

$\mathbb{R} \cong 2^{\mathbb{N}} = \mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$   $\cong$  means 1-1 correspondence

This is more precise than our result that  $\mathbb{R} \not\subseteq \mathbb{N}$   
i.e.,  $\mathbb{R}$  is not countable.

Proof:  $2^{\mathbb{N}}$  is just another notation for  $\mathcal{P}(\mathbb{N})$

a) We first note that  $2^{\mathbb{N}} \cong [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$

i.e. there is a 1-1 corres between subsets of  $\mathbb{N}$  and functions  $a: \mathbb{N} \rightarrow [0, 1]$ , i.e.

Sequences  $a_1, a_2, \dots$  where  $a_k = a_{k, 0} \in [0, 1]$ . Simply use the 1-1 correspondence  $s \mapsto a = \prod s_i$ .

b) Define  $\theta: [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]$

$$(a_1, a_2, \dots) \mapsto \sum a_k 2^{-k}$$

- we will write  $\theta(a) = .a_1 a_2 a_3 \dots$   
"binary point"

We have this is "not quite" 1-1 -

you can have  $\theta(a) = \theta(b)$  (only) if you have the situation  $\theta(a) = .a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} 1 0 \dots$

$$\theta(b) = .a_1 a_2 \dots a_{n-1} 0 \underbrace{1 1 \dots}_{\text{"infinite 1-tail"}}$$

Let  $S \subseteq [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}}$  be the sequences with 1-tails.

We have  $\theta: [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus S \rightarrow [0, 1]$  is a 1-1 correspondence [NOTE:  $\theta(0, 1, 1, \dots) = 1$  is eliminated]

c) We just showed  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \setminus S \cong [0, 1]$ .

We claim that  $[0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \cong [0, 1]$ .  $(B \cap A = \emptyset)$

General fact: Say  $A$  is infinite and  $B$  is countable. Then  $A \cong A \cup B$ . " $\mathbb{N} + \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ "

d) We have that

$$\mathbb{R} \cong [0, 1] \times \mathbb{N} \cong [0, 1] \cong [0, 1]^{\mathbb{N}} \cong 2^{\mathbb{N}}$$

+ General fact:  $A$  infinite and  $B$  countable implies that  $A \times \mathbb{N} \cong A$  " $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Q} = \mathbb{Q}$ "

 We'll accept "General facts" as well-known results from set theory.

## 2. The Cantor set

$$A_0 = [0, 1]$$

$$A_1 = [0, 1] \setminus (V_3, 2F_3)$$

$$A_2 = A_1 \setminus \left[ (V_9, 2F_9) \cup \left( \frac{7}{9}, \frac{8}{9} \right) \right]$$

⋮

$$C = \bigcap A_n$$

Let  $B_m = [0, 1] \setminus A_m$ . It consists of  $2^{m-1}$  disjoint intervals each of length  $\frac{1}{3^m}$ , so

$$\lambda(B_m) = \frac{2^{m-1}}{3^m}$$

See:  $B = \bigcup B_m$ . We have that

$$\lambda(B) = \sum \lambda(B_m) = \sum \frac{2^{m-1}}{3^m} = \frac{\frac{1}{3}}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} = 1$$

$$\lambda(C) = \lambda([0, 1] \setminus B) = \lambda([0, 1]) - \lambda(B) = 1 - 1 = 0$$

Define  $\theta_0: \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]: (\alpha_n) \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n} = \overbrace{\quad}^{\text{geometric } a = \frac{1}{3}} \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \alpha_3 \dots$   
↑  
"ternary point"

$\theta_0$  is one-to-one. It suffices to show

$$\theta: \{0, 1, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \rightarrow [0, 1]: (\alpha_n) \mapsto \sum \frac{\alpha_n}{3^n}$$

restricts to a 1-1 function on  $\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

But if  $a \in \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  and  $\theta(a) = \theta(b)$  ( $a \neq b$ )

we must have beginning of 2nd tail

$$\theta(a) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1} 0 2 2 2 \dots$$

$$\theta(b) = \alpha_1 \alpha_2 \dots \alpha_{n-1} 1 0 0 0 \dots$$

i.e., we have  $b \notin \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$ .

onto its image

$\theta_0$  is a homeomorphism, i.e.,  $\theta_0$  and  $\theta_0^{-1}$  are continuous.

Proof: Since  $\{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  is compact it suffices to show that  $\theta_0$  is continuous  $\{F \subseteq \{0, 1\}^{\mathbb{N}} \text{ closed} \Rightarrow F \text{ compact} \Rightarrow \theta_0(F) \text{ compact} \Rightarrow \theta_0(F) \text{ closed}$

$F \text{ closed} \Rightarrow (\theta_0^{-1})^{-1}(F) = \theta_0(F) \text{ is closed}$ .

$\therefore F \text{ closed} \Rightarrow (\theta_0^{-1})^{-1}(F) = \theta_0(F) \text{ is closed}$ .

We have to show that  $\alpha^{(k)} \rightarrow \alpha$  implies that  
 $\Theta(\alpha^{(k)}) \rightarrow \Theta(\alpha)$ .

We have  $\alpha^{(k)} \rightarrow \alpha \Rightarrow \alpha^k(i) \rightarrow \alpha(i)$   
 $\Rightarrow \alpha^{(k)}(i)$  eventually =  $\alpha(i)$

Given  $\varepsilon$  we may assume

$$k > k_0 \Rightarrow \alpha^{(k)}(1) = \alpha(1), \dots, \alpha^{(k)}(m_0) = \alpha(m_0)$$

If  $k > k_0$  then

$$\begin{aligned} |\Theta(\alpha^{(k)}) - \Theta(\alpha)| &= \left| \sum_{m=k_0+1}^{\infty} (\alpha^{(k)}(m) - \alpha(m)) 3^{-m} \right| \\ &\leq \sum_{m=k_0+1}^{\infty} 2 \cdot 3^{-m} = \frac{\frac{2}{3} \cdot 3^{k_0+1}}{1 - \frac{2}{3}} = \frac{1}{3^{m_0}} \end{aligned}$$

Given  $\varepsilon > 0$  we may assume that  $\frac{1}{3^{m_0}} < \varepsilon$ .

$\Theta$  maps  $\{0,2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  onto  $C$ .

The points in  $A_1, \dots$  are precisely those which have a ternary expansion

$\ldots 0a_2a_3 \dots$  or  $\ldots 2a_2a_3 \dots$  ( $\frac{a_2}{3} = 0.222\ldots$ )  
 (we allow infinite 2-tails),  $\frac{2}{3} = 0.2000\ldots$

The points in  $A_2$  are those of the form

$\ldots a_1a_2a_3 \dots$  where  $a_1, a_2 \in \{0, 2\}$

We thus see that if  $x \in C$ , then  $x \in A_m$  for all  $m$ , i.e.,

$$x = \ldots a_ka_2a_3 \dots \quad a_k \in \{0, 2\}$$

i.e.,  $x = \Theta(\alpha)$ , and  $\alpha \in \Theta(\{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}})$ . On the other hand let

$$S = \{a \in \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}} \mid a = (a_1, a_2, \dots, a_{m_0-1}, 0, 0, 0, \dots)\}$$

It is easy to see that  $\Theta(a) \in C$  since in fact  $\Theta(a)$  is an endpoint of one of the intervals in  $A_{m_0-1}$ ; it is never eliminated when one deletes subsequent middle third sets. Thus  $\Theta(S) \subseteq C$ .

But we have that  $S = \{0, 2\}^{\mathbb{N}}$  (why?)

Since  $\Theta$  is continuous,

3. The proof that  $\lambda: \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is countably additive.

Since we already know that  $\lambda$  is finitely additive, it suffices to prove

$$E \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}), E_n \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}), E \subseteq \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(E) \leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E_n).$$

Case 1:  $E = \bigcup_{n=1}^{\infty} E_n$   $E_n = [\alpha_n, \beta_n]$

See Class Notes.

Case 2:  $E = I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_p$   $I_n = J_n$

We have

$$I_1 \cup \dots \cup I_p \subseteq \bigcup J_m$$

$$\Rightarrow I_i \subseteq \bigcup J_m$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(I_i) \leq \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_i \cap J_m) \quad (\text{by case 1})$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(E) = \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda(I_i)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^p \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \lambda(I_i \cap J_m)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sum_{i=1}^p \lambda(I_i \cap J_m)$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \lambda(E \cap J_m)$$

Since  $E \cap J_m = \bigcup_{i=1}^p I_i \cap J_m$  ( $\lambda$  is finitely additive)

Case 3  $E \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A}), E_m = J_{m1} \cup \dots \cup J_{mn_m} \in \mathcal{Q}(\mathcal{A})$

$$\therefore E \in \bigcup E_m \Rightarrow E \in \bigcup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} J_{m1} \cup \dots \cup J_{mn_m}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda(E) \leq \sum_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \lambda(J_{m1}) \quad (\text{by case 2})$$

$$= \sum_i \lambda(E_m)$$

because  $E_m = \bigcup_{j=1}^{n_m} J_{mj}$  and  $\lambda$  is finitely additive.