

Solutions of Homework IV

(1)

1. Suppose X is not connected, so that $X = U \cup V$, U, V open, $U \neq \emptyset$, $V \neq \emptyset$, $U \cap V = \emptyset$. Choose $p \in U$, $q \in V$.

If $\gamma: [0, 1] \rightarrow X$ is continuous with $\gamma(0) = p$, $\gamma(1) = q$

i.e. γ is an arc (or path) from p to q , then

$\gamma^{-1}(U)$ and $\gamma^{-1}(V)$ are open, nonempty subsets of $[0, 1]$ (since $0 \in \gamma^{-1}(U)$ and $1 \in \gamma^{-1}(V)$) with \emptyset intersection and union = $[0, 1]$. This contradicts the connectedness of $[0, 1]$. \square

2. Given $\varepsilon > 0$, and $f \in C([0, 1])$, choose, by uniform continuity of f and N such that $d(x, y) \leq 1/N$, $x, y \in [0, 1] \Rightarrow |f(x) - f(y)| < \varepsilon/10$.

Consider the piecewise linear function F with

$r_1 = 0$, $r_2 = \frac{1}{N}$, $r_3 = \frac{2}{N}$, ..., $r_{N+1} = 1$ and value at $r_k = a_k$ where $k = 0, \dots, N$ and a_k is rational and $|f(k/N) - a_k| < \varepsilon/10$.

Then if $x \in [\frac{k}{N}, \frac{k+1}{N}]$, $|f(x) - F(x)| < \varepsilon$

because:

(1) f is within $\varepsilon/10$ of $f(\frac{k}{N})$ on $[\frac{k}{N}, \frac{k+1}{N}]$

(2) $F(\frac{k}{N})$ is within $\frac{\varepsilon}{10}$ of $f(\frac{k}{N})$; and

$F(\frac{k+1}{N})$ is within $\frac{\varepsilon}{10}$ of $f(\frac{k+1}{N})$ and hence is within $\frac{\varepsilon}{5}$ of $f(\frac{k}{N})$.

(3) $F(x)$ is between $F(\frac{k}{N})$ and $F(\frac{k+1}{N})$ and hence is within $\frac{2\varepsilon}{5}$ of $f(\frac{k}{N})$.

So $f(x)$ is within $\varepsilon/10$ of $f(\frac{k}{N})$ while

$F(x)$ is within $2\varepsilon/5$ of $f(\frac{k}{N})$. Thus

$$|f(x) - F(x)| \leq \frac{\varepsilon}{10} + \frac{2\varepsilon}{5} < \varepsilon.$$

So density of rational piecewise linear functions in $C([0, 1])$ in sup norm follows. (2)

Note that set of these is countable, since for each fixed $l = 2, 3, \dots$, there are $l-1$ choices of r_2, \dots, r_{l-1} and l choices of values a_1, \dots, a_l (values at r_1, \dots, r_l)

So for l fixed, there are countably many. But all rational piecewise linear = union over all $l = 2, 3, \dots$ of these countable sets, hence set of all of them is countable, so $C([0, 1])$ is separable

3. It is elementary to check that sup norm is a metric. Only nontrivial point is Δ inequality. But for each $x_0 \in [0, 1]$

$$\begin{aligned} |f(x_0) - h(x_0)| &\leq |f(x_0) - g(x_0)| + |g(x_0) - h(x_0)| \\ &\leq \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |f(x) - g(x)| + \sup_{x \in [0, 1]} |g(x) - h(x)| \end{aligned}$$

$$|f(x_0) - h(x_0)| \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h)$$

$$d(f, h) = \sup_{x_0 \in [0, 1]} |f(x_0) - h(x_0)| \leq d(f, g) + d(g, h).$$

(3)

For nonseparable, recall from class that it suffices to exhibit an uncountable set A such that $a, b \in A \Rightarrow d(a, b) \geq 1$. (Reason: Separable \Rightarrow every ^{open} cover of A has countable subcover but with A as described $B(a, \frac{1}{2})$ $a \in A$ is open cover with no countable subcover).

Choose $A = \{ \chi_\lambda : \lambda \in [0, 1] \}$
 where $\chi_\lambda : [0, 1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is defined by
 $\chi_\lambda(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } x = \lambda \\ 0 & \text{if } x \neq \lambda \end{cases}$, $\chi_\lambda(\lambda) = 1$. \square

4. The map $f \in C([0, 1])$, sup norm metric
 $\rightarrow f \in C([0, 1])$, L^2 norm metric
 is distance nonincreasing

$(L^2 \text{ norm of } f - g) \leq \text{sup norm of } f - g$
 (elementary integral estimates).

So a set that is dense in $C([0, 1])$ in sup norm is necessarily dense in $C([0, 1])$ in L^2 norm: If S is ε -dense in sup ^{$\varepsilon > 0$} norm then S is ε -dense in L^2 norm. And density is same as ε -dense for all $\varepsilon > 0$. Thus result of problem 2 implies rational piecewise linear functions are L^2 dense in $C([0, 1])$.

5. Note that for all $a, b \in \mathbb{R}$, $(a-b)^2 \leq 2(a^2 + b^2)$
 because $2(a^2 + b^2) - (a-b)^2 = a^2 + b^2 + 2ab$
 and $|2ab| \leq a^2 + b^2$ because
 $a^2 + b^2 - |2ab| = (|a| - |b|)^2$.

So for each N , $\sum_1^N (x_i - y_i)^2$ (4)

$$\leq 2 \left(\sum_1^N x_i^2 \right) + 2 \left(\sum_1^N y_i^2 \right).$$

If RHS has a finite limit as $N \rightarrow +\infty$ (i.e. if each \sum does) then so does LHS so

$$\sum_1^{+\infty} (x_i - y_i)^2 < +\infty.$$

Also by triangle inequality for \mathbb{R}^N

$$\left(\sum_1^N (x_i - y_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_1^N x_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_1^N y_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

Letting $N \rightarrow +\infty$ gives

$$\left(\sum_1^{+\infty} (x_i - y_i)^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \left(\sum_1^{+\infty} x_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} + \left(\sum_1^{+\infty} y_i^2 \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$$

so

$$\| \vec{x} - \vec{y} \| \leq \| \vec{x} \| + \| \vec{y} \|.$$

(We could have used this also to prove $\sum_1^{+\infty} (x_i - y_i)^2$ was finite if we had wanted to!)

So metric space $\Delta \leq$ follows

6. If $\sum_{i=1}^{+\infty} x_i^2 < +\infty$, then, given $\varepsilon > 0$,

there is an N such that $\| (x_1, \dots, x_N, \dots) - (x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, \dots) \|$

$< \varepsilon/2$ since this is same as $\sum_{i=N+1}^{+\infty} x_i^2 < \frac{\varepsilon^2}{4}$ which is true for N large enough (by convergence).

But \exists ^{rational numbers} $a_1, \dots, a_N \in \mathbb{R}$ $\| (x_1, \dots, x_N, 0, \dots, 0) \|$ (5)

$$\| (a_1, \dots, a_N, 0, \dots, 0) \| < \epsilon/2 \text{ by}$$

density of rational numbers in \mathbb{R} . Thus for each $\vec{x} = (x_1, \dots, x_n, \dots)$ in ℓ^2

\exists a rational-value eventually 0 sequence $(a_1, \dots, a_N, 0, \dots, 0)$ that is ϵ -close to \vec{x} . Since set of eventually 0 rational-value sequences is countable, we have a countable dense set in ℓ^2 . \square

7. If $\sum f^2(\lambda_i) \leq M$ for all finite sums, for each such finite sum and $\epsilon > 0$

$$\text{number of } \lambda_i \text{ with } |f(\lambda_i)| > \epsilon \leq M/\epsilon^2$$

λ_i are points of X here

(otherwise, if there are $> M/\epsilon^2$ such λ_i , \sum over those would be $> (M/\epsilon^2)\epsilon^2 = M$)

So total number of λ with $|f(\lambda)| > \epsilon \leq M/\epsilon^2$.

$$\Lambda_{>0} = \{ \lambda \in \Lambda : |f(\lambda)| > 0 \} = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} \{ \lambda : |f(\lambda)| > \frac{1}{n} \}$$

So $\Lambda_{>0}$ is a countable union of finite sets, hence a countable set itself. \square

8. Suppose $f \in \sum f^2(\lambda_i) \leq M_1$ and $g \in \sum g^2(\lambda_i) \leq M_2$, both inequalities for all finite sums. Let $\Lambda_0 = \{ \lambda \in X : f(\lambda) \neq 0 \}$ and

$\Theta_0 = \{ \lambda \in X : g(\lambda) \neq 0 \}$. Then Λ_0 and Θ_0 are countable by problem 7. So $\Lambda_0 \cup \Theta_0$ is countable.

(6)

Then f, g are elements of l_2 of $\Lambda_0 \cup \Theta_0$

in the obvious sense, namely let $\Lambda_0 \wedge \Theta_0 = \lambda_1, \lambda_2, \lambda_3, \lambda_4, \dots$ some fixed ordering and associate to f the sequence

$$f(\lambda_1), f(\lambda_2), \dots$$

and to g

$$g(\lambda_1), g(\lambda_2), \dots$$

Then as in problem (c), l_2 -norm of $f-g$ is defined. If h is also in $l_2(X_0)$

then $\Lambda_0 \cup \Theta_0 \cup \Delta_0$, $\Delta_0 = \{ \lambda \in X : h(\lambda) \neq 0 \}$

So again can think of l_2 as in problem (b) except now ordering $\Lambda_0 \cup \Theta_0 \cup \Delta_0$ to get triangle inequality — because it works for l_2 in problem (b) sense.

9. Same general technique as problem 3 (b):

For each $\lambda \in X$, let $\chi_\lambda : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be

$$\chi_\lambda(x) = 0 \quad x \in X, x \neq \lambda \quad \chi_\lambda(\lambda) = 1.$$

Then l_2 -distance from χ_{λ_1} to χ_{λ_2}

$$= \sqrt{2} \quad \text{if } \lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2. \text{ So this is an countable}$$

set of points all ≥ 1 from each other \Rightarrow

$l_2(X)$ not separable.