The Gauss Map and the Gauss Curvature Let S(u,v) be a surface patch with N(u,v)= N= SuxS// 11SuxSull = its unit normal. Since N(uv) is a unit vector, we can think of it as a point of the unit sphere =  $S(u,v): x^2+y^2+2^2=i$ . Now suppose that S(u,v) has the form S(u,v)=(u,v), f(u,v)(a "Monge patch") so that Su=(1,0,fu), Sv=(0,1,fv) and N(u,v) = (-fu, -fv, 1)/VI+fints. We also suppose  $f_u = f_v = 0$  at (u, v) = (0, 0). Then at (0, 0), N = (0, 0, 1) and  $N_u = (-f_{uu}, -f_{vu}, 0)$ Ny = (-fuv, -fvv, 0) Nux Ny = (0,0, funfor - fur) = (0,0,K) = K(0,0,1).where K = Gauss curvature. Thus if we orient the unit sphere by its extenor unit normal, the area multiplication map of the "Gauss map" that takes that d(area) on the surface is in corresponds tacken under the Gauss map to K d(area)?
where 5' = unit sphere. In other words,
the area of the Gauss map, mage of a

Su, Sv box on S is 1 K1 Audr, and the ± sign of K indicates orientation preservation Now suppose S is a closed surface such that T: S - S is one-to-one onto, where T is the Gauss map. Then by change of variables for double integrals,  $\int K d(aua) = \int 1 = 4\pi$ .

(at least if orientations are set up to make det  $\Gamma > 0$ ). We shall see that this actually happens if S has K>0 everywhere.

Kemma: If S is a closed surface and N is the exterior unit normal, then the Gauss wap T: S => 52 defined by F(x) = N(x), x & S, is surjective (onto). We assume here without proof for the moment that S and dunder the juto two connected open sets the interior" and "exterior" with S itself as their shared to and any to that the concept of exterior normal" is well-defined and the exterior normal varies continuously over SI.

na Antoni meri meren erengan kelenderi perengen deleksika birak birak birak kelenderi deleksika birak	Proof: It is enough (wolog) to show that $\exists x \in S$ with $\Gamma(x) = (0,0,1)$ . Let $p = point of S$ where sup $z(q)$ is attained. Then $q \in S$
denticologo y populación per considerado de conside	[7/b]=(0,0,1): (0,0,1) is normal to Sath since the function 2/S has a critical point at x and (0,0,1) is exterior normal since
	In general, [, while it is surjective, may
	i.e. compact with no "eages"): the figures shows how this can happen.
	(2) (3) (3) (4) (4) (4) (4) (5) (4) (5) (6) (7) (7) (8) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9) (9
	whole area on which 's constant!). But in case K>0, these situations cannot occur.
	Lemma: If S is a closed surface in $\mathbb{R}^3$ with $K>0$ everywhere, then $\Gamma:S \to S^2$ is bijective and everywhere nonsingular.
	Proof: That I' is surjective we have already shown. Nonsingularity follows from our

discussion of the "area multiplication factor" (the Jacobian). It remains to discuss injectionly. It suffices to show that I at most one PES with [(p)=(0,0,1). For this, Px = {g & S: 2(g) = 0} we consider for each of I min Z(q), max Z(q) ] If  $g \in P_{\alpha}$  for some such  $\alpha$  and if  $\Gamma(q) \neq (0,0,1)$ and +-(0,0,1), then 2|S| is noncutical at g so, rearg, Pans is a smooth curve. It stig = (0,0,1) or -(0,0,1), then g is an Isolated point of Pans, since K>0 implies that S lies "strictly" on one side of its fangent plane (that is, near g & S, if T, is the tangent thanent plane through g, then Tg ns nu consists, for some open set U in R3 with g & U. on the point g only).

By compactness, there are only finishly many g & S such that

[(g) = ±(0,0,1), I we remove this fully set from S, then what remains for each of [min 3 max 27 the his, for each & [min 7, max ?], the properly that Pans is a union of smooth closed curves. But since I so connected, There can be for each such & only one such curve. Since each point with [=t/00,1) gives use to such a family

two points, one for +(0,0,1), one for-(0,0,1)) it follows that only two points of these have  $\Gamma = \pm (0,0,1)$ . Since one of these has  $\Gamma = -(0,0,1)$ , there is exactly one with 1 = (0,0,1). I It is worthwhile to Think about how this argument breaks down when the hypothesis that Ko everywhere is dropped In figure 1, two pages back repeated for convenience) thre is a z-level where the intersection with the surface is not a simple closed curves but two closed curves meeting. The levels just above are two closed curves, the levels just below are a single closed cuive: At the transition, the level is not a union of two smooth simple dispoint curve In figure 2, the max (and min) levels Dare line segments. And in figure 3, the max level is a closed disc — it has interior points, in contrast to the single point (bital) inexima of the K > 0 Situation.

Closed surfaces of Gauss curvature >0 have Closed surfaces of Gauss curvature > 0 have another important property: their interior is a convex spen set. (Ricall that a set (C (Ri is convex by definition if for all p, g e( and ) \( \in \text{ [O, I]}, the found (I-N) p + \( \text{ a is in C} \).

To prove this convexity property, we argue as follows: Given p g m the interior of the surface S (i.e., in the bounded component of Ri-S), there is certainly a polygonal curve from p to g. (Proof: The interior is a connected open set.

The set of points reachable from p tra a prolygonal curve is clearly monempty—polygonal curve is converted to perfect the converted to perfect the curve is converted to pe both the latter by easy arguments. So it is the whole interior). Choose such a polygonal cure and parameterize it Line Ly by arclength, say, for convenience: Y: [0, L] > interior of S with  $V(0) = \beta$ , V(L) = q. Let  $L_t = the$  line segment from  $\beta$  to V(t). Let  $t_0 = t$ The minimum to such that Lt. NS is nonempty lif such a to exists in [0, L], Since the condition L= NS + \$ is closed. such a to exists unless in Lt c interior for all t f Co, LI - and in that case we are done.

Moreover, Lt Cinterior if t < to so Lto Nexterior = p. Now the endpoints Y(0)= p and Y(to) Now the enapounts  $\gamma(0) = p$  and  $\gamma(t_0)$  are in the interior of S, by construction.

Thus the points of S are not endpoints

Lto: Let g = the point O Le OS

closes to p. Since S Le is

extended as  $\gamma(t)$  tangent to S at g.

Moreover, since S touches

at g only at g, the

line S Les on one side

of S means g, as shown but this is

a contradiction since S shown but this is

a contradiction since S lies (locally)

at each point on the side of S most S the at each point on the side opposite the extenor normal: this is so at the max level for 7 and is hence so everywhere by Continuity! This completes the proof by contradiction. Let is actually the case that a closed surface with  $k \ge 0$  is the boundary of a convex open set, but the proof is more difficult.]