

More things for the final We write $x = (x_1, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$ (i.e., "diag" \rightarrow)

Th: $\| \cdot \|_1, \| \cdot \|_2$, and $\| \cdot \|_\infty$ are norms on \mathbb{R}^n

Pf See assignment 7 solutions for $\| \cdot \|_1$ and $\| \cdot \|_\infty$. For $\| \cdot \|_2$ we use the dot product on \mathbb{R}^n :

Def: $x \cdot y = x_1 y_1 + \dots + x_n y_n$ Note $\|x\|_2 = (x \cdot x)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [NOTE: $x \cdot x \geq 0$ TRIVIALITY]

It is immediate that $(c x + d y) \cdot z = c(x \cdot z) + d(y \cdot z)$ and the same is true for the right variable.

Schwarz Lemma: If $x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n$ then $|x \cdot y| \leq \|x\|_2 \|y\|_2$

Proof: $\forall x, y \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 \leq (x-y) \cdot (x-y) = x \cdot x - 2x \cdot y + y \cdot y$

hence $x \cdot y \leq \frac{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{2}$. Also

$(-x) \cdot y = (-x) \cdot y \leq \frac{\|x\|^2 + \|(-y)\|^2}{2} = \frac{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{2}$

hence $|x \cdot y| \leq \frac{\|x\|^2 + \|y\|^2}{2}$. It follows that for any $t > 0$

$$|x \cdot y| = t^{\frac{1}{2}} x \cdot t^{-\frac{1}{2}} y \leq \frac{\|t^{\frac{1}{2}} x\|^2 + \|t^{-\frac{1}{2}} y\|^2}{2} = \frac{t \|x\|^2 + t^{-1} \|y\|^2}{2}$$

We may assume both $x \neq 0 = (0, \dots, 0)$ and $y \neq 0$ since otherwise desired inequality is just $0 \leq 0$. Let $t = \frac{\|y\|}{\|x\|}$ and we get

$|x \cdot y| \leq \frac{\|x\| \|y\| + \|x\| \|y\|}{2}$ Q.E.D. for Lemma

Proof of Theorem (continued)

$\| \cdot \|_2 = \| \cdot \|_2$

1) $\|x\|_2 = 0 \Leftrightarrow \sqrt{\sum x_i^2} = 0 \Leftrightarrow x_i = 0$ for all $i \Leftrightarrow x = 0$

2) $\|c x\|_2 = \sqrt{c^2 x \cdot (c x)} = \sqrt{c^2 (x \cdot x)} = c \sqrt{x \cdot x} = c \|x\|_2$

3) $\|x+y\|_2^2 = (x+y) \cdot (x+y)$
 $= x \cdot x + 2x \cdot y + y \cdot y$
 $\leq \|x\|^2 + 2\|x\| \|y\| + \|y\|^2 = (\|x\| + \|y\|)^2$

- take square root. Q.E.D.

Lemma: $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \Rightarrow \|x\|_1 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_2, \|x\|_2 \leq \sqrt{n} \|x\|_\infty, \|x\|_\infty \leq \|x\|_1$.

Pf: $\|x\|_1 = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| = \sum_{i=1}^n |x_i| \cdot 1 = (|x_1|, \dots, |x_n|) \cdot (1, \dots, 1) \leq \|x\|_2 \cdot \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_2 = \|x\|_2 \sqrt{n}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{hence } \|x\|_1 &= (|x_1| + \dots + |x_p|) \cdot (1 + \dots + 1) \\ &\leq \|(|x_1|, \dots, |x_p|)\|_2 \cdot \|(1, \dots, 1)\|_2 \quad (\text{Schwarz inequality}) \\ &= (|x_1|^2 + \dots + |x_p|^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \cdot (p^2)^{\frac{1}{2}} \quad (|x_i|^2 = x_i^2) \\ &= \|x\|_2 \sqrt{p} \\ \|x\|_2^2 &= \sum_{i=1}^p |x_i|^2 \leq p \max |x_i|^2 = p (\max |x_i|)^2 = p \|x\|_\infty^2 \\ &\quad \text{take square root} \end{aligned}$$

Say $\max |x_i| = |x_{i_0}|$. Then

$$\|x\|_2 = |x_{i_0}| \leq \sum |x_i| = \|x\|_1 \quad \text{Q.E.D.}$$

Def: If (M, d) is a metric space and $x_n \in M, c \in M$ we define

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = c \text{ if } \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N: n \geq N \Rightarrow d(x_n, c) < \epsilon \quad \left[\Leftrightarrow \left(\frac{d(x_n, c)}{n} \rightarrow 0 \right) \right]$$

and then we say x_n converges (to c) and write $x_n \rightarrow c$.

We say x_n is Cauchy if $\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists N: m, n \geq N \Rightarrow d(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$.

Given metric spaces (M, d) and (N, ρ) and $c \in M$

Then say $f: M \rightarrow N$ is continuous at c

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0: d(x, c) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x), f(c)) < \epsilon.$$

We say $f: M \rightarrow N$ is continuous on M iff it is continuous at each $c \in M$, i.e.,

$$\forall c \in M, \forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0 \forall x \in M, d(x, c) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x), f(c)) < \epsilon$$

We say $f: M \rightarrow N$ is uniformly continuous if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0, \exists \delta > 0: \forall x, x' \in M, d(x, x') < \delta \Rightarrow \rho(f(x), f(x')) < \epsilon$$

Thm: x_n converges $\Rightarrow x_n$ is Cauchy [see proof for \mathbb{R}]

Def: A metric space (N, d) is complete if each Cauchy sequence in N converges. E.G. we have PROVED \mathbb{R} is complete!

Def: A subset N of a metric space (M, d) is closed if $x_n \in N$ and $x_n \rightarrow x \in M \Rightarrow x \in N$.

Note change of notation

NOTE: In \mathbb{R}^p we have $x_n = (x_n(i), \dots, x_n(p)), x = (x(i), \dots, x(p))$

$$\|x_n - x\|_1 \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \|x_n - x\|_2 \rightarrow 0 \Leftrightarrow \|x_n - x\|_\infty \rightarrow 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow |x_n(i) - x(i)| \rightarrow 0 \quad (i=1, \dots, p)$$

hence convergence in one metric implies all metrics and $x_n \rightarrow x \Leftrightarrow$

$$x_n(i) \rightarrow x(i) \quad \forall i=1, \dots, p.$$

Applying same to $x_n - x_m$ we see

x_n is Cauchy for $\|\cdot\|_1, \|\cdot\|_2$, or $\|\cdot\|_\infty$

$\Leftrightarrow x_n(i)$ is Cauchy ($i=1, \dots, p$)

Th: \mathbb{R}^p is complete.

Pf: Say $x_n \in \mathbb{R}^p$. Then

x_n Cauchy $\Rightarrow x_n(i) \in \mathbb{R}$ Cauchy $\Rightarrow x_n(i)$ converges

(since \mathbb{R} is complete). Let $x(i) = \lim x_n(i)$, and

$x = (x(1), \dots, x(p))$. Then $x_n \rightarrow x$.

Th: Say (M, d) is a metric space and $N \subseteq M$ is given. d is the restricted metric (which we also denote by d). Then

(1) If N is complete, it is closed.

(2) If M is complete and $N \subseteq M$ is closed then N is complete.

Pf: Say $x_n \in N$ and $x_n \rightarrow x \in M$. Then latter implies x_n is Cauchy (in M and thus in N) hence N complete $\Rightarrow x_n \rightarrow y \in N$.

It follows that $y = x$ and thus $x \in N$.

\Rightarrow Say x_n is Cauchy in N . Then it is Cauchy in M and $x_n \rightarrow x \in M$. Since N is closed $x_n \in N$. QED.

Co: If $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^p$, then N is a complete metric space $\Leftrightarrow N$ is closed in \mathbb{R}^p .

Th: We say a metric space M is compact if for any sequence $x_n \in M$ we have x_n has a convergent subsequence $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in M$.

We found that $[a, b]$ is compact (BW theorem). \mathbb{R} is compact + NOT compact

Th: M compact $\Rightarrow M$ complete

Pf: Let $x_n \in M$ be Cauchy. Then \exists subseq $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in M$.

It follows that $x_n \rightarrow x$ (see our proof in \mathbb{R}).

Th: Say A is compact, and $f: A \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is continuous. Then

- (1) f is bounded (2) f assumes minimum and maximum values and (3) f is uniformly continuous.

These are proved in exactly the same manner as for $f: [a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

(1) If f is not bounded, choose $x_n \in M$ with $|f(x_n)| > n$.
Let $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x \in M$ [M is compact]. Then $f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(x)$
since f is continuous. Thus $f(x_{n_k})$ is a bounded sequence
[fact we showed in \mathbb{R}]. But $|f(x_{n_k})| > n_k$ contrad.

(2) Since $f(M)$ is bounded we can let

$$m = \inf f(M) \quad M = \sup f(M)$$

We have $\exists y_n \in f(M)$ with $y_n \rightarrow m$. Let $y_n = f(x_n)$
and let $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$. Then $f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(x)$. But
 $f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow m \Rightarrow f(x) = m$. Same for M .

(3) Easy f is not unif. cont. Then $\rho(f(x), f(x'))$

$$\exists \epsilon > 0: \forall \delta > 0, d(x, x') < \delta \nRightarrow |f(x) - f(x')| < \epsilon.$$

Thus we can choose $x_n, x'_n \in M$ with

$$d(x_n, x'_n) < \frac{1}{n} \text{ but } |f(x_n) - f(x'_n)| \geq \epsilon \text{ for all } n$$

Let $x_{n_k} \rightarrow x$, s.t., $d(x_{n_k}, x) \rightarrow 0$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} d(x'_{n_k}, x) &\leq d(x'_{n_k}, x_{n_k}) + d(x_{n_k}, x) \\ &< \frac{1}{n_k} + \frac{1}{n_k} = \frac{2}{n_k} \rightarrow 0 \end{aligned}$$

so $x'_{n_k} \rightarrow x$. It follows that $f(x_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(x)$

and $f(x'_{n_k}) \rightarrow f(x) \Rightarrow$

$$|f(x_{n_k}) - f(x'_{n_k})| \rightarrow 0 \text{ contradiction}$$

Cor: If (M, d) is compact, then it is bounded - i.e.,

there is a point $x_0 \in M$ and a constant K such that

$$d(x, x_0) \leq K \text{ for all } x \in M.$$

P6: Let \mathcal{B} be metric. In assignment 7 we proved
that $x_n \rightarrow x \Rightarrow d(x_n, \mathcal{B}) \rightarrow d(x, \mathcal{B}_0)$ [this is a special case].

Then $f(x) = d(x, \mathcal{B}_0)$ determines a continuous function

$f: M \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. From (2) above, f must be bounded,

(or complete)

Th: A subset $N \subseteq \mathbb{R}^n$ is compact \Leftrightarrow it is closed and bounded.

This is easy, but we have run out of time! Note that

if S is infinite and $d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & x \neq y \\ 0 & x = y \end{cases}$, S is compact and bounded
But it is not compact.