

## Homework

## 1. (Frobenius Theorem, differential forms version)

Suppose  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$  are linearly independent differential forms. Define a distribution

by  $\mathbb{D}_p = \{h - k\}$  plane at  $p$   $= \{v \in T_p M : \Theta_1(v) = 0, \Theta_2(v) = 0, \dots, \Theta_k(v) = 0\}$

Show that this distribution is integrable if and only if the 2-forms  $d\theta_i, i=1, \dots k$  have the property that  $d\theta_i(v, w) = 0$  for all  $v, w \in$  the distribution. (Suggestion:

$$v, w \in \text{the distribution. (Suggestion: } dw(x, y) = xw(y) - yw(x) - w([x, y])$$

use  $d\omega(x, \cdot) = \cdot$ , we can show that this condition is equivalent to the distribution being involutive).

if and only if (locally)  $w = \sum_{i=1}^k \theta_i \circ w_i$ , some

w. (Suggestion: Extend  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_k$  to  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ )

w<sub>i</sub>: (Suggestion: Extend  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$  to  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$   
 a basis and note that  $w = \sum_{i < j} w(v_i, v_j) \theta_i \wedge \theta_j$

where  $v_1, \dots, v_k, v_{k+1}, \dots, v_n$  is the dual basis for  $T_p M$  of  $\theta_1, \dots, \theta_n$ .

3. Suppose  $M$  is compact oriented Riemannian.

Show that an harmonic  $n$ -form ( $n = \dim M$ ) has the form  $c(\text{vol. form})$ ,  $c \in \mathbb{R}$  and that every  $n$ -form of this sort is harmonic.

Deduced that  $H_{\text{deRham}}^n(M, \mathbb{R}) \cong \mathbb{R}$ .

4. Use problem 3 to show that an  $n$ -form  $\omega$  is exact  $\Leftrightarrow \int_M \omega = 0$ .

5(a) Suppose that  $g$  is a Riemannian metric on an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}^2 (= \mathbb{C})$  with  $g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)$  and  $g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right) = 0$ . Show that the  $*$  operator for  $g$  on 1-forms is the same as the  $*$  operator for  $\mathbb{R}^2$ 's usual metric (same orientation of  $\mathbb{R}^2$  throughout) namely  $*dx = dy$  and  $*dy = -dx$ .

(b) Deduce that  $f_1 dx + f_2 dy$  is harmonic ( $f_1, f_2$  real valued) if and only if  $\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial y} = \frac{\partial f_2}{\partial x}$  and  $\frac{\partial f_2}{\partial y} = -\frac{\partial f_1}{\partial x}$ .

(c) Deduce that if  $u+iv$  is holomorphic then  $(u+iv)(dx+idy)$  has real part and imaginary part (after you multiply them out) harmonic. Is the converse true?

6. A Riemann surface is a 2-dimensional manifold  $M$  with a coordinate cover

$(x_\lambda, y_\lambda) : U_\lambda \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$   $\lambda \in \text{index set } \Lambda$  such that

If  $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu \neq \emptyset$  then, with  $x_\mu$  and  $y_\mu$  thought of as functions of  $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)$  on  $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ , the function

$x_\mu + i y_\mu$  is a holomorphic function of  $x_\lambda + i y_\lambda$

$$(i.e. \frac{\partial x_\mu}{\partial x_\lambda} = \frac{\partial y_\mu}{\partial y_\lambda} \text{ and } \frac{\partial x_\mu}{\partial y_\lambda} = -\frac{\partial y_\mu}{\partial x_\lambda})$$

A <sup>Riemannian</sup> metric  $g$  on  $M$  is Hermitian (by definition)

$$\text{if } g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda}\right) = g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda}\right) \text{ and } g\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda}\right) = 0$$

on  $U_\lambda$ , all  $\lambda \in \Lambda$ .

(a) Prove: If a metric satisfies the Hermitian metric conditions in  $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)$  coordinates then it satisfies the conditions in  $(x_\mu, y_\mu)$  coordinates on  $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$  (if  $(x_\mu, y_\mu)$  and  $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)$  are holomorphically related as above).

(b) Proof: Every Riemann surface has an Hermitian metric  
 (Suggestion: Partition-of-unity combine the Euclidean metrics).

$$\left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda} \right\rangle = \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda} \right\rangle = 1, \quad \left\langle \frac{\partial}{\partial x_\lambda}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y_\lambda} \right\rangle = 0 \text{ on } U_\lambda \text{'s}.$$

(c) If  $g$  is an Hermitian metric on a Riemann surface  $M$ , then the associated  $\star$  operator satisfies

$$\star dx_\lambda = dy_\lambda \text{ and } \star dy_\lambda = -dx_\lambda.$$

7. Consider  $d$  and  $\star$  extended by complex linearity to complex differential forms ( $\alpha dx + \beta dy$ ,  $\alpha, \beta \in \mathbb{C}$ ) and complex valued functions.
- (a) Show that if  $u+iv$  is holomorphic ( $u, v$  real valued functions,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x_\lambda} = \frac{\partial v}{\partial y_\lambda}$ ,  $\frac{\partial u}{\partial y_\lambda} = -\frac{\partial v}{\partial x_\lambda}$ ) then  $(u+iv) dz_\lambda$  ( $dz_\lambda = dx_\lambda + i dy_\lambda$ ) is harmonic in the sense that  $d=0$  and  $d\star=0$ .
- (b) Show that a complex 1-form that is given as (holomorphic function)  $dz_\lambda =$  in  $\mu$  coordinates (another holomorphic function)  $dz_\mu$  on  $U_\lambda \cap U_\mu$ . [A complex 1-form expressible in every  $(x_\lambda, y_\lambda)$  coordinate system  $\lambda$  is called a holomorphic 1-form. So part (a) can be expressed: holomorphic 1-forms are harmonic.]

- (c) The Riemann sphere  $\mathbb{C} \cup \{\infty\}$  is the Riemann surface with coordinate cover  $U_1 = \mathbb{C}$ ,  $U_2 = (\mathbb{C} - \{\infty\}) \cup \{\infty\}$  with maps  $U_1 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  the map taking  $x+iy$  to  $(x, y)$  and  $U_2 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$  being the map taking  $\infty \rightarrow 0$  and  $x+iy \rightarrow (\operatorname{Re}(\frac{1}{x+iy}), \operatorname{Im}(\frac{1}{x+iy}))$ . Check this actually is a Riemann surface.
- \* (d) Show that there are no  $\neq 0$  holomorphic 1-forms on the Riemann sphere two ways:
- (1) Hodge theorem
  - (2)  $dz_2 = -\frac{1}{z^2} dz_1$  ( $z_1$  on  $U_1$ ,  $z_2$  coord on  $U_2$ )
- So  $f_2 dz_2 = f_1 dz_1$  on  $U_1 \cap U_2 \Rightarrow f_2 = -z_1^2 f_1$  on  $\mathbb{C} - \{\infty\}$  show impossible if  $f_1$  holom on  $\mathbb{C}$ ,  $f_2$  holom