

R. Greene
August,
2009

Outline of Linear Algebra: Part I

Prologue: Determinants: definition, multilinearity, antisymmetry,
row = column

Gaussian idea. ^{Application:} Determinant = 0 \Leftrightarrow rows dependent

Definition Vector space over field.

& examples Fundamental examples:

\mathbb{R}^n , E over F , l_2 , $C([0, 1])$, free vector space,
(includes eventually zero sequences), solutions of
linear ordinary & partial differential equations
 l_2 over \mathbb{C} , complex-valued function spaces

Basis &
dimension

Fundamental fact: If v_1, \dots, v_k generate V and
if $n > k$, then w_1, \dots, w_n is a linearly dependent
set.

Proof: More unknowns than eq \Rightarrow nontriv solution
of homogeneous system or replacement proof.

Consequences: If V is generated by a finite set,
then \exists (unique) number n such that

a. \exists a linearly independent set v_1, \dots, v_n generating
 V .

b. No smaller set can generate V

c. No larger set can be linearly independent

d. Any generating set with n vectors is independent

e. Any independent set with n vectors generates.

$n =$ dimension of V (by definition).

V of dimension n is "isomorphic" to \mathbb{R}^n
(or F^n if $F =$ field)

Namely, if v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis (generating, linearly independent set), then each $v \in V = \sum \alpha_i v_i$
 α_i uniquely determined and

$$v \rightarrow (\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$$

is bijective & linear (with linear inverse): v. space operations are preserved!

Linear transformation General idea of linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow W$.
 $T(\alpha_1 v_1 + \alpha_2 v_2) = \alpha_1 T(v_1) + \alpha_2 T(v_2)$.

$$\ker T \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{v: Tv = 0\}$$

$$\text{range } T (= \text{image } T = \text{im } T) \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \{Tv: Tv \in W\}$$

$\ker T$ & $\text{range } T$ are subspaces (subvector spaces)

Basic observation: If V is finite dimensional, then so are $\ker T$ & $\text{range } T$ and

$$\dim(V) = \dim(\ker T) + \dim(\text{range } T)$$

Proof: Choose v_1, \dots, v_k basis for $\ker T$, extend to $v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$ basis for V , then

$T|_{\text{span}(v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n)}$ is 1-1 onto $\text{range}(T)$ so
 $\dim(\text{range } T) = n - k. \square$

Matrix of a linear transformation $T: V \rightarrow W$
 of \mathbb{R} basis v_1, \dots, v_n for V , w_1, \dots, w_m for W :
 A_{ij} determined by $\sum_{i=1}^n A_{ij} w_i$ $j=1, \dots, n$
 $Tv_j = \sum_{i=1}^m A_{ij} w_i$ $j=1, \dots, n$

(No. of columns = $\dim V$, no. of rows = $\dim W$
 columns of matrix are images of v_j 's in W -component form).

Note how this works: a vector $v = \sum \alpha_i v_i$ has T -image in W coordinates, thought of as a "column vector" obtained by

$$\alpha_1 (\text{1st column of } A) + \alpha_2 (\text{second column of } A) + \dots + \alpha_n (\text{last column of } A)$$

This corresponds to usual "across first, down second factor" matrix product

$$\begin{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_{ij} \end{pmatrix} \\ \begin{matrix} \nearrow \text{row} \\ \downarrow \text{column} \end{matrix} \end{matrix} \begin{pmatrix} \alpha_1 \\ \vdots \\ \alpha_n \end{pmatrix} = \text{column representing } Tv \text{ in } W\text{-components.}$$

Example: $T: \mathbb{R}^3 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ with $T((1,0,0)) = (2,3)$
 $T((0,1,0)) = (-1, +1)$ and $T((0,0,1)) = (-5, 7)$
 has matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -5 \\ 3 & +1 & 7 \end{pmatrix}$$

so that indeed

$$\begin{pmatrix} 2 & -1 & -5 \\ 3 & +1 & 7 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 0 \\ 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{pmatrix} \text{ etc.}$$

Note: Other conventions would be possible (e.g., multiply on right by matrix etc.)

Obvious: Every linear transformation is given by a matrix once bases are chosen, and every matrix is the matrix of some linear transformation, this being unique (again with bases chosen).

Note: The matrix idea is really only useful in finite-dimensional situation.

Composition of linear transformations:

$$V_1 \xrightarrow{T} V_2 \xrightarrow{S} V_3 \quad S \circ T \quad (\text{notation})$$

matrix of $S \circ T$ is BA where A = matrix of T and B = matrix of S . (matrix on right acts first! $(BA)\vec{v} = B(A\vec{v})$, \vec{v} = column vector! (matrix multiplication is associative). Note order is reversed in a sense.

And order matters: matrix multiplication is not commutative (and linear transformations are not) even when everything is defined (and it might not be!).

Example: $T(x, y) = (0, y)$ $S(x, y) = (y, x)$

Then $S(T(x, y)) = S(0, y) = (y, 0)$ while

$T(S(x, y)) = T(y, x) = (0, x)$. These are equal only at $(0, 0)$!

Note: "Generic" matrices fail to commute.

Dual space: V a vector space, $V^* \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \text{the set of linear transformations } T \text{ of } V \text{ to } \mathbb{R} \text{ (or whatever field } V \text{ is defined over)}$. V^* is a vector space with the obvious ideas of addition and multiplication by scalars.

Note: A fixed but arbitrary $v_0 \in V$ determines a linear transformation $T_{v_0}: V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by $T_{v_0}(\omega) = \omega(v_0)$, $\omega \in V^*$

Thus $V \subset (V^*)^*$ in some natural sense of \subset .

If V is infinite dimensional, then $(V^*)^*$ is larger than V . But if V is finite dimensional $V \cong (V^*)^*$

as we shall see momentarily (where "=" is in the same sense as " \subset ": V is naturally identified with $(V^*)^*$ by sending each v_0 to $T_{v_0}: V^* \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ (or F)).

Definition: If V is finite dimensional and v_1, \dots, v_n is a basis, then the dual basis of V^* is w_1, \dots, w_n where w_j is defined by $w_j(v_j) = 1$, $w_j(v_k) = 0$ if $k \neq j$. [Note: This determines w_j since $w(\sum \alpha_i v_i) = \sum \alpha_i w(v_i)$ for each $w \in V^*$; and the $w(v_i)$ can be chosen arbitrarily to use this formula as a definition of an $w \in V^*$]. Easy to check: w_1, \dots, w_n really is a basis for V^* .

So $\dim V^* = \dim V$.

The spaces V^* & V are isomorphic but not "canonically isomorphic" since the isomorphism depends on basis choice. But if V is finite dimensional, then V and V^{**} are canonically isomorphic, since $v_0 \rightarrow T_{v_0}$ (as earlier) does not depend on basis choice.

Adjoints: If $T: V \rightarrow W$ is a linear transformation, then the adjoint of T is the linear transformation $T^*: W^* \rightarrow V^*$ defined by $T^*(w)(v) = w(T(v))$, $w \in W^*$, $v \in V$. Note that $T^*(w) \in V^*$ as required.

Slightly tedious but routine exercise: If $T: V \rightarrow W$ (V, W finite dimensional) has matrix A relative to bases v_1, \dots, v_n of V and w_1, \dots, w_m of W , then T^* has matrix A^t (A transpose) relative to the associated dual bases

of V^* and W^* , where $(A^t)_{ij} = A_{ji}$
 (note number of rows of $A^t =$ number of columns
 of A , number of columns of $A^t =$ number of rows
 of A). Clearly $(A^t)^t = A$. Correspondingly
 $(T^*)^* = T$ with $(V^*)^*$ and $(W^*)^*$ being
 identified with V and W canonically.
 Note this is for V, W finite dimensional only!
 (the main case we are interested in).

Null space of adjoint etc. (For most of the following
 V, W finite dimensional until further notice!)

Basic definition: If S is a subset of V ,
 the annihilator of S is a vector subspace
 of V^* defined as $\{T \in V^* : T(v) = 0 \text{ for all } v \in S\}$.
 Notation: S^\perp . Note that $S^\perp = V_1^\perp$ where
 $V_1 = \text{span } S =$ smallest vector subspace of V
 containing S . So one really might as well
 just consider annihilators of subspace.

Basic fact $\therefore \ker T^* = (\text{image } T)^\perp$.

Proof: If $\omega \in (\text{image } T)^\perp$, then for each v
 $\in V$, $(T^*\omega)(v) = \omega(T(v)) = 0$, so
by definition

$\omega \in \ker T^*$. Conversely, if $\omega \in \ker T^*$ then 0
 $= (T^*\omega)(v) = \omega(T(v))$ for all $v \in V$. So
 $\omega \in (\text{image } T)^\perp$.

[Up to here, finite dimensionality has not been used!
 But now it will be].

Easy fact (from before) $\dim \ker T + \dim \text{image } T$
 $= \text{dimension } V$.

Second easy fact: $V_1 \subset V$, $\dim V_1^\perp =$
 $n - \dim V_1$, $n = \dim V$. (Proof: Choose basis
of V_1 , extend to basis of V , look at dual basis
 $w_1, \dots, w_k, w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n$, $k = \dim V_1$. Clear that
 w_{k+1}, \dots, w_n are basis for V_1^\perp .)
 $n = \dim V$, $m = \dim W \Rightarrow \dim V^* = n$, $\dim W^* = m$)

Corollary: $\dim \ker T^* = \dim (\text{image } T)^\perp$
 $= (m - \dim \text{image } T)$

So $\dim \text{image } T^* = m - \dim \ker T^*$
 $= m - (m - \dim (\text{image } T)) = \dim (\text{image } T)$

So $\text{image } T^*$ and $\text{image } T$ have the same
dimension.

Translated to matrix terms, this is
"row rank = column rank":

max no of linearly independent columns = $\dim \text{image } T$
max no of linearly independent rows =
max no of linearly independent columns of A^t
 $= \dim \text{image } T^*$.

This common value is called the rank of the
matrix A .