

Ex 6 Let T be the lin. op. from Ex 3, and let $W = \text{Span}(\{e_1, e_2\})$, the T -cyclic subspace generated by e_1 . We compute the char. poly $f(t)$ of $T|_W$ in two ways.

a) Using Thm 5.22.

By Ex 3 we have $\dim(W) = 2$, and $T^2(e_1) = -e_1$. So

$$1e_1 + 0 \cdot T(e_1) + T^2(e_1) = 0.$$

Hence by Thm 5.22(b), $f(t) = (-1)^2(1 + 0t + t^2) = t^2 + 1$.

b) By a direct calculation:

let $\beta = \{e_1, e_2\}$ - an ord. basis for W .

Since $T(e_1) = e_2$ and $T(e_2) = -e_1$, we have $[T|_W]_\beta = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & -1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$, hence

$$f(t) = \det \begin{pmatrix} -t & -1 \\ 1 & -t \end{pmatrix} = t^2 + 1.$$

The Cayley-Hamilton Theorem

Def. Let $f(x) = a_0 + a_1x + \dots + a_nx^n$ be a polynomial with coefficients from a field F .

Let T be a lin. op. on a v.s. V over F . We define

$$f(T) = a_0 I_V + a_1 T + \dots + a_n T^n,$$

where $I_V \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is the identity lin. op., and $T^n \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is the n -fold composition of T , i.e.

$$T^n(x) = \underbrace{T(T(\dots T(x)\dots))}_{n \text{ times}} \text{ for all } x \in V.$$

Rem. Similarly, if $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$, we define $f(A) = a_0 I_n + a_1 A + \dots + a_n A^n$.

Thm E.3 Let $f(x) \in \mathcal{P}(F)$ and $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ for V a v.s. over F . Then:

a) $f(T) \in \mathcal{L}(V)$,

b) If β is a finite ordered basis for V and $A = [T]_\beta$, then $[f(T)]_\beta = f(A)$.

Proof

a) Follow as the set of linear operators on $\mathcal{L}(V)$ is closed under composition, addition and scalar multiplication.

b) By the basic properties of matrix representation we have:

$$[f(T)]_\beta = [a_0 I_V + a_1 T + \dots + a_n T^n]_\beta = a_0 [I_V]_\beta + a_1 [T]_\beta + \dots + a_n [T^n]_\beta = a_0 I_n + a_1 [T]_\beta + \dots + a_n [T^n]_\beta = a_0 I_n + a_1 A + \dots + a_n A^n = f(A).$$

In view of Thm 5.22, cyclic spaces can be used to prove the following well-known result:

Thm 5.23 (Cayley-Hamilton) Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$, V a fin. dim. v.s. over F .

Let $f(t)$ be the char. poly. of T . Then $f(T) = T_0$, the zero-transformation (i.e. $T_0(v) = 0 \forall v \in V$). (That is, T satisfies its characteristic equation.)

Proof. We show that $f(T)(v) = 0$ for all $v \in V$.

This is obvious for $v=0$ because $f(T)$ is linear by Thm E.3(a). So suppose $v \neq 0$.

Let W be the T -cyclic subspace of V generated by v , and let $k = \dim(W)$.

By Thm 5.22(a), there exist a_0, a_1, \dots, a_{k-1} s.t.

$$a_0 v + a_1 T(v) + \dots + a_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v) + T^k(v) = 0.$$

Then Thm 5.22(b) implies that the char. poly. of $T|_W$ is

$$g(t) = (-1)^k (a_0 + a_1 t + \dots + a_{k-1} t^{k-1} + t^k).$$

Combining these two equations we get

$$g(T)(v) = (-1)^k (a_0 I + a_1 T + \dots + a_{k-1} T^{k-1} + T^k)(v) = (-1)^k \left(\overbrace{a_0 v + a_1 T(v) + \dots + a_{k-1} T^{k-1}(v) + T^k(v)}^{=0} \right) = 0.$$

By Thm 5.2, $g(t)$ divides $f(t)$. Hence there exists a polynomial $q(t)$ s.t.

$$f(t) = q(t) \cdot g(t).$$

$$\text{So } f(T)(v) = (q(T) \cdot g(T))(v) = \underbrace{q(T) \left(\underbrace{g(T)(v)}_0 \right)}_{\text{by above}} = q(T)(0) = 0 \quad \uparrow \text{ as } q(T): V \rightarrow V \text{ is linear.}$$

Ex 7 Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(\mathbb{R}^3)$ defined by $T(a, b) = (a+2b, -2a+b)$, let $\beta = \{e_1, e_2\}$. Then:

$$A = [T]_{\beta} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ -2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ The char. poly. of } T \text{ is then } f(t) = \det(A - tI) = \det \begin{pmatrix} 1-t & 2 \\ -2 & 1-t \end{pmatrix} = t^2 - 2t + 5.$$

We have $f(T) = T^2 - 2T + 5I_V$.

$$\text{By Thm E.3: } [f(T)]_{\beta} = f(A) = A^2 - 2A + 5I_n = \begin{pmatrix} -3 & 4 \\ -4 & -3 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} -2 & -4 \\ 4 & -2 \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} 5 & 0 \\ 0 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}. \text{ So } f(T) = T_0.$$

In general, using Thm 5.23 and Thm E.3 we have:

Corollary (Cayley-Hamilton for matrices) Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$, let $f(t)$ be its char. poly. Then

$$f(A) = 0, \text{ the } n \times n \text{ zero matrix.}$$

Proof.

Let $A \in M_{n \times n}(F)$ be given, consider $L_A \in \mathcal{L}(F^n)$, the lin. operator on F^n satisfying

$$[L_A]_{\beta} = A, \text{ where } \beta \text{ is the standard basis for } F^n.$$

Recall that the char. poly. of L_A is by def. the char. poly. of A . Then

$$f(A) = [f(L_A)]_{\beta} = [T_0]_{\beta} = 0 \leftarrow \begin{array}{l} \text{the zero-matrix.} \\ \text{Thm E.3} \quad \uparrow \quad \text{Thm 5.23} \quad \uparrow \quad \text{the zero-transf. on } F^n \end{array}$$

Direct sums of vector spaces

Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$. There is a way of decomposing V into simpler subspaces that offers insight into the behavior of T . In the case when T is diagonalizable, the simpler subspaces are its eigenspaces.

Def Let W_1, W_2, \dots, W_k be subspaces of a v.s. V . The **sum** of these subspaces is the set of vectors

$$\{v_1 + v_2 + \dots + v_k : v_i \in W_i \text{ for } 1 \leq i \leq k\},$$

which we denote by $W_1 + W_2 + \dots + W_k$ or $\sum_{i=1}^k W_i$. It is a subspace of V (Exercise!).

Ex Let $V = \mathbb{R}^3$, W_1 - the xy -plane, W_2 the yz -plane. Then $\mathbb{R}^3 = W_1 + W_2$, because for any vector $(a, b, c) \in \mathbb{R}^3$ we have

$$(a, b, c) = \underbrace{(a, b, 0)}_{\in W_1} + \underbrace{(0, 0, c)}_{\in W_2}.$$

But the presentation of (a, b, c) as a sum of vectors in W_1 and W_2 is not unique!

For example, $(a, b, c) = (a, b, 0) + (0, 0, c)$ is another presentation.

To fix this, we introduce a condition that assures unique presentation.

Def Let W_1, \dots, W_k be subspaces of V .

We call V the **direct sum** of the subspaces W_1, \dots, W_k and write $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$ if

$$V = \sum_{i=1}^k W_i \text{ and } W_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j}^k W_i = \{0\} \text{ for each } j, 1 \leq j \leq k.$$

Ex Let $V = \mathbb{R}^4$, $W_1 = \{(a, b, 0, 0) : a, b \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $W_2 = \{(0, 0, c, 0) : c \in \mathbb{R}\}$, $W_3 = \{(0, 0, 0, d) : d \in \mathbb{R}\}$. For any $(a, b, c, d) \in V$ we have:

$$(a, b, c, d) = (a, b, 0, 0) + (0, 0, c, 0) + (0, 0, 0, d) \in W_1 + W_2 + W_3, \text{ so } V = \sum_{i=1}^3 W_i.$$

We also have: $W_1 \cap (W_2 + W_3) = W_2 \cap (W_1 + W_3) = W_3 \cap (W_1 + W_2) = \{0\}$.

Thus $V = W_1 \oplus W_2 \oplus W_3$.

Thm 5.10 Let W_1, \dots, W_k be subspaces of a fin. dim. v.s. V . The following are equivalent.

- $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$.
- $V = \sum_{i=1}^k W_i$ and for any v_1, \dots, v_k with $v_i \in W_i, 1 \leq i \leq k$, if $v_1 + \dots + v_k = 0$, then $v_i = 0$ for all i .
- Each vector $v \in V$ can be **uniquely** written as $v = v_1 + \dots + v_k$ for some $v_i \in W_i$.
- If δ_i is an ordered basis for W_i , then $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ is an ordered basis for V .
- For each $i = 1, \dots, k$ there exists an ordered basis δ_i for W_i s.t. $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ is an ordered basis for V .

Proof.

(a) \Rightarrow (b) Clearly $V = \sum_{i=1}^k W_i$. Supp. that $v_i \in W_i$ and $v_1 + \dots + v_k = 0$. Then for any j we have $-v_j = \sum_{i \neq j} v_i \in \sum_{i \neq j} W_i$. But $-v_j \in W_j$, hence $-v_j \in W_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} W_i \stackrel{\text{by (a)}}{=} \{0\}$. So $v_j = 0$, hence (b) holds.

(b) \Rightarrow (c) Let $v \in V$. By (b) $\exists v_1, \dots, v_k$ s.t. $v_i \in W_i$ and $v = v_1 + \dots + v_k$.

We must show that this representation is unique.

Supp. also that $v = w_1 + \dots + w_k$, with $w_i \in W_i$ for all i . Then

$$(v_1 - w_1) + (v_2 - w_2) + \dots + (v_k - w_k) = 0.$$

But $(v_i - w_i) \in W_i$ for all i , therefore $v_i - w_i = 0$ for all i by (b), thus $v_i = w_i$ for all i .

(c) \Rightarrow (d) For each i , let δ_i be an ordered basis for W_i . Since $V = \sum_{i=1}^k W_i$ by (c), it follows that $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ generates V . To show that this set is lin. indep., consider vectors $v_{ij} \in \delta_i$ ($j = 1, \dots, m_i, i = 1, \dots, k$) and scalars a_{ij} such that $\sum_{i,j} a_{ij} v_{ij} = 0$. For each i , set $w_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} v_{ij}$. Then for each i , $w_i \in \text{Span}(\delta_i) = W_i$ and $w_1 + \dots + w_k = \sum_{i,j} a_{ij} v_{ij} = 0$.

Since $0 \in W_i$ for each i and $0 + 0 + \dots + 0 = w_1 + w_2 + \dots + w_k$, (c) implies that $w_i = 0 \forall i$. Thus $0 = w_i = \sum_{j=1}^{m_i} a_{ij} v_{ij}$ for each i .

But each δ_i is lin. indep., hence $a_{ij} = 0$ for all i and j . So $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ is lin. indep., so it is a basis for V .

(d) \Rightarrow (e) Obvious.

(e) \Rightarrow (a) For each i , let δ_i be an ordered basis for W_i s.t. $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ is an ord. basis for V . Then $V = \text{Span}(\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k) = \text{Span}(\delta_1) + \dots + \text{Span}(\delta_k) = \sum_{i=1}^k W_i$.

Fix j ($1 \leq j \leq k$) and supp. that, for some non-zero vector $v \in V$, $v \in W_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} W_i$. Then

$$v \in W_j = \text{Span}(\delta_j) \text{ and } v \in \sum_{i \neq j} W_i = \text{Span}(\bigcup_{i \neq j} \delta_i).$$

Hence v is a non-trivial lin. comb. of both δ_j and $(\bigcup_{i \neq j} \delta_i)$, so v can be expressed as a lin. comb. of $\delta_1, \dots, \delta_k$ in more than one way, contradicting the property of a basis. So $W_j \cap \sum_{i \neq j} W_i = \{0\}$, proving (a).

Then for the char. poly of T we have:

$$f(t) = \det(A - tI) \stackrel{\text{block matrix}}{=} \det(B_1 - tI) \det(B_2 - tI) = f_1(t) \cdot f_2(t).$$

Inductive step. Assume the theorem is valid for $k-1 \geq 2$, and we prove it for k .

Suppose $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$.

Let $W = W_1 + \dots + W_{k-1}$.

Then W is a T -inv. subspace of V (if $x \in W_1 + \dots + W_{k-1}$, say $x = x_1 + \dots + x_{k-1}$, for $x_i \in W_i$, then $T(x) \stackrel{T \text{ is linear}}{=} T(x_1) + \dots + T(x_{k-1})$, and $T(x_i) \in W_i$ as W_i is T -inv., so $T(x) \in W_1 + \dots + W_{k-1}$.)

And $V = W \oplus W_k$ (clearly $V = W + W_k$; if $x \in W \cap W_k$, then $x \in \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} W_j \cap W_k = \{0\}$ as $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$).

So by the case for $k=2$, we get $f(t) = g(t) \cdot f_k(t)$, where $g(t)$ is the char. poly. of $T|_W$.

Clearly $W = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_{k-1}$, therefore $g(t) = f_1(t) \cdot \dots \cdot f_{k-1}(t)$ by the induction hypothesis.

So $f(t) = g(t) \cdot f_k(t) = f_1(t) \cdot \dots \cdot f_k(t)$.

Cor. Supp. that $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ is diagz, $\dim(V) < \infty$, and $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_k$ are its distinct eigenvalues.

By Thm 5.11, V is a direct sum of the eigenspaces of T .

Since each eigenspace is T -inv., we can apply Thm 5.24.

For each λ_i , consider $T|_{E_{\lambda_i}}$. Let δ_i be an ord. basis for E_{λ_i} . Let $\dim(E_{\lambda_i}) = m_i$, write $\delta_i = \{\delta_{i,1}, \dots, \delta_{i,m_i}\}$.

Then for each $1 \leq j \leq m_i$, we have $T|_{E_{\lambda_i}}(\delta_{i,j}) = \lambda_i \cdot \delta_{i,j}$, so

$$[T|_{E_{\lambda_i}}]_{\delta_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}_{m_i} \text{ the } j^{\text{th}} \text{ position, so } [T|_{E_{\lambda_i}}]_{\delta_i} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_i & & 0 \\ & \ddots & \\ 0 & & \lambda_i \end{pmatrix}_{m_i}; \text{ so the char. poly. of } T|_{E_{\lambda_i}} \text{ is } \det([T|_{E_{\lambda_i}}]_{\delta_i} - tI) = (\lambda_i - t)^{m_i}.$$

So by Thm 5.11 we get $f(t) = (\lambda_1 - t)^{m_1} (\lambda_2 - t)^{m_2} \cdot \dots \cdot (\lambda_k - t)^{m_k}$.

(So the multiplicity of each eigenval. is equal to the dimension of the corresponding eigenspace, as expected).

Next we define an operation on matrices which corresponds to direct sum of subspaces.

Def. Let $B_1 \in M_{m \times m}(F)$, $B_2 \in M_{n \times n}(F)$. We define the **direct sum** of B_1 and B_2 , denoted $B_1 \oplus B_2$, as the $(m+n) \times (m+n)$ -matrix A s.t.

$$A_{ij} = \begin{cases} (B_1)_{ij} & \text{for } 1 \leq i, j \leq m, \\ (B_2)_{(i-m), (j-m)} & \text{for } m+1 \leq i, j \leq m+n, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If B_1, \dots, B_k are square matrices over F , possibly of different sizes, we define the **direct sum** of B_1, \dots, B_k recursively by

$$B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k = (B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_{k-1}) \oplus B_k.$$

If $A \cong B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k$, then it is of the form

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} B_1 & 0 & \dots & 0 \\ 0 & B_2 & \dots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \dots & B_k \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\begin{pmatrix} \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \boxed{3} & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{1} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & \boxed{2} & \boxed{3} \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} & \boxed{1} \end{pmatrix}$$

Ex let $B_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$, $B_2 = (3)$, $B_3 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 1 \\ 1 & 2 & 3 \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}$. Then $B_1 \oplus B_2 \oplus B_3 \cong$

Thm 5.25 Let $T \in \mathcal{L}(V)$ be a lin. op., $\dim(V) < \infty$. Let W_1, \dots, W_k be T -inv. subspaces of V s.t. $V = W_1 \oplus \dots \oplus W_k$. For each i , let β_i be an ordered basis for W_i , and let $\beta = \beta_1 \cup \dots \cup \beta_k$. Let $A = [T]_{\beta}$ and $B_i = [T|_{W_i}]_{\beta_i}$, for $i=1, \dots, k$. Then $A = B_1 \oplus \dots \oplus B_k$.

Proof Homework 3.