

THE WEIL PAIRING ON COHOMOLOGY

JACOB SWENBERG

1. INTRODUCTION

Motivated by work of Sharifi, we explore the pairing on Galois cohomology

$$H^1(G_{F,S}, E[n]) \times H^1(G_{F,S}, E[n]) \rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n)$$

coming from the cup product and the Weil pairing, where:

- F is a number field;
- n is an odd positive integer;
- S is a set of places of F including the places above primes dividing n but not including any places above 2;
- E is an elliptic curve defined over F with good reduction outside S ;
- $G_{F,S}$ is the Galois group of the maximal S -ramified extension F_S over F .

The *Kummer map* is an injection

$$\kappa_n : E(F)/nE(F) \rightarrow H^1(G_{F,S}, E[n]).$$

This gives us a pairing

$$E(F) \times E(F) \rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n).$$

2. KUMMER THEORY AND THE WEIL PAIRING

We keep the notation of the introduction. For a matrix M , we denote by M^t its transpose. We denote by $\chi_n : G_{F,S} \rightarrow (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times$ the character giving the action of $G_{F,S}$ on μ_n . For ρ a continuous homomorphism of G_F into another group, we denote by $F(\rho)$ the fixed field of its kernel.

2.1. Let $O_{F,S}$ denote the ring of S -integers of F . This Dedekind ring has class group isomorphic to $\text{Cl}_{F,S}$, the S -class group of F . Let O_S be the ring of S -integers of F_S . Then we have an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow O_S^\times \rightarrow O_S^\times \rightarrow 1$$

and an isomorphism

$$H^1(G_{F,S}, O_S^\times) \cong \text{Cl}_{F,S}.$$

Taking a long exact sequence on cohomology, we have an exact sequence

$$(2.1.1) \quad 0 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{F,S}/n \text{Cl}_{F,S} \rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n) \xrightarrow{\text{inv}} \bigoplus_{v \in S} \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{Z}/\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0.$$

See [NSW08, Prop 8.3.11] for more details. *Actually maybe this isn't quite right...*

2.2. By applying the Kummer map, cupping, and taking the Weil pairing, we have a pairing

$$\begin{aligned} E(F) \times E(F) &\rightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n), \\ (x, y) &\longmapsto \langle x, y \rangle_{F,S,n} := e_n([\kappa_n x] \cup [\kappa_n y]), \end{aligned}$$

where $e_n : E[n] \times E[n] \rightarrow \mu_n$ is the Weil pairing.

Lemma 2.1. *The image of $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{F,S,n}$ lies in $\text{Cl}_{F,S} / n \text{Cl}_{F,S}$.*

Proof. Consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} E(F) & & \times & & E(F) \\ \downarrow & & & & \downarrow \\ H^1(G_{F,S}, E[n]) & & \times & & H^1(G_{F,S}, E[n]) \longrightarrow H^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n) \\ \downarrow \text{res} & & & & \downarrow \text{res} \\ \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, E[n]) & & \times & & \bigoplus_{v \in S} H^1(F_v, E[n]) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_{v \in S} \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z} \end{array}$$

The bottom (local) pairings are perfect by local Tate duality and perfectness of the Weil pairing. By Equation 2.1.1, it suffices to show that for all $v \in S$, the image of the local Kummer map

$$\kappa_{n,v} : E(F_v) \rightarrow H^1(F_v, E[n])$$

is self annihilating under the local pairing. □

2.3. Let $x, y \in E(F)$, let $\tilde{x}, \tilde{y} \in E$ be such that $n\tilde{x} = x$ and $n\tilde{y} = y$. Let $\alpha, \beta : G_F \rightarrow E[n]$ be the cocycles defined by $\alpha(\sigma) = \sigma\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}$ and $\beta(\sigma) = \sigma\tilde{y} - \tilde{y}$. These cocycles are unramified outside of S , and

$$\kappa_n(x) = [\alpha], \quad \kappa_n(y) = [\beta].$$

2.4. We fix a $(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ -basis $v_1, v_2 \in E[n]$. After choosing this basis, we identify $E[n] \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)^2$ as column vectors. For instance, we will abuse notation and let $\alpha(\sigma)$ and $\beta(\sigma)$ denote column vectors for all $\sigma \in G_{F,S}$:

$$\alpha(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \alpha_1(\sigma) \\ \alpha_2(\sigma) \end{bmatrix}, \quad \beta(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \beta_1(\sigma) \\ \beta_2(\sigma) \end{bmatrix},$$

where $\alpha_i(\sigma), \beta_i(\sigma) \in \mathbb{Z}/n$ are such that

$$\alpha(\sigma) = \alpha_1(\sigma)v_1 + \alpha_2(\sigma)v_2,$$

$$\beta(\sigma) = \beta_1(\sigma)v_1 + \beta_2(\sigma)v_2.$$

2.5. Since the Weil pairing is skew-symmetric and non-degenerate, we have that $e_n(v_i, v_i) = 0$ for $i = 1, 2$, and $\zeta := e_n(v_1, v_2)$ is a primitive n th root of unity. With this designated root of unity, we may identify $\mu_n \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)(1)$. Then for $v, w \in E[n]$, we have

$$e_n(v, w) = \zeta^{v^t J w}, \quad J := \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$

2.6. With the chosen basis v_1, v_2 of $E[n]$, we obtain a matrix representation $\rho_{E,n}$ of the Galois action on n -torsion:

$$\rho_{E,n} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n).$$

In particular, the cocycle condition on α can be written as

$$\alpha(\sigma\tau) = \alpha(\sigma) + \rho_{E,n}(\sigma)\alpha(\tau)$$

and similarly for β . By a simple matrix computation, we note that

$$\rho_{E,n}(\sigma)^t J \rho_{E,n}(\sigma) = \det(\rho_{E,n}(\sigma)) J.$$

On the other hand, Galois equivariance of the Weil pairing gives, for all $v, w \in E[n]$,

$$v^t \rho_{E,n}(\sigma)^t J \rho_{E,n}(\sigma) w = \chi_n(\sigma) v^t J w.$$

From this, we obtain the well-known identities

$$\det(\rho_{E,n}(\sigma)) = \chi_n(\sigma) \quad \rho_{E,n}^t(\sigma) J = \chi_n(\sigma) J \rho_{E,n}(\sigma)^{-1}.$$

Since $\ker \rho_{E,n} \subseteq \ker \chi_n$, we have in particular that

$$\mu_n \subseteq F(\rho_{E,n}) = F(E[n]).$$

3. AN EMBEDDING PROBLEM

In this section, we show how the triviality of the pairing given in the introduction is related to a Galois embedding problem.

3.1. Consider the group of block upper-triangular matrices of the form

$$\mathcal{B} := \begin{bmatrix} (\mathbb{Z}/n)^\times & * & * \\ & \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{Z}/n) & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \subset \mathrm{GL}_4(\mathbb{Z}/n).$$

The matrix

$$C := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & & & 1 \\ & 1 & & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{bmatrix}$$

generates a normal (but not central) cyclic subgroup $\langle C \rangle \subset \mathcal{B}$ of order n , and the quotient $\mathcal{B}/\langle C \rangle$ can be thought of as “matrices modulo the upper right corner.” More precisely, two matrices in $\mathcal{B}$ become identified in the quotient $\mathcal{B}/\langle C \rangle$ if and only if they are equal outside of the upper right corner.

3.2. For $\sigma \in G_{F,S}$, we denote a column vector

$$\tilde{\alpha}(\sigma) = \chi_n(\sigma)\alpha(\sigma^{-1})^t J.$$

By the cocycle condition on α , we see that $\tilde{\alpha}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma\tau) &= \chi_n(\sigma\tau)\alpha(\tau^{-1}\sigma^{-1})^t J \\ &= \chi_n(\sigma\tau)(\alpha(\tau^{-1})^t + \alpha(\sigma^{-1})^t \rho_{E,n}(\tau^{-1})^t) J \\ &= \chi_n(\sigma)\tilde{\alpha}(\tau) + \chi_n(\sigma\tau)\alpha(\sigma^{-1})^t (\chi_n(\tau^{-1})J\rho_{E,n}(\tau)) \\ &= \chi_n(\sigma)\tilde{\alpha}(\tau) + \chi_n(\sigma)\alpha(\sigma^{-1})^t J\rho_{E,n}(\tau) \\ &= \chi_n(\sigma)\tilde{\alpha}(\tau) + \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)\rho_{E,n}(\tau). \end{aligned}$$

Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned} \zeta^{\tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)\beta(\tau)} &= \zeta^{\chi_n(\sigma)\alpha(\sigma^{-1})^t J\beta(\tau)} \\ &= \sigma(e_n(\alpha(\sigma^{-1}), \beta(\tau))) \\ &= e_n(\sigma\alpha(\sigma^{-1}), \sigma\beta(\tau)) \\ &= e_n(\alpha(\sigma), \sigma\beta(\tau))^{-1} \\ &= -e_n(\alpha \cup \beta)(\sigma, \tau). \end{aligned}$$

In other words, $(\sigma, \tau) \mapsto \zeta^{\tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)\beta(\tau)}$ is a 2-cocycle representing $-e_n([\alpha] \cup [\beta]) \in H^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n)$.

3.3. We now define a continuous function (written in block matrix form)

$$B_{\alpha,\beta} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad B_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n(\sigma) & \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma) & \\ \rho_{E,n}(\sigma) & \beta(\sigma) & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We verify that the composition $\overline{B}_{\alpha,\beta} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}/\langle C \rangle$ is a homomorphism: by block matrix multiplication,

$$\begin{aligned} \overline{B}_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma\tau) &= \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n(\sigma\tau) & \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma\tau) & * \\ \rho_{E,n}(\sigma\tau) & \beta(\sigma\tau) & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n(\sigma)\chi_n(\tau) & \chi_n(\sigma)\tilde{\alpha}(\tau) + \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)\rho_{E,n}(\tau) & * \\ \rho_{E,n}(\sigma)\rho_{E,n}(\tau) & \beta(\sigma) + \rho_{E,n}(\sigma)\beta(\tau) & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n(\sigma) & \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma) & * \\ \rho_{E,n}(\sigma) & \beta(\sigma) & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n(\tau) & \tilde{\alpha}(\tau) & * \\ \rho_{E,n}(\tau) & \beta(\tau) & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \\ &= \overline{B}_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma)\overline{B}_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau) \in \mathcal{B}/\langle C \rangle. \end{aligned}$$

3.4. However, there is a potential obstruction to lifting $\overline{B}_{\alpha,\beta}$ to a homomorphism $G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$. Let $\gamma : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/n$ be a continuous map, and let

$$B_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}, \quad B_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma(\sigma) = \begin{bmatrix} \chi_n(\sigma) & \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma) & \gamma(\sigma) \\ \rho_{E,n}(\sigma) & \beta(\sigma) & \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathcal{B}.$$

We let a cochain $\zeta^\gamma : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mu_n$ be defined by $\zeta^\gamma(\sigma) = \zeta^{\gamma(\sigma)}$. One checks $B_{\alpha,\beta}^\gamma$ is a homomorphism if and only if

$$\gamma(\sigma\tau) = \chi(\sigma)\gamma(\tau) + \tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)\beta(\tau) + \gamma(\sigma),$$

if and only if

$$d(\zeta^\gamma)(\sigma, \tau) = e_n(\alpha \cup \beta).$$

This shows that $\overline{B}_{\alpha,\beta}$ lifts to a homomorphism $G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ if and only if $e_n(\alpha \cup \beta) \in Z^2(G_{F,S}, \mu_n)$ is a coboundary, if and only if $\langle [\alpha], [\beta] \rangle_{F,S,n} = 0$.

3.5. We make a few useful calculations. First, let $B_{\alpha,\beta}^0 = B_{\alpha,\beta} : G_{F,S} \rightarrow \mathcal{B}$ be the function defined above. Then

$$B_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma)B_{\alpha,\beta}(\tau) = C^{\tilde{\alpha}(\sigma)\beta(\tau)}B_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma\tau).$$

Also,

$$C^{\chi_n(\sigma)}B_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma) = B_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma)C,$$

so

$$B_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma)CB_{\alpha,\beta}(\sigma)^{-1} = C^{\chi_n(\sigma)}.$$

3.6. **Matrix calculations.** Let $E_{i,j}$ be the matrix with a 1 in the (i, j) entry and zeros elsewhere. For $i = 1, 2$, let $X_i = I + E_{1,i}$ and let $Y_i = I + E_{i,4}$. Then

$$[X_i, Y_j] = \begin{cases} C, & i = j, \\ 0, & i \neq j. \end{cases}$$

We define a subgroup

$$\mathcal{G} := \begin{bmatrix} 1 & * & * \\ & I & * \\ & & 1 \end{bmatrix} \subset \mathcal{B}.$$

The normal subgroup $\mathcal{N} \subset \mathcal{G}$ generated by $\{Y_1, Y_2\}$ is generated by $\{Y_1, Y_2, C\}$ and we have $\mathcal{N} \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)^3$ as groups. Let $G \subset \mathcal{G}$ be the subgroup generated by $\{X_1, X_2\}$. Then $G \cong (\mathbb{Z}/n)^2$, and

$$\mathcal{G} \cong \mathcal{N} \rtimes G.$$

We consider $\mathcal{N}$ as a $(\mathbb{Z}/n)[G]$ -module. Let G_i be the direct factor of G generated by X_i . We write the generator of G_i as g_i instead of X_i . Then we have an isomorphism of $(\mathbb{Z}/n)[G]$ -modules

$$\mathcal{N} \cong \frac{(\mathbb{Z}/n)[G_1]m_1 \oplus (\mathbb{Z}/n)[G_2]m_2}{((g_1 - 1)m_1 - (g_2 - 1)m_2)}.$$

4. MORE ON THE WEIL PAIRING

We recall the definition of the Weil pairing as given in [Sil09].

We assume that $K(E[n]) = K$. Recall that we have chosen a $(\mathbb{Z}/n)$ -basis $v_1, v_2 \in E[n]$ such that $e_n(v_1, v_2) = \zeta$ is a fixed primitive n th root of unity. Let $w_i \in E$ be such that $nw_i = v_i$. For $i \in \{1, 2\}$, choose rational functions $f_i, g_i \in \overline{K}(E)^\times$ such that

$$\operatorname{div}(f_i) = n[v_i] - n[0], \quad \operatorname{div}(g_i) = \sum_{z \in E[n]} [w_i + z] - [z].$$

We can choose $f_i, g_i \in K(E)^\times$ since their divisors are Galois invariant. One sees that $\operatorname{div}(g_i^n) = \operatorname{div}(f_i \circ [n])$, so we can rescale f so that

$$g_i^n = f_i \circ [n].$$

Note that since g_i is defined up to multiplication by $K^\times$, we have f_i is well-defined up to multiplication by $K^{\times n}$. Then by definition of the Weil pairing, we have

$$e_n(\alpha(\sigma), v_i) = e_n(\sigma\tilde{x} - \tilde{x}, v_i) = g_i(\sigma\tilde{x})/g_i(\tilde{x}) = \sigma(g_i(\tilde{x}))/g_i(\tilde{x}),$$

whenever $\tilde{x}$ is not in the support of $\operatorname{div}(g_i)$. If $\tilde{x} \in \operatorname{Supp}(\operatorname{div}(g_i))$, then either $\tilde{x} \in E[n]$ or $\tilde{x} - w_i \in E[n]$. In the former case, we have $x = n\tilde{x} = 0$, and in the latter case, $x = n\tilde{x} = nw_i = v_i$. So we assume that $x \notin \{0, v_1, v_2\}$, and similarly for y .

Now, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \sigma(g_1(\tilde{x}))/g_1(\tilde{x}) &= e_n(\alpha(\sigma), v_1) \\ &= e_n(\alpha_1(\sigma)v_1 + \alpha_2(\sigma)v_2, v_1) \\ &= \zeta^{-\alpha_2(\sigma)}, \\ \sigma(g_2(\tilde{x}))/g_2(\tilde{x}) &= e_n(\alpha(\sigma), v_2) \\ &= e_n(\alpha_1(\sigma)v_1 + \alpha_2(\sigma)v_2, v_2) \\ &= \zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma)}. \end{aligned}$$

So α_1 is the Kummer character associated to $g_2(\tilde{x})^n = f_2(x)$, and α_2 is the Kummer character associated to $-f_1(x)$. A similar computation works for y . Then

$$\begin{aligned} e_n^*(\alpha \cup \beta)(\sigma, \tau) \otimes \zeta &= e_n(\alpha(\sigma), \beta(\tau)) \otimes \zeta \\ &= \zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma)\beta_2(\tau) - \alpha_2(\sigma)\beta_1(\tau)} \otimes \zeta \\ &= (\zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma)} \otimes \zeta^{\beta_2(\tau)}) (\zeta^{\alpha_2(\sigma)} \otimes \zeta^{\beta_1(\tau)})^{-1} \\ \langle x, y \rangle &= (f_2(x), f_1(y))_S^{-1} (f_1(x), f_2(y))_S \\ &= (f_1(x), f_2(y))_S (f_1(y), f_2(x))_S, \end{aligned}$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_S$ is the pairing of Sharifi–McCallum:

$$(K^\times \cap K_S^{\times n}) \times (K^\times \cap K_S^{\times n}) \rightarrow H^2(G_{K,S}, \mu_n^{\otimes 2}).$$

We have thus shown the following theorem:

Theorem 4.1. *There exists K -rational maps $f_1, f_2 : E \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$ with*

$$\operatorname{div}(f_i) = n[v_i] - n[0]$$

such that $f_i \circ [n] \in K(E)^{\times n}$ and for $x, y \neq 0, v_1, v_2$, we have

$$\langle x, y \rangle = (f_1(x), f_2(y))_S (f_1(y), f_2(x))_S.$$

Example 4.2. Consider the Legendre family of elliptic curves

$$E_t := \{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)\}, \quad t \neq 0, 1.$$

We let $n = 2$. Let S be a set of primes containing those dividing $2t(1-t)$. We can choose $v_1 = (0, 0)$, $v_2 = (1, 0)$. Recall that the doubling map on x -coordinates is

$$x \mapsto \frac{(x^2 - t)^2}{4y^2}.$$

Then we may take $f_1 = x$ and $f_2 = x - 1$, and

$$g_1 = \frac{x^2 - t}{2y}, \quad g_2 = \frac{x^2 - 2x + t}{2y}.$$

Our pairing then takes

$$\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle = (x_1, x_2 - 1)_S (x_1 - 1, x_2)_S$$

However, the identity $(x, y - 1)_S + (x - 1, y)_S = 0$ does not hold for all $x, y \in K^\times$ (one can see this with Hilbert symbols). In fact, this identity does not even hold for the local pairings. We denote by $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$ the local pairings.

We do, however, have the following condition:

Lemma 4.3. *Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in E_t(K)$ such that $x_1, x_2 \neq 0, 1$. Then*

$$\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle_v = 1$$

for all places v of K .

Proof. We have

$$\langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle_v = (x_1, x_2 - 1)_v (x_2, x_1 - 1)_v$$

where $(\cdot, \cdot)_v$ denotes the Hilbert symbol. Recall that the quadratic Hilbert symbol is bilinear and symmetric and satisfies, for $a, b \in K^\times - 1$,

$$(a, 1 - a)_v = 1, \quad (a^2, b)_v = 1.$$

We verify several identities from these. For instance, for $a \neq b$,

$$\begin{aligned} (a, -a)_v &= (1/a, -1/a)_v \\ &= (1/a, -1/a)_v (a, 1 - a)_v \\ &= (1/a, -1/a)_v (1/a, 1 - a)_v \\ &= (1/a, 1 - 1/a)_v \\ &= 1, \\ (a, b - a)_v (b, a - b)_v (a, b)_v &= (a, b - a)_v (b, a - b)_v (a, b)_v (a - b, b - a)_v \\ &= (a(a - b), b(b - a))_v \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{a - b}, \frac{-b}{a - b} \right)_v \\ &= \left(\frac{a}{a - b}, 1 - \frac{a}{a - b} \right)_v \\ &= 1. \end{aligned}$$

In our setting, we have

$$\begin{aligned} y_1^2 &= x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - t) = x_1^2(x_1 - 1) - x_1(x_1 - 1)t, \\ y_2^2 &= x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - t) = x_2^2(x_2 - 1) - x_2(x_2 - 1)t. \end{aligned}$$

Taking a linear combination of these equations yields

$$x_2(x_2 - 1)y_1^2 - x_1(x_1 - 1)y_2^2 = x_1x_2(x_1 - x_2)(x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1).$$

Letting $Y_i = (x_1 x_2 (x_1 - 1)(x_2 - 1)(x_1 - x_2))^2$ for $i = 1, 2$, we have

$$x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - x_2)Y_1^2 + x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - x_1)Y_2^2 = 1.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 &= (x_1(x_1 - 1)(x_1 - x_2), x_2(x_2 - 1)(x_2 - x_1))_v \\ &= (x_1, x_2)_v (x_1, x_2 - 1)_v (x_1, x_2 - x_1)_v \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 - 1, x_2)_v (x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1)_v (x_1 - 1, x_2 - x_1)_v \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 - x_2, x_2)_v (x_1 - x_2, x_2 - 1)_v (x_1 - x_2, x_2 - x_1)_v \\ &= (x_1, x_2 - 1)_v (x_2, x_1 - 1)_v (x_1 - x_2, x_2 - x_1)_v \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1, x_2)_v (x_1, x_2 - x_1)_v (x_2, x_1 - x_2)_v \\ &\quad \cdot (x_1 - 1, x_2 - x_1)_v (x_2 - 1, x_1 - x_2)_v (x_1 - 1, x_2 - 1)_v \\ &= (x_1, x_2 - 1)_v (x_2, x_1 - 1)_v \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \cdot 1 \\ &= \langle (x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \rangle_v. \end{aligned}$$

□

We also wish to $\langle v_1, v_2 \rangle$, so we derive an alternate formula in this particular case. Let $f_3, g_3 \in K(E)^\times$ correspond to $v_1 + v_2$ as above. Then

$$\sigma(g_3(\tilde{x}))/g_3(\tilde{x}) = e_n(\alpha(\sigma), v_1 + v_2) = \zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma) - \alpha_2(\sigma)}.$$

This formula applies for $x \neq 0, v_1 + v_2$. For $x \neq v_2$, we have

$$\sigma(g_2(\tilde{x}))/g_2(\tilde{x}) = e_n(\alpha(\sigma), v_2) = \zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma)}.$$

So ζ^{α_1} is the Kummer character associated to $f_2(x)$.

$$\zeta^{\alpha_2(\sigma)} = \zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma)} \zeta^{\alpha_2(\sigma) - \alpha_1(\sigma)} = \frac{\sigma(g_2(\tilde{x}))}{g_2(\tilde{x})} \cdot \frac{g_3(\tilde{x})}{\sigma(g_3(\tilde{x}))}.$$

So ζ^{α_2} is the Kummer character associated to $f_2(x)/f_3(x)$. For $y \neq v_1$, we have

$$\tau(g_1(\tilde{y}))/g_1(\tilde{y}) = e_n(\beta(\tau), v_1) = \zeta^{-\beta_2(\tau)}.$$

So ζ^{β_2} is the Kummer character associated to $1/f_1(y)$. Furthermore,

$$\zeta^{\beta_1(\tau)} = \zeta^{\beta_1(\tau) - \beta_2(\tau)} \zeta^{\beta_2(\tau)} = \frac{\tau(g_3(\tilde{y}))}{g_3(\tilde{y})} \frac{g_1(\tilde{y})}{\tau(g_1(\tilde{y}))}.$$

So ζ^{β_1} is the Kummer character associated to $f_3(y)/f_1(y)$. So for $x = v_1$ and $y = v_2$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_n^*(\alpha \cup \beta)(\sigma, \tau) \otimes \zeta &= (\zeta^{\alpha_1(\sigma)} \otimes \zeta^{\beta_2(\tau)}) (\zeta^{\alpha_2(\sigma)} \otimes \zeta^{\beta_1(\tau)})^{-1}, \\ \langle v_1, v_2 \rangle \otimes \zeta &= (f_2(v_1), f_1(v_2)^{-1})_S (f_2(v_1) f_3(v_1)^{-1}, f_3(v_2) f_1(v_2)^{-1})_S^{-1} \\ &= (f_2(v_1), f_1(v_2)^{-1})_S (f_2(v_1) f_3(v_1)^{-1}, f_1(v_2) f_3(v_2)^{-1})_S \\ &= (f_3(v_1), f_3(v_2))_S (f_1(v_2), f_3(v_1))_S (f_2(v_1), f_3(v_2))_S^{-1}. \end{aligned}$$

In the Legendre elliptic curve case, this says

$$\langle (0, 0), (1, 0) \rangle = (-t, 1 - t)_S (1, -t)_S (-1, 1 - t)_S = (t, 1 - t)_S = 0$$

since the primes dividing $t(1 - t)$ are contained in S .

5. LOCAL VANISHING

In this section, we prove that the pairing

$$E(K) \times E(K) \rightarrow H^2(G_{K,S}, \mu_n)$$

always has image in the image of

$$\mathrm{Cl}_{K,S} / n \mathrm{Cl}_{K,S} \rightarrow H^2(G_{K,S}, \mu_n).$$

Recall the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathrm{Cl}_{K,S} / n \mathrm{Cl}_{K,S} \rightarrow H^2(G_{K,S}, \mu_n) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}_S(K)[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

For $a, b \in K^\times / K^{\times n}$, we recall that the Kummer map followed by the cup product gives us the element $(a, b)_S \in H^2(G_{K,S}, \mu_n)$. We denote its image in $\mathrm{Br}_S(K)$ by $(a, b)_K$. This is the *norm-residue symbol*.

Recall also that we have maps

$$f_1, f_2 : E(K) \rightarrow (K^\times \cap K_S^{\times n}) / K^{\times n}$$

such that for $P, Q \in E(K)$,

$$\langle P, Q \rangle = (f_1(P), f_2(Q))_S (f_1(Q), f_2(P))_S.$$

The *period-index obstruction* is a map

$$\Delta : H^1(G_K, E[n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Br}(K)$$

that vanishes on the image of the Kummer map by [CS10, §2.2]. We have an isomorphism $H^1(G_K, E[n]) \cong (K^\times / K^{\times n})^2$ such that the Kummer map $\kappa : E(K)/n \rightarrow H^1(G_K, E[n])$ becomes identified with the map $P \mapsto (f_1(P), f_2(P))$.

Proposition 5.1. *There exists $C_1, C_2 \in K^\times / K^{\times n}$ such that for all $a, b \in K^\times / K^{\times n}$,*

$$\Delta(a, b) = (C_1 a, C_2 b)_K - (C_1, C_2)_K.$$

Proof. See [Cla05, Thm 6]. □

Combined with the vanishing of Δ on the image of the Kummer map, we have the following:

Proposition 5.2. *For all $P, Q \in E(K)$, we have*

$$\langle P, Q \rangle \in \mathrm{Cl}_{K,S} / n.$$

Proof. It suffices to show that

$$(f_1(P), f_2(Q))_K + (f_1(Q), f_2(P))_K = 0.$$

From Proposition 5.1 and vanishing of Δ on the image of κ , we have

$$\begin{aligned} (f_1(P), f_2(P))_K &= (C_1 f_1(P), C_2 f_2(P))_K - (C_1, C_2)_K - (C_1, f_2(P))_K - (f_1(P), C_2)_K \\ &= \Delta(\kappa(P)) + (f_2(P), C_1)_K + (C_2, f_1(P))_K \\ &= 0 + (f_2(P), C_1)_K + (C_2, f_1(P))_K. \end{aligned}$$

We have

$$\begin{aligned}
(f_1(P), f_2(Q))_K + (f_1(Q), f_2(P))_K &= (f_1(P)f_1(Q), f_2(P)f_2(Q))_K - (f_1(P), f_2(P))_K \\
&\quad - (f_1(Q), f_2(Q))_K \\
&= (f_1(P+Q), f_2(P+Q))_K - (f_1(P), f_2(P))_K \\
&\quad - (f_1(Q), f_2(Q))_K \\
&= (f_2(P+Q), C_1)_K + (C_2, f_1(P+Q))_K \\
&\quad - (f_2(P), C_1)_K + (C_2, f_1(P))_K \\
&\quad - (f_2(Q), C_1)_K + (C_2, f_1(Q))_K \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

6. A FORMULA IN THE LEGENDRE CASE

As above, let $E = \{y^2 = x(x-1)(x-t)\}$ be a Legendre elliptic curve. Let $(x_1, y_1), (x_2, y_2) \in E(K)$. Sharifi notes that

$$\begin{aligned}
(x_1, x_2 - 1)_S + (x_2, x_1 - 1)_S &= \left(x_1, \frac{x_2 - 1}{1 - x_1}\right)_S + \left(x_2, \frac{x_1 - 1}{1 - x_2}\right)_S + (x_1, 1 - x_1)_S + (x_2, 1 - x_2)_S \\
&= \left(\frac{x_1}{x_2}, \frac{x_2 - 1}{1 - x_1}\right)_S + (x_1, 1 - x_1)_S + (x_2, 1 - x_2)_S.
\end{aligned}$$

The latter two terms vanish locally, so the first term must also vanish locally. We can then apply the Sharifi–McCallum formula.

Lemma 6.1. *There is an isomorphism*

$$\Phi_K := \Phi_{K,S} := \Phi_{K,S,n} : (K^\times \cap K_S^{\times n}) / O_{K,S}^\times K^{\times n} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{K,S}[n]$$

such that if $I \subset O_{K,S}$ is an ideal with $I^n = aO_{K,S}$, then

$$\Phi_{K,S}(a) = [I].$$

Proof. The Kummer sequence

$$1 \rightarrow \mu_n \rightarrow O_S^\times \xrightarrow{n} O_S^\times \rightarrow 1$$

gives an exact sequence

$$1 \rightarrow O_{K,S}^\times / O_{K,S}^{\times n} \rightarrow H^1(G_{K,S}, \mu_n) \rightarrow \text{Cl}_{K,S}[n] \rightarrow 0.$$

We have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
1 & \longrightarrow & O_{K,S}^\times / O_{K,S}^{\times n} & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{K,S}, \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & \text{Cl}_{K,S}[n] \longrightarrow 0 \\
& & \downarrow & & \downarrow = & & \downarrow \\
1 & \longrightarrow & (K^\times \cap K_S^{\times n}) / K^{\times n} & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_{K,S}, \mu_n) & \longrightarrow & 0
\end{array}$$

Applying the snake lemma will give the desired isomorphism $\Phi_{K,S}$. Given $[I] \in \text{Cl}_{K,S}[n]$, recall that we lift to $H^1(G_{K,S}, \mu_n)$ as follows: we write $IO_S = \alpha O_S$ for some $\alpha \in O_S$. The cocycle $G_{K,S} \rightarrow O_S^\times$ given by $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\alpha/\alpha$ has class in $H^1(G_{K,S}, O_S^\times)[n]$ corresponding to

$[I] \in \text{Cl}_{K,S}$. In particular, if we write $I^n = aO_{K,S}$, then $\alpha^n O_S = aO_S$, so there exists $\epsilon_0 \in O_S^\times$ such that $\alpha^n = a\epsilon_0$. Then for $\sigma \in G_{K,S}$, we have

$$(\sigma\alpha/\alpha)^n = \sigma\alpha^n/\alpha^n = \sigma\epsilon_0/\epsilon_0.$$

Since the n th power map $O_S^\times \xrightarrow{n} O_S^\times$ is surjective, we may find $\epsilon \in O_S^\times$ such that $\epsilon^n = \epsilon_0$. Then $\sqrt[n]{a} := \alpha/\epsilon \in K_S^\times$ is an n th root of a , and the cocycle $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\alpha/\alpha$ is cohomologous with $\sigma \mapsto \sigma\sqrt[n]{a}/\sqrt[n]{a}$, which is the image of a in $H^1(G_{K,S}, \mu_n)$. It follows that $\Phi_{K,S}(a) = [I]$. $\square$

Lemma 6.2. *Let L/K be a finite Galois extension. Then Φ_L is Galois equivariant.*

Proof. Let $\sigma \in G_{L/K}$, let $[I] \in \text{Cl}_{L,S}$, and let $b \in L^\times \cap L_S^{\times n}$ be such that $I^n = bO_{L,S}$. Then $\Phi_L(b) = [I]$. Note also that

$$(I^\sigma)^n = \sigma(I^n) = \sigma b O_{L,S},$$

so

$$\Phi_L(\sigma b) = \sigma[I] = \sigma\Phi_L(b). \quad \square$$

We return to the case $n = 2$.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $a, 1 - a \in K^\times \cap K_S^{\times 2}$. Then*

$$(a, 1 - a)_S = [\mathfrak{a}] \in \text{Cl}_{K,S}/2$$

where $\mathfrak{a}^2$ is the fractional ideal $(1, a)O_{K,S}$.

Proof. Let $L = K(\sqrt{a})$, and let $\gamma = 1 - \sqrt{a} \in L$. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subset K$ be a fractional ideal such that

$$(1 - a)O_{K,S} = \mathfrak{b}^2,$$

and let $\mathfrak{c}$ be a fractional ideal of L such that

$$\gamma O_{L,S} = \mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{1-\sigma}.$$

By the formula of McCallum–Sharifi, we have

$$(a, 1 - a)_S = [\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{1+\sigma}].$$

Now let $\mathfrak{p}$ be a prime of K not in S and $\mathfrak{P} \subset L$ a prime above $\mathfrak{p}$. In particular, $\mathfrak{p}$ is unramified in L and does not divide 2. Then

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{1+\sigma}) = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{1+\sigma}) \equiv v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{1-\sigma}) = v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma) \pmod{2}.$$

Note that this implies that

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma) \equiv v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma^\sigma) \pmod{2}.$$

The minimal polynomial of γ over K is $x^2 - 2x + 1 - a$. Let $\mathfrak{a}$ be the fractional ideal such that $\mathfrak{a}^2 = (1, a)O_{K,S} = (1, 1 - a)O_{K,S}$. Then by considering the Newton polygon of $x^2 - 2x + 1 - a$ at $\mathfrak{P}$.

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma) &\equiv \min\{v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma), v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma^\sigma)\} \pmod{2} \\ &= \min\left\{v_{\mathfrak{P}}(2), \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{P}}(1 - a)\right\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \min\{0, v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1 - a)\} \\ &= \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}((1, 1 - a)) \\ &= v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}). \end{aligned}$$

So as elements of $\text{Cl}_{K,S}/2$, we have $[\mathfrak{b}\mathfrak{c}^{1+\sigma}] = [\mathfrak{a}]$. $\square$

The more general proposition is as follows:

Proposition 6.4. *Let $n \geq 2$. Let $a, 1-a \in K^\times \cap K_S^{\times n}$. If n is odd, then $(a, 1-a)_S = 0$. If n is even, then $(a, 1-a)_S = [\mathfrak{a}]$, where $\mathfrak{a}^2 = (a, 1-a)_{O_{K,S}}$ as fractional ideals.*

Proof. Let $d = [L : K]$. Let σ be a generator for $G_{L/K}$, and let ζ be a primitive n th root of unity such that $\sigma \sqrt[n]{a} = \zeta^{n/d} \sqrt[n]{a}$. We note that

$$1-a = \prod_{i=0}^{n-1} (1 - \zeta^i \sqrt[n]{a}) = \prod_{i=0}^{d-1} \prod_{j=0}^{n/d-1} (1 - \zeta^{j+(n/d)i} \sqrt[n]{a}) = N_{L/K} \left(\prod_{j=0}^{n/d-1} (1 - \zeta^j \sqrt[n]{a}) \right).$$

Accordingly, we let $\gamma = \prod_{j=0}^{n/d-1} (1 - \zeta^j \sqrt[n]{a})$.

Let $\mathfrak{c} \subset L$ be a fractional $O_{L,S}$ ideal such that

$$\gamma O_{L,S} = \mathfrak{c}^{1-\sigma} \mathfrak{b}^{n/d}.$$

We have

$$\mathfrak{c}^\sigma = \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{b}^{n/d} \gamma^{-1}.$$

Inductively, this implies that

$$\mathfrak{c}^{\sigma^i} = \mathfrak{c} \mathfrak{b}^{ni/d} \prod_{j=1}^i \gamma^{-\sigma^{j-1}}$$

Now suppose that n is odd. Then $(a, 1-a)_S = [N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}] \otimes \zeta^{n/d}$. Let $\mathfrak{P}$ be a prime of L over a prime $\mathfrak{p}$ of K not in S . In particular, $\mathfrak{p}$ does not divide n and $\mathfrak{P}$ is unramified over $\mathfrak{p}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathfrak{P}}(N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) &= v_{\mathfrak{P}}(N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^d v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{c}^{\sigma^i}) \\ &= dv_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{c}) + \sum_{i=1}^d \frac{ni}{d} v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{b}) - \sum_{i=1}^d \sum_{j=1}^i v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma^{\sigma^{j-1}}) \\ &= dv_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{c}) + \frac{n(d+1)}{2} v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{b}) - \sum_{j=1}^d \sum_{i=j}^d v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma^{\sigma^{j-1}}) \\ &\equiv dv_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{c}) + \sum_{j=1}^d j v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma^{\sigma^{j-1}}) - (d+1) \sum_{j=1}^d v_{\mathfrak{P}}(\gamma^{\sigma^{j-1}}) \pmod{n} \\ &\equiv dv_{\mathfrak{P}}(\mathfrak{c}) + \sum_{i=1}^d i \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} v_{\mathfrak{P}}(1 - \zeta^{j+(n/d)(i-1)} \sqrt[n]{a}). \end{aligned}$$

Suppose further that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) \leq 0$. By considering the Newton polygon of $(1-x)^n - a$, we have that

$$v_{\mathfrak{P}}(1 - \zeta^{j+(n/d)(i-1)} \sqrt[n]{a}) = \frac{1}{n} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a).$$

Then

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) \equiv dv_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{c}) + \frac{(d+1)}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

If $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) > 0$, then similar Newton polygon considerations show that $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1 - \zeta^k \sqrt[n]{a})$ is divisible by d for all k . So

$$(a, 1-a)_S = [N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}] \otimes \zeta^{n/d} = 0.$$

Now suppose that n is even. Then

$$(a, 1-a) = ([N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}] + \frac{n}{2}[\mathfrak{b}]) \otimes \zeta^{n/d}.$$

A similar calculation to the above yields

$$\begin{aligned} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}^{n/2}N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) &= v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}^{n/2}N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) \\ &\equiv \frac{n}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}) + \frac{n(d+1)}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}) + \sum_{i=1}^d i \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1 - \zeta^{j+(n/d)(i-1)} \sqrt[n]{a}) \pmod{d} \\ &= \frac{d+2}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) + \sum_{i=1}^d i \sum_{j=0}^{n/d-1} v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1 - \zeta^{j+(n/d)(i-1)} \sqrt[n]{a}) \pmod{d}. \end{aligned}$$

If $v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) < 0$, then

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}^{n/2}N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) \equiv \frac{d+2}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) + \frac{d+1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) \equiv \frac{1}{2}v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) \pmod{d}.$$

Otherwise,

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}^{n/2}N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) \equiv (d+2) \frac{v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a)}{2} \equiv v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a) \equiv 0 \pmod{d}.$$

So

$$v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{b}^{n/2}N_{L/K}\mathfrak{c}) \equiv \frac{1}{2} \min\{0, v_{\mathfrak{p}}(1-a)\} = v_{\mathfrak{p}}(\mathfrak{a}) \pmod{d}.$$

□

REFERENCES

- [Cla05] Pete L. Clark. The period-index problem in WC-groups. I. Elliptic curves. *J. Number Theory*, 114(1):193–208, 2005.
- [CS10] Pete L. Clark and Shahed Sharif. Period, index and potential. III. *Algebra Number Theory*, 4(2):151–174, 2010.
- [MS03] William G. McCallum and Romyar T. Sharifi. A cup product in the Galois cohomology of number fields. *Duke Math. J.*, 120(2):269–310, 2003.
- [NSW08] Jürgen Neukirch, Alexander Schmidt, and Kay Wingberg. *Cohomology of number fields*, volume 323 of *Grundlehren der mathematischen Wissenschaften [Fundamental Principles of Mathematical Sciences]*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, second edition, 2008.
- [Sil09] Joseph H. Silverman. *The arithmetic of elliptic curves*, volume 106 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer, Dordrecht, second edition, 2009.