

Hodge-Tate decomposition

§1. Cartier duality

Let $\mathcal{O} \in (\text{Ring})$

M finite flat / \mathcal{O}

$M^* = \mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}(M, \mathcal{O})$, also finite flat

Then $M \xrightarrow[\sim]{\text{ev}} M^{**}$ iso, as these are locally free.

Now, let $G = \text{Spec } A$ be a finite flat group scheme / \mathcal{O} .

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O} & \xrightleftharpoons[\varepsilon]{e} & A & \xrightleftharpoons[m]{\mu} & A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A \\ & & \uparrow \mathcal{O}_1 & & \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \mathcal{O} & \xrightleftharpoons[\varepsilon^*]{e^*} & A^* & \xrightleftharpoons[m^*]{\mu^*} & A^* \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A^* \\ & & \uparrow \mathcal{O} & & \end{array}$$

The Hopf algebra axioms are symmetric.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A & \xrightarrow{e \otimes \text{id}} & A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A \\ \searrow \text{id} & & \downarrow \mu \\ & & A \end{array} \qquad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{O} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A & \xleftarrow{\varepsilon \otimes \text{id}} & A \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} A \\ & & \uparrow \mu \\ & & A \end{array}$$

Def. Then let $G^* = \text{Spec } A^*$, a finite flat group scheme / \mathcal{O}

Facts, - G a finite group / \mathcal{O} . Then $\mathcal{O}[G]^* = \mathcal{O}^G$, so $M_{n, \mathcal{O}}^* = \frac{\mathbb{Z}[n\mathbb{Z}]}{\mathcal{O}}$

- $(G^*)^* = G$

- $G \longrightarrow G^*$ is exact

Lemma. Let R be an \mathcal{O} -algebra. Then

$$G^*(R) = \text{HomSch}_R[G_R, G_m, R]$$

Pf. By base change wlog take $R = \mathcal{O}$.

Let $f \in G^*(\mathcal{O}) = \mathcal{O}\text{-Alg}(A^*, \mathcal{O}) \subseteq A^{**} \xrightarrow{\text{ev}} A$

So $f = \text{ev}_a$ for a unique $a \in A$. When is this an \mathcal{O} -algebra map?

In A^* $\alpha\beta = \alpha\beta \circ \mathcal{M}$

$$\therefore \text{ev}_a(\alpha\beta) = (\alpha\beta)(\mathcal{M}(a))$$

$$\alpha \circ \alpha \text{ ev}_a(\alpha) \text{ ev}_a(\beta) = \alpha(a)\beta(a) = (\alpha\beta)(a\alpha)$$

So $\mathcal{M}(a) = a\alpha$

Similarly, $\text{ev}_a(1) = 1 \iff \varepsilon(a) = 1$

Thus $G^*(\mathcal{O}) = \{a \in A \mid \mathcal{M}(a) = a\alpha, \varepsilon(a) = 1\}$

$= \text{Hom}(\mathcal{O}[G, +], A)$

$= \text{Hom}(G, G_m)$

□

Def. Let $G = \varinjlim_v G_v$ be a p -divisible group.

Then let $G^* = \varinjlim_v G_v^*$

e.g. $M_p^\infty, \theta = \frac{\mathbb{Q}_p}{\mathbb{Z}_p} \theta$

Fact. $\dim G + \dim G^* = \text{ht}(G) = \text{ht}(G^*)$

(Here $\dim G = \dim G^0 = \#$ power series variables)

pf idea. work over the perfect residue field. Let $F: G \rightarrow G^{(p)}$ be the Frobenius. The Verschiebung $G^{(p)} \xrightarrow{v} G$ is defined

a) $(F_{G^*})^*$

Then $VF = [p]$, $FV = [p]$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \text{ker}(F) & \rightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{F} & G^{(p)} \rightarrow 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow [p] & & \downarrow V \\ 0 & \rightarrow & 0 & \rightarrow & G & \xrightarrow{\text{id}} & G \rightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

R2 Snake, $0 \rightarrow \text{ker}(F) \rightarrow \text{ker}[p] \rightarrow \text{ker}(V) \rightarrow 0$
 $\quad \quad \quad p \dim G \quad \quad p \text{ht}(G) \quad \quad p \dim G^*$

Tangent spaces and logs

Setup, K complete discrete valuation field of char 0

$$\mathcal{O}_K = \widehat{K_{alg}}$$

$$\mathcal{O} = \mathcal{O}_K$$

$$R = \mathcal{O}_K$$

G a p -div grp / \mathcal{O}_K

$$= \varinjlim G_n, \quad G_n = \text{Spec } A_n$$

Def. Let M be an \mathcal{O} -module, we let

$$t_G(M) := t_{G^0}(M) = \mathcal{O}\text{-Mod}(\mathbb{Z}/I^2, M)$$

with $I = \text{Ker}(\Sigma) \subseteq A^0 = \varprojlim_n A_n^0$ the augmentation ideal. This is the tangent space of G with values in M .

Def. In the above setting, $t_G^*(M) = I/I^2 \otimes_{\mathcal{O}} M$, the cotangent space.

Now, recall $1 \longrightarrow G^0(R) \longrightarrow G(R) \longrightarrow G^{\text{ét}}(R) \longrightarrow 1$
 || (as G_n are finite)
 $G^{\text{ét}}(\mathcal{O}_K)$
 || ?
 $\mathbb{Q}_p / \mathbb{Z}_p \quad \text{ht}(G)$
 \mathcal{O}_K

$\sum_0 \quad f(R)/G^{\sigma}(R)$ is torsion

Thus $\forall f \in G(R)$ and $\forall i > 0$, $f p^i \in G^{\sigma}(R)$.
 Cont Alg (A^{σ}, R)

Def. $\log_G(f)(a) = \lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} \frac{f p^i(a)}{p^i}$

- Lemma. - This converges in \mathcal{O}_K for $a \in I$
 - This vanishes for $a \in I^2$
 - $\log_G(fg) = \log_G(f) + \log_G(g)$

Thus, $\log_G : G(R) \rightarrow \mathfrak{t}_G(\mathcal{O}_K)$ \mathbb{Z}_p -linear
 $\{ |f| \vee |f(a)| \geq \lambda \ \forall a \in I^2 \}$

Lemma. - $\log_G : F^{\lambda} G^{\sigma}(R) \xrightarrow{\sim} \{ \tau \in \mathfrak{t}_G(\mathcal{O}_K) \mid \forall (a) \geq \lambda \ \forall a \in I^2 \}$

for $\lambda > \frac{v(p)}{p-1}$.

- $1 \rightarrow G(R)_{tors} \rightarrow G(R) \xrightarrow{\log} \mathfrak{t}_G(\mathcal{O}_K) \rightarrow 0$,

a G_K -equivariant SES.

e.g. $G = \mathcal{M}_{p^{\infty}, \sigma}$. Then $G(R) = 1 + \mathcal{M}_R$ where $G(R)_{tors} = \mathcal{M}_{p^{\infty}}(R)$.

$1 \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{p^{\infty}}(R) \rightarrow 1 + \mathcal{M}_R \xrightarrow{\log} \mathcal{O}_K \rightarrow 0$

This is the usual p-adic log!

§ Hodge - Tate

Thm. Let G be a p -divisible group / \mathcal{O} .

$$\mathrm{Hom}(T_p(G), \mathbb{G}_m) \cong t_{G^*}(\mathbb{G}_m) \oplus t_G^*(\mathbb{G}_m)(-1)$$

Recall $T_p(G) = \varprojlim_n G_n(\mathbb{G}_m) = \varprojlim_n G_n(\mathbb{R})$

and $Z_p(1) = T_p(\mathcal{A}_{p^\infty})$ the cyclotomic character.

Rmk. The Tate module is dual to $H^1_{\mathrm{ét}}$, which has a comparison to complex de Rham cohomology.

$$H^1 \cong \underbrace{H^{0,1}}_{\substack{\text{with } (1,1) \\ \text{Kähler form}}} \oplus H^{1,0}$$

We blackbox the following

$$\mathrm{Thm}(\text{Tate-Sen}), H^i(\mathbb{K}, \mathbb{G}_m(j)) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{K} & i=0,1 \text{ and } j=0 \\ 0 & \text{o/w} \end{cases}$$

Onto the proof.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{First, } T_p(G^\#) &= \varprojlim_v G_v^\#(R) \\
 &= \varprojlim_v \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sch}_R(G_v, R), M_{p^v, R}) \quad (\text{as } G_v \text{ is } p^v \text{ torsion}) \\
 &= p\text{-Div}_{/R}(G_R, M_{p^\infty, R})
 \end{aligned}$$

Taking R -points we get a map

$$T_p(G^\#) \cong p\text{-Div}_{/R}(G_R, M_{p^\infty, R})$$

$$\begin{array}{c}
 \downarrow \alpha \\
 \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(G(R), M_{p^\infty}(R)) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(G(R), 1+m_R)
 \end{array}$$

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \text{including } G(R) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^\#), 1+m_R) \\
 g \longmapsto & & (z \longmapsto \alpha(z)(g))
 \end{array}$$

Similarly, taking tangent spaces yields a map

$$T_p(G^\#) \longrightarrow \mathbb{G}_k\text{-Mod}(t_G(G_k), t_{M_{p^\infty, \theta}}(G_k))$$

$$\text{where a map } t_G(G_k) \xrightarrow{d\alpha} \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^\#), G_k)$$

In summary, we have the following G_K -invariant diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 1 & \longrightarrow & \hat{G}(R)_{\text{tors}} & \longrightarrow & \hat{G}(R) & \xrightarrow{\log} & t_{\hat{G}}(G_K) \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow d_0 & & \downarrow d & & \downarrow dd \\
 1 & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), M_{p \times p}(R)) & \longrightarrow & \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), M_{p \times p}(R)) & \xrightarrow{\log} & \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), G_K) \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

Step 1. d_0 is an isomorphism.

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{pf. } \hat{G}(R)_{\text{tors}} &= \varinjlim_v G_v(G_K) \\
 &= \varinjlim_v \text{GrpSch}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(G_v^*, G_K, M_{p \times p}, G_K) \\
 &= \varinjlim_v \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(G_v^*(G_K), M_{p \times p}(G_K)) \\
 &= \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}\left(\varinjlim_v G_v^*(G_K), \varinjlim_v M_{p \times p}(G_K)\right) \\
 &= \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), M_{p \times p}(R)) \quad \square
 \end{aligned}$$

Step 2. d, dd are injective.

pf. As G is an iso, the snake lemma shows that

$$\ker(d) \xrightarrow{\log} \ker(dd)$$

is an iso.

Idea. Reduce to showing injectivity of an \mathbb{Z}_p -Mod($G(\mathcal{O})$).

Step 3. Take Galois invariants

$$d\alpha_K: \mathfrak{g}(d_K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{g}_K]\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), 1+m_K)$$

$$dd_K: \mathfrak{g}(K) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{Z}_p[\mathfrak{g}_K]\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), \mathbb{F}_K)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \text{pf. } 1 \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}(K) & \xrightarrow{\alpha} & \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), 1+m_K) & \longrightarrow & \text{cok}(\alpha) & \longrightarrow 1 \\ & \downarrow \text{Gal} & & \downarrow \text{Gal} & & \downarrow \sim (\text{snake}) & \\ 0 \longrightarrow & \mathfrak{g}_K(\mathfrak{g}_K) & \xrightarrow{d\alpha} & \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), \mathbb{F}_K) & \longrightarrow & \text{cok}(d\alpha) & \longrightarrow 0 \end{array}$$

Take Galois fixed parts. Then we show $d\alpha_K$ onto.

$$W = \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G), \mathbb{F}_K)$$

$$U = \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), \mathbb{F}_K)$$

$$0 \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}(K) \xrightarrow{d\alpha_K} U^{\mathfrak{g}_K} \text{ so } \dim(U^{\mathfrak{g}_K}) \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}(K) = \dim \mathfrak{g},$$

$$\text{OTOH, } \dim(W^{\mathfrak{g}_K}) \geq \dim \mathfrak{g}^{\vee}$$

$$\text{so } \dim(U^{\mathfrak{g}_K}) + \dim(W^{\mathfrak{g}_K}) \geq \text{ht}(\mathfrak{g})$$

We claim the reverse inequality holds.

$$\text{we have } \mathfrak{g}_K\text{-iso } T_p(G) \cong \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G^*), \mathbb{Z}_p(1)),$$

$$\text{hence a } \mathfrak{g}_K\text{-pairing } T_p(\mathfrak{g}) \otimes T_p(\mathfrak{g}^*) \longrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p(1),$$

$$\text{hence a } \mathfrak{g}_K\text{-pairing } U \otimes W \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_K(-1),$$

$$\text{Take } \mathfrak{g}_K\text{-invariants } U^{\mathfrak{g}_K} \otimes W^{\mathfrak{g}_K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{F}_K(1)^{\mathfrak{g}_K} \rightarrow 0 \text{ by Tate-Sen.}$$

Thus, $V^{g_K} \otimes \mathbb{C}_K \perp W^{g_K} \otimes \mathbb{C}_K$, so the sum of their dimensions is $\leq \dim V = h^1(g)$, as $T_p(G)$ is a free \mathbb{Z}_p -module of rank $h^1(g)$. \square

Step 4, Hodge Tate

As before, $V^{g_K} \cong t_{g^*}(K)$
 $W^{g_K} \cong t_{g^*}(K)$

Tensoring up to \mathbb{C}_K yields

$$V^{g_K} \otimes \mathbb{C}_K \cong t_{g^*}(\mathbb{C}_K)$$

$$W^{g_K} \otimes \mathbb{C}_K \cong t_{g^*}(\mathbb{C}_K)$$

which are orthogonal under the pairing to $\mathbb{C}_K(-1)$

$$0 \rightarrow t_{g^*}(\mathbb{C}_K) \xrightarrow{d} \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(T_p(G), \mathbb{C}_K) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_K\text{-Mod}(t_{g^*}(\mathbb{C}_K), \mathbb{C}_K(-1))$$

$\dim g^*$ $h^1(g)$ $\dim g$

They add up, so it's exact on the right

Now, we must show this split. Indeed,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Ext}^1(t_{g^*}(\mathbb{C}_K)(-1), t_{g^*}(\mathbb{C}_K)) &\cong \text{Ext}^1(\mathbb{C}_K(-1)^{\dim g}, \mathbb{C}_K^{\dim g^*}) \\ &\cong H^1(K, \mathbb{C}_K(-1))^{\dim g} (\dim g^*) \\ &= 0 \quad \text{by Tate-Sen} \end{aligned}$$

\square

Cor. Let A be an abelian variety / k with good reduction.

$$\text{Then } H_{\text{ét}}^n(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{O}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_k \cong \bigoplus_{i=0}^n H^i(A, \mathcal{R}_{A/k}^i) \otimes_k \mathbb{Q}_k(-i)$$

Pf. Let A be the abelian scheme / \mathcal{O} w/ generic fiber $A_k = A$.

(dims, i) $A^*(\mathbb{P}^\infty) = A[\mathbb{P}^\infty]^*$, Cartier duality and exactness.

$$(ii) H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{O}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p\text{-Mod}(\tau_p A[\mathbb{P}^\infty], \mathbb{Z}_p) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$$

(iii) $A[\mathbb{P}^\infty]^0$ is the formal completion of A at its unit e .

$$(iv) H^0(A, \mathcal{R}_{A/k}^i) \cong t_e^{\uparrow}(A)$$

$$(v) H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \cong t_e(A^*)$$

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} \mathcal{O}_A & \xrightarrow{f_1} & H^1 \mathcal{O}_A & \xrightarrow{f_2} & \mathcal{O}_{A_k \times \mathbb{Z}_p} & \xrightarrow{f_3} & \mathcal{O}_A^* \rightarrow 1 \\ & & \uparrow & & \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ & & \mathcal{O}_A & & \mathcal{O}_A & & \mathcal{O}_A \end{array}$$

→ deformation of the trivial bundle on A

$$(vi) H_{\text{ét}}^*(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{O}_p) \cong \bigwedge^{\uparrow} H_{\text{ét}}^1(A_{\bar{k}}, \mathcal{O}_p)$$

topological, Künneth on $(S^1)^{2g}$

Dolbeault: $d\bar{z}_1, \dots, d^g \bar{z}_1, \dots, d^g \bar{z}_g$ are a basis of $H^1(U, \mathbb{C})$
and are A -invariant. Use the de Rham iso thm.

$$\text{Étale: } m: A \times A \rightarrow A \rightsquigarrow m^*: H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Q}_p) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes H_{\text{ét}}^*(A, \mathbb{Q}_p)$$

$$(vii) H^i(A, \mathcal{R}_{A/k}^i) \cong \mathcal{L}^i H^1(A, \mathcal{O}_A) \otimes \mathcal{L}^i H^0(A, \mathcal{R}_{A/k}^i)$$

Then apply Hochschild to $A[\mathbb{P}^\infty]$.

□