Problem Set 2

Due February 20

Model Theory

Math 506, Spring 2004.

- 1. Prove: if \mathcal{F} is a filter on a set $I \neq \emptyset$ such that $\bigcap \mathcal{F} = \emptyset$, then every ultrafilter $\mathcal{U} \supseteq \mathcal{F}$ on I is non-principal. (Hint: use problem 6. (a) on Problem Set 1.)
- 2. Let \mathcal{L} be a language and let T and T' be \mathcal{L} -theories. Suppose that for every model \mathcal{M} of T there exists $\sigma \in T'$ such that $\mathcal{M} \vDash \sigma$. Show that there exists a finite subset $\{\sigma_1, ..., \sigma_n\}$ of T' such that $T \vDash \sigma_1 \lor \cdots \lor \sigma_n$.
- 3. Let \mathcal{L} be a language and $\mathcal{M} \subseteq \mathcal{N}$ be \mathcal{L} -structures.
 - a) Suppose that for every finite subset A of M and every $b \in N$ there exists an automorphism f of \mathcal{N} which fixes A pointwise (i.e., f(a) = a for all $a \in A$) and such that $f(b) \in M$. Show that then $\mathcal{M} \preceq \mathcal{N}$.
 - b) Now suppose $\mathcal{L} = \{ < \}$ with a binary relation symbol <. We consider $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{Q}, <)$ and $\mathcal{N} = (\mathbb{R}, <)$ as \mathcal{L} -structures in the natural way. Use (a) to show that $(\mathbb{Q}, <) \preceq (\mathbb{R}, <)$.
 - c) Show that the converse in (a) does not hold in general. (Hint: consider $\mathcal{M} = (\mathbb{N}, <)$.)
 - d) [Optional.] Let R be a commutative ring and let X and Y be infinite sets of indeterminates over R, with $X \subseteq Y$. Show that $R[X] \preceq R[Y]$, considered as structures in the language $\mathcal{L} = \{0, 1, +, \cdot\}$ of rings.
- 4. We say that an \mathcal{L} -theory T has **definable Skolem functions** if for every formula $\varphi(x_1, ..., x_n, y)$ there exists a formula $\psi(x_1, ..., x_n, y)$ such that
 - a) $T \vDash \forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_n \exists y \psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y)$
 - b) $T \vDash \forall x_1 \cdots \forall x_n \forall y \forall y' (\psi(x_1, ..., x_n, y) \land \psi(x_1, ..., x_n, y') \rightarrow y = y')$
 - c) $T \models \forall x_1 \dots \forall x_n (\exists y \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \rightarrow \exists y (\psi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y) \land \varphi(x_1, \dots, x_n, y))).$

In other words, in every model \mathcal{N} of T, ψ defines the graph of a function $f \colon N^n \to N$ such that $\mathcal{N} \vDash \varphi(a, f(a))$ for all $a \in N^n$ for which $\mathcal{N} \vDash \exists y \varphi(a, y)$.

- a) Show that if T has built-in Skolem functions, then T has definable Skolem functions.
- b) Let $\mathcal{L} = \{0, +\}$ where + is a binary function symbol and 0 is a constant symbol. Show that $T = \text{Th}(\mathbb{N}, 0, +)$ has definable Skolem functions.
- 5. An \mathcal{L} -theory T is called (absolutely) **categorical** if it is satisfiable and any two models of T are isomorphic.
 - a) Show that if T is categorical, then its unique model must be finite.
 - b) Let $\mathcal{L} = \{f\}$ where f is a unary function symbol. Give an example of a finite \mathcal{L} -theory T all of whose models are infinite. (Hence T is not categorical.)
 - c) Suppose that \mathcal{L} is finite, and let \mathcal{M} be an \mathcal{L} -structure whose universe is finite. Show that there exists an \mathcal{L} -sentence φ with the property that $\mathcal{N} \vDash \varphi \iff \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$ for every \mathcal{L} -structure \mathcal{N} . (In particular, $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N} \iff \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$; thus $\mathrm{Th}(\mathcal{M})$ is categorical.)
 - d) [Optional.] Show that if \mathcal{L} is an arbitrary language, and \mathcal{M} an \mathcal{L} -structure whose universe is finite, then for all \mathcal{L} -structures \mathcal{N} we have $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N} \iff \mathcal{M} \cong \mathcal{N}$.
- 6. Let \mathcal{M} and \mathcal{N} be \mathcal{L} -structures with $\mathcal{M} \equiv \mathcal{N}$. Show (without using the Keisler-Shelah theorem) that there exists an ultrafilter \mathcal{U} on some index set I and an elementary embedding $\mathcal{N} \to \mathcal{M}^I/\mathcal{U}$.