Positroids, knots, and q, t-Catalan numbers

Pavel Galashin (UCLA)

MIT Applied Math Seminar February 21, 2023

Joint work with Thomas Lam







$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q[2]_q \cdots [n]_q$$

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q[2]_q \dots [n]_q$$
$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q! [n-k]_q!}$$

$$[n]_q := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [n]_q! := [1]_q[2]_q \dots [n]_q$$
$$\binom{n}{k}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!}$$
$$\underline{\text{Example:}} \quad \binom{4}{2}_q = \frac{[1]_q[2]_q[3]_q[4]_q}{[1]_q[2]_q \cdot [1]_q[2]_q} = q^4 + q^3 + 2q^2 + q + 1.$$

$$[n]_{q} := 1 + q + \dots + q^{n-1}, \quad [n]_{q}! := [1]_{q}[2]_{q} \dots [n]_{q}$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q} := \frac{[n]_{q}!}{[k]_{q}![n-k]_{q}!} = \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Paths}_{k,n-k}} q^{\mathsf{area}(P)}.$$

$$\underline{\mathsf{Example:}} \quad \begin{bmatrix} 4 \\ 2 \end{bmatrix}_{q} = \frac{[1]_{q}[2]_{q}[3]_{q}[4]_{q}}{[1]_{q}[2]_{q} \cdot [1]_{q}[2]_{q}} = q^{4} + q^{3} + 2q^{2} + q + 1.$$



Properties of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$:



• symmetry: coefficients form a palindromic sequence;



Properties of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q}$:

- symmetry: coefficients form a palindromic sequence;
- unimodality: coefficients increase up to the middle, then decrease;



Properties of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{q}$:

- symmetry: coefficients form a palindromic sequence;
- unimodality: coefficients increase up to the middle, then decrease;
- symmetry is easy, unimodality is hard.

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!} = \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Paths}_{k,n-k}} q^{\mathsf{area}(P)}.$$

Properties of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$:

- symmetry: coefficients form a palindromic sequence;
- unimodality: coefficients increase up to the middle, then decrease;
- symmetry is easy, unimodality is hard.

$$\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q := \frac{[n]_q!}{[k]_q![n-k]_q!} = \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Paths}_{k,n-k}} q^{\mathsf{area}(P)}.$$

Properties of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$:

- symmetry: coefficients form a palindromic sequence;
- unimodality: coefficients increase up to the middle, then decrease;
- symmetry is easy, unimodality is hard.

Theorem (Sylvester (1878); conjectured by Cayley (1856))

The coefficients of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{a}$ form a unimodal sequence.

"I am about to demonstrate a theorem which has been waiting proof for the last quarter of a century and upwards. [...] I accomplished with scarcely an effort a task which I had believed lay outside the range of human power."

- J. J. Sylvester, 1878.

• Rational Catalan numbers: for $1 \le k \le n$ such that gcd(k, n) = 1, let $C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}$.

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}$$

- Rational Catalan numbers: for $1 \le k \le n$ such that gcd(k, n) = 1, let $C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}$.
- Counts the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n k)$ rectangle.

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \# \operatorname{Dyck}_{k,n-k}$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$



$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \# \operatorname{Dyck}_{k,n-k}$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$



$$C'_{k,n-k}(q) := \frac{1}{[n]_q} {n \brack k}_q$$

$$q = 1$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} {n \choose k} = \# \operatorname{Dyck}_{k,n-k}$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$

• Counts the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle. Example: k = 3, n = 8, $C_{k,n-k} = 7$:



 $C'_{k,n-k}(q) = q^8 + q^6 + q^5 + q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + 1.$

$$C'_{k,n-k}(q) := \frac{1}{[n]_q} {n \brack k}_q$$

$$q = 1$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} {n \choose k} = \# \operatorname{Dyck}_{k,n-k}$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$

• Counts the number of Dyck paths inside a $k \times (n - k)$ rectangle. Example: k = 3, n = 8, $C_{k,n-k} = 7$:



 $C_{k,n-k}^{\prime}(q) = q^8 + q^6 + q^5 + q^4 + q^3 + q^2 + 1.$

$$C'_{k,n-k}(q) := \frac{1}{[n]_q} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$$

$$q = 1$$

$$C''_{k,n-k}(t) := \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}} t^{\mathsf{area}(P)}$$

$$t = 1$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \# \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$



$$C'_{k,n-k}(q) := \frac{1}{[n]_q} \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$$

$$q = 1$$

$$C''_{k,n-k}(t) := \sum_{P \in \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}} t^{\mathsf{area}(P)}$$

$$t = 1$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k} = \# \mathsf{Dyck}_{k,n-k}$$

$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$



$$C_{k,n-k} := \frac{1}{n} \binom{n}{k}.$$



 $C_{2,3}(q,t) = (q+t)$

$$egin{aligned} & \mathcal{C}_{2,3}(q,t) = (q+t) \ & \mathcal{C}_{3,5}(q,t) = (q^4 + q^3 t + q^2 t^2 + q t^3 + t^4) \ & + (q^2 t + q t^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{split} C_{2,3}(q,t) &= (q+t) \\ C_{3,5}(q,t) &= (q^4 + q^3t + q^2t^2 + qt^3 + t^4) \\ &+ (q^2t + qt^2) \\ C_{5,6}(q,t) &= (q^{10} + q^9t + q^8t^2 + q^7t^3 + q^6t^4 + q^5t^5 + q^4t^6 + q^3t^7 + q^2t^8 + qt^9 + t^{10}) \\ &+ (q^8t + q^7t^2 + q^6t^3 + q^5t^4 + q^4t^5 + q^3t^6 + q^2t^7 + qt^8) \\ &+ (q^7t + 2q^6t^2 + 2q^5t^3 + 2q^4t^4 + 2q^3t^5 + 2q^2t^6 + qt^7) \\ &+ (q^6t + q^5t^2 + 2q^4t^3 + 2q^3t^4 + q^2t^5 + qt^6) \\ &+ (q^4t^2 + q^3t^3 + q^2t^4) \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} &C_{2,3}(q,t) = (q+t) \\ &C_{3,5}(q,t) = (q^4 + q^3t + q^2t^2 + qt^3 + t^4) \\ &+ (q^2t + qt^2) \\ &C_{5,6}(q,t) = (q^{10} + q^9t + q^8t^2 + q^7t^3 + q^6t^4 + q^5t^5 + q^4t^6 + q^3t^7 + q^2t^8 + qt^9 + t^{10}) \\ &+ (q^8t + q^7t^2 + q^6t^3 + q^5t^4 + q^4t^5 + q^3t^6 + q^2t^7 + qt^8) \\ &+ (q^7t + 2q^6t^2 + 2q^5t^3 + 2q^4t^4 + 2q^3t^5 + 2q^2t^6 + qt^7) \\ &+ (q^6t + q^5t^2 + 2q^4t^3 + 2q^3t^4 + q^2t^5 + qt^6) \\ &+ (q^4t^2 + q^3t^3 + q^2t^4) \end{split}$$

Corollary (G.–Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. Then $C_{k,n-k}(q, t)$ satisfies:

• q, t-symmetry: coefficients in each row form a palindromic sequence;

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{C}_{2,3}(q,t) &= (q+t) \\ \mathcal{C}_{3,5}(q,t) &= (q^4 + q^3t + q^2t^2 + qt^3 + t^4) \\ &+ (q^2t + qt^2) \\ \mathcal{C}_{5,6}(q,t) &= (q^{10} + q^9t + q^8t^2 + q^7t^3 + q^6t^4 + q^5t^5 + q^4t^6 + q^3t^7 + q^2t^8 + qt^9 + t^{10}) \\ &+ (q^8t + q^7t^2 + q^6t^3 + q^5t^4 + q^4t^5 + q^3t^6 + q^2t^7 + qt^8) \\ &+ (q^7t + 2q^6t^2 + 2q^5t^3 + 2q^4t^4 + 2q^3t^5 + 2q^2t^6 + qt^7) \\ &+ (q^6t + q^5t^2 + 2q^4t^3 + 2q^3t^4 + q^2t^5 + qt^6) \\ &+ (q^4t^2 + q^3t^3 + q^2t^4) \end{split}$$

Corollary (G.–Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. Then $C_{k,n-k}(q, t)$ satisfies:

• q, t-symmetry: coefficients in each row form a palindromic sequence;

• unimodality: coefficients in each row increase up to the middle, then decrease.

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2,3}(q,t) &= (q+t) \\ C_{3,5}(q,t) &= (q^4 + q^3t + q^2t^2 + qt^3 + t^4) \\ &+ (q^2t + qt^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{5,6}(q,t) &= (q^{10} + q^9t + q^8t^2 + q^7t^3 + q^6t^4 + q^5t^5 + q^4t^6 + q^3t^7 + q^2t^8 + qt^9 + t^{10}) \\ &+ (q^8t + q^7t^2 + q^6t^3 + q^5t^4 + q^4t^5 + q^3t^6 + q^2t^7 + qt^8) \\ &+ (q^7t + 2q^6t^2 + 2q^5t^3 + 2q^4t^4 + 2q^3t^5 + 2q^2t^6 + qt^7) \\ &+ (q^6t + q^5t^2 + 2q^4t^3 + 2q^3t^4 + q^2t^5 + qt^6) \\ &+ (q^4t^2 + q^3t^3 + q^2t^4) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (G.-Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. Then $C_{k,n-k}(q, t)$ satisfies:

• q, t-symmetry: coefficients in each row form a palindromic sequence;

• unimodality: coefficients in each row increase up to the middle, then decrease.

[Haiman '94], [Haiman '02], [Mellit '16], [Carlsson–Mellit '18], [Gorsky–Hogancamp–Mellit '21]

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2,3}(q,t) &= (q+t) \\ C_{3,5}(q,t) &= (q^4 + q^3t + q^2t^2 + qt^3 + t^4) \\ &+ (q^2t + qt^2) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} C_{5,6}(q,t) &= (q^{10} + q^9t + q^8t^2 + q^7t^3 + q^6t^4 + q^5t^5 + q^4t^6 + q^3t^7 + q^2t^8 + qt^9 + t^{10}) \\ &+ (q^8t + q^7t^2 + q^6t^3 + q^5t^4 + q^4t^5 + q^3t^6 + q^2t^7 + qt^8) \\ &+ (q^7t + 2q^6t^2 + 2q^5t^3 + 2q^4t^4 + 2q^3t^5 + 2q^2t^6 + qt^7) \\ &+ (q^6t + q^5t^2 + 2q^4t^3 + 2q^3t^4 + q^2t^5 + qt^6) \\ &+ (q^4t^2 + q^3t^3 + q^2t^4) \end{aligned}$$

Corollary (G.–Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. Then $C_{k,n-k}(q, t)$ satisfies:

• q, t-symmetry: coefficients in each row form a palindromic sequence;

• unimodality: coefficients in each row increase up to the middle, then decrease.

[Haiman '94], [Haiman '02], [Mellit '16], [Carlsson–Mellit '18], [Gorsky–Hogancamp–Mellit '21] Unimodality was not previously known.

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \operatorname{dim}(V) = k \}.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \mathsf{dim}(V) = k \}. \\ &\mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\mathsf{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F})$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \mathsf{dim}(V) = k \}. \\ & \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\mathsf{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F})$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F})$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\text{row operations}).$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$
Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{array}{l} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.
$$\begin{array}{l} \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k\}.\\ \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k\}/(\mathsf{row operations}).\\ \mathsf{Example:} \end{array}$$

 $\label{eq:response} \fbox{$\Delta_{13}=1$} \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{12}=2 & \Delta_{14}=1\\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{array} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \fbox{$\Delta_{24}=3$} \begin{array}{ccc} \Delta_{12}=2 & \Delta_{14}=1\\ \Delta_{24}=3 & \Delta_{34}=1 & \Delta_{23}=1. \end{array}$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

$$\begin{aligned} &\mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \mathsf{dim}(V) = k \}. \\ &\mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\mathsf{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Question

• How many points in $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$?

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k\}.$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k\}/(\text{row operations}).$$

- How many points in $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$?
- What is the Poincaré polynomial of $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})$?

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

- How many points in $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$?
- What is the Poincaré polynomial of Gr(k, n; C)?
- Point count:

$$\#\operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q.$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k\}.$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k\}/(\text{row operations}).$$

- How many points in $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$?
- What is the Poincaré polynomial of $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})$?

• Point count:
$$\# \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$$

• Poincaré polynomial:
$$\sum_{i} t^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^{i}(Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{t}$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k\}.$$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k\}/(\text{row operations}).$$

- How many points in $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$?
- What is the Poincaré polynomial of $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})$?

• Point count:
$$\# \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$$

- Poincaré polynomial: $\sum_{i} t^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^{i}(Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{t}$.
- Reason: Schubert decomposition.

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Question

- How many points in $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q)$?
- What is the Poincaré polynomial of $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})$?
- Point count: $\# \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}_q) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$.
- Poincaré polynomial: $\sum_{i} t^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^{i}(Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})) = \begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_{t}$.
- Reason: Schubert decomposition.

Observation (Stanley (1980))

Symmetry and unimodality of $\begin{bmatrix} n \\ k \end{bmatrix}_q$ follow from the hard Lefschetz theorem for the cohomology of $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{C})$.

 $X(\mathbb{F}) = \{ \mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \dots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0 \}.$

$$X(\mathbb{F}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \dots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}.$$

Step 1. Compute point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

Point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$

$$X(\mathbb{F}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \dots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}.$$

Step 1. Compute point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

<u>Step 2.</u> Compute Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X(\mathbb{C}); t) := \sum_{i} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \dim H^{i}(X(\mathbb{C})).$

Point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$

Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X(\mathbb{C}); t)$

$$X(\mathbb{F}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \ldots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}.$$

Step 1. Compute point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

<u>Step 2.</u> Compute Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X(\mathbb{C}); t) := \sum_{i} t^{\frac{1}{2}} \dim H^{i}(X(\mathbb{C})).$



Given a variety [of "Hodge-Tate type"]

 $X(\mathbb{F}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \ldots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}.$

<u>Step 1.</u> Compute point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

Step 2. Compute Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X(\mathbb{C}); t) := \sum_{i} t^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^{i}(X(\mathbb{C})).$ Step 3. Compute the mixed Hodge polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X; q, t)$

[Deligne splitting / weight filtration \rightarrow canonical second grading on $H^*(X)$]



Given a variety [of "Hodge-Tate type"]

 $X(\mathbb{F}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \ldots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}.$

<u>Step 1.</u> Compute point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

Step 2. Compute Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X(\mathbb{C}); t) := \sum_i t^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^i(X(\mathbb{C})).$ Step 3. Compute the mixed Hodge polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X; q, t)$

[Deligne splitting / weight filtration \rightarrow canonical second grading on $H^*(X)$]



Given a variety [of "Hodge-Tate type"]

 $X(\mathbb{F}) = \{\mathbf{x} \in \mathbb{F}^r \mid P_1(\mathbf{x}) = \cdots = P_n(\mathbf{x}) = 0, \quad Q_1(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0, \ldots, Q_m(\mathbf{x}) \neq 0\}.$

<u>Step 1.</u> Compute point count $\#X(\mathbb{F}_q)$ over \mathbb{F}_q .

Step 2. Compute Poincaré polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X(\mathbb{C}); t) := \sum_{i} t^{\frac{i}{2}} \dim H^{i}(X(\mathbb{C})).$ Step 3. Compute the mixed Hodge polynomial $\mathcal{P}(X; q, t)$

[Deligne splitting / weight filtration \rightarrow canonical second grading on $H^*(X)$]



Question: Which variety should we choose?

Definition (G.-Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. The Catalan variety is given by

$X_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k,n) \mid \Delta_{1,\dots,k}(V) = \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}(V) = \dots = \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1}(V) = 1 \}.$

Definition (G.-Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. The Catalan variety is given by

$$X_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k,n) \mid \Delta_{1,\dots,k}(V) = \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}(V) = \dots = \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1}(V) = 1 \}.$$

Example:

$$\overline{X_{2,5}^{\circ}} = \left\{ \mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -a = 1, & ae - bd = 1, \\ f = 1, & bf - ce = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Definition (G.-Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. The Catalan variety is given by

$$X_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k,n) \mid \Delta_{1,\dots,k}(V) = \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}(V) = \dots = \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1}(V) = 1 \}.$$

Example:

$$\overline{X_{2,5}^{\circ}} = \left\{ \text{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -a = 1, & ae - bd = 1, \\ f = 1, & bf - ce = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Definition (G.–Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. The Catalan variety is given by

$$X_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k,n) \mid \Delta_{1,\dots,k}(V) = \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}(V) = \dots = \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1}(V) = 1 \}.$$

Example:

$$\overline{X_{2,5}^{\circ}} = \left\{ \text{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -a = 1, & ae - bd = 1, \\ f = 1, & bf - ce = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

Theorem (G.–Lam)



Definition (G.–Lam)

Let gcd(k, n) = 1. The Catalan variety is given by

$$X_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k,n) \mid \Delta_{1,\dots,k}(V) = \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}(V) = \dots = \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1}(V) = 1 \}.$$

Example:

$$X_{2,5}^{\circ} = \left\{ \text{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -a = 1, & ae - bd = 1, \\ f = 1, & bf - ce = 1 \end{array} \right\}.$$

 $\#X_{2,5}^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = q^2 + 1, \quad \mathcal{P}(X_{2,5}^{\circ}(\mathbb{C});t) = 1 + t, \quad \mathcal{P}(X_{2,5}^{\circ};q,t) = q + t.$

Theorem (G.–Lam)



Motivation: total positivity





$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

Definition (Gelfand–Goresky–MacPherson–Serganova (1987))

• $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}.$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

Definition (Gelfand–Goresky–MacPherson–Serganova (1987))

• $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}.$

• Matroid stratification: $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{M}} S_{\mathcal{M}}$, where $S_{\mathcal{M}} = \{ V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid \mathcal{M}_{V} = \mathcal{M} \}.$

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

Definition (Gelfand–Goresky–MacPherson–Serganova (1987))

• $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}.$

• Matroid stratification: $Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{\mathcal{M}} S_{\mathcal{M}}$, where $S_{\mathcal{M}} = \{ V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid \mathcal{M}_{V} = \mathcal{M} \}.$

This is a horrible stratification. [Mnëv (1988)]

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4;\mathbb{F}) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

Definition (Lusztig (1994), Postnikov (2006))

The nonnegative Grassmannian is

 $\mathsf{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n) := \{ V \in \mathsf{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \mid \mathsf{all } \Delta_I(V) \ge 0 \text{ or all } \Delta_I(V) \leqslant 0 \}.$

[Lus94] G. Lusztig. Total positivity in reductive groups. In *Lie theory and geometry*, volume 123 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 531–568, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.
[Pos06] A. Postnikov. Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks. arXiv:math/0609764.

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2, 4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

Definition (Lusztig (1994), Postnikov (2006))

The nonnegative Grassmannian is

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geqslant 0}(k,n) := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{all} \Delta_I(V) \geqslant 0 \text{ or all } \Delta_I(V) \leqslant 0 \}.$

[Lus94] G. Lusztig. Total positivity in reductive groups. In *Lie theory and geometry*, volume 123 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 531–568, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.
[Pos06] A. Postnikov. Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks. arXiv:math/0609764.

$$Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := \{ V \subseteq \mathbb{F}^n \mid \dim(V) = k \}.$$

Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) := $\{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{bmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}_{>0}(2,4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for $I \subseteq \{1, 2, ..., n\}$ of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$ minor with column set *I*.

The Δ_I 's are defined up to common rescaling.

Definition (Lusztig (1994), Postnikov (2006))

The nonnegative Grassmannian is

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geqslant 0}(k,n) := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{R}) \mid \operatorname{all} \Delta_I(V) \geqslant 0 \text{ or all } \Delta_I(V) \leqslant 0 \}.$

[Lus94] G. Lusztig. Total positivity in reductive groups. In *Lie theory and geometry*, volume 123 of *Progr. Math.*, pp. 531–568, Birkhäuser Boston, Boston, MA, 1994.
[Pos06] A. Postnikov. Total positivity, Grassmannians, and networks. arXiv:math/0609764.

• [Lusztig (1998)]: $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is contractible.

- [Lusztig (1998)]: $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is contractible.
- [Rietsch (1999)], [Postnikov (2006)]: each boundary cell (some $\Delta_I > 0$ and the rest $\Delta_J = 0$) is an open ball.

- [Lusztig (1998)]: $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is contractible.
- [Rietsch (1999)], [Postnikov (2006)]: each boundary cell (some $\Delta_I > 0$ and the rest $\Delta_J = 0$) is an open ball.

Conjecture (Lusztig (1998) / Fomin-Shapiro (2000) / Postnikov (2006))

• the closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball;

- [Lusztig (1998)]: $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is contractible.
- [Rietsch (1999)], [Postnikov (2006)]: each boundary cell (some $\Delta_I > 0$ and the rest $\Delta_J = 0$) is an open ball.

Conjecture (Lusztig (1998) / Fomin-Shapiro (2000) / Postnikov (2006))

- the closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball;
- in particular, $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball.
Topology of $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$

- [Lusztig (1998)]: $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is contractible.
- [Rietsch (1999)], [Postnikov (2006)]:
 each boundary cell (some Δ_I > 0 and the rest Δ_J = 0) is an open ball.

Conjecture (Lusztig (1998) / Fomin–Shapiro (2000) / Postnikov (2006))

- the closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball;
- in particular, $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

[Williams (2007)], [Rietsch-Williams (2010)], [Hersh (2014)], ...

Topology of $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$

- [Lusztig (1998)]: $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is contractible.
- [Rietsch (1999)], [Postnikov (2006)]:
 each boundary cell (some Δ_I > 0 and the rest Δ_J = 0) is an open ball.

Conjecture (Lusztig (1998) / Fomin–Shapiro (2000) / Postnikov (2006))

- the closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball;
- in particular, $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

[Williams (2007)], [Rietsch–Williams (2010)], [Hersh (2014)], ...

Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

- [GKL1] $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is homeomorphic to a closed ball.
- [GKL2] The closure of each cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.
- [GKL1] P. Galashin, S. Karp, and T. Lam. The totally nonnegative Grassmannian is a ball. Adv. Math., 397: 108123, 2022.
- [GKL2] P. Galashin, S. Karp, and T. Lam. Regularity theorem for totally nonnegative flag varieties. J. Amer. Math. Soc., 35(2):513–579, 2021.

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible).

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$.

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson-Lam-Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in Span(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$f_V = \begin{pmatrix} & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & & \\ & & & \\ & & &$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson-Lam-Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in Span(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$f_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 5 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \\ u_1 & u_2 & u_3 & u_4 & u_5 & u_6 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$f_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 5 & 3 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6 \quad u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6$$
$$f_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 5 & 3 & 6 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6 \quad u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6$$
$$f_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 \\ 5 & 3 & 6 & 4 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6 \quad u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6$$
$$f_V = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 \\ 5 & 3 & 6 & 4 & 2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, ..., u_j)\}$ (mod n).

$$\begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}$$
$$u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6 \quad u_6 \quad u_1 \quad u_2 \quad u_3 \quad u_4 \quad u_5 \quad u_6 \quad u_6$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_{\mathcal{V}}(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

*	*	*	*	*	[*	*	*	*	*]	[*	*	*	*	*
*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*	*
u_1	U_2	Uз	И4	U_5	u_1	u_2	U3	<i>u</i> 4	u_5	u_1	U_2	U3	U4	U_5

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$



Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k.$ Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$



Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$



Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$



Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$



Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{R}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{R}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

Example (Generic case)

Let $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$ for all $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Then

 $f_V = f_{k,n} \quad \Longleftrightarrow \quad \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}\dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0.$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013)) Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, ..., u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \text{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

• Generic permutation: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} &= \{ V \in \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) \mid f_V = f_{k,n} \} \\ &= \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1},\dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Matroid $\mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow$ Permutation $f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}$. Definition (Knutson–Lam–Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

• Generic permutation: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} &= \{ V \in \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) \mid f_V = f_{k,n} \} \\ &= \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1},\dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

• For all $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F})$, f_V is a permutation.

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}.$

Definition (Knutson-Lam-Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

• Generic permutation: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} = \{ V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f_{k,n} \} \\ = \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}, \dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}.$$

• For all $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F})$, f_V is a permutation.

• Positroid stratification: [Knutson-Lam-Speyer (2013)]

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}, \text{ where } \Pi_{f}^{\circ} := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f \}.$$

(

Recall: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Matroid \mathcal{M}_V := \{I \mid \Delta_I(V) \neq 0\}$ (horrible). Better: $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, ..., n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, ..., n\}.$

Definition (Knutson-Lam-Speyer (2013))

Label the columns of V by $u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n \in \mathbb{F}^k$. Set

 $f_V(i) \equiv \min\{j \ge i \mid u_i \in \operatorname{Span}(u_{i+1}, \dots, u_j)\} \pmod{n}.$

• Generic permutation: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} = \{ V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f_{k,n} \} \\ = \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}, \dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}.$$

- For all $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F})$, f_V is a permutation.
- Positroid stratification: [Knutson-Lam-Speyer (2013)]

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}, \quad \text{where} \quad \Pi_{f}^{\circ} := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f \}.$$

• Motivated by total positivity [Postnikov (2006)].

Definition

Let
$$gcd(k, n) = 1$$
.
 $X_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k, n) \mid \Delta_{1,...,k}(V) = \Delta_{2,...,k+1}(V) = \cdots = \Delta_{n,1,...,k-1}(V) = 1 \}.$

$$\overline{X_{2,5}^{\circ}} = \left\{ \text{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -a = 1, & ae - bd = 1, \\ f = 1, & bf - ce = 1 \end{array} \right\}$$



Definition

Let
$$gcd(k, n) = 1$$
.
 $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} := \{ V \in Gr(k, n) \mid \Delta_{1,...,k}(V), \Delta_{2,...,k+1}(V), \cdots, \Delta_{n,1,...,k-1}(V) \neq 0 \}.$

$$\overline{\Pi_{2,5}^{\circ}} = \left\{ \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & a & b & c \\ 0 & 1 & d & e & f \end{pmatrix} \middle| \begin{array}{c} -a \neq 0, & ae - bd \neq 0, \\ f \neq 0, & bf - ce \neq 0 \end{array} \right\}$$



• $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$

- $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$
- Positroid stratification:

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}, \text{ where } \Pi_{f}^{\circ} := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f \}.$$

- $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$
- Positroid stratification:

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}, \text{ where } \Pi_{f}^{\circ} := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f \}.$$

• Top-dimensional piece: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} = \{ V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f_{k,n} \} \\ = \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}, \dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}.$$

- $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$
- Positroid stratification:

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}, \text{ where } \Pi_{f}^{\circ} := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f \}.$$

• Top-dimensional piece: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} &= \{ V \in \mathsf{Gr}(k,n;\mathbb{F}) \mid f_V = f_{k,n} \} \\ &= \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1},\dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (G.-Lam)

Cohomology/point count of $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$ is given by q, t-Catalan numbers.

- $V \in Gr(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \longrightarrow Permutation f_V : \{1, 2, \dots, n\} \rightarrow \{1, 2, \dots, n\}.$
- Positroid stratification:

$$\operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) = \bigsqcup_{f} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}, \text{ where } \Pi_{f}^{\circ} := \{ V \in \operatorname{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_{V} = f \}.$$

• Top-dimensional piece: $f_{k,n}(i) \equiv i + k \pmod{n}$,

$$\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ} = \{ V \in \mathsf{Gr}(k, n; \mathbb{F}) \mid f_V = f_{k,n} \}$$
$$= \{ \Delta_{1,\dots,k}, \Delta_{2,\dots,k+1}, \dots, \Delta_{n,1,\dots,k-1} \neq 0 \}.$$

Theorem (G.-Lam)

Cohomology/point count of $\Pi_{k,n}^{\circ}$ is given by q, t-Catalan numbers.

Question

What about arbitrary Π_f° ?

• A knot is an embedding of an oriented circle into \mathbb{R}^3 .

- A knot is an embedding of an oriented circle into \mathbb{R}^3 .
- A link is an embedding of several oriented circles into \mathbb{R}^3 .

- A knot is an embedding of an oriented circle into \mathbb{R}^3 .
- A link is an embedding of several oriented circles into \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Knots/links are considered up to ambient isotopy.

- A knot is an embedding of an oriented circle into \mathbb{R}^3 .
- A link is an embedding of several oriented circles into \mathbb{R}^3 .
- Knots/links are considered up to ambient isotopy.

Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation.

Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation.
Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ} \Pi_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_{f} (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_{n}$ as follows:



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows: • Draw an arrow $i \to f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ} n_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_{f} (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_{n}$ as follows:

• Draw an arrow $i \rightarrow f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

• Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows:

- Draw an arrow $i \rightarrow f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows:

- Draw an arrow $i \rightarrow f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows:

• Draw an arrow $i \rightarrow f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

• Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation.

Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows:

- Draw an arrow $i \to f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation.

Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows:

- Draw an arrow $i \to f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.
- Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation.

Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows: • Draw an arrow $i \to f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

- Draw all arrow $T \rightarrow T(T)$ in the NE direction for each T = 1, 2, ..., n
- Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



This construction: [G.-Lam '22]. Related constructions: [G.-Lam '20], [Shende-Treumann-Williams-Zaslow '15], [Fomin-Pylyavskyy-Shustin-Thurston '17], [Casals-Gorsky-Gorsky-Simental '21]

Positroid stratification: $Gr(k, n) = \bigsqcup_{f} \prod_{f}^{\circ}$, where each f is a permutation. Associate a link L_f (on a torus) to each permutation $f \in S_n$ as follows:

• Draw an arrow $i \rightarrow f(i)$ in the NE direction for each i = 1, 2, ..., n.

• Arrows with higher slope are drawn above arrows with lower slope.



How to tell if two knots/links are isotopic?

One of these knots is not like the others



Given a link *L*, the HOMFLY polynomial
$$P(L; a, q)$$
 is defined by
 $P(\bigcirc) = 1$ and $aP(L_+) - a^{-1}P(L_-) = \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)P(L_0)$, where
 $\bigotimes_{L_+} \bigotimes_{L_-} \bigotimes_{L_0} \bigotimes_{L_0}$

One of these knots is not like the others



Given a link *L*, the HOMFLY polynomial
$$P(L; a, q)$$
 is defined by
 $P(\bigcirc) = 1$ and $aP(L_+) - a^{-1}P(L_-) = \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} - q^{-\frac{1}{2}}\right)P(L_0)$, where
 $\bigotimes_{L_+} \bigotimes_{L_-} \bigotimes_{L_0} \bigvee_{L_0}$

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

The group $T \subseteq SL_n$ of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices acts on Π_f° by rescaling columns.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

The group $T \subseteq SL_n$ of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices acts on Π_f° by rescaling columns.

Lemma

Let $f \in S_n$. The following are equivalent:

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

The group $T \subseteq SL_n$ of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices acts on Π_f° by rescaling columns.

Lemma

Let $f \in S_n$. The following are equivalent:

• The T-action on Π_f° is free.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

The group $T \subseteq SL_n$ of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices acts on Π_f° by rescaling columns.

Lemma

Let $f \in S_n$. The following are equivalent:

- The T-action on Π_f° is free.
- f is a single cycle.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

The group $T \subseteq SL_n$ of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices acts on Π_f° by rescaling columns.

Lemma

Let $f \in S_n$. The following are equivalent:

- The T-action on Π_f° is free.
- f is a single cycle.
- The link L_f is a knot.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (top \ a\text{-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

The group $T \subseteq SL_n$ of diagonal $n \times n$ matrices acts on Π_f° by rescaling columns.

Lemma

Let $f \in S_n$. The following are equivalent:

- The T-action on Π_f° is free.
- f is a single cycle.
- The link L_f is a knot.

In this case, \prod_{f}°/T is smooth and $\mathcal{P}(\prod_{f}^{\circ}; q, t) = \left(q^{\frac{1}{2}} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\right)^{n-1} \cdot \mathcal{P}(\prod_{f}^{\circ}/T; q, t).$

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

T acts freely on $\Pi_f^{\circ} \iff f$ is a single cycle $\iff L_f$ is a knot.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (top \ a\text{-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

T acts freely on $\Pi_f^{\circ} \iff f$ is a single cycle $\iff L_f$ is a knot.

Khovanov–Rozansky link homology yields a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{KR}(L; a, q, t)$ which specializes to the HOMFLY polynomial P(L; a, q).

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (top \ a\text{-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

T acts freely on $\Pi_f^{\circ} \iff f$ is a single cycle $\iff L_f$ is a knot.

Khovanov–Rozansky link homology yields a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{KR}(L; a, q, t)$ which specializes to the HOMFLY polynomial P(L; a, q).

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$ be a single cycle. Then

 $\mathcal{P}(\prod_{f}^{\circ}/T; q, t) = top \ a\text{-degree coefficient of } \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{KR}}(L_{f}; a, q, t).$

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$. Then the point count of Π_f° is given by $\#\Pi_f^{\circ}(\mathbb{F}_q) = (q-1)^{n-1} \cdot (\text{top a-degree coefficient of } P(L_f; a, q)).$

T acts freely on $\Pi_f^{\circ} \iff f$ is a single cycle $\iff L_f$ is a knot.

Khovanov–Rozansky link homology yields a polynomial $\mathcal{P}_{KR}(L; a, q, t)$ which specializes to the HOMFLY polynomial P(L; a, q).

Theorem (G.–Lam)

Let $f \in S_n$ be a single cycle. Then

 $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_{f}^{\circ}/T; q, t) = top \text{ a-degree coefficient of } \mathcal{P}_{\mathsf{KR}}(L_{f}; a, q, t).$

Arbitrary $f \in S_n$: LHS = *T*-equivariant cohomology of Π_f° with compact support.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

For each $f \in S_n$, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\Pi_f^\circ]$ is a cluster algebra.

Theorem (G.-Lam)

For each $f \in S_n$, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\Pi_f^\circ]$ is a cluster algebra.

[Fomin–Zelevinsky (2002)], [Scott (2006)], [Muller–Speyer (2014)], [Leclerc (2014)], [Serhiyenko–Sherman-Bennett–Williams (2019)]

Theorem (G.–Lam)

For each $f \in S_n$, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\Pi_f^\circ]$ is a cluster algebra.

[Fomin–Zelevinsky (2002)], [Scott (2006)], [Muller–Speyer (2014)], [Leclerc (2014)], [Serhiyenko–Sherman-Bennett–Williams (2019)]

Theorem (Lam–Speyer (2016))

 $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is a cluster algebra $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X;q,t)$ is q, t-symmetric and unimodal.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

For each $f \in S_n$, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\Pi_f^\circ]$ is a cluster algebra.

[Fomin–Zelevinsky (2002)], [Scott (2006)], [Muller–Speyer (2014)], [Leclerc (2014)], [Serhiyenko–Sherman-Bennett–Williams (2019)]

Theorem (Lam–Speyer (2016))

 $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is a cluster algebra $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X;q,t)$ is q,t-symmetric and unimodal.

Corollary (G.-Lam)

For $f \in S_n$, $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_f^{\circ}; q, t)$ is q, t-symmetric and unimodal.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

For each $f \in S_n$, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\Pi_f^\circ]$ is a cluster algebra.

[Fomin–Zelevinsky (2002)], [Scott (2006)], [Muller–Speyer (2014)], [Leclerc (2014)], [Serhiyenko–Sherman-Bennett–Williams (2019)]

Theorem (Lam–Speyer (2016))

 $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is a cluster algebra $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X;q,t)$ is q,t-symmetric and unimodal.

Corollary (G.–Lam)

For $f \in S_n$, $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_f^{\circ}; q, t)$ is q, t-symmetric and unimodal. In particular, for gcd(k, n) = 1, the q, t-Catalan numbers $C_{k,n-k}(q, t)$ are q, t-symmetric and unimodal.

Theorem (G.–Lam)

For each $f \in S_n$, the coordinate ring $\mathbb{C}[\Pi_f^\circ]$ is a cluster algebra.

[Fomin–Zelevinsky (2002)], [Scott (2006)], [Muller–Speyer (2014)], [Leclerc (2014)], [Serhiyenko–Sherman-Bennett–Williams (2019)]

Theorem (Lam–Speyer (2016))

 $\mathbb{C}[X]$ is a cluster algebra $\Longrightarrow \mathcal{P}(X;q,t)$ is q,t-symmetric and unimodal.

Corollary (G.–Lam)

For $f \in S_n$, $\mathcal{P}(\Pi_f^{\circ}; q, t)$ is q, t-symmetric and unimodal. In particular, for gcd(k, n) = 1, the q, t-Catalan numbers $C_{k,n-k}(q, t)$ are q, t-symmetric and unimodal.

Thanks!