Some applications of model theory to geometric Ramsey theory

Artem Chernikov

(IMJ-PRG, FSMP)

GTM, Paris Nov 14, 2014

▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□▶ ▲□ ● ● ●

Joint work with Sergei Starchenko.

### Szemerédi regularity lemma

#### Theorem

[E. Szemerédi, 1975] If  $\varepsilon > 0$ , then there exists  $K = K(\varepsilon)$  such that: for any finite bipartite graph  $R \subseteq A \times B$ , there exist partitions  $A = A_0 \cup \ldots \cup A_k$  and  $B = B_0 \cup \ldots \cup B_k$  into non-empty sets, and a set  $\Sigma \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\} \times \{1, \ldots, k\}$  with the following properties.

- 1. Bounded size of the partition:  $k \leq K$ .
- 2. Few exceptions:  $\left| \bigcup_{(i,j)\in\Sigma} A_i \times B_j \right| \ge (1-\varepsilon) |A \times B|.$
- 3.  $\varepsilon$ -regularity: for all  $(i, j) \in \Sigma$ , and all  $A' \subseteq A_i, B' \subseteq B_j$ , one has

$$\left|\frac{|R \cap (A' \times B')|}{|A' \times B'|} - \frac{|R \cap (A_i \times B_j)|}{|A_i \times B_j|}\right| \le \varepsilon$$

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

Szemerédi regularity lemma: bounds and applications

- Has many applications in extreme graph combinatorics, additive number theory, computer science, etc.
- Exist various versions for weaker and stronger partitions, for hypergraphs, etc.
- Limitations:
  - [T. Gowers, 1997] The size of the partition K (ε) grows as a tower of twos 2<sup>2<sup>···</sup></sup> of height (1/ε<sup>16</sup>).

- Not so useful for sparse graphs.
- Can one obtain stronger versions for restricted families of graphs?

Stronger regularity for restricted families of graphs

- 1. [T. Tao, 2012] Algebraic graphs of bounded complexity in large finite fields (pieces of the partition are algebraic, no exceptional pairs, stronger regularity).
- 2. [L. Lovász, B. Szegedi, 2010] Graphs of bounded VC-dimension, i.e. NIP graphs (density arbitrarily close to 0 or 1, the size of the partition is bounded by a polynomial in  $(\frac{1}{\varepsilon})$ ).
  - 2.1 [M. Malliaris, S. Shelah, 2011]: graphs without arbitrary large half-graphs, i.e. stable graphs (no exceptional pairs).
  - 2.2 Alon, Conlon, Fox, Gromov, Naor, Pach, Pinchasi, Radoičić, Sharir, Sudakov, Lafforgue, Suk: semialgebraic graphs of bounded complexity.
- All these cases are orthogonal to each other, and curiously have something to do with model theoretic classification theory.

# Semialgebraic graphs

- A set A ⊆ ℝ<sup>d</sup> is semialgebraic if it is defined by a finite boolean combination of polynomial equalities and inequalities.
- We say that the *description complexity* of a semialgebraic set  $A \subseteq \mathbb{R}^d$  is  $\leq t$  if  $d \leq t$  and A can be defined by a boolean combination of at most t polynomials, each of degree at most t.
- ▶ We say that a graph  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  is semialgebraic if its edge relation is.
- Examples of semialgebraic graphs of bounded complexity: the incidence relation between points and lines on the plane, two parametrized families of semialgebraic varieties having a non-empty intersection, etc.

# Semialgebraic Ramsey, 1

- ▶ We say that a pair of sets (A, B) is *R*-homogeneous if either  $A \times B \subseteq R$  or  $(A \times B) \cap R = \emptyset$ .
- [N. Alon, J. Pach, R. Pinchasi, R. Radoičić, M. Sharir, "Crossing patterns of semi-algebraic sets", 1995]:

#### Theorem

For every  $t \in \mathbb{N}$  there is some  $\varepsilon > 0$  such that: if  $R \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_1} \times \mathbb{R}^{d_2}$  is semialgebraic, of complexity bounded by t, then for any finite sets  $A_i \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{d_i}$  there are some  $A'_i \subseteq A_i$  such that  $|A'_i| \ge \varepsilon |A_i|$  and  $(A'_1, A'_2)$  is R-homogeneous. Moreover,  $A'_i = A_i \cap S_i$ , where  $S_i$  is a certain semialgebraic relation of complexity bounded in terms of t.

Using this [J.Fox, M. Gromov, V. Lafforgue, A. Naor, and J. Pach, "Overlap properties of geometric expanders", 2010] obtain a semialgebraic regularity lemma — we'll return to it soon.

## Semialgebraic Ramsey, 2

- ▶ By Tarski's quantifier elimination for real closed fields, this can be reformulated by saying that (ℝ, +, ×) satisfies the following property.
- (\*) For every formula φ (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, z) there is some ε > 0 such that: for every choice of the parameter c ∈ M<sup>|z|</sup>, for every finite A<sub>i</sub> ⊆ M<sup>|x<sub>i</sub>|</sup> there are some A'<sub>i</sub> ⊆ A<sub>i</sub> such that |A'<sub>i</sub>| ≥ ε |A<sub>i</sub>| and (A'<sub>1</sub>, A'<sub>2</sub>) is φ (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, c)-homogeneous. Moreover, A'<sub>i</sub> = A<sub>i</sub> ∩ S<sub>i</sub>, where S<sub>i</sub> ⊆ M<sup>|x<sub>i</sub>|</sup> is definable by a certain formula depending just on φ.
- (\*) is a property of Th (M): if it holds in one structure, then it holds in all structures elementarily equivalent to it.
- Which other theories satisfy (\*)?

### NIP theories

- Were introduced by [S.Shelah] for purposes of his classification theory: in some model *M*, some formula picks out all subsets of an infinite set.
- There is a rather elaborate theory of NIP theories based on invariant types, Keisler measures, indiscernible sequences, forking, etc — methods from infinitary combinatorics, ultrafilters, etc. Attracted a lot of attention recently.
- [C. Laskowski]: connection to finite VC-dimension, a notion from combinatorics introduced around the same time (central in computational learning theory), i.e. a theory is NIP iff all families of uniformly definable sets have finite VC-dimension.
- Key examples of NIP theories: algebraically closed fields, o-minimal theories (e.g. reals with exponentiation), p-adics, ACVF.

# (\*) implies NIP

 It follows from an easy probabilistic argument due to Pach that (\*) implies NIP (even without requiring definability of the homogeneous subsets).

- ► [S. Basu, 2007] Topologically closed graphs in *o*-minimal expansions of real closed fields satisfy (\*).
- Do all NIP theories satisfy (\*)?
- No!

# (\*) fails in $ACF_p$

- For a finite field 𝔽<sub>q</sub>, let P<sub>q</sub> be the set of all points in 𝔽<sup>2</sup><sub>q</sub> and let L<sub>q</sub> be the set of all lines in 𝔽<sup>2</sup><sub>q</sub>. Then |P<sub>q</sub>| = q<sup>2</sup> and |L<sub>q</sub>| = q<sup>2</sup> + q ~ q<sup>2</sup>.
- Let I ⊆ P<sub>q</sub> × L<sub>q</sub> be the incidence relation. Using that fact that the lazy Szemerédi-Trotter bound
   |I (P<sub>q</sub>, L<sub>q</sub>)| ≤ |L<sub>q</sub>| |P<sub>q</sub>|<sup>1/2</sup> + |P| is optimal in finite fields one can show:
- Claim. For any fixed δ > 0, for all large enough q if L<sub>0</sub> ⊆ L<sub>q</sub> and P<sub>0</sub> ⊆ P<sub>q</sub> with |P<sub>0</sub>| ≥ δq<sup>2</sup> and |L<sub>0</sub>| ≥ δq<sup>2</sup> then I (P<sub>0</sub>, L<sub>0</sub>) ≠ Ø.
- As every field of char p can be embedded into 𝔽<sub>p</sub>, it follows that (\*) fails in 𝔽<sub>p</sub> (even without requiring definability of the homogeneous pieces) for *I* the incidence relation.

# Results

- ACF<sub>p</sub> is a nice stable theory. Turns out that stability is the problem.
- ▶ We will generalize (\*) (and further theory) in two directions: proving it for a larger class of theories (covering all *o*-minimal theories and *p*-adics) and for a larger class of measures (rather than just the counting ones, covering Lebesgue and Haar measures). Moreover, we will show that (\*) is equivalent to distality.

Let us describe the context first.

# Distal theories

- The class of *distal theories* was introduced by [P. Simon, 2011] in an attempt to capture the class of purely unstable NIP theories.
- The original definition is in terms of a certain property of indiscernible sequences (see later).

#### Theorem

[Ch., Simon, 2012] An NIP theory T is distal if and only if for every formula  $\phi(x, y)$  there is a formula  $\psi(x, y_1, \ldots, y_n)$  such that for every  $a \in M^{|x|}$  and every finite set  $B \subset M^{|y|}$  there is some  $c \in B^n$  such that  $M \models \psi(a, c)$  and  $\psi(x, c) \vdash tp_{\phi}(a/B)$ .

- The proof uses some model theory along with some deep combinatorial results due to [J. Matoušek] and [N. Alon, D. Kleitman].
- It is enough to verify this property for formulas with |x| = 1.
- All *o*-minimal theories and  $(\mathbb{Q}_p, +, \times)$  are distal.
- In a distal theory, any generically stable type is algebraic. So any distal theory is unstable, and ACVF is not distal.

### Example: o-minimal theories are distal

- Let *M* be *o*-minimal and let  $\phi(x, \bar{y})$  be given.
- For any b
  ∈ M<sup>|y|</sup>, φ(x, b) is a finite union of intervals whose endpoints are of the form f<sub>i</sub> (b) for some definable f<sub>0</sub>(y),..., f<sub>k</sub>(y).
- ► Given a finite set  $B \subseteq M^{|\bar{y}|}$ , the set of points { $f_i(\bar{b}) : i < k, \bar{b} \in B$ } divides M into finitely many intervals, and any two points in the same interval have the same  $\phi$ -type over B.
- ▶ Thus, for any  $a \in M$ , either  $a = f_i(\bar{b})$  for some i < k and  $\bar{b} \in B$ , or  $f_i(\bar{b}) < x < f_j(\bar{b}') \vdash tp_{\phi}(a/B)$  for some i, j < k and  $\bar{b}, \bar{b}' \in B$ .

うしつ 山 (山) (山) (山) (山) (山) (山) (山)

### Keisler measures

- A (Keisler) measure µ over a set of parameters A ⊆ M is a finitely additive probability measure on the boolean algebra Def<sub>×</sub> (A) of A-definable subsets of M.
- Every measure can be viewed as a measure defined on all clopen subsets of the compact space of types  $S_x(A)$ , and then it admits a unique extension to a regular Borel probability measure on  $S_x(A)$ .
- Let 𝔐<sub>x</sub> (A) be the space of measures over A. It can be naturally viewed as a closed subset of [0, 1]<sup>L(A)</sup> with the product topology, so 𝔐<sub>x</sub> (A) is compact. Every type with a zero-one measure concentrated on it, thus S<sub>x</sub> (A) is a closed subset of 𝔐<sub>x</sub> (A).
- ► A global measure is a measure over M.

### Generically stable measures, 1

- A global measure µ is smooth over a small model M ≤ M if it is the unique measure extending µ|<sub>M</sub>.
- A global measure µ in an NIP theory is generically stable over a small model M if it is the unique Aut (M / M)-invariant Keisler measure extending µ|<sub>M</sub>.
- [Vapnik–Chervonenkis, 1971]+[E. Hrushovski, A. Pillay, P. Simon, 2010]. Generically stable measures in NIP theories are uniformly approximable by frequency measures: for every φ(x, y) ∈ L and ε > 0 there is some n ∈ N such that for every global generically stable measure μ there are some a<sub>0</sub>,..., a<sub>n-1</sub> ∈ M such that for any b ∈ M we have |μ(φ(x, b)) |{i < n: ⊨φ(a<sub>i</sub>, b)}| / n ≤ ε.

# Generically stable measures, 2

- [Simon] A theory is distal iff every generically stable measure is smooth.
- Examples:
  - A global type viewed as a measure is smooth if and only if it is realized.
  - A counting measure concentrated on a finite set is smooth (in any theory).
  - ► Lebesgue measure on [0, 1] (over reals, restricted to the definable sets) is smooth.
  - ► Haar measure on a ball over *p*-adics is smooth.
  - Let G be a definably compact group in an o-minimal theory. Then it admits a unique G-invariant measure, which is moreover smooth.

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ 日 ・ ・ つ へ ()

### Generically stable measures, 3

- Given measures µ<sub>1</sub> on M<sup>|x<sub>1</sub>|</sup> and µ<sub>2</sub> on M<sup>|x<sub>2</sub>|</sup>, we say that a measure µ on M<sup>|x<sub>1</sub>|+|x<sub>2</sub>|</sup> is a product measure of µ<sub>1</sub> and µ<sub>2</sub> if for every definable set S ⊆ M<sup>|x<sub>1</sub>|+|x<sub>2</sub>|</sup> such that S = S<sub>1</sub> × S<sub>2</sub> with S<sub>i</sub> ⊆ M<sup>|x<sub>i</sub>|</sup> definable, we have µ(S) = µ<sub>1</sub>(S<sub>1</sub>)µ<sub>2</sub>(S<sub>2</sub>).
- Let T be NIP. Given generically stable measures µ<sub>1</sub> on M<sup>|x<sub>1</sub>|</sup> and µ<sub>2</sub> on M<sup>|x<sub>2</sub>|</sup>, there is a generically stable product measure of µ<sub>1</sub> and µ<sub>2</sub> (possibly non-unique, can take µ<sub>1</sub> ⊗ µ<sub>2</sub>).
- If both μ<sub>1</sub> and μ<sub>2</sub> are smooth, then there is a unique smooth product measure μ.

Main results: Distal Ramsey

#### Theorem

#### [Ch., Starchenko] Let T be distal. Then it satisfies:

- (\*)' For every φ (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, y) there is some ε > 0 such that: for all c ∈ M<sup>|y|</sup> and all generically stable measures μ<sub>i</sub> on M<sup>|x<sub>i</sub>|</sup> there are some sets S<sub>i</sub> ⊆ M<sup>|x<sub>i</sub>|</sup> definable by an instance of a formula depending just on φ, such that μ<sub>i</sub> (S<sub>i</sub>) ≥ ε and (S<sub>1</sub>, S<sub>2</sub>) is φ (x<sub>1</sub>, x<sub>2</sub>, c)-homogeneous. (Of course, (\*)' implies (\*) by taking μ<sub>i</sub> to be the counting measure concentrated on a finite set A<sub>i</sub>.)
- 2. Moreover, if T satisfies (\*)' just for the counting measures then T is distal.
- Using it, we generalize the semialgebraic regularity lemma of [J.Fox, M. Gromov, V. Lafforgue, A. Naor, and J. Pach, 2010]:

### Main results: Distal regularity lemma

#### Theorem

[Ch., Starchenko] Let T be distal. For every  $\phi(x_1, x_2, y)$  and every  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is some  $K = K(\varepsilon, \phi)$  such that: for any choice of the parameter  $c \in \mathbb{M}^{|y|}$  and any generically stable measures  $\mu_i$  on  $\mathbb{M}^{|x_i|}$ , there are  $A_0^i, \ldots, A_k^i \subseteq M^{|x_i|}$  uniformly definable depending just on  $\phi$  and  $\varepsilon$ , and a set  $\Sigma \subseteq \{1, \ldots, k\}^2$  such that:

- 1.  $k \leq K$ , 2.  $\mu \left( \bigcup_{(j,j')\in\Sigma} A_j^1 \times A_{j'}^2 \right) \geq 1 - \varepsilon$ , where  $\mu$  is the product measure of  $\mu_1$  and  $\mu_2$ ,
- 3. for all  $(j, j') \in \Sigma$ , the pair  $(A_j^1, A_{j'}^2)$  is  $\phi(x_1, x_2, c)$ -homogeneous.
- Moreover, for a fixed φ we have K (ε) ≤ c<sub>1</sub> (<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>)<sup>c<sub>2</sub> log(<sup>1</sup>/<sub>ε</sub>)</sup> for some c<sub>1</sub>, c<sub>2</sub> > 0.

うして ふゆう ふほう ふほう うらつ

# Remarks

- If µ<sub>1</sub>, µ<sub>2</sub> also satisfy a certain "uniform non-atomicity" condition, then we can choose the sets in the partition to be of approximately equal size.
- Without requiring definability of the homogeneous subsets (\*) holds in ACF<sub>0</sub> and in ACVF<sub>0,0</sub>: as a model *M* of ACVF<sub>0,0</sub> can be embedded into a model *N* of RCVF, which is weakly o-minimal, so distal.
- By the same reason, weak (\*) holds for all quantifier-free definable graphs in arbitrary (valued) fields of (equi-)characteristic 0.
- There are many further results in the semialgebraic setting relying on (\*) and the regularity lemma. For example:

# Applications: Erdős-Hajnal property

- Let (G, V) be an undirected graph. A subset V<sub>0</sub> ⊆ V is homogeneous if either (v, v') ∈ E for all v ≠ v' ∈ V<sub>0</sub> or (v, v') ∉ E for all v ≠ v' ∈ V<sub>0</sub>.
- A class of finite graphs G has the Erdős-Hajnal property if there is δ > 0 such that every G ∈ G has a homogeneous subset of size ≥ |V(G)|<sup>δ</sup>.
- Erdős-Hajnal conjecture: for every finite graph H, the class of all H-free graphs has the Erdős-Hajnal property.
- Fact. If G is a class of finite graphs closed under subgraphs and G satisfies (\*) (without requiring definability of pieces), then G has the Erdős-Hajnal property.
- Thus, we obtain many new families of graphs satisfying the Erdős-Hajnal conjecture.

# Applications: Ramsey numbers

- Let R be a symmetric definable n-ary relation on M<sup>k</sup>, and let M be distal.
- A subset V ⊆ M<sup>k</sup> is R-mohogeneous if either (v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>) ∈ R for all pairwise distinct v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> ∈ M or (v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub>) ∉ R for all pairwise distinct v<sub>1</sub>,..., v<sub>n</sub> ∈ M.
- Using the case Erdős-Hajnal property as a basis of induction with n = 2, the proof of [D. Conlon, J. Fox, J. Pach, B. Sudakov, A. Suk] for the semialgebraic case gives:

#### Theorem

There is c = c(R) such that for every m, every finite set of size  $m^{m \cdots m^c}$  (i.e. (n-1)-tower of m's) contains an R-homogeneous subset of size m.

► The bound is tight when k is close to n, but for k = 1 it is much smaller [B. Bukh, J. Matoušek].

# Some comments on the proof

- The semialgebraic version of (\*) is proved using the Clarkson-Shor random sampling technique and a polynomial cutting lemma of Guth and Katz.
- For our argument we replace the polynomial cutting lemma by an abstract version of a cutting obtained using distality and frequency approximation of generically stable measures using the VC-theorem.

 For the converse, we use the average measure of an indiscernible sequence.