UCLA | EIP

C. HIGGINS
Evaluation of Instruction Program Report
20F: MATH 31B DIS 2A: INTEGRTN&INF SERIES
No. of responses = 12
Enrollment = 24
Response Rate = 50%

## 1. UCLA Department of Mathematics:

1.1) How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?

Failing | Excellent
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=12
av.=8.5
md=9
dev.=0.67

1.2) How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?

Failing | Excellent
0 0 0 0 0 0 1 4 7
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=12
av.=8.5
md=9
dev.=0.67

1.3) What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?

Failing | Excellent
0 0 0 0 0 1 1 7 3
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9

n=12
av.=8
md=8
dev.=0.85

2. Comments:

2.1) Please use the space provided for any comments you wish to make which are pertinent to the educational process. These may include all aspects of the course: teaching, examinations, grading, textbook, etc.

- Backed up the main material of the course. If students needed extra examples for class concepts or further elaboration on certain topics, she provided more than adequate assistance.

- Cecelia was a great TA, and despite online learning making it much harder to have an effective discussion section, did her best to help with any questions and make the sections as productive as possible. I felt bad because many of the students did not participate much, and so she had to go through a lot of the content by herself with minimal participation, but she still did her best to make it engaging and informative.

- Cecelia was enthusiastic and encouraged/welcomed questions. Though I didn't need much help on the class, I'm positive that if I did she would've helped tremendously. She also cared that our questions were answered; she would send out emails regarding questions/topics that couldn't be answered during the discussion because of limited time. Amazing TA

- Cecilia was probably the best TA I had this quarter. She hosted review sessions that were incredibly helpful and her discussion sections where organized very well and helped me succeed in the class.

- Extremely helpful, especially with her review sessions before the midterms and final. Discussion sections were always relevant to the current material; going over a few homework problems as practice helped a lot. Overall a great TA

- I really appreciate that tests are open. The teacher and TA were very good at communicating the course material. This was an enjoyable class to take.

- The TA wanted her students to succeed, and she tried to help in any way she could by providing study sessions before exams, and having open office hours.

- The TA was extremely kind and helpful. Students felt they could ask her anything. She explained concepts in great detail.

- The teaching assistant was extremely knowledgeable in the course, but she could work on communicating and interacting with the students more often.

- This TA was amazing. She not only explained and reviewed the content well, but she also held review sessions before exams. Especially as a freshman, I valued her efforts to help us students to the best of her ability.

- This TA was very helpful. She definitely went above the expectations. She held review sessions before tests which shows how much she is devoted to her students.

- Very good TA!

Profile

Subunit: MATH

Name of the instructor: C. HIGGINS

Name of the course:
(Name of the survey) 20F: MATH 31B DIS 2A: INTEGRTN&INF SERIES

Values used in the profile line: Mean

1. UCLA Department of Mathematics:

1.1) How would you rate your TA as an effective teacher?   Failing — Excellent   n=12   av.=8.50

1.2) How would you rate the availability and helpfulness of your TA outside of the classroom?   Failing — Excellent   n=12   av.=8.50

1.3) What is your rating of this course independent of the effectiveness of the TA?   Failing — Excellent   n=12   av.=8.00