How linear algebra proved expansion of graphs and is on the way to rule the world
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Key problem in computational group theory

**Input:** Finite group $G$

**Output:** Random element $h \in G$

More precisely:

**Input:** Finite group $G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle$, where $s_i \in S_N$ [GL($d$, $\mathbb{R}$), GL($d$, $\mathbb{F}_q$), etc.], $\varepsilon > 0$

**Output:** Random element $h \in G$ from an $\varepsilon$-uniform distribution on $G$

$\varepsilon$-uniform distribution: $\frac{1-\varepsilon}{|G|} < \mathbb{P}[h = z] < \frac{1+\varepsilon}{|G|}$ for all $z \in G$

Think $k = 10$, $N = 10^4$, $d = 100$, $q = 49$
Why bother?

*Groups appear:* symmetries of combinatorial objects, graphs or general data scientific computing (physics, chemistry, genomics, etc.)

\[ |G| = \frac{8! \times 3^7 \times 24!^6}{24^{25}} \approx 1.57 \times 10^{116} \]
Why bother?
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Why bother?

My favorite real world application: search under symmetry

“No one knows how many moves would be needed for ‘God’s Algorithm’ assuming he always used the fewest moves required to restore the cube. Experienced group theorists have conjectured that the smallest number of moves which would be in the low twenties.”  [Frey, Singmaster, 1982]
Why bother?
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Why bother?

**In mathematics:** Classification of Finite Simple Groups
Higman–Sims group (1968), Lyons–Sims group (1973), etc.

**Random group elements:** Testing group properties (nilpotent, solvable, etc.)
Group isomorphism, maximal subgroups, computing the whole lower central series, etc.

**Example:** \( g, h \leftarrow \) random elements in \( G \)
- If \( \mathbb{P}[gh = hg] > \frac{5}{8} \) then \( G \) is abelian \phantom{\text{[MacHale, 1974]}}
- If \( \mathbb{P}[\langle g, h \rangle \text{ is solvable}] > \frac{11}{30} \) then \( G \) is solvable \phantom{\text{[Guralnick, Wilson, 2000]}}

Proof uses CFSG
In theory it’s all easy

**Theorem** [Babai, 1991]
Random group elements can be generated in $O(\mu \cdot \log^5 |G|)$ time, where $\mu$ is the cost of group multiplication and inversion.

**Minor problem:** The real world ($k = 10$, $N = 10^4$, $d = 100$, $q = 49$, etc.)

**Theorem** [Cooperman’02, Dixon’08]
Random group elements can be generated in $O(\mu \cdot \log^2 |G|)$ time.

**Minor problem:** Still there...

**Want:** Random group elements in $O(\mu \cdot \log |G|)$ time.
Product Replacement Algorithm

**Input:** Finite group \( G = \langle s_1, \ldots, s_k \rangle \)

**Output:** Random element \( h \in G \)

**Set:** \((g_1, \ldots, g_k) \leftarrow (s_1, \ldots, s_k)\)

**Repeat:** product replacement \( t \) times

\( R_{i,j}^\pm : (g_1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, g_k) \rightarrow (g_1, \ldots, g_i \cdot g_j^{\pm 1}, \ldots, g_k), \) or

\( L_{i,j}^\pm : (g_1, \ldots, g_i, \ldots, g_k) \rightarrow (g_1, \ldots, g_j^{\pm 1} \cdot g_i, \ldots, g_k), \)

where \( L/R \), sign \( \pm \), and \( i \neq j \) are chosen at random

**Output:** random component \( g_i \)

**Experimental evidence:** for \( k = 10 \), \( t < 100 \) seems to suffice even for very large groups.
Product Replacement Algorithm

GAP (Groups, Algorithms and Programming)

Magma (computer algebra system)

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

Product Replacement in the Monster
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Product Replacement Algorithm

**Experimental Claim:** PRA generates random elements in \( O(\mu \cdot \log |G|) \) time.

**Theorem** [Diaconis and Saloff-Coste, 1998]

PRA works in \( O(\mu \cdot |G|^{2k+3} \log |G| (\log \log |G|)^{2k}) \) time for \( k = \Omega(\log |G|) \)


**Walks on generating sets of groups**
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**Theorem** [P., 2000]

PRA works in \( O(\mu \cdot \log^9 |G| \cdot (\log \log |G|)^5) \) time for \( k = \Theta(\log |G| \log \log |G|) \)

FOCS 2000
Expander graphs

Definition: \((d, \varepsilon)-expander\) is a \(d\)-regular graph \(H = (V, E)\)

\[s.t. \quad \phi(H) > \varepsilon > 0, \quad \text{where} \quad \phi(H) := \min_{A \subset V, 1 \leq |A| \leq |V|/2} \frac{|E(A, V \setminus A)|}{d \cdot |A|}\]

Note: Random 3-regular graphs are expanders, but explicit constructions are hard to find.

Proposition: For \(d, \varepsilon\) fixed and \(|V| \to \infty\), we have \(\text{mix}(\Gamma) = O(\log |V|)\).

Proof: Easy linear algebra.

Now we want: Product replacement graphs \(\text{PR}(G, k)\) are expanders.
Proving expansion: first steps

**Theorem** [Nielsen, 1924]
Let $G = F_k$ be a free group with $k$ generators. Then $L_{i,j}^\pm$, $R_{i,j}^\pm$ are generators of $\text{Aut}(F_k)$.

**Corollary:** PR($G$, $k$) are Schreier graphs of $\text{Aut}(F_k)$
[i.e. graphs of the action of $\text{Aut}(F_k)$ on generating $k$-tuples of $G$]

**Definition:** Group $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$ has Kazhdan’s property (T) if all Schreier graphs $H$ of $\Gamma = \langle S \rangle$ (finite and infinite), have expansion $\phi(H) > \varepsilon(S) > 0$.

**Major Conjecture:** $\text{Aut}(F_k)$ has Kazhdan’s property (T)

**Theorem/Observation** [Lubotzky, P., 2001]
Major Conjecture implies that graphs PR($G$, $k$) are expanders.
Proving expansion: brief history

1) Kazhdan (1967): $SL(k, \mathbb{Z})$ has (T) for $k \geq 3$

2) Margulis (1973): Cayley graphs of $SL(3, \mathbb{F}_q)$ are expanders

More recently and more to the point:

3) Shalom (1999): (T) for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$ implies Kazhdan’s thm

4) P. and Zuk (2001): (T) implies rapid mixing for symmetric generating sets $S$

5) Silberman (2011): (T) is *semidecidable*

6) Ozawa (2016): (T) is a statement in *semidefinite optimization*

7) Netzer and Thom (2015): Ozawa’s approach works to prove (T) for $SL(3, \mathbb{Z})$

8) Fujiwara and Kabaya (2017), Kaluba and Nowak (2018): *computer assisted proofs* for more groups
Problem solved!

1) McCool (1988): $\text{Aut}(F_3)$ does not have (T)
2) Kaluba, Nowak and Ozawa (2019): $\text{Aut}(F_5)$ has (T) [800 hours CPU time]
3) Kaluba, Kielak and Nowak (2021): $\text{Aut}(F_k)$ has (T) for all $k \geq 5$ (only minor calculations)
4) Nitsche (2020+): $\text{Aut}(F_4)$ has (T) [20 min CPU time]

**Proof:** Quantitative Linear Algebra and Semidefinite Programming

"Can a special element of the group ring $\mathbb{Z}\text{Aut}(F_3)$ be written as a sum of Hermitian squares?"

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Iter</th>
<th>pri res</th>
<th>dua res</th>
<th>rel gap</th>
<th>pri obj</th>
<th>dua obj</th>
<th>kap/tau</th>
<th>time (s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>429400</td>
<td>2.31e-08</td>
<td>7.59e-10</td>
<td>4.22e-08</td>
<td>7.07e-08</td>
<td>1.13e-07</td>
<td>5.66e-16</td>
<td>3.48e+04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Conclusions:

- *Major Conjecture* is completely resolved, i.e. $\text{Aut}(F_k)$ have (T) for all $k \geq 4$
- *Product replacement graphs* $\text{PR}(G, k)$ are *expanders* for all finite $G$ and all $k \geq 4$
- *Experimental Claim* confirmed, i.e. Product Replacement Algorithm works well for small $k$

- *Linear Algebra is on its way to rule the world!*
Thank you!