

More on the Gauss Map in General

As usual, let $\Gamma: S \rightarrow S^2$ be the Gauss map of a closed surface in \mathbb{R}^3 . We have already observed that Γ 's Jacobian ^{at $p \in S$} is the Gauss curvature at p . Let us agree to call a point $u \in S^2$ a "critical value" of Γ if and only if there is a point $p \in S$ with $\Gamma(p) = u$ and with Gauss curvature at $p = 0$, or, equivalently, with Jacobian of Γ at $p = 0$. [This definition can be made in general: if $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a differentiable mapping of one surface to another, then (by definition) $q \in N$ is a critical value if $\exists p \in M$ such that $f(p) = q$ and such that f is singular at p , that is, has 0 Jacobian determinant at p in local coordinates on M and N].

In fact, it always happens that most $u \in S^2$ are not critical values. The critical values have measure 0 (or area 0 in this case) in a sense we shall make precise momentarily.

Now suppose that ^{neither} $u \in S^2$ nor $-u$ is a critical value for Γ . Define the "height function" H_u on S by $H_u(p) = \langle u, p \rangle$. (If $u = (0, 0, 1)$, then H_u would be just the z -coordinate, for example). Of course the function H_u has critical points on S , a maximum and minimum

at the very least. But when $\pm u$ are both ^{non} critical values of Γ , these critical points are nondegenerate in a certain sense.

For convenience, we look at this in more detail in the case $u = (0, 0, 1)$ so that $H_u = \mathbb{Z}$. This is without loss of generality, since any u can be made $= (0, 0, 1)$ by rotation of coordinates. Thus at each point with normal $= \pm (0, 0, 1)$, we can consider S as graphed over its horizontal tangent plane, i.e. S locally looks like $(u, v, f(u, v))$ with $f_u = f_v = 0$ at $(u, v) = (0, 0)$. Since in this situation, Gauss curv. $\neq 0 = f_{uu}f_{vv} - f_{uv}^2$ (at $(u, v) = (0, 0)$), it follows that (1) f has either a strict local minimum or a strict local maximum, strict in the ^{extended} sense that the quadratic part of the Taylor expansion is either positive definite or negative definite. or (2) f has a definite saddle point in the sense that the quadratic part of the Taylor expansion is a nondegenerate quadratic form with one positive eigenvalue and one negative eigenvalue. Case (1) corresponds to $K > 0$ case (2) to $K < 0$.

This is all just standard two variable calculus, once we have S graphed over its tangent plane.

Now it is not hard to see (pictorially!) that no. of local max + no. of local min. - no. of saddlepts = the Euler characteristic of S . Considering how the Euler characteristic changes as one goes up in \mathbb{Z} level, i.e., how the Euler characteristic

of $\{p \in S : z(p) < \alpha\}$ as α varies over $[\min_S z, \max_S z]$, changes with increasing α .

Each local minimum introduces a new, isolated point which turns into a disc for slightly larger α . This ups Euler characteristic by 1. Each local maximum caps off a circle with a disc, the circle being on slightly lower levels. This also increases Euler characteristic by 1. Cross a saddle point decreases Euler characteristic by 1 (See figures). So the formula

$$\chi(S) = \begin{aligned} & \text{no. of loc. max} - \text{no. of saddle pts.} \\ & \text{to no. of loc. min.} \\ & = \text{no. of Gauss curv pos. pts.} \\ & \quad - \text{no. of Gauss curv neg pts} \end{aligned}$$

among pts with exterior normal $= \pm(0, 0, 1)$. We can also think of this of course as

$$\begin{aligned} & \textcircled{1} \left(\begin{aligned} & \text{no of Gauss curv. } > 0 \text{ pts with } N = (0, 0, 1) \\ & - \text{no of Gauss curv } < 0 \text{ pts with } N = (0, 0, 1) \end{aligned} \right) \\ & + \textcircled{2} \left(\begin{aligned} & \text{no of Gauss curv } > 0 \text{ pts with } N = -(0, 0, 1) \\ & - \text{no of Gauss curv } < 0 \text{ pts with } N = -(0, 0, 1) \end{aligned} \right) \end{aligned}$$

$$\text{Let } C = \left\{ u \in S^2 : \text{either } u \text{ or } -u \text{ is a critical value of } \Gamma \right\}$$

Since the set of critical values has measure 0, so does C . We now integrate with respect to a unit vector $u \in S^2 - C$

with $(0,0,1)$ replaced by u !
 the formula (with ① & ② as above),
 $\chi(S) = \text{①} + \text{②}$
 over $u \in S^2 \setminus C$. The left hand side gives

$$\int_{S^2 - C} \chi(S) d(\text{area}) = \int_{S^2} \chi(S) d(\text{area}) = 4\pi \chi(S)$$

since $\chi(S)$ is a constant and C has measure 0. By the definition of C , the set $-C = C$. So

$$\int_{S^2 - C} \text{①} = \int_{S^2 - C} \text{②}$$

Thus we get

$$2 \int_{S^2 - C} \text{①} = 4\pi \chi(S)$$

or

$$\int_{S^2 - C} \text{①} = 2\pi \chi(S).$$

Finally, we can use the fact that the Jacobian (including orientation!) of Γ is K to get that

$$\int_{S^2 - C} \text{①} = \int_S K d(\text{area}).$$

This then yields $\int_S K d(\text{area}) = 2\pi \chi(S)$.

So we have recovered the Gauss-Bonnet Theorem

The other points of S where K ≠ 0 are called "first kind":

(or surface in R^3 at least) from this viewpoint. To complete the argument, we need to give a few more details of why $\int_S \textcircled{1} c = \int_S K d(\text{area})$. Now a certain difficulty arises: there are points x ∈ S with K(x) ≠ 0 but with Γ'(x) ∈ C: this happens when some other point y ∈ S has K(y) = 0 and N(y) = ±N(x). However, these points x, which we shall call points of the "second kind" turn out to have measure 0

in S. Thus their omission from further discussion will not affect the value of $\int_S K d(\text{area})$: in particular, $\int_S K d(\text{area}) = \int_{\text{points of S}} K d(\text{area})$ with K ≠ 0 but not of the second kind

We can leave out K=0 points and also points of the second kind, the K=0 pts since integrating 0 gives 0 and the second kind points since they form a set of measure 0 (which we shall prove at the end).

Now suppose x is a point of S with K ≠ 0 of the first kind. Then π'(Γ(x)) is a finite set consisting of p_1, ..., p_k points where K > 0 and q_1, ..., q_l points where K < 0. By the Inverse Function Theorem, ∃ open sets V_1, ..., V_k and W_1, ..., W_l with p_j ∈ V_j, q_m ∈ W_m, with K > 0 on the V's, K < 0 on the W's and Γ restricted to each V or W a diffeomorphism onto an open set U (same open set U for all, by taking intersection). From the change of variables formula for double integrals and our interpretation of the Gauss curvature as Jacobian, we get that

$$\int_{UCS^2} \textcircled{1} d(\text{area}_{S^2}) = \int_U (k-l) d(\text{area}_{S^2})$$

$$= \sum_{j=1}^l \int_{V_j CS} k d(\text{area}_S) + \sum_{m=1}^l \int_{W_m CS} k d(\text{area}_S) = \int_{\Gamma^{-1}(U)} k d(\text{area})$$

Note that the "orientation reversal" on W_s of $\Gamma^{-1}(U)$ is incorporated in the negativity of k on the W_s which in turn corresponds to the negatively counted points in the counting for $\textcircled{1}$.

Since this formula

$$\int_{UCS^2-C} \textcircled{1} = \int_{\Gamma^{-1}(U)} k d(\text{area}_S)$$

holds in a neighborhood of each point in S^2-C , the additivity of the integral gives

$$\int_{S^2-C} \textcircled{1} = \int_{\Gamma^{-1}(S^2-C)} k d(\text{area}_S) = \int_{\{p \in S: k(p) \neq 0\}} k d(\text{area}_S)$$

as we wanted.

(7)

The one remaining point is to see why the set of points with $K \neq 0$ of the second kind has measure 0. For this, note that if x has $K(x) \neq 0$ but $\Gamma(x) \in C$ (i.e., $\exists y \in N(y) = \pm N(x)$ but $K(y) = 0$), then $\Gamma|_{\text{some open neighborhood } V_x \text{ of } x}$ is a diffeomorphism.

Hence $\Gamma^{-1}(C) \cap V_x$ has measure 0 since C has measure 0 so $\Gamma(V_x) \cap C$ has measure 0 so $\Gamma^{-1}(C) \cap V_x = \Gamma^{-1}(\Gamma(V_x) \cap C)$ has measure 0 because the diffeomorphism Γ^{-1} on $\Gamma(V_x)$ preserves measure 0.

So the points of the second kind in $\{x: K(x) \neq 0\}$ have measure 0 in a neighborhood of each point in the open set $\{x: K(x) \neq 0\}$. (Note that points of the second kind lie in $\Gamma^{-1}(C)$!). Thus the second kind points form a set of measure 0 in S as required.