

# Regularity for slice-wise stable hypergraphs

Artem Chernikov

UCLA

Model Theory Conference on the occasion of Byunghan Kim's 60th birthday

Seoul, South Korea, Aug 28, 2023

- ▶ Joint work with Henry Towsner (U Penn).

## Context: ultraproducts of finite graphs with Loeb measure

- ▶ For each  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , let  $G_i = (V_i, E_i)$  be a graph with  $|V_i|$  finite and  $\lim_{i \rightarrow \infty} |V_i| = \infty$ .
- ▶ Given a non-principal ultrafilter  $\mathcal{U}$  on  $\mathbb{N}$ , the ultraproduct

$$(V, E) = \prod_{i \in \mathbb{N}} (V_i, E_i)$$

is a graph on the set  $V$  of size continuum.

- ▶ Given  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and an *internal* set  $X \subseteq V^k$  (i.e.  $X = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} X_i$  for some  $X_i \subseteq V_i^k$ ), we define  $\mu^k(X) := \lim_{\mathcal{U}} \frac{|X_i|}{|V_i|^k}$ . Then:
  - ▶  $\mu^k$  is a finitely additive probability measure on the Boolean algebra of internal subsets of  $V^k$ ,
  - ▶ extends uniquely to a countably additive measure on the  $\sigma$ -algebra  $\mathcal{B}_k$  generated by the internal subsets of  $V^k$ .

## Approximation by rectangles

- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1$  be the *product  $\sigma$ -algebra*, i.e. for every  $E \in \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$ ,  $i < k$ , so that

$$\mu^2 \left( E \Delta \left( \bigcup_{i < k} A_i \times B_i \right) \right) < \varepsilon.$$

- ▶ Note:  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1 \subsetneq \mathcal{B}_2$  (e.g. for  $E = \prod_{i \in \mathcal{U}} E_i$  with  $E_i$  a uniformly random graph on  $V_i$  we have  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2 \setminus (\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1)$ ).

## Szemerédi's regularity lemma as a measure-theoretic statement: Elek-Szegedy, Tao, Towsner, ...

- ▶ [Szemerédi's regularity lemma] Given  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there is a decomposition of the form

$$1_E = f_{\text{str}} + f_{\text{qr}} + f_{\text{err}},$$

where:

- ▶  $f_{\text{str}} = \sum_{i \leq n} d_i 1_{A_i}(x) 1_{B_i}(y)$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A_i, B_i \in \mathcal{B}_1$  and  $d_i \in [0, 1]$  (so  $f_{\text{str}}$  is  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1$ -simple),
  - ▶  $f_{\text{err}} : V^2 \rightarrow [-1, 1]$  and  $\int_{V^2} |f_{\text{err}}|^2 d\mu^2 < \varepsilon$ ,
  - ▶  $f_{\text{qr}}$  is *quasi-random*: for any  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_1$  we have  $\int_{V^2} 1_A(x) 1_B(y) f_{\text{qr}}(x, y) d\mu^2 = 0$ .
- ▶ Under what conditions on  $E$  can the quasi-random part be omitted?

## VC-dimension

- ▶ Given  $E \subseteq V^2$  and  $x \in V$ , let  $E_x = \{y \in V : (x, y) \in E\}$  be the  $x$ -fiber of  $E$ .
- ▶ A graph  $E \subseteq V^2$  has *VC-dimension*  $\geq d$  if there are some  $y_1, \dots, y_d \in V$  such that, for every  $S \subseteq \{y_1, \dots, y_d\}$  there is  $x \in V$  so that  $E_x \cap \{y_1, \dots, y_d\} = S$ .
- ▶ **Example.** If  $E_i$  is a random graph on  $V_i$  and  $(V, E) = \prod_{\mathcal{U}} (V_i, E_i)$ , then  $\text{VC}(E) = \infty$ .
- ▶ **Example.** If  $E$  is definable in an NIP theory (e.g.  $E$  is semialgebraic), then  $\text{VC}(E) < \infty$ .

## Regularity lemma for graphs of finite VC-dimension

- ▶ [Alon, Fischer, Newman] [Lovasz, Szegedy] [Hrushovski, Pillay, Simon], [C., Starchenko] If  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$  and  $VC(E) < \infty$ , then:
  - ▶  $E \in \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1$ ,
  - ▶ the number of rectangles needed to approximate  $E$  within  $\varepsilon$  is bounded by a polynomial in  $\frac{1}{\varepsilon}$ .

## Hypergraph regularity

- ▶ We discuss 3-hypergraphs for simplicity.
- ▶ We have  $\mathcal{B}_3 \supsetneq \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1, \mathcal{B}_2 \times \mathcal{B}_1$ , etc.
- ▶ Moreover, let  $\mathcal{B}_{3,2} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_3$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by intersections of “cylindrical” sets of the form

$$\{(x, y, z) \in V^3 : (x, y) \in A \wedge (x, z) \in B \wedge (y, z) \in C\}$$

for some  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_2$ . Again,  $\mathcal{B}_{3,2} \subsetneq \mathcal{B}_3$ .

- ▶ [Hypergraph regularity lemma] Any  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  can be decomposed as
$$1_E \approx f(x, y, z) + \sum_{i \leq m} \alpha_i 1_{A_i}(x, y) 1_{B_i}(x, z) 1_{C_i}(y, z) + \sum_{j \leq n} \beta_j 1_{D_j}(x) 1_{F_j}(y) 1_{G_j}(z),$$
where  $f$  quasi-random w.r.t.  $\mathcal{B}_{3,2}$ , and  $A_i, B_i, C_i \in \mathcal{B}_2$  are quasi-random w.r.t  $\mathcal{B}_1 \times \mathcal{B}_1$ , and  $D_j, F_j, G_j \in \mathcal{B}_1$ .
- ▶ Apart from  $f$ , the rest is  $\mathcal{B}_{3,2}$ -measurable. Under what conditions  $E$  is “binary”, i.e. the ternary quasi-random  $f$  can be omitted?
- ▶ [C., Townser] Iff VC<sub>2</sub>-dimension is finite.

# Hypergraph regularity for hypergraphs of slice-wise finite VC-dimension

- ▶ Today we discuss the most restrictive case of measurability for hypergraphs with respect to unary sets:
- ▶ Let  $\mathcal{B}_{3,1} \subseteq \mathcal{B}_3$  be the  $\sigma$ -algebra generated by intersections of “cylindrical” sets of the form

$$\{(x, y, z) \in V^3 : x \in A \wedge y \in B \wedge z \in C\}$$

for some  $A, B, C \in \mathcal{B}_1$ . Note:  $\mathcal{B}_{3,1} \subsetneq \mathcal{B}_{3,2}$ .

- ▶  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  has *slice-wise* finite VC-dimension if for (almost) every  $b \in V$ , the (binary) fiber  $E_b = \{(x, y) \in V^2 : (x, y, b) \in E\} \in \mathcal{B}_2$  has finite VC-dimension (and the same for any permutation of the variables).
- ▶ [C., Starchenko] + [C., Townser]  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  is slice-wise finite VC-dimension iff  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{3,1}$ .

## Stability and $\mu$ -stability

- ▶ Fix  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$ .
- ▶ A ladder for  $E$  of height  $d$  is a tuple  $\bar{a} \bar{b} = (a_i : i \in d) \frown (b_i : i \in d)$  with  $a_i \in V, b_i \in V$  such that for every  $i, j \in d$  we have  $(a_i, b_j) \in E \iff i \leq j$ .
- ▶  $E$  is  $d$ -stable if there are no ladders of height  $d$  for  $E$ , and stable if it is ladder  $d$ -stable for some  $d \in \omega$ .
- ▶ For regularity lemmas, we can ignore measure 0 ladders, so it is natural to relax the definition as follows:
- ▶ A  $\mu$ -ladder for  $E$  of height  $d$  is a tuple  $\bar{b} = (b_j : j \in d)$  so that for every  $i \in d$  we have  $\mu \left( \bigcap_{i \leq j} E_{b_j} \setminus \left( \bigcup_{j > i} E_{b_j} \right) \right) > 0$ .
- ▶ For  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$ , let  $\text{Lad}^{\mu, E, d} \in \mathcal{B}_d$  be the set of all  $\bar{b} = (b_i : i \in d)$  so that  $\bar{b}$  is a  $\mu$ -ladder for  $E$  of height  $d$ .
- ▶  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$  is  $d$ - $\mu$ -stable if  $\mu \left( \text{Lad}^{\mu, E, d} \right) = 0$ . And  $E$  is  $\mu$ -stable if it is ladder  $d$ - $\mu$ -stable for some  $d \in \omega$ .

## Regularity for $\mu$ -stable graphs and hypergraphs

- ▶ A set  $A \in \mathcal{B}_1$  is *perfect* for  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$  if  $\mu(\{b \in V : \mu(E_b \cap A) > 0 \wedge \mu(A \setminus E_b) > 0\}) = 0$ .
- ▶ Note: if  $A, B \in \mathcal{B}_1$  are perfect for  $E$ , then  $\frac{\mu(E \cap (A \times B))}{\mu(A \times B)} \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ▶ A simplified version of [Malliaris-Shelah]: Assume that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_2$  is  $\mu$ -stable. Then there exist countable partitions  $V = \bigsqcup_{i \in \omega} A_i$  and  $V = \bigsqcup_{j \in \omega} B_j$  into perfect sets. In particular, for each  $i, j \in \omega$ ,  $\frac{\mu(E \cap (A_i \times B_j))}{\mu(A_i \times B_j)} \in \{0, 1\}$ .
- ▶ What about hypergraphs?
- ▶ We say that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  is (*partition-wise*)  $\mu$ -stable if the binary relation  $E(x; yz)$  is  $\mu$ -stable, and the same for any other partition of the variables.
- ▶ [C., Starchenko], [Ackerman, Freer, Patel] If  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  is  $\mu$ -stable, then there exist countable partitions  $A_i, B_j, C_k$  of  $V$  into perfect sets (for  $E$  viewed as a binary relation). In particular, for each  $i, j, k \in \omega$ ,  $\frac{\mu(E \cap (A_i \times B_j \times C_k))}{\mu(A_i \times B_j \times C_k)} \in \{0, 1\}$ .

## Stable regularity for families of finite graphs

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of finite  $k$ -partite  $k$ -hypergraphs of the form  $H = (E; X_1, \dots, X_k)$  with  $E \subseteq \prod_{i=1}^k X_i$  and  $X_i$  finite.

We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies *stable regularity* if for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  there exists some  $N = N(\varepsilon)$  such that: for any  $H = (E; X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathcal{H}$  and any probability measures  $\mu_i$  on  $X_i$  there exists  $N' \leq N$  and partitions  $X_i = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq t < N'} A_{i,t}$  so that for any  $0 \leq t_1, \dots, t_k \leq N'$  we have

$$\frac{\mu(E \cap (A_{1,t_1} \times \dots \times A_{k,t_k}))}{\mu(A_{1,t_1} \times \dots \times A_{k,t_k})} \in [0, \varepsilon] \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1],$$

where  $\mu$  is the product measure of  $\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k$ .

## Strong (“meta-stable”) stable regularity for families of finite graphs

Let  $\mathcal{H}$  be a family of finite  $k$ -partite  $k$ -hypergraphs. We say that  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies *strong stable regularity* if for every  $\varepsilon \in \mathbb{R}_{>0}$  and every function  $f : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow (0, 1)$  there exists some  $N = N(f, \varepsilon)$  such that: for any  $H = (E; X_1, \dots, X_k) \in \mathcal{H}$  and any probability measures  $\mu_t$  on  $X_t$  there exists  $N' \leq N$  and partitions  $X_i = \bigsqcup_{0 \leq t < N'} A_{i,t}$  so that:

1.  $\mu_i(A_{i,0}) \leq \varepsilon$  for all  $1 \leq i \leq k$ ;
2. for any  $1 \leq t_1, \dots, t_k < N'$  we have

$$\frac{\mu(E \cap (A_{1,t_1} \times \dots \times A_{k,t_k}))}{\mu(A_{1,t_1} \times \dots \times A_{k,t_k})} \in [0, f(N')] \cup (1 - f(N'), 1];$$

3. for each  $1 \leq t_1 \leq N'$  we have: for all  $(x_2, \dots, x_k)$  in  $A_{2,0} \times X_3 \times \dots \times X_k$  outside of a subset of measure  $\leq f(N')$ ,

$$\frac{\mu(E_{(x_2, \dots, x_k)} \cap A_{1,t_1})}{\mu(A_{1,t_1})} \in [0, f(N')] \cup (1 - f(N'), 1],$$

and the same for every permutation of the coordinates.

## Stable regularity vs strong stable regularity

1. Conditions (1),(2) were considered [Terry, Wolf], [Chavarría, Conant, Pillay].
2. For any arity  $k$  hypergraphs, strong stable regularity implies stable regularity.
3. For any  $k$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  a family of  $k$ -ary hypergraphs, TFAE:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies strong stable regularity;
  - ▶ in every ultraproduct  $H = (E; X_1, \dots, X_k)$  of  $\mathcal{H}$ , there exist countable partitions of each  $X_i$  into perfect sets from  $\mathcal{B}_1$ .
  - ▶ there is  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  so that every  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  is partition-wise  $d$ -stable.
4. For  $k = 2$  and  $\mathcal{H}$  a family of graphs, everything is equivalent:
  - ▶  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies stable regularity;
  - ▶  $\mathcal{H}$  satisfies strong stable regularity;
  - ▶ there exist countable perfect partitions in the ultraproduct;
  - ▶ there is  $d \in \mathbb{N}$  so that every  $H \in \mathcal{H}$  is  $d$ -stable.
5. But not for  $k \geq 3$ ! The relation  $E(x, y, z)$  given by  $x = y < z$  satisfies stable regularity, but not strong stable regularity (so  $E$  is not partition-wise stable).
6. We view the strong version of regularity as the correct and more robust higher arity notion.

## Regularity for slice-wise $\mu$ -stable hypergraphs

- ▶ [Terry-Wolf] Do slice-wise stable  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  also satisfy stable regularity?
- ▶ (This seems to be the last remaining question about measurability with respect to unary sets.)
- ▶ We say that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  is *slice-wise  $\mu$ -stable* if the binary fiber  $E_b \in \mathcal{B}_2$  is  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $b \in V$ , and the same for every permutation of the coordinates.

### Theorem (C., Towsner)

*No! But we have the next best thing:*

*Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_3$  is slice-wise  $\mu$ -stable. Then there exist countable partitions  $A_i, B_j, C_k$  of  $V \times V$  so that: each  $A_i$  is perfect for the relation  $E(xy; z)$ , and  $A^i = A^{i,X} \times A^{i,Y}$  is a rectangle with  $A^{i,X}, A^{i,Y} \in \mathcal{B}_1$ , and same for  $B_j, C_k$  with respect to the other partitions of the variables. In particular, for every  $i, j$ ,*

*$$\frac{\mu(E(x,y,z) \wedge A_i(x,y) \wedge B_j(x,z))}{\mu(A_i(x,y) \wedge B_j(x,z))} \in \{0, 1\}.$$
 (And same for any two out of  $\{A, B, C\}$  instead of  $A, B$ .)*

## Idea of the proof

- ▶ So let  $E \in X \times Y \times Z$  be slice-wise  $\mu$ -stable.
- ▶ Then for (almost) every  $x \in X$ ,  $E_x \subseteq Y \times Z$  is  $\mu$ -stable, so by the stable graph regularity can decompose  $Y, Z$  into perfect sets with respect to  $E_x$ . But a priori there is no relation between such decompositions of  $Y, Z$  for different  $x$ !
- ▶ To achieve uniformity, we are going to do a number of repartitions in a “definable” way.
- ▶ First, a general “symmetrization” result for binary relations:

## Symmetrizing partitions for binary relations

### Lemma

Assume  $A \subseteq X \times Y$  with  $A \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y}$ . Then there exist countable partitions  $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in \omega} U_i$  with  $U_i \in \mathcal{B}_X$  and  $Y = \bigsqcup_{i \in \omega} V_i$  with  $V_i \in \mathcal{B}_Y$  such that for each  $i \in \omega$  we have:

1.  $\mu((A \cap (U_i \times Y)) \Delta (A \cap (X \times V_i))) = 0$ ,
2. for any  $U' \subseteq U_i$ ,  $U' \in \mathcal{B}_X$  such that both  $\mu(A \cap (U' \times Y)) > 0$  and  $\mu(A \cap ((U_i \setminus U') \times Y)) > 0$ , for any  $V' \subseteq V_i$ ,  $V' \in \mathcal{B}_Y$  we have  $\mu((A \cap (U' \times Y)) \Delta (A \cap (U_i \times V'))) > 0$ .

In particular,  $A$  is almost contained in the rectangles on the diagonal, that is  $\mu(A \setminus \bigcup_{i \in \omega} (U_i \times V_i)) = 0$ .

## Getting $\mu$ -stable graph regularity uniformly in fibers

As mentioned earlier, we have regularity for hypergraphs of slice-wise finite VC-dimension uniformly over fibers:

### Lemma

*Assume  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y \times Z}$  is such that for almost all  $z \in Z$ , the binary relation  $E_z \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y}$  is  $\mu$ -NIP. Then there exist  $P^i \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Z}^E, Q^i \in \mathcal{B}_{Y \times Z}^E$  for  $i \in \omega$  such that for almost every  $z \in Z$  we have  $\chi_{E_z}(x, y) = \sum_{i \in \omega} \chi_{P_z^i}(x) \cdot \chi_{Q_z^i}(y)$ .*

After some “definable” refining repartitions using this uniformity and symmetrizations, we obtain uniformity for stable partitions:

### Lemma

*Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y \times Z}$ ,  $E_x \in \mathcal{B}_{Y \times Z}$  is  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $x \in X$ . Then there is a partition of  $X \times Y$  into countably many sets  $A^i \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y}, i \in \omega$ , so that for almost every  $x \in X$ ,  $(A_x^i : i \in \omega)$  is a partition of  $Y$  into countably many sets perfect for  $E_x$  (viewed as a binary relation on  $(X \times Y) \times Z$ ).*

## Partitioning $X \times Y$ into perfect sets

- ▶ Using this and some more work we obtain a partition of  $X \times Y$  into perfect sets:
- ▶ **Proposition.** Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y \times Z}$ ,  $E_x \in \mathcal{B}_{Y \times Z}$  is  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $x \in X$ , and  $E_y \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Z}$  is  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $y \in Y$ . Then there is a partition of  $X \times Y$  into  $\mathcal{B}_{X \times Y}^E$ -measurable sets perfect for  $E$ , viewed as a binary relation on  $(X \times Y) \times Z$ .
- ▶ However, we cannot hope to also partition  $Z$  into perfect sets for  $E \subseteq (X \times Y) \times Z$ , as we did with ordinary stability:
- ▶ Take  $X = Y = Z = [0, 1]$  and let  $E := \{(x, y, z) : x = y < z\}$ , then  $E$  is slicewise stable. Place the Lebesgue measure on  $Z$ , and place discrete measures on  $X$  and  $Y$  which place a positive measure on each rational number in  $[0, 1]$ . Now if  $A \subseteq Z$  has positive Lebesgue measure, we can always choose  $q \in \mathbb{Q} \cap [0, 1]$  so that both  $A \cap [0, q)$  and  $A \cap (q, 1]$  have positive measure, that is  $0 < \mu(E_{(q,q)} \cap A) < \mu(A)$ . But  $\mu(\{(q, q)\}) > 0$ , so the set  $A$  is not perfect.

## One direction of stability and the opposite slicewise stability

In this special case the results we have suffice to give a positive answer to the question of Terry and Wolf.

### Theorem

*Assume that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y \times Z}$  is  $\mu$ -stable viewed as a binary relation between  $X \times Y$  and  $Z$ , and the slices  $E_z \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y}$  are  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $z \in Z$ . Then for every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there exist finite partitions  $X = \bigsqcup_{i \in I} X_i$ ,  $Y = \bigsqcup_{j \in J} Y_j$ ,  $Z = \bigsqcup_{k \in K} Z_k$  with  $X_i \in \mathcal{B}_X$ ,  $Y_j \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ ,  $Z_k \in \mathcal{B}_Z$  so that for every  $(i, j, k) \in I \times J \times K$  we have  $\frac{\mu(E \cap (X_i \times Y_j \times Z_k))}{\mu(X_i \times Y_j \times Z_k)} \in [0, \varepsilon] \cup (1 - \varepsilon, 1]$ .*

## Partition into a combination of perfect sets and rectangles

But we only have slice-wise stability in all three directions! Some analysis of infinite (infinitely branching) trees of partitions, with infinite branches tackled by  $\mu$ -stability on various repartitions of coordinates and slices, allows us to get:

**Proposition.** Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y \times Z}$ , the slices  $E_x \in \mathcal{B}_{Y \times Z}$  are  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $x \in X$ , and the slices  $E_y \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Z}$  are  $\mu$ -stable for almost all  $y \in Y$ . Then there exist a countable partition  $X \times Y = \bigsqcup_{i \in \omega} A^i$  with each  $A^i \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y}$  perfect for the relation  $E \subseteq (X \times Y) \times Z$ , and a countable partition  $Y \times Z = \bigsqcup_{j \in \omega} B^j$  into rectangles  $B^j = B^{j,Y} \times B^{j,Z}$  for some  $B^{j,Y} \in \mathcal{B}_Y, B^{j,Z} \in \mathcal{B}_Z$ , so that for each  $i, j \in \omega$ , either  $A^i \wedge B^j \subseteq^0 E$  or  $(A^i \wedge B^j) \cap E =^0 \emptyset$ .

## Finally...

- ▶ Finally, combining all of the above and some more repartitions, we obtain:
- ▶ **Proposition.** Suppose that  $E \in \mathcal{B}_{X \times Y \times Z}$  is slicewise  $\mu$ -stable. Then there exist a countable partition  $X \times Y = \bigsqcup_{i \in \omega} A^i$  so that each  $A^i$  is perfect for the relation  $E \subseteq (X \times Y) \times Z$ , and  $A^i = A^{i,X} \times A^{i,Y}$  is a rectangle with  $A^{i,X} \in \mathcal{B}_X, A^{i,Y} \in \mathcal{B}_Y$ .
- ▶ From which the main theorem quickly follows!
- ▶ A slicewise stable counterexample to stable hypergraph regularity: Let  $X := \{0, 1, 2\}^\omega$ , and  $(x, y, z) \in E$  holds if, for the first  $n$  such that  $|x(n), y(n), z(n)| > 1$ ,  $|x(m), y(n), z(n)| = 3$ . (At the first coordinate where they are not all the same, they are all different.)

Thank you!

- ▶ “Definable regularity lemmas for NIP hypergraphs” with Sergei Starchenko *The Quarterly Journal of Mathematics*, 72(4), 2021, 1401–1433
- ▶ “Hypergraph regularity and higher arity VC-dimension” with Henry Towsner, arXiv:2010.00726
- ▶ “A regularity lemma for slice-wise stable hypergraphs”, with Henry Towsner, in preparation