

* Non-abelian “class number” formula for the adjoint Selmer groups and cyclicity

Haruzo Hida

Department of Mathematics, UCLA,
Los Angeles, CA 90095-1555, U.S.A.

A talk at AMS meeting in Hawaii, March, 2019.

*The author is partially supported by the NSF grant: DMS 1464106.

For a given elliptic cusp form f , we have a 2-dimensional p -adic Galois representation ρ with coefficients in a p -adic integer ring. Having ρ act on $SL(2)$ -Lie algebra \mathfrak{sl}_2 by adjoint (conjugate action), we get a 3-dimensional representation Ad . We describe the formula of the order of the p -adic arithmetic cohomology group $\text{Sel}(Ad)$ (called the adjoint Selmer group) via the L-value $L(1, Ad) = L(1, Ad(f))$ and explore the question when the Selmer group is cyclic (having one generator) over the coefficient ring? A detailed proof of the results described in this note is posted as a series of pdf slide files in my graduate course web page (<http://www.math.ucla.edu/~hida/207a.1.19w/index.html>). The section number given in the text is the section number of this graduate course.

§0. Set-up.

- Fix a prime $p \geq 5$; $\bar{\rho} = \text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/\mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(\mathbb{F})$: an **odd** representation unramified outside $0 < N \in \mathbb{Z}$ (\mathbb{F}/\mathbb{F}_p finite) irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}[\mu_p]$; $W_{/\mathbb{Z}_p} \subset \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$: a discrete valuation ring with residue field \mathbb{F} .
- $F(\bar{\rho}) = \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\text{Ker}(\bar{\rho})}$, $F^{(p)}(\bar{\rho})$: the maximal p -profinite extension of $F(\bar{\rho})$ **unramified outside** p , $\mathcal{G} := \text{Gal}(F^{(p)}(\bar{\rho})/\mathbb{Q})$. Fix a decomposition subgroup $D_l \subset \mathcal{G}$ of l with its inertia subgroup I_l .
- Assume $\bar{\rho}|_{D_p} = \begin{pmatrix} \bar{\epsilon} & * \\ 0 & \bar{\delta} \end{pmatrix}$; $\bar{\delta} \neq \bar{\epsilon}$; $\bar{\delta}$ unramified.
- $(R, \rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(R))$: the universal pair among p -ordinary deformations with coefficients in local p -profinite W -algebras with residue field \mathbb{F} . This means $\mathcal{F}(A) \cong \text{Hom}_{W\text{-alg}}(R, A)$ for

$$\mathcal{D}(A) = \{\rho : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \text{GL}_2(A) \mid \rho \bmod \mathfrak{m}_A = \bar{\rho} \text{ with } \rho|_{D_p} = \begin{pmatrix} \epsilon & * \\ 0 & \delta \end{pmatrix}\},$$

$$\mathcal{F}(A) = \mathcal{D}(A)/(1 + M_2(\mathfrak{m}_A)) \cong \text{Hom}_{C_{NL}}(R, A) \text{ (unramified } \delta).$$

- Assume that the ramification index of $F(\bar{\rho})/\mathbb{Q}$ of any prime is prime to p (the minimally ramified case).
- Define $Ad(\rho)$ by the conjugation action via ρ on $\mathfrak{sl}_2(A) \subset \text{End}_A(\rho)$.

§1. Serre's modulo p modularity conjecture.

Write $\det(\bar{\rho}) = \bar{\nu}_p^{k-1}\psi$ ($k \geq 1$) for the p -adic cyclotomic character $\bar{\nu}_p$ modulo p and a Dirichlet character ψ of conductor N .

Theorem 1 (Khare-Wintenberger). *There exists a Hecke eigenform $f \in S_k(\Gamma_0(N), \psi)$ ($k \geq 2$) with q -expansion coefficients in a valuation ring W/\mathbb{Z}_p such that $\rho_f \bmod \mathfrak{m}_W \cong \bar{\rho}$.*

When $k = 1$, we allow f in the theorem to be ordinary p -adic Hecke eigenform. There could be finitely many such f for a fixed k . Let \mathbb{T} be the algebra generated over W by Hecke operators T acting on $\overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}$ -span of all such f 's $V := \sum_f \overline{\mathbb{Q}_p}f$. \mathbb{T} is a local ring over W with $\mathbb{T}/\mathfrak{m}_{\mathbb{T}} = \mathbb{F}$. We have the modular representation $\rho_{\mathbb{T}} : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow \mathrm{GL}_2(\mathbb{T})$ such that $\mathrm{Tr}(\rho_{\mathbb{T}}(\mathrm{Frob}_l)) = T(l)|_V$. Write $f|T = \lambda(T)f$ with an algebra homomorphism $\lambda : \mathbb{T} \rightarrow W$, and decompose $\mathbb{T} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p = \mathrm{Frac}(W) \oplus (\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p)$ for $\mathfrak{a} := \mathrm{Ker}(\lambda)$ (algebra direct sum). For the image S of \mathbb{T} in $\mathfrak{a} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}_p} \mathbb{Q}_p$, define **congruence modules** by

$$C_0 := S \otimes_{\mathbb{T}, \lambda} W = S/\mathfrak{a} \text{ and } C_1 = \Omega_{\mathbb{T}/\mathbb{Z}_p}^1 \otimes_{\mathbb{T}, \lambda} W = \mathfrak{a}/\mathfrak{a}^2 \quad (\S 1.5-11).$$

§2. Adjoint Selmer order formula (§4.18, 9.2, 9.4, 9.9).

By Wiles–Taylor, we have a W -algebra isomorphism $\boxed{R \cong \mathbb{T}}$ which brings ρ to $\rho_{\mathbb{T}}$. Pick $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(A)$, and define \mathcal{G} -module $Ad(\rho)^* := Ad(\rho) \otimes_A A^\vee$ (\vee : Pontryagin dual). Write $U_p \subset H^1(D_p, Ad(\rho))$ for the subspace spanned by classes of cocycles upper-triangular on D_p and upper-nilpotent on I_p . Put $U_l := \text{Ker}(H^1(D_l, Ad(\rho)^*) \rightarrow H^1(I_l, Ad(\rho)^*))$ if $l \neq p$. Define, for the inertia subgroup I_l ,

$$\text{Sel}(Ad(\rho)) := \text{Ker}(H^1(\mathcal{G}, Ad(\rho)^*) \xrightarrow{\prod_l \text{Res}} \prod_{l|Np} H^1(D_l, Ad(\rho)^*)/U_l).$$

Define the **dual Selmer group** $\text{Sel}^\perp(Ad(\rho)(1))$ replacing U_l by its orthogonal complement U_l^\perp under local Tate duality. We have the following result for $\rho = \rho_f$ associated to a cusp form f :

$$|L(1, Ad(\rho))/ *|_p^{-1} \stackrel{\text{Hida}}{=} |C_0| \stackrel{\text{Tate, Wiles}}{=} |C_1| \stackrel{\text{Mazur}}{=} |\text{Sel}(Ad(\rho))|,$$

where “*” is a canonical period (the period determinant of f).

§3. Number of generators of R (§4.7, 4.9).

As is well known in deformation theory,

$$t_R^* := \mathfrak{m}_R/\mathfrak{m}_R^2 + \mathfrak{m}_W = \Omega_{R/W} \otimes_R \mathbb{F} \cong \text{Sel}(Ad(\bar{\rho}))^\vee.$$

Here “ \vee ” denotes Pontryagin dual. So the number of generators of R/W is $r_0 := \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}(Ad(\bar{\rho}))$. More generally, by Mazur

$$\Omega_{R/W} \otimes_{R,\varphi} A \cong \text{Sel}(Ad(\rho))^\vee \quad (\text{Selmer control §4.18})$$

for all $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(A)$ with $\varphi \circ \rho \cong \rho$.

Recall the dual Selmer group

$$\text{Sel}^\perp(Ad(\bar{\rho})(1)) := \text{Ker}(H^1(\mathcal{G}, Ad(\bar{\rho})(1)) \rightarrow \prod_{l|Np} H^1(D_l, Ad(\bar{\rho}))/U_l^\perp)$$

An important fact (§5.7) due to Greenberg and Wiles is

Theorem 2. $r_0 = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}(Ad(\bar{\rho})) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}^\perp(Ad(\bar{\rho})(1)) =: r.$

The right hand side is often **computable** by Kummer theory.

§4. Presentation Theorem: $\mathbb{T} \cong \frac{W[[X_1, \dots, X_r]]}{(S_1, \dots, S_r)}$ (§9.4).

To prove their “ $R = \mathbb{T}$ ” theorem, Taylor and Wiles proved the existence of a presentation as above, where $r = \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}^{\perp}(Ad(\bar{\rho})(1))$.

On the other hand, the minimal number of generators of $R = \mathbb{T}$ is given by the dimension r_0 of its co-tangent space \mathbb{F} -dual to $\text{Sel}(Ad(\bar{\rho}))$. By a general ring theory (for example, Matsumura’s book Theorem 21.2 (ii) in Cambridge study series), we can reduce the number of variables to $r_0 \leq r$; so,

$$\mathbb{T} \cong \frac{W[[T_1, \dots, T_{r_0}]]}{(s_1, \dots, s_{r_0})} \quad (\text{local complete intersection over } W).$$

This implies $|C_0| = |C_1|$ by Tate, and

$$\text{Sel}(Ad(\rho))^{\vee} \cong C_1 = \Omega_{\mathbb{T}/W} \otimes_{\mathbb{T}, \varphi} A = \frac{A \cdot dT_1 + \dots + A \cdot dT_{r_0}}{A \cdot ds_1 + \dots + A \cdot ds_{r_0}}.$$

§5. **Cyclicity: When $r = 1$?** Let $F := \overline{\mathbb{Q}}^{\ker(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho}))}$ with integer ring O and $G := \text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q}) \cong \text{Im}(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho}))$. By Kummer theory, $\text{Sel}^\perp(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})(1))$ (restricted to the stabilizer \mathcal{H} of F in \mathcal{G}) is generated by Kummer cocycle $u(\sigma) = \sqrt[p]{\alpha}^{(\sigma-1)}$ for $\alpha \in F^\times$ **very unramified**. Let $\hat{O}^\times = O^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$. Assume $\hat{O}^\times = \mathbb{Z}_p[G]\varepsilon$ (cyclicity of \hat{O}^\times over $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$) for a Minkowski unit $\varepsilon \in O^\times$ which is implied by $p \nmid |G|$ (i.e., $\bar{\rho}$ is a reduction of an **Artin representation** ρ). Hard to know about Cl_F ; so, we assume $p \nmid |Cl_F[\text{Ad}]|$ for Ad -isotypical component $Cl_F[\text{Ad}]$. Essentially by unramifiedness of u , **cyclicity** is implied by (§5.12)

$$\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}^\perp(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})(1)) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(O^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}, \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})) =: r_1.$$

Without $p \nmid |Cl_F[\text{Ad}]|$, if $r_1 \leq 1$, we get an exact sequence for $\rho = \rho_f$ for f classical of weight 1 (§7.10, 8.6),

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p[G]}(Cl_F, \text{Ad}(\rho)^*) \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho)) \twoheadrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\hat{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times[\delta^{-1}\varepsilon]/\overline{\langle \varepsilon_{\delta^{-1}} \rangle}, W^\vee),$$

where $\varepsilon_{\varepsilon\delta^{-1}}$ is the projection of ε in the $\varepsilon\delta^{-1}$ -eigenspace $\hat{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times[\varepsilon\delta^{-1}] \subset \hat{O}_{\mathfrak{p}}^\times$ for the prime $\mathfrak{p}|p$ associated to D_p .

§6. Proof of cyclicity by Dirichlet's unit theorem (§5.17):

Theorem 3. Assume $(O^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p[G]\varepsilon$ or $p \nmid |G|$. Then we have $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(O^\times \otimes \mathbb{F}, \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})) \leq \dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})^{c=1} = 1$.

By the proof of Dirichlet's unit theorem, for the subgroup C generated by a complex conjugation c ,

$$(O^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}[G/C] = \text{Ind}_C^G \mathbb{Q} \quad \text{and hence}$$

$$\mathbb{Z}_p[G/C] \hookrightarrow (O^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) \oplus \mathbb{Z}_p \hookrightarrow \mathbb{Z}_p[G/C] \cong \text{Ind}_C^G \mathbb{Z}_p.$$

Assuming $(O^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p) = \mathbb{Z}_p[G]\varepsilon$, the above inclusions are isomorphisms, and by Shapiro's lemma,

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Hom}_{\text{Gal}(F/\mathbb{Q})}(O^\times \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{F}, \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})) &\hookrightarrow \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[G]}(\text{Ind}_C^G \mathbb{Z}_p, \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})) \\ &= \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}[C]}(\mathbb{Z}_p, \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})) \cong \text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})^{c=1} \quad (\text{the } c\text{-fixed subspace}). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})(c) \sim \text{diag}[-1, 1, -1]$, we get $\dim_{\mathbb{F}} \text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho})(1)) \leq 1$.

§7. Questions towards general cyclicity.

Starting the compatible system $\{\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}\}$ associated to a cusp form f , if $F := F(\text{Ad}(\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$ for $\bar{\rho}_{\mathfrak{p}} = \rho_{\mathfrak{p}} \bmod \mathfrak{p}$ is independent of p , $p \nmid |Cl_F|$ gives a condition for cyclicity; i.e., when $\bar{\rho}$ is a reduction modulo p of an Artin representation. Assuming $\bar{\rho}$ comes from an Artin representation, we proved cyclicity of $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}))^{\vee}$ over W , which implies cyclicity of $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho))^{\vee}$ over \mathbb{T} (even if $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho_{\mathfrak{p}}))$, $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho))$ and \mathbb{T} depend on \mathfrak{p}).

In the general non-Artin case, fundamental questions are:

Is $\mathfrak{p} \nmid |Cl_F[\text{Ad}]|$ for most \mathfrak{p} (even if F depends on \mathfrak{p})?

and only thing we need for cyclicity of $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho))$ and $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho))^{\vee}$ is cyclicity of $O^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ over $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$; so,

Is $O^{\times} \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{Z}_p$ cyclic as $\mathbb{Z}_p[G]$ -modules for most of \mathfrak{p} ?

For \mathfrak{p} for which the above questions are affirmative, $\text{Sel}(\text{Ad}(\rho))^{\vee}$ is cyclic over A for every $\rho \in \mathcal{D}(A)$.