# (A topic distantly related to) Natural ideals under PFA

Sean Cox Institut für Mathematische Logik und Grundlagenforschung Universität Münster wwwmath.uni-muenster.de/logik/Personen/Cox

> 2010 Fall Western Sectional Meeting UCLA October 10, 2010

> > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

## Motivation

(Viale/Weiß): In ZFC there is a naturally-defined ideal on  $\wp_{\omega_2}(\theta)$  that:

- is trivial in many models of ZFC;
- when not trivial, has powerful consequences;
- ▶ is not trivial when the Proper Forcing Axiom holds.

There are similar ideals which are non-trivial when Martin's Maximum holds and have powerful consequences (Foreman).

# Outline

- Forcing Axioms
- Ideals
- Stationary set reflection
  - characterization in terms of ideals whose completeness is  $\omega_2$

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ★ 国▶ ★ 国▶ - 国 - のへで

- Some consistency results
- Open questions

# Forcing Axioms

Let  $\Gamma$  be a class of posets.

#### Definition

 $MA(\Gamma)$  means: for every  $\mathbb{Q} \in \Gamma$ : for every  $\omega_1$ -sized collection  $\mathcal{D}$  of dense subsets of  $\mathbb{Q}$ , there is a filter  $F \subset \mathbb{Q}$  which meets every element of  $\mathcal{D}$ .

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

- $MA_{\omega_1}$  is MA(ccc)
- PFA is MA(proper)
- ► *MM* is *MA*(stationary set preserving posets).

#### Ideals

#### EXAMPLE 1:

 $\kappa$  regular uncountable.  $NS_{\kappa} = \{A \subset \kappa | A \text{ is nonstationary} \}.$ 

- dual is the *club filter* (on  $\kappa$ ).
- <  $\kappa$  complete and normal

#### EXAMPLE 2 (the one we'll use): $\wp_{\omega_2}(H_{\theta}) := \{ M \subset H_{\theta} | |M| < \omega_2 \text{ and } M \cap \omega_2 \in \omega_2 \}.$

- ▶ If  $\mathcal{A} = (H_{\theta}, \in, ...)$  is structure in countable language,  $C_{\mathcal{A}} := \{M | M \prec \mathcal{A}\}.$
- ▶  $B \subset \wp_{\omega_2}(H_\theta)$  is called (weakly) *nonstationary* iff there is a structure  $\mathcal{A} = (H_\theta, \in, f_0, f_1, ...)$  such that  $B \cap C_{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset$ .
- NS ↾ S is the collection of nonstationary subsets of S (dual is the club filter).
  - It is  $< \omega_2$ -complete and normal

#### Generic ultrapowers

Let I be an ideal over S (so  $I \subset \wp(S)$ ).

 $\mathbb{P}_I$  denotes the boolean algebra  $\wp(S)/I$  without the 0 element.

```
(NOTATION: \Vdash_I means \Vdash_{\mathbb{P}_I})
```

Let G be generic for  $\mathbb{P}_I$ .

- ► G is essentially a V-ultrafilter which extends the dual of I.
- ▶ Inside V[G] you can define  $j: V \rightarrow_G ult(V, G)$
- Genericity ensures that G inherits nice properties of I
  - normality
  - completeness (e.g. if I = NS ↾ ℘<sub>ω₂</sub>(H<sub>θ</sub>) then j has critical point ω₂)

A few strong properties that ideals may possess

- precipitous (ult(V, G) is wellfounded)
- saturated (that P(S)/I has small chain-condition; implies precipitousness)
- decisive (a portion of  $j_G$  is independent of G, and more)

## Stationary set reflection

If  $S \subset \kappa$  is stationary, we say "S reflects" iff there is some  $\gamma < \kappa$  such that  $S \cap \gamma$  is stationary in  $\gamma$ .

#### EXAMPLES:

If  $\kappa$  is measurable then:

- every stationary  $S \subset \kappa$  reflects
- V<sup>Col(μ,<κ)</sup> ⊨ "every stationary subset of μ<sup>+</sup> ∩ cof(ω) reflects." (at a point of cofinality μ)

# Reflection at small cofinalities

Arguments from above yield reflection at the *largest possible cofinality*. Contrast with:

#### Theorem

(Minor variation of an argument of Foreman): Assume MM and let  $\kappa \geq \omega_2$  be regular. There are stationarily many  $M \prec H_{\kappa^+}$  such that:

- $cf(\kappa_M) = \omega_1$ , where  $\kappa_M := sup(M \cap \kappa)$
- For every R ∈ M ∩ {stationary subsets of ω<sub>3</sub> ∩ cof(ω)}: R reflects at κ<sub>M</sub>.

#### Definition

Ref(3,0,1): Every stationary subset of  $S_0^3$  reflects at a point of cofinality  $\omega_1$ .

# Reflection at small cofinality

Let  $Unif(\wp_{\omega_2}(\omega_3)) :=$  the collection of  $M \in \wp_{\omega_2}(\omega_3)$  such that  $M \cap \omega_2$  and  $sup(M \cap \omega_3)$  both have uncountable cofinality.

Lemma TFAE:

- 1. *Ref*(3, 0, 1)
- 2. For every stationary  $R \subset S_0^3$  there is a normal ideal  $I_R$  over  $Unif(\wp_{\omega_2}(\omega_3))$  such that  $\Vdash_{I_R}$  " $\check{R}$  remains stationary in  $ult(V, \dot{G})$ "
- For every stationary R ⊂ S<sub>0</sub><sup>3</sup> there is a stationary S<sub>R</sub> ⊂ Unif(℘<sub>ω2</sub>(ω<sub>3</sub>)) such that S<sub>R</sub> ⊩<sub>NS</sub> "Ř remains stationary in ult(V, Ġ)".

Ways to strengthen the properties of the ideals in that characterization: require

- that R remains stationary in V[G], rather than just in ult(V, G).
- ▶ that there is a single ideal which works for all *R*
- that the ideals be precipitous

At least one of these properties holds in all known models of Ref(3,0,1)

Consistency strength: lower bounds

#### Theorem

- ► CON(ZFC + Ref(3,0,1)) ⇒ CON(ZFC + "almost" a measurable κ of Mitchell order κ<sup>+</sup>)
- CON(ZFC + "simultaneous version of Ref(3,0,1)") ⇒ CON(ZFC + there is a κ of Mitchell order κ<sup>+</sup>)

However, if in addition there is a precipitous ideal on  $\omega_2$  then there is an inner model with a Woodin cardinal (due to theorem of Schindler).

(日) (同) (三) (三) (三) (○) (○)

# Consistency strength: upper bounds

Known models of Ref(3, 0, 1):

- Any model of  $MA^+({Col(\omega_1, \omega_3)})$ .
- Any model of MM gives highly simultaneous version
- $V^{Col(\omega_1, <\kappa)}$  where  $\kappa$  is a quasicompact cardinal
  - ▶ Gives simultaneous versions of *Ref*(3,0,1)
  - ► The forcings associated with the ideals *I<sub>R</sub>* are *proper* 
    - so you also get precipitousness and preservation of stationary sets in V[G] rather than just in ult(V, G).

What is the consistency strength of:

- 1. *Ref*(3,0,1)?
- 2. Ref(3,0,1) + "there is a precipitous ideal on  $\omega_2$ "?
- 3. Ref(3,0,1) + "there is an ideal on  $\omega_2$  whose forcing is proper"?

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <