

Lemma (Uryshon's Lemma) If  $X$  is normal, then given  $E, F \subseteq X$ , closed & disjoint, then  
 $\exists f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  continuous s.t.  $f(E) = \{0\}$ ,  $f(F) = \{1\}$ .

Pf By iteratively applying the lemma from last time, build for each dyadic rational  $r$  an open set  $U_r$  s.t.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad E \subseteq U_r, \quad U_r \subseteq F \quad \textcircled{2} \quad r < s \Rightarrow \bar{U}_r \subseteq U_s.$$

Let  $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$  be 
$$f(x) = \begin{cases} 0 & x \in U_r \forall r \\ \sup\{r \mid x \notin U_r\} & \end{cases}$$

Since  $\forall r, E \subseteq U_r \subseteq F, \quad f(E) = \{0\} \& f(F) = \{1\}$ . We have to show  $f$  is continuous.

Let  $x \in X$ . Assume  $f(x) \in (0,1)$ , since other cases are similar & simpler.

For all  $\epsilon > 0$ , choose  $r, s$  dyadic s.t.  $f(x) - \epsilon < r < f(x) < s < f(x) + \epsilon$ . Can do this since they are dense. By construction,  $\forall r < f(x), x \notin U_r$ . Since  $r < r' < f(x) \Rightarrow \bar{U}_r \subseteq U_{r'}$ , we conclude  $x \in \bar{U}_r$ . Since  $s > f(x), x \in U_s$ , and hence  $x \in U_s - \bar{U}_r$  (an open). If  $y \in U_s - \bar{U}_r$ , then  $y \in U_r \Rightarrow f(y) \geq r \nexists y \in U_s \Rightarrow f(y) \leq s \Rightarrow f(U_s - \bar{U}_r) \in B_\epsilon(f(x))$ .  $\square$

Remark All finite closed intervals are homeomorphic, so can replace  $[0,1]$  by any  $[a,b]$ .

Now we need some metric properties of functions to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

Def Let  $S$  be a set & let  $X$  be a metric space. Define  $d_\infty$  on  $F(S, X)$  by  

$$d_\infty(f, g) = \sup_{s \in S} \{ \min(1, d(f(s), g(s))) \}.$$

Prop  $d_\infty$  is a metric on  $F(S, X)$ .

Def A sequence of functions  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$  if  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N$  s.t.  $\forall n \geq N, \forall s \in S$ ,

$$d(f_n(s), f(s)) < \epsilon.$$

Prop:  $[f_n] \rightarrow f$  iff  $f_n$  converges uniformly to  $f$ .

Prop If  $X$  is complete, then so is  $F(X, S)$  with  $d_\infty$ .

Pf: Let  $f_n$  be a Cauchy sequence in  $F(X, S)$ :  $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N$  s.t.  $\forall n, m \geq N, \forall s \in S, d(f_n(s), f_m(s)) < \epsilon$ . In particular,  $\forall s \in S, [f_n(s)]$  is a Cauchy sequence in  $X \Rightarrow$  has a limit. Let  $f(s) = \lim f_n(s)$ .

Then we need to show  $f_n \rightarrow f$ . Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . Then by Cauchy,  $\exists N$  s.t.  $\forall n, m \geq N, s \in S$ ,  
 $d(f_n(s), f_m(s)) < \epsilon$ . Now  $d(f_n(s), f(s)) \leq d(f_n(s), f_m(s)) + d(f_m(s), f(s)) < \epsilon + d(f_m(s), f(s))$ .  
 $\Rightarrow d(f_n(s), f(s)) \leq \epsilon$ .  $\square$

Prop If  $f_n$  is a seq. of continuous functions converging uniformly to  $f$ , then  $f$  is continuous.

Pf: Let  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $N$  be s.t.  $\forall n \geq N, y \in X, d(f_n(y), f(y)) < \epsilon/3$ . Since  $f_n$  is continuous at  $x$ ,  $\exists U \ni x$  s.t.  $\forall y \in U, d(f_n(y), f_n(x)) < \epsilon/3$ . Now  $\forall y \in U$ ,

$d(f(y), f(x)) \leq d(f(y), f_n(y)) + d(f_n(y), f_n(x)) + d(f_n(x), f(x)) < 3 \cdot \epsilon/3 = \epsilon$ .  $f$  is continuous iff it is continuous at every point.

Thm (Tietze Extension Thm) Let  $X$  be normal &  $Y \subseteq X$  closed. If  $f: Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  is continuous & bounded, then  $\exists \tilde{f}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , continuous, bounded, and  $\tilde{f}(y) = f(y) \forall y \in Y$ .

Pf: Let  $c_0 = \sup_{y \in Y} \{ |f(y)| \} < \infty$  ( $f$  is bounded). i.e.  $f(Y) \subseteq [-c_0, c_0]$ . Let  $E_0 = f^{-1}([-c_0, c_0])$   
 $F_0 = f^{-1}([c_0/3, c_0])$ . These are closed & disjoint. Uryshon  $\Rightarrow \exists g_0: X \rightarrow [-c_0/3, c_0/3]$  s.t.  
 $g_0(E_0) = -c_0/3, g_0(F_0) = c_0/3 \Rightarrow$  (i)  $|g_0| \leq c_0/3$  & (ii)  $|f - g_0| \leq \frac{2}{3}c_0$  on  $Y$ .

Let  $f_1 = f - g_0$ . By induction, we can produce a sequence of functions  $g_n: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  s.t.

$$\textcircled{1} \quad |g_n(x)| \leq \frac{2^n}{3^{n+1}} c_0 \quad \& \quad \textcircled{2} \quad |f - g_0 - \dots - g_n| \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+1} c_0, \quad (\text{Simply let } f_n = f - g_0 - \dots - g_{n-1} \&$$

apply the same argument. Now if  $h_n = g_0 + \dots + g_n$ , then

$$\textcircled{3} \quad [h_n] \text{ is Cauchy: If } n > m, \text{ then } |h_n - h_m| = \left| \sum_{i=m+1}^n g_i \right| \leq \sum_{i=m+1}^n |g_i| \leq \left( \frac{2^{m+1}}{3^{m+2}} c_0 + \dots + \frac{2^n}{3^{n+1}} c_0 \right) \\ = \frac{2^{m+1}}{3^{m+2}} c_0 \left( 1 + \dots + \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n-m-1} \right) \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{m+1} c_0 \rightarrow 0.$$

\textcircled{4} The limit  $h$  agrees with  $f$  on  $Y$ :  $[f - h_n] \rightarrow 0$  on  $Y$ , since  $|f - h_n| \leq \left(\frac{2}{3}\right)^{n+1} c_0 \rightarrow 0$ .