -permutation  $kN_G(Q)/Q$ -module and for  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  normal,  $[\phi]$  is an isomorphism of  $kN_G(\phi(P), Q)$ -modules

$$[\phi] : (\bar{\phi}_*V_P)(Q) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Res}_{N_G(\phi(P),Q)}^{N_G(Q)} V_Q.$$

We require the following two conditions to be satisfied :

For  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, Q)$ , we have

$$[\phi] = \iota_{\bar{\phi}, V_Q}^{-1}. \quad (1)$$

Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$  such that  $\phi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $\psi\phi$  are normal maps. Then, the following diagram should be commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\psi}_* ((\bar{\phi}_* V_P)(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* [\phi]} & (\bar{\psi}_* V_Q)(R) \\ \langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V_P}^0 \downarrow & & \downarrow [\psi] \\ ((\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_* V_P)(R) & \xrightarrow{[\psi\phi]} & V_R \end{array} \quad (2)$$

For  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_Q, [\phi]\}$  and  $\mathcal{V}' = \{V'_Q, [\phi']\}$  two objects of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ ,  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}')$  is the set of families  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_Q\}_Q$ , where  $Q$  runs over the objects of  $\mathcal{F}$ . Here,  $\lambda_Q \in \text{Hom}_{kN_G(Q)}(V_Q, V'_Q)$ . Furthermore,  $\Lambda$  should have the following property : for every normal map  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$ , the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bar{\phi}_* V_P)(Q) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_Q \bar{\phi}_* \lambda_P} & (\bar{\phi}'_* V'_P)(Q) \\ \downarrow [\phi] & & \downarrow [\phi'] \\ V_Q & \xrightarrow{\lambda_Q} & V'_Q \end{array} \quad (3)$$

Thanks to the results of §2.2, we have a functor

$$\text{Br} : kG - \text{perm} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}, \quad V \mapsto \{V(Q), \langle \phi \rangle_V\}$$

where  $\mathcal{S} = \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{T}_G}$ .

We can now state our main result :

**Theorem 3.1.** *The functor Br induces an equivalence of categories*

$$kG - \text{perm} / kG - \text{proj} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{S}.$$

**3.2. Some properties of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ .** Let us give a special case of the commutative diagram (2).

**Lemma 3.2.** *Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$  be two normal maps with  $\bar{\psi} \in N_G(\phi(P))$ . Then, the following diagram is commutative*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \bar{\psi}_* ((\bar{\phi}_* V_P)(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* [\phi]} & (\bar{\psi}_* V_Q)(R) \\ \langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V_P} \downarrow & & \downarrow [\psi] \\ (\bar{\phi}_* V_P)(R) & \xrightarrow{[\psi_{\triangleleft} \phi]} & V_R \end{array}$$

*Proof.* Let  $\psi' = \psi\phi$  and  $\phi' = {}_P(\bar{\phi}^{-1}\bar{\psi}^{-1}\bar{\phi})_P$ . We have  $\psi_{\triangleleft} \phi = \psi'\phi'$ . By (1), we have  $[\phi'] = \iota_{\bar{\phi}', V_P}^{-1}$ . We have also  $\bar{\psi}_* \bar{\phi}_* \iota_{\bar{\phi}', V_P}^{-1} = \iota_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}_* V_P}$ . The commutativity of the diagram (2) applied to  $\psi'$  and  $\phi'$  gives the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bar{\phi}_* V_P)(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \iota_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}_* V_P}} & ((\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_* V_P)(R) \\ & \searrow [\psi_{\triangleleft} \phi] & \downarrow [\psi\phi] \\ & & V_R \end{array}$$

and we obtain the commutativity of the diagram of the lemma since  $\langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V_P} = \text{Br}_R(\iota_{\bar{\psi}, \bar{\phi}_* V_P}^{-1}) \cdot \langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V_P}^0$  by Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

The diagram (3) need be checked only on a generating set :

**Lemma 3.3.** *Let  $E$  be a set of normal maps in  $\mathcal{F}$  such that every normal map of  $\mathcal{F}$  is a product of elements of  $E$  and of inverses of invertible elements of  $E$ .*

*Then, the commutativity of the diagram (3) for  $\phi \in E$  implies the commutativity for every normal map  $\phi$  of  $\mathcal{F}$*

*Proof.* Let  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  and  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$  such that  $\psi$  and  $\psi\phi$  are normal maps. Then,  $\phi$  is a normal map and the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 ((\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_* V_P)(R) & \xrightarrow{[\psi\phi]} & V_R \\
 \downarrow \text{Br}_R(\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_* \lambda_P & \swarrow \langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V_P}^0 & \nearrow [\psi] \\
 \bar{\psi}_* ((\bar{\phi}_* V_P)(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* [\phi]} & (\bar{\psi}_* V_Q)(R) \\
 \downarrow \text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* \text{Br}_Q \bar{\phi}_* \lambda_P & & \downarrow \text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* \lambda_Q \\
 \bar{\psi}_* ((\bar{\phi}_* V'_P)(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* [\phi]'} & (\bar{\psi}_* V'_Q)(R) \\
 \downarrow \text{Br}_R(\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_* \lambda_P & \swarrow \langle \psi \rangle_{\bar{\phi}_* V'_P}^0 & \nearrow [\psi] \\
 ((\bar{\psi}\bar{\phi})_* V'_P)(R) & \xrightarrow{[\psi\phi]'} & V'_R \\
 & & \downarrow \lambda_R
 \end{array}$$

The lemma follows.  $\square$

We now define a restriction functor from  $G$  to  $N_G(P)/P$ .

Let  $P$  be an object in  $\mathcal{F}$ . We assume  ${}_P 1_Q \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(P, Q)$  for every  $Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  with  $P \triangleleft Q$ . Let  $\mathcal{F}(P)$  be the subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}_{N_G(P)/P}$  whose objects are the  $Q/P$  where  $Q$  is in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $P \triangleleft Q$ ,  $P \neq Q$  and where  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}(Q/P, R/P)$  is given by the image in  $\mathcal{T}_{N_G(P)/P}(Q/P, R/P)$  of  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$ .

Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_Q, [\phi]\}$  be an object of  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ . Let  $V'_{Q/P} = V_Q$ . For  $\phi' \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}(Q/P, R/P)$ , we put  $[\phi'] = [\phi]$  where  $\phi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$  has image  $\phi'$  in  $\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}(Q/P, R/P)$ . It follows from (1) (and from the diagram (2)) that this is independent of the choice of  $\phi$ .

The restriction functor is

$$\text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{\mathcal{F}} : \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}, \quad \{V_Q, [\phi]\} \mapsto \{V'_{Q/P}, [\phi']\}.$$

We denote by  $E_P : \mathcal{T}_{\mathcal{F}} \rightarrow kN_G(P)/P$  – perm the functor sending  $\mathcal{V}$  on  $V_P$ .

The commutative diagram in Lemma 3.2 says that objects can be “glued locally” :

**Lemma 3.4.** *For  $\mathcal{V}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$ , we have an isomorphism  $\{[{}_P 1_Q]\}_Q : \text{Br } E_P(\mathcal{V}) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{V}$ . This induces an isomorphism of functors  $\text{Br } E_P \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{\mathcal{F}}$ . So, we have a diagram, commutative up to isomorphism :*

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}} & \xrightarrow{\text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{\mathcal{F}}} & \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}(P)} \\
 & \searrow E_P & \uparrow \text{Br} \\
 & & kN_G(P)/P \text{ – perm}
 \end{array}$$



*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{V} = \{V_Q, [\phi]\}$  in  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{F}}$  and  $\mathcal{V}' = \text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{\mathcal{F}} \mathcal{V}$ . We have  $\text{Br}(V_P) = \{W_{Q/P}, \langle \psi \rangle_{V_P}\}$  with  $W_{Q/P} = V_P(Q)$ .

Let  $\lambda_{Q/P} = [{}_P 1_Q] : W_{Q/P} \xrightarrow{\sim} V'_{Q/P}$  and  $\Lambda = \{\lambda_{Q/P}\}$ .

Let  $\phi = {}_P 1_Q$  in  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\psi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{F}}(Q, R)$  be two normal maps with  $\bar{\psi} \in N_G(P)$ .

We have a commutative diagram (Lemma 3.2)

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\bar{\psi}_* V_P(Q))(R) & \xrightarrow{\text{Br}_R \bar{\psi}_* [\phi]} & (\bar{\psi}_* V_Q)(R) \\ \langle \psi \rangle_{V_P} \downarrow & & \downarrow [\psi] \\ V_P(R) & \xrightarrow{[\psi \triangleleft \phi]} & V_R \end{array}$$

This shows that  $\Lambda$  defines a map  $\text{Br}(V_P) \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$ . This induces an isomorphism between  $\text{Br} \cdot E_P$  and  $\text{Res}_{\mathcal{F}(P)}^{\mathcal{F}}$ .  $\square$

**3.3. Proof of Theorem 3.1.** Let us first note that  $\text{Br}(V) = 0$  if  $V$  is projective, hence  $\text{Br}$  induces indeed a functor  $\bar{\text{Br}} : kG\text{-perm}/kG\text{-proj} \rightarrow \mathcal{S}$ .

**Lemma 3.5.** *The functor  $\bar{\text{Br}}$  is fully faithful.*

*Proof.* We have to prove that  $\bar{\text{Br}}$  induces an isomorphism

$$\overline{\text{Hom}}(V, W) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Hom}(\text{Br } V, \text{Br } W)$$

for  $V$  and  $W$  any  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -modules.

Thanks to Proposition 2.3, we have a commutative diagram :

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{\text{Hom}}(V, W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \overline{\text{Hom}}(V \otimes W^*, k) \\ \text{Br} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{Br} \\ \text{Hom}(\text{Br } V, \text{Br } W) & \xrightarrow{\cong} & \text{Hom}(\text{Br}(V \otimes W^*), \text{Br } k) \end{array}$$

So, it is enough to consider the case  $W = k$ .

Since the modules  $k(G/Q)$ ,  $Q$  a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , generate  $kG\text{-perm}$  as an additive category closed under taking direct summands, we may assume  $V = k(G/Q)$ . We may take  $Q \neq 1$  since otherwise  $V$  is projective.

Now,  $\text{Hom}(kG/Q, k) \simeq \overline{\text{Hom}}(kG/Q, k)$  is a one-dimensional vector space, generated by the unique map  $f$  between the  $G$ -sets  $G/Q$  and  $G/G$ .

The map  $\text{Br}_Q(f) : V(Q) = k(G/Q)^Q \rightarrow k$  is induced by the unique map between the sets  $N_G(Q)/Q$  and  $N_G(Q)/N_G(Q)$ . In particular, it is non-zero, hence  $\text{Br}(f) \neq 0$ .

Let  $\Lambda \in \text{Hom}(\text{Br } kG/Q, \text{Br } k)$ . Since  $\text{Hom}(V(Q), k)$  is one-dimensional, we have  $\lambda_Q = \alpha \text{Br}_Q(f)$  for some  $\alpha \in k$ . So,  $\Lambda - \alpha \text{Br}(f)$  vanishes on  $V(Q)$ .

We assume now  $\lambda_Q = 0$ . We will prove that  $\lambda_P = 0$  for all  $P$ . This is clear if  $P$  is not conjugate to a subgroup of  $Q$ , since then  $V(P) = 0$ . We will now prove the result for  $P \leq Q$  by induction on  $[Q : P]$ .

Let  $P < Q$  and  $g \in T_G(P, Q)$ . Let  $R$  be a  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$  such that  $P \triangleleft R \leq Q^g$ ,  $P \neq R$ . Then,  $(N_G(P)/N_G(P) \cap Q^g)^R \neq \emptyset$ . Now,  $\lambda_P$  and  $\lambda_R$  have the same restriction to  $(N_G(P)/N_G(P) \cap Q^g)^R$ . Consequently,  $\lambda_P$  is zero on  $(N_G(P)/N_G(P) \cap Q^g)^R$ , by induction. By Lemma 2.1, we deduce that  $\lambda_P = 0$ .  $\square$

The first part of following lemma is essentially due to Bouc [Bou1, Bou2] (cf also [Li, Lemma 5.4]).

- Lemma 3.6.** (i) *Let  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a morphism between two  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -modules such that  $\text{Br}_Q(f)$  is injective for all  $Q \neq 1$ . Then, there is a morphism  $\sigma : W \rightarrow V$  such that  $\sigma f$  is a stable automorphism of  $V$ . In particular, if  $V$  has no projective direct summand, then  $f$  is a split injection.*
- (ii) *Let  $\Lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  be a morphism between two objects of  $\mathcal{S}$ . Assume for all  $Q$ , there is a projective direct summand  $L_Q$  of the  $kN_G(Q)/Q$ -module  $V_Q$  such that the restriction of  $\lambda_Q$  to  $L_Q$  is injective and  $V_Q/L_Q$  has no projective direct summand. Then, for all  $Q$ ,  $\lambda_Q$  is a split injection.*

*Proof.* Let us prove part (i) of the lemma. We can assume  $V$  has no projective direct summand.

Assume  $G$  is a  $p$ -group.

Let us first consider a morphism  $f : V = k(G/Q) \rightarrow W = k(G/R)$  such that  $\text{Br}_Q(f)$  is injective, where  $Q$  and  $R$  are non-trivial  $p$ -subgroups of  $G$ . The module  $V(Q)$  is a projective indecomposable  $kN_G(Q)/Q$ -module. Since  $W(Q) \neq 0$ ,  $Q$  is contained in  $R$ , up to conjugacy. Without changing  $W$ , we can assume  $Q \leq R$ .

Assume  $Q \neq R$ . Then, for any  $g \in T_G(Q, R)$ , there is  $S$  such that  $P \triangleleft S \leq Q^g$ ,  $P \neq S$ . So,  $kN_G(Q)/(N_G(Q) \cap R^g)$  is not a projective  $kN_G(Q)/Q$ -module. By Lemma 2.1,  $V(Q)$  is not isomorphic to a submodule of  $W(Q)$  and we have reached a contradiction.

If  $Q = R$ , then  $f$  becomes invertible in the quotient  $\text{End}_{kN_G(Q)/Q}(V(Q))$  of the local ring  $\text{End}_{kG}(V)$ , hence  $f$  is invertible.

Let now  $f : V \rightarrow W$  be a morphism between two  $p$ -permutation modules such that  $\text{Br}_Q(f)$  is injective for  $Q$  non trivial. In order to prove that  $f$  is injective, we may assume  $V$  is indecomposable, *i.e.*,  $V = k(G/Q)$  for some subgroup  $Q$  of  $G$ . We can assume  $Q \neq 1$ , otherwise  $V$  is projective. Since  $V(Q)$  is indecomposable, there is an indecomposable direct summand  $W'$  of  $W$  such that if  $f'$  is the composition  $V \xrightarrow{f} W \rightarrow W'$ , then  $\text{Br}_Q(f')$  is injective. Now, the considerations above show that  $f'$  is an isomorphism and we are done.

Take now  $G$  an arbitrary finite group. Let  $U = \ker f$  and let  $S$  be a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . We know that the inclusion  $\text{Res}_S^G U \rightarrow \text{Res}_S^G V$  is projective. So, the inclusion  $U \rightarrow V$  is projective, hence  $U = 0$  since we assume  $V$  has no projective direct summand. Finally, the short exact sequence  $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow W \rightarrow W/V \rightarrow 0$  splits, since it splits by restriction to  $S$ .

Let us come to part (ii) of the lemma.

We prove the result by inverse induction on the order of  $Q$ . When  $Q$  is a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ , then  $V_Q = L_Q$ , so  $\lambda_Q$  is a split injection.

Assume now  $Q$  is not a Sylow  $p$ -subgroup of  $G$ . Consider the restriction  $f : M_Q \rightarrow W_Q$  of  $\lambda_Q$ , where  $V_Q = L_Q \oplus M_Q$ . By induction,  $\text{Br}_{R/Q}(f)$  is injective, for all  $p$ -subgroups  $R$  with  $Q \triangleleft R$ ,  $Q \neq R$ . By part (i) of the Lemma applied to  $N_G(Q)/Q$ , we deduce that  $f$  is a split injection. Hence,  $\lambda_Q$  is a split injection.  $\square$

**Lemma 3.7.** *Let  $\mathcal{G}$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  be two full subcategories of  $\mathcal{T}_G$  closed under inverse inclusion with  $\mathcal{G} \subseteq \mathcal{H}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}' \in \mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}$ . Then, the restriction map*

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{V}') \rightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}}(\text{Res}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}, \text{Res}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}')$$

*is surjective.*

*Proof.* It is enough to prove the lemma when  $\mathcal{H}$  has one more object,  $Q$ , than  $\mathcal{G}$ . Let  $\lambda \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{G}}}(\text{Res}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}, \text{Res}_{\mathcal{G}}^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}')$ .

Assume first there is  $g \in G$  such that  $Q^g \in \mathcal{G}$  and let  $\psi = {}_Q g Q^g \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{H}}(Q, Q^g)$ . Let  $\lambda'_R = \lambda_R$  for  $R \neq Q$  and  $\lambda'_Q = [\psi^{-1}]' \lambda_{Q^g} [\psi]$ . In order to prove that  $\{\lambda'_R\}$  gives a map between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$  (extending  $\lambda$ ), it is enough to check commutativity of the diagram (3) for the map  $\psi$ , thanks to Lemma 3.3. This is immediate.

Assume now  $Q^g \notin \mathcal{G}$  for all  $g \in G$ . Let  $f : \text{Br}(V_Q) \rightarrow \text{Br}(V'_Q)$  be the restriction of  $\lambda$  to  $\mathcal{S}_{\mathcal{H}(Q)}$  (cf Lemma 3.4). By the fullness of  $\text{Br}$  applied to  $N_G(Q)/Q$  (Lemma 3.5), there is a map  $\lambda'_Q : V_Q \rightarrow V'_Q$  such that  $\text{Br}(\lambda'_Q) = f$ . Let  $\lambda'_R = \lambda_R$  for  $R \in \mathcal{G}$ . Since every map in  $\mathcal{H}$  starting from  $Q$  is the composition of a map from  $Q$  to  $R$ , with  $Q$  a strict normal subgroup of  $R$  and of a map in  $\mathcal{G}$ , Lemma 3.3 shows that  $\{\lambda'_R\}$  defines a map between  $\mathcal{V}$  and  $\mathcal{V}'$ , extending  $\lambda$ .  $\square$

We now complete the proof of Theorem 3.1 by showing the essential surjectivity of  $\text{Br}$ . Let  $\mathcal{V} \in \mathcal{S}$ . We will prove by induction on the cardinality of  $\{Q | V_Q \neq 0\}$  that  $\mathcal{V}$  is in the image of  $\text{Br}$ .

For  $Q \in \mathcal{T}_G$ , let  $L_Q$  be a projective direct summand of  $V_Q$  such that  $V_Q/L_Q$  has no projective direct summand. We denote by  $\alpha_Q : V_Q \rightarrow L_Q$  the canonical surjection.

Let  $M = \text{Ind}_{N_G(Q)}^G L_Q$  and  $\mathcal{M} = \text{Br } M$ . We have  $M(Q) \simeq L_Q$  by Green's correspondence. Let  $\zeta : V_Q \xrightarrow{\alpha_Q} L_Q \xrightarrow{\sim} M(Q)$ . Let  $\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{T}_G$  and  $\mathcal{G}$  be the full subcategory of  $\mathcal{T}_G$  with objects the  $p$ -subgroups containing  $Q$ . Let  $\zeta'_R = 0$  for  $R \in \mathcal{G}$ ,  $R \neq Q$  and  $\zeta'_Q = \zeta$ . Then,  $\{\zeta'_R\} \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}_G}(\text{Res}_G^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{V}, \text{Res}_G^{\mathcal{H}} \mathcal{M})$ . By Lemma 3.7, there is  $\chi \in \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{S}}(\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{M})$  extending  $\{\zeta'_R\}$ .

Let now  $V' = \bigoplus_{Q \in \mathcal{T}_G/G} \text{Ind}_{N_G(Q)}^G L_Q$ ,  $\mathcal{V}' = \text{Br } V'$  and  $\lambda : \mathcal{V} \rightarrow \mathcal{V}'$  be the sum of the morphisms constructed for each  $Q$  above.

Then, for all  $Q$ , the restriction of  $\lambda_Q$  to  $L_Q$  is injective. By Lemma 3.6, (ii), we deduce that  $\lambda_Q$  is a split injection for all  $Q$ . Let then  $\mathcal{W}$  be the cokernel of  $\lambda$ .

Take  $R$  with  $V_R = 0$ . Then,  $V_Q = 0$  whenever  $R$  is contained up to  $G$ -conjugation in  $Q$ . So,  $V'$  has no direct summand with vertex  $R$ , hence  $W_R = 0$ . Let now  $Q$  be maximal such that  $V_Q \neq 0$ . Then,  $\lambda_Q : V_Q = L_Q \rightarrow (\text{Ind}_{N_G(Q)}^G L_Q)(Q)$  is an isomorphism. So,  $W_Q = 0$ . It follows that  $\{Q | W_Q \neq 0\}$  is strictly contained in  $\{Q | V_Q \neq 0\}$ . By induction, there is a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module  $W$  (without projective direct summand) such that  $\mathcal{W} = \text{Br } W$ . By fullness of  $\text{Br}$  (Lemma 3.5), the canonical morphism  $\mathcal{V}' \rightarrow \mathcal{W}$  comes from a morphism  $f : V' \rightarrow W$ . By Lemma 3.6, (i), dualized,  $f$  is a split surjection. Hence,  $\ker f$  is a  $p$ -permutation  $kG$ -module and we have an isomorphism  $\mathcal{V} \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Br}(\ker f)$ . This finishes the proof of Theorem 3.1.

## REFERENCES

- [Bou1] S. Bouc, *Résolutions de foncteurs de Mackey*, in “Groups, representations : cohomology, group actions and topology”, Proc. of Symp. in Pure Math., p. 31–83, vol. 63, AMS, 1998.
- [Bou2] S. Bouc, *Le complexe de chaînes d'un  $G$ -complexe simplicial acyclique*, preprint (1998).
- [Br] M. Broué, *On Scott modules and  $p$ -permutation modules : an approach through the Brauer morphism*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **93** (1985), 401–408.
- [Li] M. Linckelmann, *On splendid derived and stable equivalences between blocks of finite groups*, preprint (1997).
- [Th] J. Thévenaz, “ $G$ -algebras and modular representation theory”, Oxford University Press, 1995.