

p -adics, power series, and Hensel's lemma

Let K be a field.

What is the equivalence relation on $\frac{K[t]}{(t^n)}$ ($n \geq 1$)?

$$f \equiv g \pmod{(t^n)} \iff t^n \mid f - g$$

\iff f and g have the same coefficients up to degree $n-1$

$$f = \sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i, \quad g = \sum_{i \geq 0} b_i t^i$$

$$\text{if } \text{char}(K) = 0, \quad a_i = b_i; \quad 0 \leq i \leq n$$

or really

$$\text{if } \frac{1}{i!} \quad \iff \quad f(d) = g(d) \quad d^{th} \text{ order}$$

$$\text{exist } b_0 \leq n \quad f'(d) = g'(d) \quad f''(d) = g''(d) \quad \vdots \quad f^{\beta}(d) = g^{\beta}(d) \quad f^{\alpha}(d) = g^{\alpha}(d)$$

$$\text{for } K = \mathbb{R} \text{ or } \mathbb{C} \quad f^{(n-1)}(0) = g^{(n-1)}(0) \quad (n-1)^{th} \text{ order}$$

$$\iff f - g \in O(t^n)$$

so $\text{mon}(f) \iff \text{eval } 0$

$\text{mon}(f') \iff \text{eval } + \text{differentiate } 0$

$\text{mon}[f'] \iff \text{eval } + \text{differentiate } 0$

We have maps

$$\frac{k[t]}{(t^n)} \xrightarrow{f \mapsto f(t)} \frac{k[t]}{(t^m)}$$

If $n \geq m$, f is kernel if $\frac{(t^m)}{(t^n)}$

This forgets all the information $\geq \deg m$

What if we want to remember it all?

Def. $\varprojlim_n \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)} = \left\{ (f_n + (t^n)) \in \prod_{n \geq 1} \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)} \mid \begin{array}{l} f_n \equiv f_m \pmod{t^m} \\ \text{whenever } n \geq m \end{array} \right\}$

\varprojlim

$$\varprojlim_n \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \frac{k[t]}{(t^4)} \rightarrow \frac{k[t]}{(t^3)} \rightarrow \frac{k[t]}{(t^2)} \rightarrow \frac{k[t]}{(t)}$$

The "inverse limit" of the sequence of ring maps,

$$\text{Let's call this } R = \varprojlim_n \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)},$$

Let A be a ring with maps

$$\varphi_n: A \longrightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^n)}$$

$\forall n \geq 1$ s.t. all the rings commute

$$\cdots \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^4)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^3)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^2)} \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t)}$$

$$\text{i.e., } \varphi_n(a) \equiv \varphi_m(a) \pmod{t^m} \quad \forall n \geq m$$

Thus, $\varphi = (\varphi_n)_n$ is a map $A \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow n} \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^n)}$.

This determines precisely how the map into the inverse limit

Prop. ("Universal property of $\lim_{\leftarrow n} \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^n)}$ ")

A ring map $A \rightarrow \lim_{\leftarrow n} \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^n)}$ is uniquely and totally specified by a family $(\varphi_n: A \rightarrow \frac{\mathbb{R}[t]}{(t^n)})$ s.t. $\varphi_n \equiv \varphi_m \pmod{t^m}$ $\forall n \geq m$, i.e. so far, respects all commutes.

To finish terms,

$$\underline{\text{Ring}}\left(A, \varprojlim_n \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)}\right) = \left\{ (q_n) \in \prod_n \text{Ring}(A, \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)}) \middle| \begin{array}{l} q_n \equiv q_{n-1} \pmod{t^n} \\ \dots \\ q_1 \equiv q_0 \pmod{t^1} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\varprojlim_n \underline{\text{Ring}}(A, \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)})$$

an "inverse limit" in $\underline{\text{Set}}$

(of the diagram before w/
 $\underline{\text{Ring}}(A, -)$ applied to it)

$\varprojlim_n \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)}$ containing " ∞ order differential data".

Let $f = (f_n + (t^n))_n \in \varprojlim_n \frac{k[t]}{(t^n)}$
 $f_n + (t^n)$ may be represented as $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q_i t^i$, uniquely
 $f_{n+1} \equiv f_n \pmod{t^n}$, so f_{n+1} is $\sum_{i=0}^n q_i t^i$, uniquely

etc.
 Thus, f is determined wholly and uniquely by a
 sequence $(q_i)_{i \geq 0} \in k^{\mathbb{N}}$. We write $f = \sum_{i \geq 0} q_i t^i$,
 a power series.

More formally, we have a map

$$k[[t]] \longrightarrow \underbrace{k[[t]]}_{\cong} \xrightarrow{\quad} \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^n)}$$

defined via universal property by taking the maps ψ_n

$$k[[t]] \xrightarrow{\quad} \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^n)} \xrightarrow{\cong} \frac{k[[t]]}{(t^n)}$$

Explicitly, this takes

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} a_i t^i \mapsto \left(\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} a_i t^i + (t^n) \right)_n$$

Prop. This is an iso.

Pr. If $f \in k[[t]]$ maps to 0 , then $f \in \text{soc}(t^n)$ b/c
 i.e., $f \in \bigcap_{n \geq 1} (t^n)$, which is (0) , so f is in I^{-1} ,
 surjectivity is clear by what we said before \square

Over to arithmetic land . . .

We have $\mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p^m\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z})$

Def. $\mathbb{Z}_p = \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z}) := \left\{ (a_n + (p^n))_n \in \prod_n \mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z}) \mid \begin{array}{l} a_n \equiv a_m \pmod{p^m} \\ b_n \equiv b_m \end{array} \right\}$

$\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p^3\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p^2\mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z})$

We have the same universal property

$\underline{\text{Ring}}(A, \mathbb{Z}_p) \cong \left\{ (b_n)_n \in \prod_n \underline{\text{Ring}}(A, \mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z})) \mid \begin{array}{l} b_n \equiv b_m \pmod{p^m} \\ b_n \equiv b_m \end{array} \right\}$

$\varprojlim_n \underline{\text{Ring}}(A, \mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z}))$

How to represent elements of \mathbb{Z}_p ?

At degree 1, represent $\mathbb{Z}(\mathbb{Z})$ by $\{0, \dots, p-1\}$

At degree 2, represent $\mathbb{Z}(p^2\mathbb{Z})$ by $\{0, \dots, p^2-1\}$

Specifically, these are elements of the form
 $a_0 + p a_1$, for $a_0, a_1 \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$, i.e., base
p expansion, of the form $a_0 a_1$

...
 $\{0, \dots, p^3-1\}$

$a_0 + a_1 p + a_2 p^2, \quad a_i \in \{0, \dots, p-1\}$

so far p expansion of the form $a_2 a_1 a_0$.

$\mathbb{Z}[p^n\mathbb{Z}]$ is better by force of expansions of length n .

$\mathbb{Z}[p^{n+1}\mathbb{Z}] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}[p^n\mathbb{Z}]$ truncate, then forget expansion

$$\sum_{i=0}^n q_i p^i \longmapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q_i p^i$$

so elts of \mathbb{Z}_n are of the form

$$\sum_{i \geq 0} q_i p^i, \quad q_i \in \{0, -1, 1\}$$

i.e., as for p expansions

$$\dots q_3 q_2 q_1 q_0$$

e.g., $\dots 111$ in \mathbb{Z}_2 is $\sum_{i \geq 0} 2^i$.

$$\begin{aligned} 1 + \sum_{i \geq 0} 2^i &= 1 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots = 1 + (\dots 111) \\ &= 2 + 2 + 4 + 8 + \dots = 10 + (\dots 110) \\ &= 4 + 4 + 8 + \dots = 100 + (\dots 100) \\ &\vdots \\ &= 2^k + \sum_{i \geq k} 2^i = 10 \dots 0 + (\dots 10 \dots 0) \end{aligned}$$

so all terms are eventually 0. Essentially we carry the 2 off to infinity.

Thus, in \mathbb{Z}_2 , $\sum_{i \geq 0} 2^i = -1$. Recall the geometric series, $\frac{1}{1-2} = \sum_{i \geq 0} 2^i$.

\mathbb{Z}_p roughly look like power series

w/ p as 1 power series variable and t

Set $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} p^{-n} a_n t^n$ coefficient,

But this is not $\mathbb{Z}(p\mathbb{Z}[[t]])$!

The addition is different - we do not "carry" in $\mathbb{Z}(p\mathbb{Z}[[t]])$.

char $\mathbb{Z}_p = 0$, char $\mathbb{Z}(p\mathbb{Z}[[t]]) = p$

H. $(\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z}))_{n \geq 0}$

induces a map

$\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p$, by d

w/ $\text{Res}_n \wedge_{\mathbb{Z}} p^n\mathbb{Z} = 0$

Regardless, the power series analogy is very fruitful,
and fuller exploration of it is a key to
modern number theory research on "perfectoid spaces"

by Peter Scholze, an acclaimed arithmetic geometer.

The map $\mathbb{Z}_p \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}(p^n\mathbb{Z})$ takes $\sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q_i p^i \mapsto \sum_{i=0}^{n-1} q_i p^i$,

which is like the n -th derivative in \mathbb{R} .

mod $(p) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ evaluating at $p \mapsto 0$ and taking a "derivative wrt p "
mod $(p^2) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ i.e. $\frac{d}{dp}$ if ≥ 2 "derivative wrt p "
mod $(p^3) \subset \mathbb{Z}$ etc.

\mathbb{Z}_p any $R[[t]]$ are very similar rings

heartily

Both are local PIDs. In fact, they are "complete" local PIDs,
also called a discrete valuation
ring, or DVR

Here's an application of this analogy,

Thm (Hensel's Lemma), Let R be either \mathbb{Z}_p or $R[[t]]$,

$$\text{Let } \pi = \begin{cases} p & R = \mathbb{Z}_p \\ t & R = R[[t]] \end{cases}, \text{ which}$$

otherwise, the unique maximal ideal

$$M = (\pi) \subset R,$$

(and has the same degree)

Now, let $f \in R[x]$ be s.t. it's reduction mod π

$\tilde{f} \in R/(\pi)[x]$ has a simple root $\tilde{\alpha} \in R/(\pi)$, i.e. $\tilde{f}'(\tilde{\alpha}) \neq 0$

and \tilde{f} is irreducible by $(x - \tilde{\alpha})^2$.

Then $\exists! \alpha \in R$ s.t. $f(\alpha) = 0$ and $\alpha \equiv \tilde{\alpha} \pmod{\pi}$

p.f. we recall in both cases that $R = \varprojlim_n R/(\pi^n)$

we will construct α recursively.

$$\left(\text{e.g., } f \equiv x^2 - 2^4 \text{ in } \mathbb{Z}_5, \bar{\alpha} = 2 + 5\mathbb{Z} \right)$$

Base case: do we find any lift of $\bar{\alpha}$, e.g. a degree 0 power series in \mathbb{Z}_7 ,
 (e.g. $a_0 = 2 \in \mathbb{Z}_5$)

Inductive step: Suppose we have found $a_k \in \mathbb{R}$
 for $0 \leq k \leq n$ such that
 - $a_n \equiv \alpha^k \pmod{(\pi^{2k})}$ & k
 - $f(a_n) \equiv 0 \pmod{(\pi^{2n})}$,

$$\text{Write } f \equiv \sum_{i=0}^m a_i x^i, \quad a_m \neq 0$$

$f(a_n + x) - (f(a_n) + f'(a_n)x)$ is divisible by x^2 in $R[x]$.

To prove, plugging in $x = 0$ yields 0 , so the 0^{th} coefficient is 0 .
 Differentiating then plugging in $x = 0$ also yields 0 , so the 1^{st} coefficient
 (linear approx to f is $O(x^2)$)

Expanding yields

$$f(a_n + x) - (f(a_n) + f'(a_n)x) = \sum a_i (a_n + x)^i + \sum a_i a_n^i + \sum a_i i a_n^{i-1} x$$

This is divisible by x^2 in $R[x]$, so it is divisible by
 $(\pi^{2^n})^2 = \pi^{2^{n+1}}$ upon evaluating $x = \beta \pi^{2^n}$ for any $\beta \in R$, i.e.,
 $f(a_n + \beta \pi^{2^n}) \equiv f(a_n) + f'(a_n) \beta \pi^{2^n} \pmod{\pi^{2^{n+1}}}$

Now, $f'(x_n) \equiv \bar{f}'(\bar{x}) \not\equiv 0$ in $R^{(m)}$, so $f'(x_n)$ is
a unit mod $\pi^{2^{n+1}}$, as it is coprime to π .

$$\text{(choose } \beta \in R \text{ s.t. } \beta \equiv -\frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \pmod{\pi^{2^{n+1}}}$$

$$\text{Then } f(x_n + \beta \pi^{2^n}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{2^{n+1}}}$$

Let $x_{n+1} = x_n + \beta \pi^{2^n}$, then

$$x_{n+1} \equiv x_n \pmod{\pi^{2^n}}$$

$$f(x_{n+1}) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{2^{n+1}}}$$

$$\text{Rmk, } x_{n+1} \equiv x_n - \frac{f(x_n)}{f'(x_n)} \pmod{\pi^{2^{n+1}}} \quad (\text{Newton's method!})$$

Thus, x_{n+1} is unique mod $\pi^{2^{n+1}}$ s.t. the above two hold.

e.g, for $f = x^2 - 24$ in \mathbb{Z}_5 , $df = 2$,

$$f(2) = 2^2 - 24 = -20$$

$f'(2) = 4$, which has inverse 19 in $\mathbb{Z}/25\mathbb{Z}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{so we take } x_1 & \text{ to be } 2 - \underbrace{\frac{(-20) \cdot 19}{20 \cdot 4}}_{= (-5)/(-4)} \\ & = 5 \\ & = 5 \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore x_1 = 2 + 5$, i.e., 12 in base 5.

for α_2 ,

$$f(\alpha_1) = 7^2 - 24 = 25$$

$f'(\alpha_1) = 14$, which has inverse $134 \pmod{25^2-625}$

$$\alpha_2 = \alpha_1 - \frac{f(\alpha_1)}{f'(\alpha_1)} \pmod{625}$$

$$(25)(134) \equiv 400 \pmod{625}$$

$$400 = (3100 \text{ in base } 5)$$

$$\text{so } \alpha_2 = 3112 \text{ base } 5$$

Rmk. only (α_n) - $\frac{f(\alpha_n)}{f'(\alpha_n)}$ in each step!

To conclude, let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be the system $(\alpha_n)_n$.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then } f(\alpha) &\equiv f(\alpha_n) \pmod{\pi^{2^n}} \\ &\equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{2^n}} \quad \text{by } \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{so } f(\alpha) \equiv 0 \pmod{\pi^{2^n}} \quad \text{by } , \text{ so } f(\alpha) = 0. \quad \square$$

The "convergence" rate here is exponential!.

On (Completeness) the product valuation

On \mathbb{Z} we define $v_p(n)$ to be the power of p in its prime factorization, and 0 if it doesn't appear. Thus, $n = \prod_{p \text{ prime}} p^{v_p(n)}$ $\forall n \in \mathbb{Z}$

the p -adic norm

$$v_p(n/m) := v_p(n) - v_p(m) \quad \text{on } \mathcal{O}$$

$|d|_p = p^{-v_p(d)}$ is a norm on \mathcal{O} , and

$$\{d \in \mathcal{O} \mid |d|_p \leq 1\} = \mathbb{Z}_p$$

The p -adic valuation and norm extend to \mathbb{Z}_p in the same way

$$v_p\left(\sum_{i=0}^n a_i p^i\right) = \text{the least } i \text{ s.t. } a_i \neq 0.$$

Then $p\mathbb{Z}_p = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid |d|_p < 1\}$ and is hence open

$$n\mathbb{Z}_p. \quad \text{More generally, } p^n\mathbb{Z}_p = \{d \in \mathbb{Z}_p \mid |d|_p < p^{-(n-1)}\},$$

which is also open. These define a basis for a topology around 0 in \mathbb{Z}_p , making it a topological ring. It is complete with respect to this topology. Two p -adic integers are close if they are congruent mod a large power of p .

The Hank's filamentation approach of
root of f which increases by
shrinking the diameter by a factor of 2 in each
step, then taking of ρ and $1/m^2$.

Ex. Rewrite the above in terms of $k_1^{(t)}$, or
better yet, in terms of R and T ,