

## Lecture #7

### CR Equations: Full result.

Writing:  $f(z) = u(x,y) + iv(x,y)$  and  $z_0 = x_0 + iy_0$ , we now know that if  $f$  has a complex derivative @  $z = z_0$ , it must be the case that

$$\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0) = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) \quad \& \quad \frac{\partial u}{\partial y}(x_0, y_0) = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x}(x_0, y_0).$$

Now want to show that this is in fact sufficient.

That is to say if the above are satisfied (at  $z = z_0$ ) then the complex derivative:

$$\lim_{\Delta z \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}$$

exists. Note: once such a limit is established (to exist), must equal

$u_x + iv_x$  -- or  $v_y - iu_y$  or ...

This will be “worst” analysis of the course. Will not be part of exams but *will* require you understand this -- at least momentarily.

Will not prove this under most general possible hypothesis. Enough for us that both  $u$  and  $v$  have **two continuous derivatives**.

Main idea -- not so difficult -- is way function behaves when you vary argument by small amount. (Here is where having the two (bounded) derivatives helps.) Suppose

$$q = q(x,y)$$

any function (with two derivatives). Then can write

$$q(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) = q(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta x]q_x(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta y]q_y(x_0, y_0) + \dots$$

Well, what is “...”? Claim: Actually equals

$$[\Delta x]^2\alpha(\Delta x, \Delta y) + [\Delta y]^2\beta(\Delta x, \Delta y) + [\Delta x\Delta y]\gamma(\Delta x, \Delta y)$$

where  $\alpha$ ,  $\beta$  and  $\gamma$  are **bounded** functions (that depend on  $x_0$  and  $y_0$ ). In fact,

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \alpha(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \frac{1}{2} q_{xx}(x_0, y_0)$$

and

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \gamma(\Delta x, \Delta y) = q_{xy}(x_0, y_0)$$

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \beta(\Delta x, \Delta y) = \frac{1}{2} q_{yy}(x_0, y_0)$$

but this not really important; just the “bounded” part.

So, now do this for  $f(z_0 + \Delta z) = u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) + iv(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y)$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Well: } u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) &= u(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta x]u_x(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta y]u_y(x_0, y_0) + \\ &+ [\Delta x]^2 A(\Delta x, \Delta y) + [\Delta x]^2 B(\Delta x, \Delta y) + C(\Delta x, \Delta y). \end{aligned}$$

with  $A \rightarrow u_{xx}(x_0, y_0)$  as  $(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0$ , etc. Similar for  $v$ .

First claim: 
$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta x]^2 A(\Delta x, \Delta y)}{\Delta z} = 0.$$

Proof: Since  $A$  tends to a definite limit -- or is anyway has absolute value bounded, enough to show

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta x]^2}{\Delta z} = 0. \quad \leftarrow \text{“Somehow obvious”}$$

And look. Enough to show 
$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \left| \frac{[\Delta x]^2}{\Delta z} \right| = 0$$

$$\text{but } \left| \frac{[\Delta x]^2}{\Delta z} \right| = \left| \frac{[\Delta x]^2}{\sqrt{[\Delta x]^2 + [\Delta y]^2}} \right| \leq \frac{[\Delta x]^2 + [\Delta y]^2}{\sqrt{[\Delta x]^2 + [\Delta y]^2}} = \sqrt{[\Delta x]^2 + [\Delta y]^2} \rightarrow 0.$$

Exactly the same argument shows  $\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta y]^2 B(\Delta x, \Delta y)}{\Delta z} = 0.$

Now, last little business, show:  $\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta x \Delta y] C(\Delta x, \Delta y)}{\Delta z} = 0,$  but just amounts to showing

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta x \Delta y]}{\Delta z} = 0.$$

Not hard, use  $2\Delta x \Delta y \leq [\Delta x]^2 + [\Delta y]^2$  -- complete the square -- and same follows.

Alright, now down to business. Expand our  $u$  and  $v$ :

$$\begin{aligned} & u(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) + iv(x_0 + \Delta x, y_0 + \Delta y) - [u(x_0, y_0) + iv(x_0, y_0)] \\ = & [\Delta x]u_x(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta y]u_y(x_0, y_0) + i[\Delta x]v_x(x_0, y_0) + i[\Delta y]v_y(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta x]^2\mathbb{A} + [\Delta y]^2\mathbb{B} + [\Delta x \Delta y]^2\mathbb{D}. \end{aligned}$$

Here  $\mathbb{A}$ ,  $\mathbb{B}$  and  $\mathbb{D}$  are the (complex) left–overs; tend to a definitive limit and, anyway are bounded.

So now divide by  $\Delta z$  and attempt to take the limit as  $\Delta z \rightarrow 0$ :

$$f'(z_0) \stackrel{?}{=} \lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{f(z_0 + \Delta z) - f(z_0)}{\Delta z}$$

should this limit exist

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta x]u_x(x_0, y_0) + [\Delta y]u_y(x_0, y_0) + i[\Delta x]v_x(x_0, y_0) + i[\Delta y]v_y(x_0, y_0)}{\Delta z}$$

+

$$\lim_{(\Delta x, \Delta y) \rightarrow 0} \frac{[\Delta x]^2 \mathbb{A} + [\Delta y]^2 \mathbb{B} + [\Delta x \Delta y] \mathbb{D}}{\Delta z}$$

should this limit exist.

But second limit certainly does exist; terms of the form that we just showed were zero.

Thus: Existence -- or lack thereof -- of  $f'(z)$  boils down to the first order terms. This, will be settled by satisfaction -- or lack thereof -- of the CR equations.

Final claim: If  $u_x = v_y$  and  $u_y = -v_x$ , then limit *does* exist -- and is equal to, say,  $u_x + iv_x$ .

Here, to save time, all functions evaluated @  $(x_0, y_0)$ .

Eliminate all terms with a y subscript:

$$\begin{aligned} [\Delta x]u_x + i[\Delta x]v_x + [\Delta y]u_y + i[\Delta y]v_y &= [\Delta x]u_x + i[\Delta x]v_x - [\Delta y]v_x + i[\Delta y]u_x \\ &= [\Delta x + i\Delta y]u_x + i[\Delta x]v_x + i^2 [\Delta y]v_x \\ &= u_x[\Delta x + i\Delta y] + iv_x[\Delta x + i\Delta y] \end{aligned}$$

Divide by  $\Delta x + i\Delta y$ , and take limit; done;  $f(z_0)$  exists, equals  $u_x(x_0, y_0) + iv_x(x_0, y_0)$   
(or  $v_y(x_0, y_0) - iu_y(x_0, y_0)$  or, ...).

Reiterate: Upshot very nice (and important): Can just check CR–equations and, if satisfied, function has a complex derivative and if not, doesn't.