

Summary Notes for Friday, April 3 (four pages)

(X, d) metric space.

Open set (definition) $U \text{ open} \Leftrightarrow \forall p \in U, \exists \varepsilon_p > 0 \ni B(p, \varepsilon_p) \subset U$.

Here $B(p, r) = \{x \in X : d(x, p) < r\}$

Closed set: Definition: $C \text{ closed} \Leftrightarrow \text{Every point adherent to } C \text{ belongs to } C$.

This is equivalent to: $X - C$ is open.

So one could use $X - C$ being open as the definition of being closed. Equivalence is in book (1.8, p. 6)

Important properties of open sets:
 (1) \emptyset, X are open (2) Each $U_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ open $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$ is open
 (3) If U_1, \dots, U_N are open, then $\bigcap_{j=1}^N U_j$ is open.

Proofs: (1) is clear by directly checking the definition

For (2), suppose $p \in \bigcup U_\lambda$. Then $p \in U_{\lambda_p}$ for some $\lambda_p \in \Lambda$
 by definition of union of sets. So $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ such that

$B(p, \varepsilon) \subset U_{\lambda_p}$ (because U_{λ_p} is open). Then $B(p, \varepsilon) \subset \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} U_\lambda$

For (3), suppose $p \in \bigcap_{j=1}^N U_j$. Then for each $j = 1, \dots, N$,
 there exists $\varepsilon_j > 0$ such that $B(p, \varepsilon_j) \subset U_j$: this

is because $p \in U_j$ and U_j is open. Set

$\varepsilon = \min(\varepsilon_1, \dots, \varepsilon_N)$. Then $\varepsilon > 0$ and $B(p, \varepsilon) \subset U_j$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ because $B(p, \varepsilon) \subseteq B(p, \varepsilon_j) \subset U_j$

(since $\varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_j$). So $B(p, \varepsilon) \subset \bigcap_{j=1}^N U_j$. \square

Note that finiteness is needed for property (3) to hold
 in general: $\bigcap_{j=1}^{+\infty} (-\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j}) = \{0\}$, and $\{0\}$ is

not open in \mathbb{R} (with usual $d(x, y) = |x - y|$)
 even though $(-\frac{1}{j}, \frac{1}{j}) = B(0, \frac{1}{j})$ is open for each $j = 1, 2, 3, \dots$.

② Since C closed $\Leftrightarrow X - C$ open, properties 1), 2) & 3) give rise to properties of closed sets by taking complements. For this, recall de Morgan's Laws

(i) complement of a union = intersection of complements

$$X - (\bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) = \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X - A_\lambda)$$

(ii) complement of intersection = union of complements

$$X - (\bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} A_\lambda) = \bigcup_{\lambda \in \Lambda} (X - A_\lambda)$$

Thus (1) \emptyset open $\Rightarrow X = X - \emptyset$ closed

. X open $\Rightarrow \emptyset = X - X$ closed

and (using deMorgan's Laws):

(2) $C_\lambda, \lambda \in \Lambda$ closed $\Rightarrow \bigcap_{\lambda \in \Lambda} C_\lambda$ is closed

(3) C_1, \dots, C_N closed $\Rightarrow \bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j$ is closed.

Check of (3), for example: $\bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j$ is closed if

$X - (\bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j)$ is open. But

$$X - (\bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j) = \bigcap_{j=1}^N (X - C_j) \quad (\text{deMorgan}).$$

Now each $X - C_j$ is open since C_j is closed.

By property (3) for open sets (finite intersection of open sets is open), $\bigcap_{j=1}^N (X - C_j)$ is open.

Hence $\bigcup_{j=1}^N C_j$ is closed, as required. \square

Instructive exercise: Check properties (1), (2), (3) for closed sets directly, using the adherent points are in the set definition.

③ Convergence of sequences

Recall definition: A sequence $\{x_i : i=1,2,3\dots\}$ of points in a metric space X converges to $x_0 \in X$ if $\forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon \ni n > N_\varepsilon \Rightarrow d(x_0, x_n) < \varepsilon$.

This is the same as saying $n > N_\varepsilon \Rightarrow x_n \in B(x_0, \varepsilon)$, which is open. So this suggests convergence of sequences can be expressed in terms of open sets. This is true:

A sequence $\{x_i\}$ converges to x_0 if and only if \forall open set U with $x_0 \in U, \exists N_U \ni n > N_U \Rightarrow x_n \in U$.

Proof of equivalence: Convergence \Rightarrow N_U condition since:

If $x_0 \in U$, U open, $\exists \varepsilon > 0$ with $B(x_0, \varepsilon) \subset U$.

We can take $N_U = N_\varepsilon$. The N_U condition \Rightarrow convergence is clear since for each $\varepsilon > 0$, we can take $U = B(x_0, \varepsilon)$, and then $N_\varepsilon = N_U$. \square

Characterization of closed sets in terms of sequence limits.

A set C is closed \iff for every sequence $\{x_i \in C\}$ which converges to some point $x_0 \in X$, $x_0 \in C$.

Slogan: " C is closed $\iff C$ contains all its sequential limits"

Proof: \Rightarrow : Suppose $\{x_i \in C\}$ converges to $x_0 \in X$.

Then x_0 is adherent to C because: given $\varepsilon > 0$, $x_i \in B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ if $i > N_\varepsilon$; in particular, $x_i \in B(x_0, \varepsilon)$ for some i , and $x_i \in C$ by hypothesis. Since x_0 is adherent to C , $x_0 \in C$ if C is closed.

\Leftarrow : Suppose p is adherent to C . Then $\exists x_i \in C \cap B(p, 1), x_2 \in C \cap B(p, \frac{1}{2}), x_3 \in C \cap B(p, \frac{1}{3})$, etc.

The sequence $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$ converges to x_0 . So, if

④ C contains all its sequential limits, then $x_0 \in C$.
Thus every point adherent to C is in C and C is closed \square

Note that knowing the open sets of X tells one which sequences converge and to which point. And what we just proved shows that knowing which sequences converge and to which point tells one which sets in X are closed and hence which sets are open (since open \Leftrightarrow complement is closed). So knowing about convergence of sequences is the same as knowing which sets are open.

Cauchy sequences and completeness:

Def: A sequence $\{x_i \in X : i=1,2,3\dots\}$ is a Cauchy sequence
 $\Leftrightarrow \forall \varepsilon > 0, \exists N_\varepsilon \ni i,j > N_\varepsilon \Rightarrow d(x_i, x_j) < \varepsilon$.

A metric space X is complete if every Cauchy sequence in X converges to some point in X .

Examples: (1) Any set X with the "0, 1" metric
(all distances = 0 or 1)

(2) real numbers \mathbb{R} , $d(x,y) = |x-y|$
(reason will be discussed next time)

Nonexamples: (1) $B((0,0), 1)$: $\left(= \{(x_1, x_2) : x_1^2 + x_2^2 < 1\} \right)$
 $\in \mathbb{R}^2$

(2) $\mathbb{R}^2 - \{(0,0)\}$

(3) $(0,1)$

General principle: A non-complete metric space always looks like (1) the result of taking a complete metric space and removing some points (prob. 7, p. 13)