

**Partition bijections, a survey**

Igor Pak

<https://www.math.ucla.edu/~pak/papers/psurvey.pdf>

preprint version of 2002-10-24

**Errata and addenda by Darij Grinberg****1. Errata**

"Line -k" means "line k from the bottom of the page".

- **page 6, line -3:** "between two set" → "between two sets".
- **page 7, second paragraph:** "its descriptions is" → "its description is".
- **page 7, third paragraph:** "we refer say" → "we say".
- **page 7, fourth paragraph:** "such that  $\varphi \circ \beta = \alpha \circ \varphi'$ " → "such that  $\beta \circ \varphi = \varphi' \circ \alpha$ ".
- **page 7, sixth paragraph ("The notation"):** What do you mean by "both notations" in "We use routinely both notations"?
- **page 8, §2.1.1:** In the first sentence, replace " $\lambda_l$ " by " $\lambda_\ell$ " (" $\backslash$ ell", not just "l") in the first chain of inequalities.
- **page 8, §2.1.1:** At the end of the first paragraph of §2.1.1, replace " $|\ell(\lambda')|$ " by " $\ell(\lambda')$ ". (I am not saying it's wrong with  $|\ell(\lambda')| \dots$ )
- **page 9, §2.1.4:** " $[\mu]_2$  to be a Young diagram" → " $[\mu]_2$  is defined to be a Young diagram".
- **page 9, §2.1.4:** "no 2 can appear above 1" → "no 2 can appear below a 1".
- **page 9, §2.1.4:** In the definition of an  $m$ -modular diagram  $[\mu]_m$ , you seem to have forgotten the requirement that the numbers should decrease down each column.
- **page 11, §2.2.3:** In the rightmost handmost side of the second displayed equation, replace " $s^n P_k(t)$ " by " $s^n (P_n(t) - P_{n-1}(t))$ ".
- **page 11, §2.3.1:** In the first sentence, I would replace " $1 \leq i, j \leq r$ " by " $1 \leq i \leq r$  and  $1 \leq j \leq r$ ". (The comma might otherwise be misread as separating two inequalities, i.e., as " $1 \leq i$  and  $j \leq r$ ".)
- **page 11, §2.3.1:** "such that  $\mu, \nu' \in \mathcal{P}_{n,k}$ " → "such that  $\mu, \nu'$  have length at most  $r$  each".

- **page 11, §2.3.1:** "by letting  $\varphi(\lambda) = (\mu, \nu)$ "  $\rightarrow$  "by letting  $\varphi(\lambda) = (\mu, \nu')$ ".
- **page 11, §2.3.1:** "Cauchy's idenity"  $\rightarrow$  "Cauchy's identity".
- **page 12, §2.3.4:** "for a partition"  $\rightarrow$  "form a partition".
- **page 12, §2.3.5:** "ont the bottom"  $\rightarrow$  "on the bottom".
- **page 13, §2.3.6:** The sum on the left hand side of Ramanujan's identity should start at  $m = 1$ , not at  $m = 0$  (otherwise, the constant terms don't match).
- **page 13, §2.3.6:** "unique smallest part  $s(\mu)$ "  $\rightarrow$  "unique smallest part  $s(\lambda)$ ".
- **page 14, §2.4.2:** "or  $(i - 1, j)m$ "  $\rightarrow$  "or  $(i - 1, j)$ ".
- **page 14, §2.4.2:** The definition of the outside boundary is wrong for  $\lambda = \emptyset$  (here, no square fits your description; however, the cell  $(1, 1)$  should belong to the outside boundary  $[\emptyset]$ ).
- **page 16, §2.5.4:** " $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n, -r) t^n$ "  $\rightarrow$  " $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} h(n, -r) t^n$ " in the first sentence.
- **page 16, §2.5.7:** " $vr(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ "  $\rightarrow$  " $\rho(\lambda, \mu, \nu)$ ".
- **page 19, §2.7.6:** The "n"s on the left hand side should be "k"s. Also, the products on the left hand side should be correctly interpreted for negative  $k$ ; they aren't just empty products in this case.
- **page 20, §3.2.4:** "into an even and an odd number of even parts"  $\rightarrow$  "with an even and an odd number of even parts" (the partitions can have odd parts, too).
- **page 23, §4.1:** The sum on the left hand side of Lebesgue's identity should start at  $r = 0$ , not at  $r = 1$ .
- **page 27, §4.4.2:** The identity has a lot of typos; its correct version is

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^{n^2} \frac{(1+q)(1+q^3)\cdots(1+q^{2n-1})}{(1-q^2)^2(1-q^4)^2\cdots(1-q^{2n})^2} = \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{1+q^{2n-1}}{1-q^{2n}}.$$

- **page 29, §5.1.1:** Replace " $(-1)^{m-1}$ " by " $(-1)^m$ ".
- **page 30, §5.1.2:** " $+(-1)^m \binom{n - \frac{m(3m-1)}{2}}{n - \frac{m(3m-1)}{2}} + \binom{n - \frac{m(3m+1)}{2}}{n - \frac{m(3m+1)}{2}}$ " should be " $+(-1)^{m-1} p \binom{n - \frac{m(3m-1)}{2}}{n - \frac{m(3m-1)}{2}} + (-1)^{m-1} p \binom{n - \frac{m(3m+1)}{2}}{n - \frac{m(3m+1)}{2}}$ ".

- **page 32, §5.3.1:** In the first display here, replace " $1 - xt^n$ " by " $1 - xt^i$ ".
- **page 33, §5.4.1:** "how where"  $\rightarrow$  "where".
- **page 34, §5.5.4:** The product sign on the left hand side should have an " $m = 1$ " instead of an " $n = 0$ " underneath it.
- **page 35, §6.1.4:** The display should end with a period, not a comma.
- **page 35, §6.1.5:** Remove the comma before "such that".
- **page 37, §6.3:** " $(-1)^n$ " should be " $(-1)^k$ " on the right hand side.
- **page 37, §6.3:** Replace " $q^2 = uv, z = -u/v$ " by " $u = -q/z$  and  $v = -qz$ ".
- **page 45, §8.4.2:** "give a perfect matching on a set  $F = [N]^{D_\infty}$  of fixed points of the action of  $D_\infty$  on  $[N]$ "  $\rightarrow$  "give a perfect matching on the set of points  $i \in [N]$  that are fixed by exactly one of  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$ ".  
(The points that are fixed under both  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are unmatched, and their number can have any parity.)  
Incidentally, I am not sure how useful it is to frame this all in terms of a group action instead of just using two involutions  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  of a finite set (not necessarily  $[N]$ ).
- **page 51, §9.2.1:** "translates into  $|\mu_i - \nu_i| \leq 1$ "  $\rightarrow$  "translates into  $|\mu'_i - \nu'_i| \leq 1$ ".
- **page 51, §9.2.2:** Where does the  $\ell$  appear on the right hand side?
- **page 53, §9.4.1:** It should be explained that you define  $\zeta(n) = 0$  for all  $n \leq 0$  (as these kinds of terms can appear in the formula).
- **page 53, §9.4.1, proof:** "where  $\mathcal{D}$  is a set"  $\rightarrow$  "where  $\mathcal{D}$  is the set".
- **page 53, §9.4.1, proof:** "from the proof of 5.1.1": Which of the proofs?
- **page 53, §9.4.1, proof:** In the long computation, the sum over " $\lambda \in \mathcal{O}_{n-m}$ " should perhaps be a sum over " $\lambda \in \mathcal{D}_{n-m}$ " instead?
- **page 53, §9.4.1, proof:** "where  $m_d(\lambda)$  is a multiplicity"  $\rightarrow$  "where  $m_d(\lambda)$  is the multiplicity".
- **page 53, §9.4.1, proof:** After "Now, adding part  $d$  to  $\lambda$ ", add "and decrementing  $c$  by 1".
- **page 55, §9.5.6:** "and  $w, x, z, u \geq 0, y > 0$ "  $\rightarrow$  "and  $w, x, z, u > 0$  and  $y \geq 0$ " (the two inequality signs need to trade places).
- **page 59, item 3:** "Euler's Theorem ??" is a missing reference.