## All questions have equal value.

- 1. For this problem, work in ZF (ZFC minus the Axiom of Choice). If  $\kappa$  is a cardinal number and X is a set, then  $\mathcal{P}_{\kappa}(X)$  is the set of all subsets of X of size  $< \kappa$ . Suppose that  $f : \mathcal{P}_{\omega_1}(\mathbb{R}) \to \mathbb{R}$  is one-to-one. Prove that there exists a sequence of  $\omega_1$  distinct reals.
- 2. A subset X of a limit ordinal  $\alpha$  is *stationary* in  $\alpha$  if X meets every closed, unbounded subset of  $\alpha$ . Let  $\kappa$  be a regular cardinal and let  $X \subseteq \kappa$  be stationary in  $\kappa$ . Let M be a transitive class model of ZFC such that  $X \in M$ . Prove that X is stationary in  $\kappa$  in M.
- 3. Assume V=L. Define  $\langle A_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \omega_1 \rangle$  as follows. Let  $A_{\alpha}$  be the  $<_L$ -least  $A\subseteq \alpha$  such that  $(\forall \beta < \alpha) A\cap \beta \neq A_{\beta}$  if such an A exists and let  $A_{\alpha}=\emptyset$  otherwise. Prove that for all  $A\subseteq \omega_1$  there exists an  $\alpha < \omega_1$  such that  $A\cap \alpha=A_{\alpha}$ .
- 4. As with problem 1, work in ZF. Let  $AC^{fin}$  be the restriction of the Axiom of Choice to collections of *finite* sets. Prove that the Compactness Theorem of model theory implies  $AC^{fin}$ .
- 5. Let S(n) = n + 1 for  $n \in \omega$ . Prove that the theory of  $(\omega, S)$  is not finitely axiomatizable.
- 6. Let  $\kappa = \omega_1$  and let  $T = \text{Th}(V_{\kappa}, \in)$ . Prove that there is no saturated countable model of T.
- 7. Let A be an infinite recursively enumerable set. Show that  $\{e \mid W_e = A\}$  is many-one complete for  $\Pi_2$ . ( $W_e$  here is the eth r.e. set in some standard enumeration.)
- 8. Let  $\operatorname{Prov}(v_1, v_2)$  represent in Peano Arithmetic (PA) the set of all pairs (a, b) such that a is the Gödel number of a sentence  $\tau$  and b is the Gödel number of a proof of  $\tau$  from the axioms of PA. Let  $\sigma$  be gotten from the Fixed Point Lemma applied to  $\forall v_2 \neg \operatorname{Prov}(v_1, v_2)$ . In other words, let  $\sigma$  be a sentence such that PA  $\vdash (\sigma \leftrightarrow \forall v_2 \neg \operatorname{Prov}(\mathbf{k}, v_2))$ , where k is the Gödel number of  $\sigma$ . Let T be the theory gotten from PA by adding  $\neg \sigma$  as an axiom. Show that T is  $\omega$ -inconsistent: that there is a formula  $\psi(v_1)$  such that  $T \vdash \exists v_1 \psi(v_1)$  and  $T \vdash \neg \psi(\mathbf{n})$  for each numeral n.