IHP (Dec 9, 2022)

Transcendence and combinatorics

What do we know about the cogrowth sequence?

Igor Pak, UCLA

Joint work with David Soukup



Plan of the talk:

0) What to do with combinatorial sequences?

- 1) What is the cogrowth sequence and why study it?
- 2) Recent results

Main thing to remember:

We are only beginning to understand the subject!

Combinatorial sequences:

OEIS now has over 300,000 sequences!

Our policy has been to include all interesting sequences, no matter how obscure the reference. [N.J.A. Sloane, S. Plouffe, EIS, 1995]

[The EIS contains] the unreleating cascade of numbers, [..] lists Hard, Disallowed and Silly sequences. [Richard Guy, 1997]



Ad hoc combinatorial sequences

$$a_n = \#$$
 of triangulations of a convex *n*-gon $= \frac{1}{n+1} {\binom{2n}{n}}$
 $a_n = \#$ of domino tilings of $[n \times n] = \det M_n$

 $a_n = \#$ of connected labeled graphs on n vertices $\leftarrow RR$

 $a_n = \#$ of triangulations of a $n \times n$ grid $= \gamma^{n^2(1+o(1))}$

Families of sequences

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_n &=& \# \text{ walks } (0,0) \rightarrow (0,0) \text{ in } \mathbb{N}^2 \text{ using } n \text{ steps } \{(1,1),(1,0),(-1,0),(-1,-1)\} \\ &\leftarrow \text{Gessel walks} \end{array}$

 $\begin{array}{rcl} a_n &=& \# \text{ walks } (0,0) \rightarrow (0,0) \text{ in } \mathbb{N}^2 \text{ using } n \text{ steps } \{(1,1),(-1,0),(0,-1)\} \\ &\leftarrow \text{Kreweras walks} \end{array}$

Combinatorial sequences:

First Question: Does $\mathcal{A}(t) = \sum_{n} a_n t^n$ have a formula?

Second Question: What is a *formula*?

Classes of combinatorial sequences

(1) **rational** GF
$$\mathcal{A}(t) = P(t)/Q(t), P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

E.g. $a_n := \operatorname{Fib}(n), \ \mathcal{A}(t) = 1/(1-t-t^2).$

(2) algebraic GF
$$c_0 \mathcal{A}^k + c_1 \mathcal{A}^{k-1} + \ldots + c_k = 0, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

E.g. $a_n := \operatorname{Cat}(n), \ \mathcal{A}(t) = (1 - \sqrt{1 - 4t})/2t.$

3) **Diagonals**
$$\mathcal{A}(t) = \operatorname{diag} P/Q, \ P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \dots, x_k].$$

$$\mathcal{B} = \sum_{(i_1, \dots, i_k)} b(i_1, \dots, i_k) x_1^{i_1} \cdots x_n^{i_k} \implies \operatorname{diag} \mathcal{B} := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} b(n, \dots, n) t^n$$

E.g. Delannoy numbers $\{D_n\}$ and Apéry numbers $\{A_n\}$

$$D_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \binom{n+k}{n-k} \binom{2k}{k} \quad \text{and} \quad A_n := \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^k \binom{n}{k} \binom{n+k}{k} \binom{k}{j}^3$$

Classes of combinatorial sequences

(4) **D-finite** GF
$$c_0 \mathcal{A} + c_1 \mathcal{A}' + \ldots + c_k \mathcal{A}^{(k)} = 0, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

E.g. $a_n := \#$ involutions in $S_n, a_n = a_{n-1} + (n-1)a_{n-2}.$

The sequences $\{a_n\}$ are called *P-recursive*

(5) **D-algebraic** GF $Q(t, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \dots, \mathcal{A}^{(k)}) = 0, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k]$ E.g. $a_n = \#\{\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) > \sigma(3) < \dots \in S_n\}, \mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}'.$ Also p(n) = # integer partitions of n. Then $F(t) = \sum_n p(n)t^n$ satisfies:

$$4F^{3}F'' + 5tF^{3}F''' + t^{2}F^{3}F^{(4)} - 16F^{2}(F')^{2} - 15tF^{2}F'F'' - 39t^{2}F^{2}(F'')^{2} + 20t^{2}F^{2}F'F''' + 10tF(F')^{3} + 12t^{2}F(F')^{2}F'' + 6t^{2}(F')^{4} = 0.$$

(Jacobi, Ramanujan)

Classes of combinatorial sequences

(4) **D-finite** GF
$$c_0 \mathcal{A} + c_1 \mathcal{A}' + \ldots + c_k \mathcal{A}^{(k)} = 0, c_i \in \mathbb{Z}[t].$$

E.g. $a_n := \#$ involutions in $S_n, a_n = a_{n-1} + (n-1)a_{n-2}.$

The sequences $\{a_n\}$ are called *P-recursive*

(5) **D-algebraic** GF $Q(t, \mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}', \dots, \mathcal{A}^{(k)}) = 0, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[t, x_0, x_1, \dots, x_k]$ E.g. $a_n = \#\{\sigma(1) < \sigma(2) > \sigma(3) < \dots \in S_n\}, \mathcal{A}'' = \mathcal{A} \cdot \mathcal{A}'.$

 $Rational \ \subsetneq \ Algebraic \ \subsetneq \ Diagonal \ \subsetneq \ D\text{-finite} \ \subsetneq \ D\text{-algebraic}$



State of the art:

 (1) Remarkable successes proving/disproving formulas in *enumerative combinatorics* (counting walks, trees, maps, etc.)

(2) **Minor successes** proving/disproving formulas in *number theory*

E.g. $\mathcal{P}(t) = \sum_{n} p_{n} t^{n} \in \text{D-finite } (p_{n} := n\text{-th prime}).$ [Flajolet–Gerhold–Salvy, 2005] Is $\mathcal{P}(t)$ D-algebraic? (surely not!?)

Also $\theta(t) = \sum_{n} t^{n^2} \in$ D-algebraic [Jacobi, 1848] OTOH $\theta(t) \notin$ T-algebraic \leftarrow version of N–D–algebraic [Drmota–P., 2023+]

Is $\sum_{n} t^{n^3} \in$ D-algebraic? (surely not!?)

(3) Moderate successes proving/disproving formulas in *geometric group theory* (this talk)

Growth and cogrowth sequences

 $\mathcal{G}_{S}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{growth}_{S}(n) t^{n} \qquad \mathcal{C}_{S}(t) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \operatorname{cog}_{S}(n) t^{n} \quad \leftarrow ???$

Definition:

Let G be a finitely generated group, $G = \langle S \rangle$, where $S = S^{-1}$ symmetric generating set

length:
$$\ell(g) := \min\{\ell : g = s_1 \cdots s_\ell, (s_1, \dots, s_\ell) \in S^\ell\}$$

growth sequence: gro

$$\operatorname{rowth}_{S}(n) \ := \ \left| \left\{ g \in G \ : \ \ell(g) \le n \right\} \right|$$

cogrowth sequence: $\operatorname{cog}_S(n) := \left| \left\{ (s_1, \dots, s_n) \in S^n : s_1 \cdots s_n = 1 \right\} \right|$



Growth sequences vs. cogrowth sequences

Observation: growth sequence can be harder to compute than the cogrowth sequence (think matrix groups)

Note: much more is known about the cogrowth sequence!



Examples:

1)
$$G = \mathbb{Z}, \ S = \{\pm 1\}, \ \operatorname{growth}_{S}(n) = 2n + 1$$

 $\operatorname{cog}_{S}(2n) = \binom{2n}{n}, \ \operatorname{cog}_{S}(2n + 1) = 0,$

2)
$$G = \mathbb{Z}^2$$
, $S = \{(\pm 1, 0), (0, \pm 1)\}$, growth_S(n) = 2n² + 2n + 1,
 $\cos_S(2n) = {\binom{2n}{n}}^2$, $\cos_S(2n + 1) = 0$,

3)
$$G = F_2$$
, $S = \{a, a^{-1}, b, b^{-1}\}$, growth_S $(n) = (4^n - 1)/3$,
 $C_S(t) = 3/(1 + 2\sqrt{1 - 12t^2})$

Properties of growth sequences:

(1) $A_1 + \operatorname{growth}_S(C_1n) \leq \operatorname{growth}_{S'}(n) \leq A_2 + \operatorname{growth}_S(C_2n) \quad \forall S, S', \text{ where } C_1, C_2 > 0$ (asymptotics is independent of the generating set)

(2) \exists uncountably many f.g. groups $\implies \exists$ non-D-algebraic growth sequences

(3) \exists groups of polynomial, exponential, intermediate growth (*Grigorchuk groups*)

(4) linear groups have polynomial or exponential growth (*Tits alternative*, *Milnor–Wolf theorem*)

(5) groups of polynomial growth are virtually nilpotent (*Gromov theorem*)

(6) for many classes of groups $\mathcal{G}_S \in \text{Rational } \forall S$ (abelian, hyperbolic, H_3)

(7) \exists examples $\mathcal{G}_S \in \text{Rational}$ and $\mathcal{G}_{S'} \notin \text{Algebraic}$ (nonamenable [Shapiro, 1994], nilpotent [Stoll, 1996])

Properties of cogrowth sequences:

(1) $A_1 + \cos_S(C_1 n) \le \cos_{S'}(n) \le A_2 + \cos_S(C_2 n) \quad \forall S, S', \text{ where } C_1, C_2 > 0$ (asymptotics is independent of the generating set)

(2) G is finite $\iff C_S \in \text{Rational} [\text{Kuksov'98}]$ (in fact, N-Rational)

(3) G is abelian $\implies C_S \in \text{Diagonal (folklore for } \mathbb{Z}^d, [Kuksov'98])$

(4) $G = F_k \implies C_S \in \text{Algebraic}$ ([Aomoto'84], [Figà-Talamanca, Steger'94], [Haiman'93])

(5) $G \in$ solvable, exponential growth $\implies C_S \notin D$ -finite $\forall S$ [Garrabrant–P.'17]

This resolved *Kontsevich's question*: Is $C_S \in D$ -finite \forall linear G?

(6) $G \in \text{intermediate growth} \implies \mathcal{C}_S \notin \text{D-finite } \forall S \text{ [Bell-Mishna'20]}$

Open problems for cogrowth sequences:

(1) Does there exist finitely presented G with $C_S \notin$ D-algebraic?

(2) Does there exist G with $\mathcal{C}_S \in \text{Algebraic}$ but $\mathcal{C}_{S'} \notin \text{Algebraic}$? (same question for Diagonal, D-finite, D-algebraic)

(3) Are there (not virtually abelian) *nilpotent* G with $C_S \notin$ Diagonal? (same question for D-finite, D-algebraic)

(3') Are there (not virtually abelian) *nilpotent* G with $C_S \in$ Diagonal? (same question for D-finite, D-algebraic)

Today: We came *really close* to resolving (3)

Unitriagular group:



Cogrowth sequences of unitriangular groups:

(1)
$$m = 3, G = \mathrm{UT}(3, \mathbb{Z}) = H_3 \implies \mathrm{cog}_S(2n) \sim C|S|^n/n^2$$

 $\implies \mathcal{C}_S \notin \mathrm{Algebraic} \ [\mathrm{Jungen'31}]$

(2)
$$m = 6, G = UT(6, \mathbb{Z}) \implies \cos_S(2n) \sim C|S|^n/n^{35/2}$$

 $\implies \mathcal{C}_S \notin \mathbb{N}$ -algebraic [Banderier-Drmota'15]

(3) **Conjecture:** $C_S \notin$ D-algebraic for all $m \ge 3$ and all S

(4) **Open:** $\exists C_S \notin \text{Diagonal for some } m \geq 3 \text{ and } S$

(5) **Open:** $\exists C_S \in D$ -algebraic for some $m \geq 3$ and S

New results

Main Theorem

For a fixed sufficiently large integer m, the following problem is <u>not</u> computable: Given a symmetric generating set S of the unitriangular group $UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, write the cogrowth series $C_S(t)$ as diag P/Q, for some $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$, and $k \ge 1$. Moreover, the result holds for some $m \le 9.6 \cdot 10^{85}$.

Moral: Even if $\mathcal{C}_S \in$ Diagonal for all $\langle S \rangle = \mathrm{UT}(m, \mathbb{Z})$, the proof would be *ineffective*.

In fact, the claim " $\mathcal{C}_S \in$ Diagonal for all $m \geq 3$ and all S" could be independent of ZFC

New results

Theorem 1.

There exist $m \ge 3$, $a \ge 1$, and prime p, s.t. the following problem is <u>undecidable</u>: Given finite symmetric generating sets S, T in $UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, determine whether

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \cos_S(n) \equiv \cos_T(n) \mod p^a.$

Moreover, the result holds for p = 2, a = 40, and some $m \le 9.6 \cdot 10^{85}$.

Theorem 2.

Let $a \ge 1$, let p be a prime, and let G be a finitely generated abelian group. The following problem is <u>decidable</u>:

Given finite symmetric generating sets S, T in G, determine whether

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \operatorname{cog}_S(n) \equiv \operatorname{cog}_T(n) \mod p^a.$

Proof of Theorem 2

Theorem 2.

Let $a \ge 1$, let p be a prime, and let G be a finitely generated abelian group. The following problem is <u>decidable</u>:

Given finite symmetric generating sets S, T in G, determine whether

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \operatorname{cog}_S(n) \equiv \operatorname{cog}_T(n) \mod p^a.$

Lemma 1. [Kuksov, 1998] Let $G = \langle S \rangle$ be an abelian group, $S = S^{-1}$. Then $\mathcal{C}_S(t) \in Diagonal$.

Lemma 2. [Adamczewski–Bell, 2013] Let $C(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} c_n t^n \in Diagonal, let p be a prime, and let <math>a \geq 1, b \geq 0$. The following problem is <u>decidable</u>:

 $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : c_n \equiv b \mod p^a.$

Understanding Theorem 1

Corollary 1.

For some integer $m \leq 9.6 \cdot 10^{85}$, there are symmetric g.s. S, T of $UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, such that the following problem is independent of ZFC:

 $\forall n \in \mathbb{N} : \cos_S(n) \equiv \cos_T(n) \mod 2^{40}$

Corollary 2.

For some integer $m \leq 9.6 \cdot 10^{85}$, there are symmetric g.s. S, T of $UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, s.t.

 $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : \operatorname{cog}_{\mathcal{S}}(n) \not\equiv \operatorname{cog}_{\mathcal{T}}(n) \mod 2^{40},$

but the first time the inequality holds is for $n > \text{Tow}(\text{Tow}(\text{Tow}(\phi)))$, where $\phi := \phi(\mathcal{S}) + \phi(\mathcal{T})$ is the sum of absolute values of matrix entries, and Tow(N) is the tower of 2's of length N.

Theorem 1 implies Main Theorem

Main Theorem

For a fixed sufficiently large integer m, the following problem is <u>not computable</u>: Given a symmetric generating set S of the unitriangular group $UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, write the cogrowth series $C_S(t)$ as diag P/Q, for some $P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$, and $k \ge 1$. Moreover, the result holds for some $m \le 9.6 \cdot 10^{85}$.

PROOF BY CONTRADICTION

Lemma 2. [Adamczewski–Bell, 2013] Let $C(t) = \sum_{n\geq 0} c_n t^n \in Diagonal, let p be a prime, and let <math>a \geq 1, b \geq 0$. The following problem is <u>decidable</u>:

 $\exists n \in \mathbb{N} : c_n \equiv b \mod p^a.$

Towards the proof of Theorem 1

Hilbert's tenth problem

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Theorem (unsolvability of Hilbert's tenth problem). One CANNOT construct an algorithmthat would determine, for an arbitrary Diophantine equation, whether or not it has a solution inintegers.[Martin Davis, Yuri Matiyasevich, Hilary Putnam and Julia Robinson, 1970]

Main idea: embedding Diophantine equations into the cogrowth

Towards the proof of Theorem 1

Main Lemma

Let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ with $D = \deg f \ge 2$. Then for some

 $m \leq 4(D+1)\binom{D+k}{k} + 8 + \frac{1}{2}\binom{D+k}{k}(D+1)^3,$

there exists matrices $P, Q, A_1, \ldots, A_k \in UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, s.t. every word of the form

 $PW_1QW_2P^{-1}W_3Q^{-1}W_4$

where $W_i \in \langle A_1^{\pm 1}, \ldots, A_k^{\pm k} \rangle$, is a cogrowth word only if

 $W_1 = W_2^{-1} = W_3 = W_4^{-1} = A_1^{x_1} \cdots A_k^{x_k}$

for some integer root of f, i.e. $f(x_1, \ldots, x_k) = 0$.

Dreaming beyond diagonals

Denote $\boldsymbol{x} = (x_1, \ldots, x_k)$, and let $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$. Consider a Diophantine equation $f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0$. Denote by $\mathcal{R}(f) := \{ \boldsymbol{x} \in \mathbb{Z}^k : f(\boldsymbol{x}) = 0 \}$ be the set of roots.

We say that f is *sparse* if all roots $\boldsymbol{x} \in \mathcal{R}(f)$ have distinct ℓ^1 norm: $|\boldsymbol{x}| \neq |\boldsymbol{y}|$ for all $\boldsymbol{x}, \boldsymbol{y} \in \mathcal{R}(f)$. In this case we can assume that the roots of f are ordered according to the norm: $\mathcal{R}(f) = \{\boldsymbol{r}_1, \boldsymbol{r}_2, \ldots\}$, where $|\boldsymbol{r}_1| < |\boldsymbol{r}_2| < \ldots$ For a sparse f, we use $\rho_i := |\boldsymbol{r}_i|$. Finally, for $z \in \mathbb{Z}$, let bin(z) denote the number of 1's in the binary expansion of |z|.

Conjecture 3.4. There exists $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and a sparse $f \in \mathbb{Z}[x_1, \ldots, x_k]$ which satisfies:

- (1) ρ_i is even for all $i \ge 1$,
- (2) $\rho_{i+1}/\rho_i \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty,$
- (3) for every integers $a, b \ge 1$, there exists $i \ge 1$, s.t. $\rho_i/2 \equiv a \mod 2^b$,
- (4) for every integers $a, b, h \ge 1$, there exists some $N = N(a, b, h) \ge 1$, s.t. for all i > N we have:

 $\min\{y: \operatorname{bin}(c\rho_i - y) \le a\} \ge b\rho_{i-1} \quad \text{for all} \quad 1 \le c \le h.$

Theorem 4. Suppose Conjecture 3.4 holds. Then there exists $m \ge 1$ and a symmetric g.s. S of $UT(m, \mathbb{Z})$, s.t. the cogrowth series $C_S(t) \notin D$ -algebraic.

Dreaming beyond diagonals

Lemma 3.6. Let $\{\lambda_n\} \in \mathbb{N}^{\infty}$ be an integer sequence s.t. $\lambda_0 = 1$. Suppose there exists an increasing integer sequence $\{n_1 < n_2 < \ldots\}$ with the following properties:

- (1) λ_{n_i} is odd for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$,
- (2) $n_{i+1}/n_i \to \infty \text{ as } i \to \infty,$
- (3) for every integers $a, b \ge 1$, there exists $i \ge 1$, s.t. $n_i \equiv a \mod 2^b$,
- (4) for every $C, D \ge 1$, there exists N = N(C, D) > 0, s.t. for every $i_1, \ldots, i_D > N$, if

 $n_{i_1} + \dots + n_{i_D} - C \le b_1 + \dots + b_D \le n_{i_1} + \dots + n_{i_D}$

for some nonnegative integers b_1, \ldots, b_D , then either:

- $\circ \lambda_{b_j}$ is even for at least one j.
- \circ { b_1, \ldots, b_D } and { n_1, \ldots, n_D } are equal up to rearrangement.

Then the sequence $\{\lambda_n\}$ is not D-algebraic.





