

# Domes over Curves

Igor Pak, UCLA

(joint work with Alexey Glazyrin, UTRGV)

Selected Topics in Mathematics, Liverpool, UK, February 19, 2021




# Integral curves

A PL-curve  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  is called *integral* if comprised of unit length intervals.

A *dome* is a 2-dim PL-surface  $S \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  comprised of unit equilateral triangles.

Integral curve  $\gamma$  *can be domed* if there is a dome  $S$  s.t.  $\partial S = \gamma$ .

**Problem** [Kenyon, c. 2005]: Can every closed integral curve be domed?



**Examples:** Domes over square, pentagon, regular 10-gon and 12-gon.

## Other domes



Louvre pyramid, glass rooftop, Buckminster Fuller's real dome and his sketch of the *Dome over Manhattan* (1960).

### Bonus questions:

Are the second and third domes polyhedral?

Is the boundary curve  $\partial S$  a regular polygon?

Is it even planar?

## Positive results:

**Theorem 1** [Glazyrin–P., 2020+]

For every integral curve  $\gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is an integral curve  $\gamma' \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , such that  $|\gamma| = |\gamma'|$ ,  $|\gamma, \gamma'|_F < \varepsilon$  and the curve  $\gamma'$  can be domed.

Here  $|\gamma, \gamma'|_F$  is the *Fréchet distance*  $|\gamma, \gamma'|_F = \max_{1 \leq i \leq n} |v_i, v'_i|$ .

**Theorem 2** [Glazyrin–P., 2020+]





Every regular integral  $n$ -gon in the plane can be domed.

**Open:** Can all planar unit rhombi  $\rho(a, b)$  be domed?

Can all integral triangles  $\Delta = (p, q, r)$ ,  $p, q, r \in \mathbb{N}$  be domed?

More conjectures and open problems later in the talk.

## Prior work: polyhedra with regular faces



Star pyramid, small stellated dodecahedron, heptagrammic cuploid, and dodecahedral torus.

*Square surfaces:* Dolbilin–Shtanko–Shtogrin (1997)

*Pentagonal surfaces:* Alevy (2018+)


# Steinhaus problem (*Scottish book*, 1957)

- 1) Does there exist a closed tetrahedral chain?  $\longleftarrow$  *Coxeter helix*
- 2) Are the end-triangles dense in the space of all triangles?

Part 1) was resolved negatively by Świerczkowski (1959)

Part 2) was partially resolved by Elgersma–Wagon (2015) and Stewart (2019)

*Idea:* The group of face reflections is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2 * \mathbb{Z}_2$  which is dense in  $O(3, \mathbb{R})$




A length-36 fake tetratorus with a final gap of about 0.0005 cm.

**Note:** 0.0005 cm = 5000 nm.

# Integral triangles (+if pigs can fly results)

**Conjecture 1.** An isosceles triangle  $\Delta = (2, 2, 1)$  cannot be domed.

**Proposition:** Conjecture 1 false  $\Rightarrow$  every isosceles triangle  $\Delta = (p, q, r)$  can be domed.



**Conjecture 2.** Every non-degenerate closed dome is rigid.

**Proposition:** Conjecture 1 false  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 2 false.



# Space colorings

$\Gamma \leftarrow$  unit distance graph of  $\mathbb{R}^3$

**Conjecture 3:** Let  $\rho = [uvwx] \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a rhombus with edge lengths 2 and diagonal 1.

Then  $\exists$  coloring  $\chi : \Gamma \rightarrow \{1, 2, 3\}$  with no *rainbow* (1-2-3) *triangles*, s.t.


$\chi(u) = \chi(v) = 1, \chi(w) = 2, \chi(x) = 3$ .

**Proposition:** Conjecture 3  $\Rightarrow$  Conjecture 1.

*Proof:* Dome over  $\Delta = (2, 2, 1) \Rightarrow$  dome  $S$  over  $\rho$ .

Sperner's Lemma for (general) 2-manifolds applied to  $S \cup \rho \Rightarrow \#$  of 1-2-3  $\Delta$  is even  $\leftarrow$  [Musin, 2015]

Since  $\rho$  has one 1-2-3  $\Delta$ , dome  $S$  also has at least one 1-2-3  $\Delta$ , a contradiction.  $\square$





# Domes over regular polygons




## Rhombus Lemma

Fix  $a \notin \overline{Q}$ . The set of  $b$  for which rhombus  $\rho(a, b)$  which can be domed is dense in  $(0, \sqrt{4 - a^2})$ .

### *Construction sketch:*

Tilt blue triangles by  $\angle\theta$ . Make near-planar rhombi until the center is overshoot.

Use continuity to find  $\theta$  for which the tip of the slice is on the vertical axis.




Wayman AME Church in Minneapolis

# Domes over generic integral curves

*Def:* Integral curve is  $[v_1 \dots v_n]$  is *generic* if all *small diagonals*  $|v_i v_{i+2}| \notin \overline{Q}$ ,  
and the same holds after all finite flips sequences

**Step 1:** Generic integral curves  $\longrightarrow$  Generic near-planar integral curves

*Idea:* Use 2-flips to triangles  $v_{i-1}v_iv_{i+1} \rightarrow v_{i-1}v'_iv_{i+1}$  until curve is near-planar.



## Domes over generic integral curves (continued)

**Step 2:** Generic near-planar integral curves  $\longrightarrow$  Generic compact near-planar integral curves

*Idea:* Use 2-flips to obtain the desired permutation of unit vectors  $\overrightarrow{v_i v_{i+1}}$ . Now apply

**Steinitz Lemma:** Let  $u_1, \dots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^2$  be unit vectors,  $u_1 + \dots + u_n = 0$ .



Then there exists  $\sigma \in S_n$ , s.t.  $|u_{\sigma(1)} + \dots + u_{\sigma(k)}| \leq \sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}$ , for all  $1 \leq k \leq n$ .

[Steinitz, 1913]  $\rightarrow$  general dimensions,    [Bergström, 1931]  $\rightarrow$  optimal constant  $\sqrt{\frac{5}{4}}$

**Step 3:** Break the curve into unit rhombi and pentagons.

## Domes over generic integral curves (continued)

**Step 4:** Use an ad hoc construction for pentagons.



**Step 5:** Fix combinatorial data and undo the construction using the Rhombus Lemma.  $\square$

## Negative results:

**Theorem 3** [Glazyrin–P., 2020+]

Let  $\rho(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a unit rhombus with diagonals  $a, b > 0$ . Suppose  $\rho(a, b)$  can be domed.  
Then there is a nonzero polynomial  $P \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ , such that  $P(a^2, b^2) = 0$ .

**Theorem 4** [Glazyrin–P., 2020+]

Let  $\rho(a, b) \subset \mathbb{R}^3$  be a unit rhombus with diagonals  $a, b > 0$ .  
If  $a \notin \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$  and  $a/b \in \overline{\mathbb{Q}}$ , then  $\rho(a, b)$  cannot be domed.

## Examples:

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{\pi}, \frac{e^\pi}{\sqrt{97}}\right) \leftarrow \text{Thm 3,}$$

$$\rho\left(\frac{1}{\pi}, \frac{1}{\pi}\right) \text{ and } \rho\left(\frac{e}{\sqrt{17}}, \frac{e}{\sqrt{19}}\right) \leftarrow \text{Thm 4.}$$

# Doubly periodic surfaces

$K \leftarrow$  pure simplicial 2-dim complex homeomorphic to  $\mathbb{R}^2$ , with a free action of  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z} = \langle a, b \rangle$

$\theta : K \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^3 \leftarrow$  linear mapping of  $K$ , and equivariant w.r.t.  $\mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ , s.t.  $a \curvearrowright \alpha$ ,  $b \curvearrowright \beta$

$(K, \theta)$  is called a *doubly periodic triangular surface*




$\mathcal{G}(K) \leftarrow$  set of Gram matrices of  $(\alpha, \beta)$ , over all  $(K, \theta)$

**Theorem** [A. Gaifullin – S. Gaifullin, 2014]

There is a one-dimensional real affine algebraic subvariety of  $\mathbb{R}^3$  containing  $\mathcal{G}(K)$ .

In particular, the entries of each Gram matrix  $G$  from  $\mathcal{G}(K)$

$$\begin{cases} P(g_{11}, g_{12}, g_{22}) = 0 \\ Q(g_{11}, g_{12}, g_{22}) = 0 \end{cases} \quad \text{for some } P, Q \in \mathbb{Z}[x, y, z].$$




## Special case of Theorem 3

### Proposition

Let  $S$  be a dome over a rhombus  $\gamma = \rho(a, b)$  homeomorphic to a disc.

Then there is a nonzero polynomial  $F \in \mathbb{Q}[x, y]$ , s.t.  $F(a^2, b^2) = 0$ .

**Proof:** Attach copies of  $\gamma$  and  $-\gamma$  as in Figure. Since  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  are orthogonal, the Gram matrix is diagonal. By G-G Theorem, we have  $F \leftarrow P$  or  $F \leftarrow Q$ .




# G–G Theorem does not generalize

**Theorem** [A. Gaifullin – S. Gaifullin, 2014] Every embedded doubly periodic triangular surface homeomorphic to a plane has at most one-dimensional doubly periodic flex.

**Theorem** [Glazyrin–P., 2020+, formerly G–G Open Problem]

There is a doubly periodic triangular surface whose doubly periodic flex is three-dimensional.




**Moral:** Need a better technical result.



# Ingredients of the proof of theorems 3 and 4

- heavy use of *theory of places*
- elementary but lengthy and tedious inductive topological argument

Cf. [Conelly–Sabitov–Walz, 1997], [Connelly, 2009], [Gaifullin–Gaifullin, 2014]



*Case 1* of the induction step.

## More conjectures and open problems

**Conjecture 4:** The set of  $a$ , s.t. planar rhombus  $\rho(a, \sqrt{4 - a^2})$  can be domed, is countable.

**Conjecture 5:** There are unit triangles  $\Delta_1, \Delta_2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$ , such that  $\Delta_1 \cup \Delta_2$  cannot be domed.

**Conjecture 6** [“cobordism for domes”]:

For every integral curve  $\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^3$ , there is a unit rhombus  $\rho$ , and a dome over  $\gamma \cup \rho$ .

$\gamma = [v_1 \dots v_n] \leftarrow$  integral curve,  $n \geq 5$

$L_n = \mathbb{Q}[t_1, \dots, t_{n-2}]$ ,  $t_i \leftarrow |v_i v_{i+1}|^2$  squared diagonals of  $\gamma$ .

$\text{CM}_n \subset L_n \leftarrow$  ideal spanned by all *Cayley–Menger determinants* on  $\{v_1, \dots, v_n\}$

**Conjecture 7:** If  $\gamma$  can be domed, then there is a nonzero  $P \in L_n$ ,  
s.t.  $P(t_1, \dots, t_{n-2}) = 0$  and  $P \notin \text{CM}_n$ .

Thank you!

