

# Closed groups generated by generic measure preserving transformations

Sławomir Solecki

Cornell University

Supported by NSF grant DMS-1954069

February 2023

# Polish groups

**Polish group** = a topological group whose group topology is Polish

There is **no** Haar measure unless the group is locally compact.  
But **meagerness** and **Baire property** are translation invariant notions of smallness and regularity.

A convention:

a **generic**  $g \in G$  **has property**  $P$  if  
 $\{g \in G \mid g \text{ has } P\}$  is **comeager**

## The group of measure preserving transformations

**Aut** = the Polish group of all Lebesgue measure  $\lambda$  preserving transformations of  $[0, 1]$

Measure preserving transformations are identified if they coincide on a set of full measure.

**Aut** is taken with composition and the weak topology, that is,

$$T_n \rightarrow T \text{ iff } \lambda(T_n(A) \Delta T(A)) \rightarrow 0, \text{ for each Borel } A \subseteq [0, 1].$$

Some important results in Ergodic Theory can be viewed as results about the following **equivalence relation** on  $\text{Aut}$ :

$T_1 \sim T_2$  if and only if  $T_1 = ST_2S^{-1}$ , for some  $S \in \text{Aut}$ .

The study of **generic** elements of  $\text{Aut}$  has a long history:

**Halmos:** *In general a measure preserving transformation is mixing*,  
Ann. of Math. 1944

**Rokhlin:** *A 'general' measure-preserving transformation is not mixing*,  
Doklady Akad. Nauk SSSR 1948

## The group of measurable functions

$\mathbf{L}^0$  = the Polish group of all Lebesgue measurable functions from  $[0, 1]$  to the unit circle

$L^0$  is taken with pointwise multiplication and the topology of convergence in measure.

## The unitary group

$\mathcal{U}$  = the Polish group of unitary transformations of the separable, infinite dimensional, complex Hilbert space

$\mathcal{U}$  is taken with composition and the strong operator topology.

# The question



## The subject matter of the talk

For a Polish group  $G$  and  $g \in G$ , let

$$\langle g \rangle_c = \text{closure}(\{g^n \mid n \in \mathbb{Z}\}).$$

We study **closed subgroups of  $\text{Aut}$  generated by generic elements of  $\text{Aut}$** , that is, groups of the form

$$\langle T \rangle_c,$$

for a generic measure preserving transformation  $T$ .

$T_1, T_2 \in \text{Aut}$

$T_1 \sim T_2$  if and only if  $T_1 = ST_2S^{-1}$ , for some  $S \in \text{Aut}$ .

$\sim$  is an equivalence relation on  $\text{Aut}$  with a **complicated behavior** even for generic elements of  $\text{Aut}$ —Rokhlin, Foreman–Weiss.

$T_1 \equiv T_2$  if and only if  $\langle T_1 \rangle_c$  and  $\langle T_2 \rangle_c$  are isomorphic as topological groups

$\equiv$  is an equivalence relation on  $\text{Aut}$  that is more generous than  $\sim$ .

**Hope:**  $\equiv$  has a much more uniform behavior than  $\sim$ ;  
maybe even there is a generic  $\equiv$ -class, that is, a  $\equiv$ -class that is comeager.

## The question

**Glasner–Weiss:** Is it the case that for a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ ,  
 $\langle T \rangle_c$  is isomorphic to  $L^0$ ?

## Motivation for the question

### Qualifications

**Glasner–Weiss:**  $\langle T \rangle_c$  is isomorphic to  $L^0$  for some  $T \in \text{Aut}$ .

### Analogy

**Melleray–Tsankov:**  $\langle U \rangle_c$  is isomorphic to  $L^0$  for a generic  $U \in \mathcal{U}$ .

## Structure

**Ageev:** For a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ , each finite abelian group embeds into  $\langle T \rangle_c$ .

**S.:** For a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ , there is a Polish linear space  $L_T$  and a continuous surjective homomorphism  $L_T \rightarrow \langle T \rangle_c$ .

## Dynamics

**Glasner–Weiss:** For a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ , the natural boolean action of  $\langle T \rangle_c$  is whirly.

# The theorem

## Theorem (S.)

*For a generic transformation  $T \in \text{Aut}$ ,  
the group  $\langle T \rangle_c$  is **not** isomorphic to  $L^0$ .*

## A rough outline of the proof

**Prove** the following two points.

1. If  $L^0 \cong \langle T \rangle_c < \text{Aut}$ , for a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ , then **some** ergodic boolean action of  $L^0$  has **spectral properties** similar to spectral properties of a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ .
2. **No** ergodic boolean actions of  $L^0$  has **spectral properties** similar to spectral properties of a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ .



# Spectral behavior

## Spectral behavior of a generic $T \in \text{Aut}$

Building on earlier work of Choksi–Nadkarni, Katok, and Stepin, del Junco–Lemańczyk proved:

Theorem (del Junco–Lemańczyk, 1992)

*For a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ , a strong **orthogonality condition** holds for convolutions of maximal spectral types  $\nu(T^\ell)$  of powers of  $T$ .*

Call the condition the **del Junco–Lemańczyk orthogonality condition**.

## Spectral behavior of $L^0$

A unitary representation of  $L^0$  can be constructed as follows.

Given  $\phi \in L^0$ , let

$$L^2(\lambda) \ni f \rightarrow \phi \cdot f \in L^2(\lambda).$$

This is a unitary representation in  $\mathcal{U}(L^2(\lambda))$ .

## Spectral behavior of $L^0$

A unitary representation of  $L^0$  can be constructed as follows.

Given  $\phi \in L^0$ , let

$$L^2(\mu) \ni f \rightarrow \phi \cdot f \in L^2(\mu),$$

for  $\mu \preceq \lambda$ . This is a unitary representation in  $\mathcal{U}(L^2(\mu))$ .

## Spectral behavior of $L^0$

A unitary representation of  $L^0$  can be constructed as follows.

Given  $\phi \in L^0$ , let

$$L^2(\mu) \ni f \rightarrow \phi^k \cdot f \in L^2(\mu),$$

for  $\mu \preceq \lambda$  and  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . This is a unitary representation in  $\mathcal{U}(L^2(\mu))$ .

One can form a multidimensional version of the above unitary representation determined by

- a finite Borel measure  $\mu$  on  $[0, 1]^n$  with marginals absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$  and
- an assignment of powers to the coordinates of  $[0, 1]^n$ :  
 $i \rightarrow k_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ .

Let's call these **atomic representations**.

There is a semigroup

$$(A, \oplus)$$

each of whose elements **encodes  $n$  and  $i \rightarrow k_i$ , for  $1 \leq i \leq n$ , of an atomic representation**.

The semigroup  $A$  consists of all finite functions  $x$  such that

$$\emptyset \neq \text{dom}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{Z}^{\times} \text{ and } \text{rng}(x) \subseteq \mathbb{N}$$

### Theorem (S., 2014)

$\xi : L^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  a unitary representation without non-zero fixed vectors

**Then**,  $\xi$  is built from atomic representations determined by  $x$  and finite measures  $\mu_x$  as  $x$  ranges over  $A$ .

The sequence  $(\mu_x)_{x \in A}$  is unique up to mutual absolute continuity of its entries.

**The above is true modulo multiplicity of  $\mu_x$ .**

# Geography of the proof of the main theorem



We study  $\xi : L^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  a unitary representation without non-zero fixed vectors.

The main issue is a comparison, for  $x, y \in A$ , of

$\mu_x \times \mu_y$  and  $\mu_{x \oplus y}$  computed for  $\xi$ .

## Del Junco–Lemańczyk condition for $L^0$

Theorem (Etedadialiabadi, 2020)

$\xi: L^0 \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  a unitary representation without non-zero fixed vectors

**Assume:** for a generic  $\phi \in L^0$ , the del Junco–Lemańczyk orthogonality condition holds for maximal spectral types  $\nu(\xi(\phi)^\ell)$  of powers of  $\xi(\phi)$ .

**Then**

$$\mu_x \times \mu_y \perp \mu_{x \oplus y}, \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Theorem on Koopman representations of $L^0$

A continuous homomorphism  $\zeta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}$  is called a **boolean action**.

Given a boolean action  $\zeta: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}$ , the **Koopman representation associated with**  $\zeta$  is given by

$$G \ni g \rightarrow U_g \in \mathcal{U}(L^2(\lambda)),$$

where, for  $f \in L^2(\lambda)$ ,

$$U_g(f) = f \circ (\zeta(g))^{-1}.$$

## Theorem (S.)

$\xi =$  the Koopman representation associated with an ergodic boolean action of  $L^0$

Then

$$\mu_x \times \mu_y \preceq \mu_{x \oplus y}, \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

## Proof of the main theorem

The proposition below gives a connection with the Glasner–Weiss question.

It uses Etedadialiabadi's and del Junco–Lemańczyk's theorems.

### Proposition (S.)

*Assume, for a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ ,  $\langle T \rangle_c$  is isomorphic to  $L^0$ .*

*There exists an ergodic boolean action of  $L^0$ , whose Koopman representation is such that*

$$\mu_x \times \mu_y \perp \mu_{x \oplus y}, \text{ for all } x, y \in A.$$

SO, for all ergodic Koopman representations of  $L^0$

$$\mu_x \times \mu_y \preceq \mu_{x \oplus y}, \text{ for all } x, y.$$

BUT, if  $\langle T \rangle_c$  is isomorphic to  $L^0$  for a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ , then **there exists an ergodic Koopman** representation of  $L^0$  with

$$\mu_x \times \mu_y \perp \mu_{x \oplus y}, \text{ for all } x, y.$$

CONTRADICTION

# Questions

Is there a Polish group  $G$  such that  $\langle T \rangle_c$  is isomorphic to  $G$ , for a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ ?

**Glasner–Weiss:** Is the group  $\langle T \rangle_c$  a Lévy group for a generic  $T \in \text{Aut}$ ?