

41 Elliptic operators and parametrices.

Let $A(x, D)$ be elliptic differential operator of degree m , i.e. $A(x, \xi) = A_0(x, \xi) + A_1(x, \xi)$, where $A_0(x, \xi) = \sum_{|k|=m} a_k(x) \xi^k$, $A_1(x, \xi) = \sum_{|k|=0}^{m-1} a_k(x) \xi^k$, and $A_0(x, \xi) \neq 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $x \neq 0$.

For simplicity we assume that $A_0(x, \xi) > 0$, $\forall x \in \mathbf{R}^n$, $\xi \neq 0$ and $A(x, \xi) = A(\infty, \xi)$ for $|x| \geq R$.

Denote by $R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)$ the symbol $(A_0(x, \xi) + \lambda)^{-1}$ where $\lambda \in C_\delta$,

$$(41.1) \quad C_\delta = \{\lambda \in \mathbf{C} \setminus \{0\}, \quad -\pi + \delta < \arg \lambda < \pi - \delta, \quad 0 < \delta < \frac{\pi}{2}\}.$$

Note that $R_0(x, \xi, \lambda) \in S^{-m}$ and satisfies estimates

$$(41.2) \quad \begin{aligned} |R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)| &\geq (|\xi|^m + |\lambda|) \geq C_1(|\xi| + |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{m}})^m, \\ \left| \frac{\partial^{k+p} R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)}{\partial \xi^k \partial x^p} \right| &\leq C_{pk} |R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)| (|\xi| + |\lambda|^{\frac{1}{m}})^{-|k|}, \quad \forall p, \forall k, \quad \lambda \in C_\delta. \end{aligned}$$

Theorem 41.1. *For any $N \geq 0$, there exists a ψ do operator $R^{(N)}(x, D, \lambda)$, $R^{(N)}(x, \xi, \lambda) \in S^{-m}$ such that*

$$(41.3) \quad (A(x, D) - \lambda I)R^{(N)}(x, D, \lambda) = I + T_{-N-1},$$

where I is the identity operator, and $T_{-N-1} \leq -N - 1$ and

$$(41.4) \quad \| \|T_{-N-1}\| \|_{(s)} \leq C_N |\lambda|^{-\frac{N+1}{m}}, \quad \lambda \in C_\delta,$$

where $\| \|T_{-N-1}\| \|_{(s)}$ is the norm of the operator T_{-N-1} acting from $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

Operator $R^{(N)}(x, D, \lambda)$ is called the parametrix to $A(x, D) - \lambda$.

Proof: Using Theorem 40.2 we have

$$(A(x, D) + \lambda I)R_0(x, D, \lambda) = I + C_{-1}(x, D, \lambda),$$

where

$$(41.5) \quad C_{-1}(x, \xi, \lambda) = \sum_{|k|=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial A(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi^k} D_x^k R_0(x, \xi, \lambda) + A_1(x, \xi) R_0(x, \xi, \lambda).$$

Note that there is no remainder in (41.5) since $A(x, \xi)$ is a polynomial.

Let

$$R_{-1}(x, \xi, \lambda) = -R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)C_{-1}(x, \xi, \lambda).$$

Then by the Theorem 40.2 we have

$$(41.6) \quad (A(x, D) - \lambda I)(R_0 + R_1(x, D, \lambda)) = I + C_{-2}(x, D, \lambda),$$

where $C_{-2}(x, \xi, \lambda) \in S^{-2}$ and

$$(41.7) \quad C_{-2}(x, \xi, \lambda) = A_1(x, \xi)R_{-1}(x, \xi, \lambda) + \sum_{|k|=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k A(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi^k} D_x^k R_{-1}(x, \xi, \lambda).$$

Analogously defining $R_{-2}(x, \xi, \lambda) = -R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)C_{-2}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ etc. we construct $R_{-p}(x, \xi, \lambda) = -R_0(x, \xi, \lambda)C_{-p}(x, \xi, \lambda)$ where $C_{-p}(x, \xi, \lambda) \in S^{-p}$, $1 \leq p \leq N$, and

$$(41.8) \quad C_{-p}(x, \xi) = A_1(x, \xi)R_{-p+1}(x, \xi, \lambda) + \sum_{|k|=1}^m \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k A(x, \xi)}{\partial \xi^k} D_x^k R_{-p+1}(x, \xi, \lambda).$$

Therefore we get:

$$(41.9) \quad (A + \lambda I)(R_0 + R_{-1} + \dots + R_{-N}) = I + T_{-N-1},$$

where $\text{ord } T_{-N-1} \leq -N - 1$ and T_{-N-1} satisfies (41.4). Note that the sum

$$(41.10) \quad R^{(N)}(x, \xi, \lambda) = R_0(x, \xi, \lambda) + \dots + R_{-N}(x, \xi, \lambda)$$

can be rewritten in the following form:

$$(41.11) \quad R^{(N)}(x, \xi, \lambda) = (A_0(x, \xi) + \lambda)^{-1} + \sum_{k=1}^N (A_0(x, \xi) + \lambda)^{k+1} P_k(x, \xi),$$

where $P_k(x, \xi)$ are polynomials in ξ , $\deg P_k(x, \xi) \leq km - \frac{k}{2}$.

Lemma 41.2. *Let $A(x, D)$ be the same as in Theorem 41.1. Let s be arbitrary. Suppose λ is sufficiently large, and (41.1) holds. Then for any $f \in H_{s-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ there exists a unique solution $u \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ of the equation*

$$(A(x, D) + \lambda I)u = f.$$

Proof: Consider (41.3) with $N = 0$. It follows from (41.4) that T_{-1} is an operator with a small norm. Therefore $I + T_{-1}$ is invertible in $H_{s-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and $R = R_0(x, D, \lambda)(I + T_{-1})^{-1}$ is the right inverse to $A + \lambda I$, i.e. $(A + \lambda I)Rf = f, \forall f \in H_{s-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Also $u = Rf \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ since $\text{ord } R = -m$.

Applying Theorem 40.2 we have $R_0(x, D, \lambda)(A(x, D) + \lambda) = I + T_{-1}^{(1)}$, where $T_{-1}^{(1)}$ satisfies (41.4) with $N = 0$ and $(I + T_{-1}^{(1)})^{-1}$ exists when $\lambda \in C_\delta$ is sufficiently large. Therefore $R^{(1)} = (I + T_{-1}^{(1)})^{-1}R_0$ is the left inverse of $A(x, D) + \lambda I$. Since $A(x, D) + \lambda I$ has the left and the right inverses it is invertible and $R = R^{(1)} = (A(x, D) + \lambda I)^{-1}$. \square

In the case when $A(x, \xi) \in S^\alpha$ is not a polynomial in ξ we shall call $A(x, \xi)$ elliptic if there exists $R > 0$ such that

$$|A(x, \xi)| \geq C(1 + |\xi|)^\alpha \quad \text{when } |x|^2 + |\xi|^2 \geq R^2.$$

Lemma 41.3 (Elliptic regularity). *Let $A(x, \xi) \in S^\alpha$ be elliptic and let $u \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ be a solution of $A(x, D)u = f$ for some $s \in \mathbf{R}$. Suppose $f \in H_{s-\alpha+r}(\mathbf{R}^n), r > 0$. Then $u \in H_{s+r}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. In particular, if $f \in H_N(\mathbf{R}^n), \forall N$, then $u \in H_N(\mathbf{R}^n), \forall N$.*

Proof: Let $R(x, \xi) = A^{-1}(x, \xi)(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})\chi(\frac{\xi}{R}))$, where $\chi(x) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n), \chi(x) = 1$ when $|x| \leq 1$. It follows from Theorem 40.2 that

$$(41.12) \quad R(x, D)A(x, D)u = u - \chi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\chi\left(\frac{D}{R}\right)u + T_{-1}u,$$

where $\text{ord } T_{-1} \leq -1$. Therefore

$$u = Rf + \chi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\chi\left(\frac{D}{R}\right)u - T_{-1}u.$$

Note that $Rf \in H_{s+r}$ since $\text{ord } R = -m$. Also $T_{-1}u \in H_{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^n), \chi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\chi\left(\frac{D}{R}\right)u \in H_N(\mathbf{R}^n), \forall N$. Therefore $u \in H_{s+r_0}$, where $r_0 = \min(1, r)$. If $r > 1$ we can repeat the same arguments with $u \in H_{s+1}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ instead of $u \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$. \square

42 Compactness and Fredholm property.

Theorem 42.1. *Let $\text{ord } T' \leq -\delta$ and T' has the property (40.26), i.e.*

$$(42.1) \quad \|(1 + |x|^2)^M T'u\|_{s+\delta} \leq C\|u\|_s, \quad \forall s.$$

Then T' is compact in $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n), \forall s$.

Proof: We have the identity:

$$T' = (1 + |x|^2)^{-M} \Lambda^{-\delta} \Lambda^\delta (1 + |x|^2)^M T'.$$

Denote $T_1 = \Lambda^\delta (1 + |x|^2)^M T'$, where Λ^δ is a ψdo with symbol $\Lambda^\delta(\xi) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{\delta}{2}}$. It follows from (42.1) that T_1 is bounded in $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\forall s$. Therefore it is enough to prove that $T_2 = (1 + |x|^2)^{-M} \Lambda^{-\delta}$ is compact in $H_1(\mathbf{R}^n)$ since the product of a compact and a bounded operators is compact.

Let $\chi(\xi) \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\chi(\xi) = 1$ for $|\xi| \leq 1$, $|\chi(\xi)| \leq 1$. Let $T_\varepsilon = T_2 \chi(\varepsilon D)$. We have $T_2 - T_\varepsilon = (1 + |x|^2)^{-M} \Lambda^{-\delta} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon D))$. Therefore

$$(42.2) \quad \begin{aligned} \|(T_2 - T_\varepsilon)u\|_s^2 &\leq C \|\Lambda^{-\delta} (1 - \chi(\varepsilon D))u\|_s^2 \\ &= C \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{|1 - \chi(\varepsilon \xi)|^2 |\tilde{u}(\xi)|^2 (1 + |\xi|^2)^{2s}}{(1 + |\xi|^2)^\delta} d\xi \leq C \varepsilon^{2\delta} \|u\|_s^2, \end{aligned}$$

i.e. $\|T_2 - T_\varepsilon\|_{(s)} \rightarrow 0$ when $\varepsilon \rightarrow 0$. It is enough to prove that T_ε is compact, $\forall \varepsilon$, since the limit in the operator norm of a sequence of compact operators is compact.

Let $v(x) = T_\varepsilon u$. Then

$$(42.3) \quad \tilde{v}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{\psi}(\xi - \eta) (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-\frac{\delta}{2}} \chi(\varepsilon \eta) \tilde{u}(\eta) d\eta,$$

where $\psi(x) = (1 + |x|^2)^{-M}$, $M > \frac{n}{2}$. We have

$$(1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{v}(\xi) = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(\xi, \eta) (1 + |\eta|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{u}(\eta) d\eta,$$

where

$$(42.4) \quad K(\xi, \eta) = (1 + |\xi|^2)^{\frac{s}{2}} \tilde{\psi}(\xi - \eta) (1 + |\eta|^2)^{-\frac{s+\delta}{2}} \chi(\varepsilon \eta).$$

Note that

$$\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} |K(\xi, \eta)|^2 d\xi d\eta < +\infty,$$

i.e. the integral operator $Kw = \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} K(\xi, \eta) w(\eta) d\eta$ is the Hilbert-Schmidt operator. Since the Hilbert-Schmidt operator is compact in $L^2(\mathbf{R}^n)$ we get that operator (42.3) is compact $\tilde{H}_s(\mathbf{R}^n) = FH_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ (c.f. the proof of Theorem 23.2). \square

Theorem 42.2. *Let Ω be a smooth bounded domain in \mathbf{R}^n , and let T be a bounded operator from $H_s(\Omega)$ to $H_{s+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$, $\varepsilon > 0$. Then T is compact operator in $H_s(\Omega)$.*

Proof: Let l be an extension operator from Ω to \mathbf{R}^n such that

$$\|lu\|_{s,\mathbf{R}^n} \leq C\|u\|_{s,\Omega} \quad \text{for } \forall u \in H_s(\Omega)$$

and $lu = 0$ for $|x| > N$ (c.f. Theorem 33.2).

Denote by $\psi_0(x)$ a $C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$ function such that $\psi_0(x) = 1$ when $|x| \leq N$. Let p be the restriction operator to the domain Ω . We have, for any $u \in H_s(\Omega)$:

$$Tu = pl(Tu) = p\psi_0 l(Tu) = p\psi_0 \Lambda^{-\varepsilon} \Lambda^\varepsilon l(Tu).$$

Operator $\Lambda^\varepsilon l(Tu)$ is bounded from $H_s(\Omega)$ to $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ and operator p is bounded from $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_s(\Omega)$. It follows from the proof of Theorem 42.1 that $\psi_0(x)\Lambda^{-\varepsilon}$ is compact in $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Therefore T is compact in $H_s(\Omega)$ as a product of bounded operators and a compact operator. \square

Remark 42.1 Analogously to the proof of Theorem 42.2 one can show that the embedding of $H_{s+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ into $H_s(\Omega)$ is compact: we have as above $u = p\psi_0 lu = p\psi_0 \Lambda^{-\varepsilon} \Lambda^\varepsilon lu$ for any $u \in H_{s+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$. Operator $\Lambda^\varepsilon lu$ is bounded from $H_{s+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ to $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ therefore $Iu = p(\psi_0 \Lambda^{-\varepsilon})(\Lambda^\varepsilon lu)$ is compact operator from $H_{s+\varepsilon}(\Omega)$ to $H_s(\Omega)$ as the product of bounded operators p and $\Lambda^\varepsilon lu$ and the compact operator $\psi_0 \Lambda^{-\varepsilon}$. \square

Note that the operator A , bounded from $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, is called Fredholm if

- a) $\ker A = \{u \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n), Au = 0\}$ is finite-dimensional.
- b) $\Im A = \{v \in H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n) : v = Au \text{ for some } u \in H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)\}$ is closed in $H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.
- c) $\text{coker } A$ is finite-dimensional where $\text{coker } A$ is the orthogonal complement to $\Im A$ in $H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

One can prove that A is Fredholm iff there exists a bounded operator R from $H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ such that

$$(42.5) \quad AR = I + T_1, \quad RA = I + T_2,$$

where T_1 is a compact operator in $H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, T_2 is a compact operator in $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Operator R is called regularizer to A .

Theorem 42.3. *Let $A(x, D) \in S^\alpha$ be an elliptic operator. Then $A(x, D)$ is a Fredholm operator from $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$ to $H_{s-m}(\mathbf{R}^n)$, $\forall s$.*

Proof Let $\chi(\xi)$ be as in Theorem 42.1 and let $R(x, \xi) = A^{-1}(x, \xi)(1 - \chi(\frac{x}{R})\chi(\frac{\xi}{R}))$. Applying Theorem 40.2 we have:

$$(42.6) \quad A(x, D)R(x, D) = \left(I - \chi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\chi\left(\frac{D}{R}\right) \right) + T_{-1}^{(1)},$$

where $\text{ord } T_{-1}^{(1)} \leq -1$. Moreover, by Remark 40.2 $\text{ord } (1 + |x|^2)^M T_{-1}^{(1)} \leq -1$. By Theorem 42.1 the operator $T_{-1}^{(1)} - \chi(\frac{x}{R})\chi(\frac{D}{R})$ is compact in $H_{s-\alpha}(\mathbf{R}^n)$. Therefore $R(x, D)$ is the right regularizer of $A(x, D)$. Analogously it follows from (41.12) that $R(x, D)$ is a left regularizer of $A(x, D)$ since

$$-\chi\left(\frac{x}{R}\right)\chi\left(\frac{D}{R}\right) + T_1$$

is also compact in $H_s(\mathbf{R}^n)$.

43 The adjoint of pseudodifferential operator.

We shall rewrite the formula for the ψdo (40.3) in the form

$$(43.1) \quad Au = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A(x, \xi) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} u(y) dy d\xi, \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

where the integral in (43.1) is understood as a repeated integral : first the integration in y and then the integration in ξ . It is convenient to consider instead of (43.1) a more general form of a pseudodifferential operator:

$$(43.2) \quad Au = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a(x, y, \xi) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} u(y) dy d\xi,$$

where the integral is understood as a repeated integral and $a(x, y, \xi) \in C^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n \times \mathbf{R}^n)$ with the following properties:

$$(43.3) \quad \left| \frac{\partial^{p+k+r} a(x, y, \xi)}{\partial x^p \partial y^k \partial \xi^r} \right| \leq C_{pkr} (1 + |\xi|)^{\alpha - |r|}, \quad \forall p, k, r,$$

$$(43.4) \quad a(x, y, \xi) = a(\infty, y, \xi) \quad \text{when } |x| \geq R, \quad a(x, y, \xi) = a(x, \infty, \xi) \quad \text{when } |y| \geq R.$$

We shall represent $a(x, y, \xi)$ in the following form

$$(43.5) \quad a(x, y, \xi) = a(x, \infty, \xi) + a'(x, y, \xi),$$

where $a'(x, y, \xi) = 0$ when $|y| \geq R$.

The following theorem shows that an operator of the form (43.2) can be represented in the form (40.2) up to an operator of an arbitrary low order.

Theorem 43.1. *Let A be an operator of the form (43.2). Then for any N*

$$(43.6) \quad Au = \sum_{|k|=0}^N A_k(x, D)u + T_{\alpha-N-1}u,$$

where $A_k(x, D)$ are operators of the form (40.2)

$$(43.7) \quad A_0(x, \xi) = a(x, \infty, \xi) + a'(x, x, \xi) = a(x, x, \xi),$$

$$(43.8) \quad A_k(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{k!} D_y^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi^k} a'(x, y, \xi)|_{y=x}, \quad \text{ord } T_{\alpha-N-1} \leq \alpha - N - 1, \quad |k| \geq 1.$$

Proof: We have for any $u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n)$

$$(43.9) \quad Au = a(x, \infty, D)u + A'u,$$

where

$$(43.10) \quad A'u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a'(x, y, \xi) u(y) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy d\xi.$$

Compute the integral in y using the convolution formula:

$$(43.11) \quad \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} a'(x, y, \xi) u(y) e^{-iy\cdot\xi} dy = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{a}'(x, \xi - \eta, \xi) \tilde{u}(\eta) d\eta,$$

where $\tilde{a}'(x, \eta, \xi) = F_y a'(x, y, \xi)$. We get

$$(43.12) \quad A'u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{a}'(x, \xi - \eta, \xi) \tilde{u}(\eta) e^{ix\cdot\xi} d\eta d\xi.$$

Making the change of variables $\xi - \eta = \zeta$ we obtain

$$(43.13) \quad A'u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{a}'(x, \zeta, \eta + \zeta) \tilde{u}(\eta) e^{ix\cdot(\eta+\zeta)} d\eta d\zeta.$$

Expand $\tilde{a}'(x, \zeta, \eta + \zeta)$ by the Taylor formula:

$$(43.14) \quad \tilde{a}'(x, \zeta, \eta + \zeta) = \sum_{|k|=0}^N \frac{1}{k!} \frac{\partial^k \tilde{a}'(x, \zeta, \eta)}{\partial \eta^k} \zeta^k + R_N(x, \zeta, \eta).$$

Taking into account that

$$(43.15) \quad \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \frac{\partial^k \tilde{a}'(x, \zeta, \eta)}{\partial \eta^k} \zeta^k e^{ix \cdot \zeta} d\zeta = \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \eta^k} D_y^k a'(x, y, \eta)|_{y=x},$$

we get (43.6) with $T_{\alpha-N-1}u$ having the form:

$$(43.16) \quad T_{\alpha-N-1}u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} R_N(x, \zeta, \eta) e^{ix \cdot (\eta + \zeta)} \tilde{u}(\eta) d\eta d\zeta.$$

It remains to show that $\text{ord } T_{\alpha-N-1} \leq \alpha - N - 1$. Note that

$$(43.17) \quad \tilde{a}'(x, \zeta, \eta) = \tilde{a}'(\infty, \zeta, \eta) + \tilde{a}''(x, \zeta, \eta),$$

where $\tilde{a}''(x, \zeta, \eta) = 0$ for $|x| \geq R$. Then

$$(43.18) \quad R_N(x, \zeta, \eta) = R_{N1}(\infty, \zeta, \eta) + R_{N2}(x, \zeta, \eta),$$

where R_{N1} corresponds to $\tilde{a}'(\infty, \zeta, \eta)$ and R_{N2} corresponds to $\tilde{a}''(x, \zeta, \eta)$. The Fourier transform in x of $T_{\alpha-N-1}u$ has the form:

$$(43.19) \quad (F_x(T_{\alpha-N-1}u))(\xi) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} R_{N1}(\infty, \xi - \eta, \eta) \tilde{u}(\eta) d\eta \\ + \frac{1}{(2\pi)^{2n}} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \tilde{R}_{N2}(\xi - \eta - \zeta, \zeta, \eta) \tilde{u}(\eta) d\eta d\zeta$$

It follows from (40.13), (40.14) and (43.14) that

$$(43.20) \quad |R_{N1}(\infty, \xi - \eta, \eta)| \leq C_M (1 + |\xi - \eta|)^{-M} (1 + |\eta|)^{\alpha-N-1} (1 + |\xi - \eta|)^{N+1+|\alpha|}, \quad \forall M,$$

$$(43.21) \quad |\tilde{R}_{N2}(\xi - \eta - \zeta, \zeta, \eta)| \\ \leq C_{M_1, M} (1 + |\xi - \eta - \zeta|)^{-M_1} (1 + |\zeta|)^{-M} (1 + |\eta|)^{\alpha-N-1} (1 + |\zeta|)^{N+1+|\alpha|}, \quad \forall M_1, \forall M.$$

Estimating the term in (43.19) containing R_{N1} as in (40.19), (40.20) and the term in (43.19) containing R_{N2} as in (40.21), (40.22) we get

$$(43.22) \quad \|T_{\alpha-N-1}u\|_s \leq C \|u\|_{s+\alpha-N-1}, \quad \forall s.$$

□

Let A^* be the adjoint operator to the ψ do $A(x, D)$, i.e.

$$(Au, v) = (u, A^*v), \quad \forall u \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n), \quad v \in C_0^\infty(\mathbf{R}^n),$$

where (u, v) is the L^2 inner product.

Writing $A(x, D)u$ in the form (43.1) we get

$$(43.23) \quad (Au, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A(x, \xi) u(y) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy d\xi \right) \overline{v(x)} dx.$$

We can rewrite (43.23) in the form

$$(43.24) \quad (Au, v) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} u(y) \left(\int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A(x, \xi) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} \overline{v(x)} dx d\xi \right) dy.$$

Therefore

$$(43.25) \quad (A^*v)(y) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{A(x, \xi)} v(x) e^{i(y-x)\cdot\xi} dx d\xi.$$

Changing the notations from y to x and vice versa we get

$$(43.26) \quad (A^*v)(x) = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \overline{A(y, \xi)} v(y) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy d\xi,$$

i.e. A^*v has the form (43.2). Applying Theorem 43.1 to (43.26) we get

Theorem 43.2. *Let $A(x, D)$ be a ψ do with symbol $A(x, \xi) \in S^\alpha$. Then the adjoint operator A^* is also a ψ do operator and*

$$(43.27) \quad (A^*v)(x) = \sum_{|k|=0}^N A_k(x, D)v + T_{\alpha-N-1}v,$$

where

$$(43.28) \quad A_0(x, \xi) = \overline{A(x, \xi)},$$

$$(43.29) \quad A_k(x, \xi) = \frac{1}{k!} D_x^k \overline{\frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi^k} A(x, \xi)}, \quad 1 \leq |k| \leq N, \quad \text{ord } T_{\alpha-N-1} \leq \alpha - N - 1.$$

□

Let $A(x, \xi) \in S^\alpha$. Denote by $A_w(x, D)$ the following operator of the form (43.2):

$$(43.30) \quad A_w(x, D)u = \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) e^{i(x-y)\cdot\xi} u(y) dy d\xi.$$

Operators of the form (43.30) are called Weyl's pseudodifferential operators. Applying Theorem 43.1 to (43.30) we obtain

$$(43.31) \quad A_w(x, D) = A(x, D) + A_1(x, D) + T_{\alpha-N-1},$$

where $\text{ord } T_{\alpha-N-1} \leq \alpha - N - 1$ and

$$A_1(x, \xi) = \sum_{|k|=1}^N \frac{1}{2^k k!} D_x^k \frac{\partial^k}{\partial \xi^k} A(x, \xi).$$

Therefore $A_w(x, D)$ and $A(x, D)$ differ by terms of order $\alpha - 1$.

Consider the case when $A(x, \xi)$ is real valued. Then the ψ do $A(x, D)$ is not self-adjoint if the terms (43.29) are not zero. However $A_w(x, D)$ is self-adjoint when $A(x, \xi)$ is real since

$$\begin{aligned} (A_w(x, D)u, v) &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}, \xi\right) u(y) e^{-(x-y)\cdot\xi} dy d\xi \overline{v(x)} dx \\ &= \frac{1}{(2\pi)^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} \int_{\mathbf{R}^n} A\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) u(y) e^{-y\cdot\xi} \overline{v(x)} e^{-ix\cdot\xi} dy dx d\xi = (u, A_w(x, D)v). \end{aligned}$$

This shows the usefulness of the Weyl's operators.