

Notes for Friday, April 17, 2009: Heine-Borel
and All That

Since we know that a metric space (X, d) is (covering) compact if and only if it is sequentially compact, we can check compactness in any given instance in two different ways.

Example:

(1) $[0, 1]$

(a) sequentially compact: If $\{x_i\}$ is a sequence in $[0, 1]$, then there is a convergent subsequence by (i) every bounded sequence has a convergent subsequence because $\text{l.u.b. } \{x_i : x_i \leq a \text{ for only finitely many } i \text{ values}\}$ is a limit of some subsequence (cf. completeness of \mathbb{R} proof earlier). or (ii) subdivision argument: $[0, \frac{1}{2}]$ or $[\frac{1}{2}, 1]$ contains x_i for infinitely many i values.

Let $I_1 =$ one of the two that does have only many x_i . Let $I_2 =$ a half of I_1 that contains x_i , only many i .

So $\dots I_n \supseteq I_{n+1} \dots$ and length $I_n = \frac{1}{2^n}$.

Then l.u.b. of left-hand endpoints of I_n 's (\sup_{right} of right-hand endpoints) is a limit of a sequence of x_i 's.

Since $[0, 1]$ is closed, subsequence limit $\in [0, 1]$ II

(b) Covering compact: $U_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ open cover.

Let $S = \{\alpha \in [0, 1] : [0, \alpha] \subset \text{some union of finitely many } U_\lambda\}'$. Then $0 \in S$.

Let $\alpha_0 = \sup$ of such α 's. (Note that $\alpha \in S \Rightarrow$ if $\beta < \alpha$, then $\beta \in S$). If $\alpha_0 < 1$, then since $\alpha_0 \in U_{\lambda_0}$ for some λ_0 , it follows that $\alpha_0 + \varepsilon$, ε small $\in S$, a contradiction. If $\alpha_0 = 1$, then $\alpha_0 = 1 \in U_{\lambda_0}$ while $1 - \varepsilon \notin S$. Every $\varepsilon > 0$, so $[0, 1]$ is contained in a finite union of U_λ 's, namely U_{λ_0} together with finitely many U_λ 's that cover $[0, 1 - \varepsilon]$ where $\varepsilon > 0$ is so small that $(1 - \varepsilon, 1] \subset U_{\lambda_0}$. \square

Then $[-M, M] \times \dots \times [-M, M] \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact for every $M \in \mathbb{R}$. (Reason: You proved in homework that product — finite or countably infinite — of sequentially compact spaces is sequentially compact.)

(Heine-Borel)

Cor: A closed bounded set in \mathbb{R}^n is compact.

Proof C closed bounded $\Rightarrow C$ is a closed subset of $[-M, M] \times \dots \times [-M, M]$, some $M > 0$. If $U_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ is an open cover of C then $U_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ together with $\mathbb{R}^n - C$ (which is open) cover C . Now say $U_{\lambda_1}, \dots, U_{\lambda_k}$ together with $\mathbb{R}^n - C$. But then $C \subset U_{\lambda_1} \cup \dots \cup U_{\lambda_k}$ (since $\mathbb{R}^n - C$ contains no points of C !) \square

Lemma: $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ compact $\Rightarrow C$ closed and bounded.

Sequence view: If C were unbounded, then there would be a sequence $x_i \in C$ with $d(0, x_i) \rightarrow +\infty$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$. This would have no convergent subsequence in \mathbb{R}^n and hence certainly no convergent subsequence with limit in C . If p is adherent to C but not in C , then \exists a sequence $x_i \in C$ with limit $x_i = p$. C compact $\Rightarrow \exists$ subsequence which converges to a point of C . Hence $p \in C$. \square

Covering view: $C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{x \in C : d(x, \vec{0}) < n\}$
 (any C in \mathbb{R}^n !)

So C compact $\Rightarrow C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^N \{x \in C : d(x, \vec{0}) < n\}$

$= \{x \in C : d(x, \vec{0}) < N\}$, so C is bounded.

If x_0 is in \mathbb{R}^n but not in C , then

$C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^{+\infty} \{x \in C : d(x_0, x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$.

So $C \subset \bigcup_{n=1}^N \{x \in C : d(x_0, x) > \frac{1}{n}\}$, some N

So

$C \subset \{x \in C : d(x_0, x) > \frac{1}{N}\}$. Hence

$C \cap \{x \in C : d(x, x_0) \leq \frac{1}{N}\}$ is empty. So x_0 is not adherent to C .

Hence C has no adherent points that are not in C and C is closed. \square

Exercise: Also, a compact set is always complete (which implies closed) — this is stronger sometimes.

Thus we have the full Heine-Borel Theorem:

Theorem (Heine-Borel): A set $C \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact if and only if C is closed and bounded.

Note that one direction of implication works in arbitrary metric spaces:

If (X, d) is a metric space and $C \subset X$ is compact, then C is closed in X and bounded (in the sense that for each $p_0 \in X$, $\exists M_{p_0} \ni C \subset \{x \in X : d(p_0, x) < M_{p_0}\}$). In particular, if the whole space X is compact, it is bounded (same proof as before for \mathbb{R}^n case). But a set can be complete and bounded in a metric space X without being compact.

the closed unit ball (aroma) in the space of all continuous functions on $[0, 1]$ with \mathbb{R} values,
 $d(f, g) = \max_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - g(x)|$. $[C([0, 1])$ is complete so this closed(bounded) subset is complete]

The condition, in addition to guarantee compactness is being "totally bounded" (not just bounded). See F&G text for details of that situation.