

Prop If X is Hausdorff, then limits of sequences in X are unique.

Pf: x is a limit of $[x_n]$ iff $\forall U \ni x, \exists N \text{ s.t. } n \geq N \Rightarrow x_n \in U$.
 y is a limit of $[x_n]$ iff $\forall V \ni y, \exists M \text{ s.t. } m \geq M \Rightarrow x_m \in V$.
 $\Rightarrow \forall n \geq \max(N, M), x_n \in U \cap V \Rightarrow U \cap V \neq \emptyset$.

So every neighborhood of x intersects every neighborhood of y non-trivially. X Hausdorff $\Rightarrow x = y$. \square

Def X is regular if whenever $x \in X, V \not\ni x$ closed, have disjoint opens $U_1 \& U_2$ s.t.
 $x \in U_1, V \subseteq U_2$.

There is a straightforward specialization to Hausdorff if we know points are closed.

Def X is T_3 if it is regular and T_1 .

Def X is normal if $\forall V_1, V_2$ closed, $V_1 \cap V_2 = \emptyset, \exists U_1, U_2$ open, $U_1 \cap U_2 = \emptyset \nmid V_i \subseteq U_i$.

Def X is T_4 if it is normal & T_1 .

Prop $T_4 \Rightarrow T_3 \Rightarrow T_2 \Rightarrow T_1 \Rightarrow T_0$.

Lemma Metric spaces are T_4 .

Pf Let V_1, V_2 be disjoint closed sets. Since $U'_i = X - V_i$ is open, $\forall x \in V_1, \exists r_x$ s.t.

$B_{r_x}(x) \subseteq U'_2 \quad \& \quad \forall y \in V_2, \exists r_y$ s.t. $B_{r_y}(y) \subseteq U'_1$. Let $U_1 = \bigcup_{x \in V_1} B_{r_{x/2}}(x)$ and sim. for
 $U_2 = \bigcup_{y \in V_2} B_{r_{y/2}}(y)$. If $z \in U_1 \cap U_2$, then $\exists x \in V_1$ s.t. $z \in B_{r_{x/2}}(x) \nmid \exists y \in V_2$ s.t. $z \in B_{r_{y/2}}(y)$.
 $\Rightarrow d(x, y) \leq d(x, z) + d(z, y) < \frac{r_x}{2} + \frac{r_y}{2} \leq \max(r_x, r_y)$. \Rightarrow either $x \in B_{r_y}(y)$ or $y \in B_{r_x}(x)$, a contradiction. \square

Lemma X is normal iff $\forall V \subseteq U$, \exists U' open s.t. $V \subseteq U' \subseteq \bar{U}' \subseteq U$.

Pf: \Rightarrow Let $V \subseteq U$, and let $F = X - U$. This is closed and disjoint from V . X normal $\Rightarrow \exists U', U''$ open s.t. $V \subseteq U', F \subseteq U'', U' \cap U'' = \emptyset$. The latter implies $U' \subseteq X - U''$, which is closed, so $V \subseteq U' \subseteq \bar{U}' \subseteq X - U'' \subseteq X - F = U$.

\Leftarrow Let $E \nmid F$ be closed and disjoint. Then $E \subseteq X - F = U$, open. $\Rightarrow \exists U'$ s.t.

$E \subseteq U' \subseteq \bar{U}' \subseteq U$. Let $U_1 = X - \bar{U}' \equiv X - U = F$. Since $U' \subseteq U$, $U' \cap U_1 = \emptyset$. \square

Lemma (Uryshon) If X is normal $\nvdash E, F$ are closed & disjoint, then \exists continuous $f: X \rightarrow [0,1]$ s.t.
 $f(E) \subseteq \{0\}$, $f(F) \subseteq \{1\}$.

Pf: Let $U = X - F$. Then $E \subseteq U$, and the previous lemma gives us a $U_{1/2}$, open, s.t.

$E \subseteq U_{1/2} \subseteq \bar{U}_{1/2} \subseteq U$. This has the same form, so we can iterate:

$E \subseteq U_{1/4} \subseteq \bar{U}_{1/4} \subseteq U_{1/2} \subseteq \bar{U}_{1/2} \subseteq U_{3/4} \subseteq \bar{U}_{3/4} \subseteq U$, etc. Continuing in this way gives an open set U_r for every $r \in (0,1)$ of the form $\frac{\alpha}{2^b}$ (dyadic rationals) with the property that if $r < s$, then $U_r \subseteq \bar{U}_r \subseteq U_s$, $E \subseteq U_r \forall r \nvdash U_s \cap F = \emptyset \forall s$. Start here on Friday.

