#### Ising model, total positivity, and criticality

Pavel Galashin (UCLA)

CCNY Math Colloquium September 23, 2021

Based on joint works with Pavlo Pylyavskyy, Steven Karp, and Thomas Lam.

# Total positivity

 $Gr(k, n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid dim(W) = k \}.$ 

$$Gr(k, n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}.$$
  

$$Gr(k, n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \}/(\text{row operations}).$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4)$$

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4)$$

Plücker coordinates: for  $I \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of size k,

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4)$$

Plücker coordinates: for  $I \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$  minor with column set *I*.

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for  $I \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$  minor with column set *I*.

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for  $I \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$  minor with column set *I*.

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}(2,4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for  $I \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$  minor with column set *I*.

#### Definition (Postnikov (2006))

The totally nonnegative Grassmannian is

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geqslant 0}(k,n) := \{ W \in \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) \mid \Delta_I(W) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } I \}.$ 

$$\begin{aligned} & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ W \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n \mid \dim(W) = k \}. \\ & \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) := \{ k \times n \text{ matrices of rank } k \} / (\operatorname{row operations}). \end{aligned}$$

Example:

$$\mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 2 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in \mathsf{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2, 4) \qquad \begin{array}{c} \Delta_{13} = 1 & \Delta_{12} = 2 & \Delta_{14} = 1 \\ \Delta_{24} = 3 & \Delta_{34} = 1 & \Delta_{23} = 1. \end{array}$$

Plücker coordinates: for  $I \subseteq [n] := \{1, 2, ..., n\}$  of size k,

 $\Delta_I := k \times k$  minor with column set *I*.

#### Definition (Postnikov (2006))

The totally nonnegative Grassmannian is

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geqslant 0}(k,n) := \{ W \in \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) \mid \Delta_I(W) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } I \}.$ 

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

#### Each boundary cell (some $\Delta_I > 0$ and the rest $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

• Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

- Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.
- Williams (2007): the face closure poset is shellable (i.e., a "combinatorial ball").

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

- Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.
- Williams (2007): the face closure poset is shellable (i.e., a "combinatorial ball").
- Postnikov–Speyer–Williams (2009):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is a CW complex.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

- Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.
- Williams (2007): the face closure poset is shellable (i.e., a "combinatorial ball").
- Postnikov–Speyer–Williams (2009):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is a CW complex.
- Rietsch–Williams (2010): the closure of each cell is contractible.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

- Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.
- Williams (2007): the face closure poset is shellable (i.e., a "combinatorial ball").
- Postnikov–Speyer–Williams (2009):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is a CW complex.
- Rietsch-Williams (2010): the closure of each cell is contractible.

Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

- Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.
- Williams (2007): the face closure poset is shellable (i.e., a "combinatorial ball").
- Postnikov–Speyer–Williams (2009):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is a CW complex.
- Rietsch-Williams (2010): the closure of each cell is contractible.

Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

2017:  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

- Lusztig (1998):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is contractible.
- Williams (2007): the face closure poset is shellable (i.e., a "combinatorial ball").
- Postnikov–Speyer–Williams (2009):  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is a CW complex.
- Rietsch-Williams (2010): the closure of each cell is contractible.

#### Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

2017:  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

2019: The closure of each cell is homeomorphic to a ball.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

#### Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

2017:  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

2019: The closure of each cell is homeomorphic to a ball.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

#### Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

2017:  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

2019: The closure of each cell is homeomorphic to a ball.

#### Theorem (Smale (1960), Freedman (1982), Perelman (2003))

Let C be a compact contractible topological manifold whose boundary is homeomorphic to a sphere. Then C is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

#### Theorem (Postnikov (2006))

Each boundary cell (some  $\Delta_I > 0$  and the rest  $\Delta_J = 0$ ) is an open ball.

#### Conjecture (Postnikov (2006))

The closure of each boundary cell is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

#### Theorem (G.–Karp–Lam)

2017:  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a closed ball.

2019: The closure of each cell is homeomorphic to a ball.

#### $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift** S: Gr(k, n)  $\rightarrow$  Gr(k, n),  $[w_1|w_2|\dots|w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1|\dots|w_{n-1}].$ 

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift** S:  $Gr(k, n) \rightarrow Gr(k, n)$ ,  $[w_1|w_2| \dots |w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1| \dots |w_{n-1}]$ . This map preserves  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift** S:  $Gr(k, n) \rightarrow Gr(k, n)$ ,  $[w_1|w_2| \dots |w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1| \dots |w_{n-1}]$ . This map preserves  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

Example: For  $Gr_{\geq 0}(2, 4)$ , we have

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift** S:  $Gr(k, n) \rightarrow Gr(k, n)$ ,  $[w_1|w_2| \dots |w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1| \dots |w_{n-1}]$ . This map preserves  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

Example: For  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2, 4)$ , we have  $X_0 = \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift** S:  $Gr(k, n) \rightarrow Gr(k, n)$ ,  $[w_1|w_2| \dots |w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1| \dots |w_{n-1}]$ . This map preserves  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

Example: For  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2, 4)$ , we have  $X_0 = \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{S} \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n-k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift** S:  $Gr(k, n) \rightarrow Gr(k, n)$ ,  $[w_1|w_2| \dots |w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1| \dots |w_{n-1}]$ . This map preserves  $Gr_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

<u>Example</u>: For  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2, 4)$ , we have  $X_0 = \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{S} \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix}$   $\Delta_{13} = 2 \quad \Delta_{12} = \sqrt{2} \quad \Delta_{14} = \sqrt{2}$  $\Delta_{24} = 2 \quad \Delta_{34} = \sqrt{2} \quad \Delta_{23} = \sqrt{2}.$ 

 $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  is homeomorphic to a k(n - k)-dimensional closed ball.

Our proof involves a flow that contracts the whole  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$  to the **unique cyclically symmetric point**  $X_0 \in \operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n)$ .

**Cyclic shift**  $S: \operatorname{Gr}(k, n) \to \operatorname{Gr}(k, n), \quad [w_1|w_2| \dots |w_n] \mapsto [(-1)^{k-1}w_n|w_1| \dots |w_{n-1}].$ This map preserves  $\operatorname{Gr}_{\geq 0}(k, n).$ 

 $\underbrace{ \begin{array}{l} \underline{\text{Example:}} & \text{For } \text{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2,4), \text{ we have} \\ X_0 = \text{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -1 & -\sqrt{2} \\ 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \xrightarrow{s} \text{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} \sqrt{2} & 1 & 0 & -1 \\ 0 & 1 & \sqrt{2} & 1 \end{pmatrix} = X_0 \in \text{Gr}_{\geq 0}(2,4) \\ & \Delta_{13} = 2 \quad \Delta_{12} = \sqrt{2} \quad \Delta_{14} = \sqrt{2} \\ & \Delta_{24} = 2 \quad \Delta_{34} = \sqrt{2} \quad \Delta_{23} = \sqrt{2}. \end{array}$ 

# Ising model

•  $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.


- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.
- For a spin configuration  $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}},$$



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.
- For a spin configuration  $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}},$$

• Boundary correlation:

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$$



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.
- For a spin configuration  $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}},$$

• Boundary correlation:

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$$

• Griffiths (1967):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \ge 0$ .



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.
- For a spin configuration  $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}},$$

Boundary correlation:

 $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Griffiths (1967):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \ge 0$ .
- Kelly–Sherman (1968):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_k \rangle \ge \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \cdot \langle \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle$ .



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.
- For a spin configuration  $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}},$$

- Boundary correlation:
  - $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$
- Griffiths (1967):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \ge 0$ .
- Kelly–Sherman (1968):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_k \rangle \ge \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \cdot \langle \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle$ .

**Q1**: How to describe correlations by inequalities?



- $(G, \mathbf{x})$ : weighted planar graph embedded in a disk.
- Ferromagnetic case:  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Ising model: probability measure on spin configurations.
- For a spin configuration  $\sigma: V(G) \rightarrow \{\pm 1\}$ ,

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}},$$

Boundary correlation:

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j 
angle := \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} 
eq \sigma_{b_j}).$$

- Griffiths (1967):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \ge 0$ .
- Kelly–Sherman (1968):  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_k \rangle \ge \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle \cdot \langle \sigma_j \sigma_k \rangle$ .
- Q1: How to describe correlations by inequalities?
- **Q2:** How to reconstruct the edge weights from boundary correlations?



• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \qquad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \qquad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

• Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \qquad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \qquad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \mathsf{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \quad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.



• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \qquad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

$$b_2$$
  $b_1$ 

$$\mathcal{M}(G, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \quad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

## Example (n = 2)

 $b_2 \xleftarrow{x}{b_1}$ 

$$M(G, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
$$\mathbf{m} := m_{12} = \frac{1-x}{1+x}$$

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \qquad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

$$M(G, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{X}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| m \in [0, 1) \right\}.$$
$$m := m_{12} = \frac{1-x}{1+x}$$

• 
$$(G, \mathbf{x})$$
: weighted graph embedded in a disk with  $0 < x_e < 1$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .  
 $\operatorname{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G):\\\sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}, \quad \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle := \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} = \sigma_{b_j}) - \operatorname{Prob}(\sigma_{b_i} \neq \sigma_{b_j}).$ 

- Boundary correlation matrix  $M(G, \mathbf{x}) = (m_{ij})_{i,j=1}^n$ ,  $m_{ij} := \langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle$ .
- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ as above, with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

$$M(G, \mathbf{x}) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \qquad \mathcal{X}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| m \in [0, 1) \right\}.$$
$$m := m_{12} = \frac{1-x}{1+x} \qquad \overline{\mathcal{X}}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| m \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

## Definition (Postnikov (2006))

The totally nonnegative Grassmannian is

$$\operatorname{Gr}_{\geqslant 0}(k,n) := \{ W \in \operatorname{Gr}(k,n) \mid \Delta_I(W) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } I \}.$$

## Definition (Postnikov (2006))

The totally nonnegative Grassmannian is

$$\mathsf{Gr}_{\geqslant 0}(k,n) := \{ W \in \mathsf{Gr}(k,n) \mid \Delta_I(W) \geqslant 0 \text{ for all } I \}$$

# Definition (Huang–Wen (2013))

The totally nonnegative orthogonal Grassmannian:  $OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n) := \{W \in Gr(n, 2n) \mid \Delta_I(W) = \Delta_{[2n] \setminus I}(W) \geq 0 \text{ for all } I\}.$ 

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar Ising network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{12} & 1 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & 1 & m_{34} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{13} & m_{13} & m_{14} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{12} & m_{12} & 1 & 1 & m_{23} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & -m_{13} & -m_{23} & m_{23} & 1 & 1 & m_{34} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{14} & m_{14} & m_{24} & -m_{24} & -m_{34} & m_{34} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

			-				•						
1	1	$m_{12}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$	$\mapsto RowSpan$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$m_{12}$	$-m_{12}$	$-m_{13}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$	$-m_{14}$
I	$m_{12}$	1	$m_{23}$	$m_{24}$		$-m_{12}$	$m_{12}$	1	1	$m_{23}$	$-m_{23}$	$-m_{24}$	$m_{24}$
I	$m_{13}$	$m_{23}$	1	$m_{34}$		$m_{13}$	$-m_{13}$	$-m_{23}$	$m_{23}$	1	1	$m_{34}$	$-m_{34}$
1	$m_{14}$	$m_{24}$	$m_{34}$	1 ,	/	$(-m_{14})$	$m_{14}$	$m_{24}$	$-m_{24}$	$-m_{34}$	$m_{34}$	1	1 /

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{12} & 1 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & 1 & m_{34} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{12} & m_{12} & 1 & 1 & m_{23} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & -m_{13} & -m_{23} & m_{23} & 1 & 1 & m_{34} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{14} & m_{14} & m_{24} & -m_{24} & -m_{34} & m_{34} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{12} & 1 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & 1 & m_{34} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{13} & m_{13} & m_{14} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{12} & m_{12} & 1 & 1 & m_{23} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & -m_{13} & -m_{23} & m_{23} & 1 & 1 & m_{34} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{14} & m_{14} & m_{24} & -m_{24} & -m_{34} & m_{34} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

						•						
$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$m_{12}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$		$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$m_{12}$	$-m_{12}$	$-m_{13}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$	$-m_{14}$
$m_{12}$	1	$m_{23}$	<i>m</i> <sub>24</sub>	$\mapsto RowSpan$	$-m_{12}$	$m_{12}$	1	1	$m_{23}$	$-m_{23}$	$-m_{24}$	$m_{24}$
$m_{13}$	$m_{23}$	1	<i>m</i> <sub>34</sub>		$m_{13}$	$-m_{13}$	$-m_{23}$	$m_{23}$	1	1	<i>m</i> <sub>34</sub>	$-m_{34}$
$\binom{m_{14}}{m_{14}}$	$m_{24}$	$m_{34}$	1		$(-m_{14})$	$m_{14}$	$m_{24}$	$-m_{24}$	$-m_{34}$	$m_{34}$	1	1 /

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Gr}(n, 2n)$ :  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{12} & 1 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & 1 & m_{34} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \operatorname{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{12} & -m_{13} & m_{13} & m_{14} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{12} & m_{12} & 1 & 1 & m_{23} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & -m_{13} & -m_{23} & m_{23} & 1 & 1 & m_{34} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{14} & m_{14} & m_{24} & -m_{24} & -m_{34} & m_{34} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

Question: What's the image?

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$	$m_{12}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$	$\mapsto RowSpan$	$\begin{pmatrix} 1 \end{pmatrix}$	1	$m_{12}$	$-m_{12}$	$-m_{13}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$	$-m_{14}$
$m_{12}$	1	$m_{23}$	$m_{24}$		$-m_{12}$	$m_{12}$	1	1	$m_{23}$	$-m_{23}$	$-m_{24}$	$m_{24}$
$m_{13}$	$m_{23}$	1	$m_{34}$		$m_{13}$	$-m_{13}$	$-m_{23}$	$m_{23}$	1	1	$m_{34}$	$-m_{34}$
$\binom{m_{14}}{m_{14}}$	$m_{24}$	$m_{34}$	1		$(-m_{14})$	$m_{14}$	$m_{24}$	$-m_{24}$	$-m_{34}$	$m_{34}$	1	1 /

#### Question: What's the image?

$$b_2$$

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

1	$m_{12}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$			1	$m_{12}$	$-m_{12}$	$-m_{13}$	$m_{13}$	$m_{14}$	$-m_{14}$
$m_{12}$	1	$m_{23}$	$m_{24}$	- RowSpan	$-m_{12}$	$m_{12}$	1	1	$m_{23}$	$-m_{23}$	$-m_{24}$	$m_{24}$
$m_{13}$	$m_{23}$	1	$m_{34}$		$m_{13}$	$-m_{13}$	$-m_{23}$	$m_{23}$	1	1	$m_{34}$	$-m_{34}$
$\binom{m_{14}}{m_{14}}$	$m_{24}$	$m_{34}$	1 /	/	$(-m_{14})$	$m_{14}$	$m_{24}$	$-m_{24}$	$-m_{34}$	$m_{34}$	1	1 /

#### Question: What's the image?

$$\overline{\mathcal{X}}_2 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| m \in [0, 1] \right\}$$

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{12} & 1 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & 1 & m_{34} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{12} & -m_{13} & m_{13} & m_{14} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{12} & m_{12} & 1 & 1 & m_{23} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & -m_{13} & -m_{23} & m_{23} & 1 & 1 & m_{34} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{14} & m_{14} & m_{24} & -m_{24} & -m_{34} & m_{34} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### Question: What's the image?

$$\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| m \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m & -m \\ -m & m & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

\$\mathcal{X}\_n := {M(G, \mathbf{x}) | (G, \mathbf{x})\$ is a planar lsing network with *n* boundary vertices}.
\$\mathcal{X}\_n := closure of \$\mathcal{X}\_n\$ inside the space of \$n \times n\$ matrices.

We define a simple doubling map  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \hookrightarrow Gr(n, 2n)$ :  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \end{pmatrix}$   $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{13} & m_{13} \end{pmatrix}$ 

 $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m_{12} & m_{13} & m_{14} \\ m_{12} & 1 & m_{23} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & m_{23} & 1 & m_{34} \\ m_{14} & m_{24} & m_{34} & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \mathsf{RowSpan} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m_{12} & -m_{12} & -m_{13} & m_{13} & m_{14} & -m_{14} \\ -m_{12} & m_{12} & 1 & 1 & m_{23} & -m_{23} & -m_{24} & m_{24} \\ m_{13} & -m_{13} & -m_{23} & m_{23} & 1 & 1 & m_{34} & -m_{34} \\ -m_{14} & m_{14} & m_{24} & -m_{24} & -m_{34} & m_{34} & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$ 

#### Question: What's the image?

- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ is a planar Ising network with } n \text{ boundary vertices}\}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ is a planar Ising network with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

# Example (n = 2)

# Theorem (G.–Pylyavskyy (2018))

• We have a homeomorphism  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$ .

- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ is a planar Ising network with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

# Example (n = 2)

# Theorem (G.–Pylyavskyy (2018))

- We have a homeomorphism  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$ .
- Both spaces are homeomorphic to closed  $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional balls.

- $\mathcal{X}_n := \{ M(G, \mathbf{x}) \mid (G, \mathbf{x}) \text{ is a planar Ising network with } n \text{ boundary vertices} \}.$
- $\overline{\mathcal{X}}_n :=$  closure of  $\mathcal{X}_n$  inside the space of  $n \times n$  matrices.

# Example (n = 2)

$$\overline{\mathcal{X}}_{2} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \middle| m \in [0, 1] \right\}.$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & m \\ m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & m & -m \\ -m & m & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad \begin{array}{l} \Delta_{12} = 2m & \Delta_{13} = 1 + m^{2} & \Delta_{14} = 1 - m^{2} \\ \Delta_{34} = 2m & \Delta_{24} = 1 + m^{2} & \Delta_{23} = 1 - m^{2} \end{array}$$

# Theorem (G.–Pylyavskyy (2018))

- We have a homeomorphism  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$ .
- Both spaces are homeomorphic to closed  $\binom{n}{2}$ -dimensional balls.
- Kramers–Wannier's duality (1941) ightarrow cyclic shift.

# **Critical** Ising model

# Ising model: origin

• Suggested by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.

# Ising model: origin

- Suggested by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism
- Suggested by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism



- Suggested by W. Lenz to his student E. Ising in 1920.
- Ising (1925): not a good model for ferromagnetism (Reason: no phase transition in 1D)



#### Phase transition

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G): \\ \sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}.$$

Usually:

- G = large piece of a (e.g. square) lattice;
- $x_e = x$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .



#### Phase transition

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G): \\ \sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}.$$

Usually:

- G = large piece of a (e.g. square) lattice;
- $x_e = x$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Get a phase transition at critical temperature x<sub>crit</sub>.



Picture credit: Dmitry Chelkak

#### Phase transition

$$\mathsf{Prob}(\sigma) := \frac{1}{Z} \prod_{\substack{\{u,v\} \in E(G): \\ \sigma_u \neq \sigma_v}} x_{\{u,v\}}.$$

Usually:

- G = large piece of a (e.g. square) lattice;
- $x_e = x$  for all  $e \in E(G)$ .
- Get a phase transition at critical temperature *x*<sub>crit</sub>.
- Kramers–Wannier (1941): Square lattice:  $x_{crit} = \sqrt{2} - 1$ .



#### Picture credit: Dmitry Chelkak













[Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.

[Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.

• Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region R.



- [Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.
  - Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region *R*.
  - G consists of diagonals connecting black vertices.



- [Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.
  - Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region *R*.
  - G consists of diagonals connecting black vertices.
  - Edge weights:

$$\xrightarrow{e} \longrightarrow x_e = \tan(\theta_e/2)$$



- [Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.
  - Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region R.
  - G consists of diagonals connecting black vertices.
  - Edge weights:

$$\xrightarrow{e} \longrightarrow x_e = \tan(\theta_e/2)$$

• Z-invariance: the boundary correlations  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_R$  are invariant under flips (star-triangle moves).



- [Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.
  - Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region R.
  - G consists of diagonals connecting black vertices.
  - Edge weights:

$$\xrightarrow{e} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad x_e = \tan(\theta_e/2)$$

- Z-invariance: the boundary correlations  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_R$ are invariant under flips (star-triangle moves).
- Conclusion: M<sub>R</sub> := (⟨σ<sub>i</sub>σ<sub>j</sub>⟩<sub>R</sub>)<sup>n</sup><sub>i,j=1</sub> depends only on the polygonal region R.



- [Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.
  - Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region R.
  - G consists of diagonals connecting black vertices.
  - Edge weights:

$$\xrightarrow{e} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad x_e = \tan(\theta_e/2)$$

- Z-invariance: the boundary correlations  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_R$ are invariant under flips (star-triangle moves).
- Conclusion: M<sub>R</sub> := (⟨σ<sub>i</sub>σ<sub>j</sub>⟩<sub>R</sub>)<sup>n</sup><sub>i,j=1</sub> depends only on the polygonal region R.
- Formula for  $M_R$  in terms of R?



Let  $R_n$  be a regular 2*n*-gon and  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n}$  be the corresponding boundary correlations.



#### A formula for regular polygons

Let  $R_n$  be a regular 2*n*-gon and  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n}$  be the corresponding boundary correlations.



Theorem (G. (2020))  
For 
$$1 \leq i, j \leq n$$
 and  $d := |i - j|$ , we have  
 $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d - 1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d - 3)\pi/2n\right)} + \dots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$ 

[Gal20] Pavel Galashin. A formula for boundary correlations of the critical Ising model. arXiv:2010.13345, 2020.

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle_{R_n} = rac{2}{n} \cdot rac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} - 1,$$

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} - 1,$$
  
 $\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \Big( \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \Big) + 1,$ 

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\begin{split} \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle_{R_n} &= \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} - 1, \\ \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \rangle_{R_n} &= \frac{2}{n} \Big( \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \Big) + 1, \\ \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \rangle_{R_n} &= \frac{2}{n} \Big( \frac{1}{\sin(5\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} + \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \Big) - 1. \end{split}$$

If  $R_n$  is a regular 2n-gon then for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and d := |i - j|, we have

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin((2d-1)\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin((2d-3)\pi/2n)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_2 \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} - 1, \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_3 \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \Big( \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \Big) + 1, \langle \sigma_1 \sigma_4 \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \Big( \frac{1}{\sin(5\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} + \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \Big) - 1.$$

• Q: Does  $\langle \sigma_1 \sigma_{d+1} \rangle_{R_n} \to 0$  for  $1 \ll d \ll n$ ?

$$\langle \sigma_{i}\sigma_{j} \rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \dots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\frac{4}{(2d-1)\pi} - \frac{4}{(2d-3)\pi} + \dots \mp \frac{4}{3\pi} \pm \frac{4}{\pi} \mp 1$$

$$\langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{2} \rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} - 1,$$

$$\langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{3} \rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \right) + 1,$$

$$\langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{4} \rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin(5\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} + \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \right) - 1.$$

$$\bullet \text{ Q: Does } \langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{d+1} \rangle_{R_{n}} \to 0 \text{ for } 1 \ll d \ll n?$$

$$\langle \sigma_{i}\sigma_{j}\rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \dots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

$$\frac{4}{(2d-1)\pi} - \frac{4}{(2d-3)\pi} + \dots \mp \frac{4}{3\pi} \pm \frac{4}{\pi} \mp 1$$

$$\langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{2}\rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \cdot \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} - 1,$$

$$\langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{3}\rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \right) + 1,$$

$$\langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{4}\rangle_{R_{n}} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin(5\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin(3\pi/2n)} + \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \right) - 1.$$

$$\bullet \text{ Q: Does } \langle \sigma_{1}\sigma_{d+1}\rangle_{R_{n}} \to 0 \text{ for } 1 \ll d \ll n?$$

$$\bullet \text{ A: Yes, by the Leibniz formula for } \pi:$$

$$\frac{\pi}{4} = 1 - \frac{1}{3} + \frac{1}{5} - \frac{1}{7} + \frac{1}{9} - \dots .$$

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

If  $R_n$  is a regular 2n-gon then for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and d := |i - j|, we have

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin((2d-1)\pi/2n)} - \frac{1}{\sin((2d-3)\pi/2n)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin(\pi/2n)} \right) \mp 1.$$

#### Corollary (G. (2020))

When regular polygons approach the circle, the boundary correlations tend to the limit predicted by conformal field theory.
#### Theorem (G. (2020))

If  $R_n$  is a regular 2n-gon then for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and d := |i - j|, we have

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

# Corollary (G. (2020))

When regular polygons approach the circle, the boundary correlations tend to the limit predicted by conformal field theory.

[CS12] Dmitry Chelkak and Stanislav Smirnov. Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables. *Invent. Math.*, 189(3):515–580, 2012.

#### Theorem (G. (2020))

If  $R_n$  is a regular 2n-gon then for  $1 \leq i, j \leq n$  and d := |i - j|, we have

$$\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_{R_n} = \frac{2}{n} \left( \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-1)\pi/2n\right)} - \frac{1}{\sin\left((2d-3)\pi/2n\right)} + \cdots \pm \frac{1}{\sin\left(\pi/2n\right)} \right) \mp 1.$$

## Corollary (G. (2020))

When regular polygons approach the circle, the boundary correlations tend to the limit predicted by conformal field theory.

[CS12] Dmitry Chelkak and Stanislav Smirnov. Universality in the 2D Ising model and conformal invariance of fermionic observables. *Invent. Math.*, 189(3):515–580, 2012.

[Hon10] Clement Hongler. Conformal invariance of Ising model correlations. PhD thesis, 06/28 2010.

# Critical Z-invariant Ising model

- [Bax86] R. J. Baxter. Free-fermion, checkerboard and Z-invariant lattice models in statistical mechanics. Proc. Roy. Soc. London Ser. A, 404(1826):1–33, 1986.
  - Choose a rhombus tiling of a polygonal region R.
  - G consists of diagonals connecting black vertices.
  - Edge weights:

$$\xrightarrow{e} \qquad \longrightarrow \qquad x_e = \tan(\theta_e/2)$$

- Z-invariance: the boundary correlations  $\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_R$ are invariant under flips (star-triangle moves).
- Conclusion: M<sub>R</sub> := (⟨σ<sub>i</sub>σ<sub>j</sub>⟩<sub>R</sub>)<sup>n</sup><sub>i,j=1</sub> depends only on the shape of the polygonal region R.
- Formula for  $M_R$  in terms of R?



# Shape of a polygonal region R

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ .



R

# Shape of a polygonal region R

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$  be given by  $v_r = \exp(2i\theta_r)$ .



R

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$  be given by  $v_r = \exp(2i\theta_r)$ .

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$  be given by  $v_r = \exp(2i\theta_r)$ . Given this data, we define a certain curve  $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$  be given by  $v_r = \exp(2i\theta_r)$ . Given this data, we define a certain curve  $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

#### Example

When R is convex, we have

$$\gamma_{m{ heta}}(t) = \Big(\prod_{j=2}^n \sin(t- heta_j), \prod_{j=3}^{n+1} \sin(t- heta_j), \cdots, \pm \prod_{j=2n}^{n-2} \sin(t- heta_j), \mp \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin(t- heta_j)\Big).$$

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$  be given by  $v_r = \exp(2i\theta_r)$ . Given this data, we define a certain curve  $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

#### Example

When R is convex, we have

$$\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) = \Big(\prod_{j=2}^{n} \sin(t-\theta_j), \prod_{j=3}^{n+1} \sin(t-\theta_j), \cdots, \pm \prod_{j=2n}^{n-2} \sin(t-\theta_j), \mp \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin(t-\theta_j)\Big).$$

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Given a 2*n*-gon *R*, denote its sides by  $v_1, v_2, \ldots, v_{2n} \in \mathbb{C}$ . Let  $\boldsymbol{\theta} = (\theta_1, \theta_2, \ldots, \theta_{2n})$  be given by  $v_r = \exp(2i\theta_r)$ . Given this data, we define a certain curve  $\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}} : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}^{2n}$ .

#### Example

When R is convex, we have

$$\gamma_{oldsymbol{ heta}}(t) = \Big(\prod_{j=2}^n \sin(t- heta_j), \prod_{j=3}^{n+1} \sin(t- heta_j), \cdots, \pm \prod_{j=2n}^{n-2} \sin(t- heta_j), \mp \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin(t- heta_j)\Big).$$

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

 $\phi(M_R) = \text{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) \text{ inside } OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n).$ 

#### Example

When R is convex, we have

$$\gamma_{\boldsymbol{\theta}}(t) = \Big(\prod_{j=2}^{n} \sin(t-\theta_j), \prod_{j=3}^{n+1} \sin(t-\theta_j), \cdots, \pm \prod_{j=2n}^{n-2} \sin(t-\theta_j), \mp \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin(t-\theta_j)\Big).$$

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) \quad inside \ \operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n).$$

#### Example

When R is convex, we have

$$\gamma_{m{ heta}}(t) = \Big(\prod_{j=2}^n \sin(t- heta_j), \prod_{j=3}^{n+1} \sin(t- heta_j), \cdots, \pm \prod_{j=2n}^{n-2} \sin(t- heta_j), \mp \prod_{j=1}^{n-1} \sin(t- heta_j)\Big).$$

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) \quad inside \ \operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n).$$

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) \quad inside \ \operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n).$$

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) \quad inside \ \operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n).$$

Here  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$  is the doubling map,  $M_R := (\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_R)_{i,j=1}^n$  is the critical boundary correlation matrix, and  $Span(\gamma_\theta)$  is the linear span of all points on  $\gamma_\theta$ . • The inverse of  $\phi$  is easily computed using linear algebra.

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta})$$
 inside  $\operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n)$ .

- The inverse of  $\phi$  is easily computed using linear algebra.
- Similar Gr(n+1, 2n) formula for electrical resistor networks.

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta})$$
 inside  $\operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n)$ .

- The inverse of  $\phi$  is easily computed using linear algebra.
- Similar Gr(n+1, 2n) formula for electrical resistor networks.
- If R is regular then  $\text{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) = X_0 \in \text{Gr}_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$  is the cyclically symmetric point.

For non-convex R, the r-th coordinate is  $\pm \prod_{j \in J_r} \sin(t - \theta_j)$ , where  $|J_r| = n - 1$ .

Theorem (G. (2021))

$$\phi(M_R) = \operatorname{Span}(\gamma_{\theta})$$
 inside  $\operatorname{OG}_{\geqslant 0}(n, 2n)$ .

Here  $\phi : \overline{\mathcal{X}}_n \xrightarrow{\sim} OG_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$  is the doubling map,  $M_R := (\langle \sigma_i \sigma_j \rangle_R)_{i,j=1}^n$  is the critical boundary correlation matrix, and  $Span(\gamma_\theta)$  is the linear span of all points on  $\gamma_\theta$ .

- The inverse of  $\phi$  is easily computed using linear algebra.
- Similar Gr(n+1, 2n) formula for electrical resistor networks.
- If R is regular then  $\text{Span}(\gamma_{\theta}) = X_0 \in \text{Gr}_{\geq 0}(n, 2n)$  is the cyclically symmetric point.

Thank you!