

Girsanov's Theorem

idea remove/modify drift term by "tilting" the measure

Lemma (Tilt of iid's) Let X_1, \dots, X_n be independent st.
 $\forall \lambda \in \mathbb{R}: \varphi_i(\lambda) := E(e^{\lambda X_i}) < \infty$. Define for $A \in \sigma(X_1, \dots, X_n)$, $\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n \in \mathbb{R}$

$$P_{\underline{\lambda}}(A) := E\left(\mathbb{1}_A \exp\left\{\sum_{i=1}^n [\lambda_i X_i - \log \varphi_i(\lambda_i)]\right\}\right)$$

The $P_{\underline{\lambda}}$ is prob. measure st. X_1, \dots, X_n are indep under $P_{\underline{\lambda}}$

with
$$E_{\underline{\lambda}}(X_i) = \frac{\varphi_i'(\lambda_i)}{\varphi_i(\lambda_i)} \quad i=1, \dots, n.$$

Pf Setup $\Rightarrow P_{\underline{\lambda}}$ = probability product form $\Rightarrow X_1, \dots, X_n$ are still indep under $P_{\underline{\lambda}}$.
$$E_{\underline{\lambda}}(X_i) = E(X_i \exp\{\lambda_i X_i\}) / \varphi_i(\lambda_i) = \varphi_i'(\lambda_i) / \varphi_i(\lambda_i) \quad \square$$

Note A key tool in large-deviation theory (~~Sano's theorem~~)
Cramér's

For Gaussians we can handle dependent r.v.:

Lemma Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_n) = \mathcal{N}(0, C)$. For $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n$
set $P_\lambda(A) = E(\mathbb{1}_A \exp\{\lambda \cdot X - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \cdot C \lambda\})$

Then P_λ is a probability measure and

$$X - C\lambda \text{ under } P_\lambda \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X \text{ under } P$$

$$\left(\text{i.e. } X = \mathcal{N}(C\lambda, C) \text{ under } P_\lambda \right) \quad \begin{cases} E(e^{t \cdot X}) \\ = e^{t \cdot EX + \frac{1}{2} t \cdot C t} \end{cases}$$

Prf: Let $t \in \mathbb{R}^n$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} E_\lambda(e^{t \cdot (X - C\lambda)}) &= E(e^{(t + \lambda) \cdot X}) e^{-t \cdot C\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \cdot C \lambda} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2} (t + \lambda) \cdot C (t + \lambda) - t \cdot C\lambda - \frac{1}{2} \lambda \cdot C \lambda} \\ &= e^{\frac{1}{2} t \cdot C t} = E(e^{t \cdot \mathcal{N}(0, C)}) \end{aligned}$$

Cramér-Wold

$$\Rightarrow X - C\lambda \text{ under } P_\lambda = \mathcal{N}(0, C). \quad \square$$

Thm (Girsanov 1960) Let $B = \text{SBM}$ on $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P})$ adapted to $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$.
 Let $Y \in \mathcal{V}^{\text{loc}}$ and for some $t > 0$, set,

$$M_t := \exp \left\{ \int_0^t Y_s dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t Y_s^2 ds \right\}$$

Assume $EM_t = 1$ and, for $A \in \mathcal{F}_t$, set $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}(A) = E(\mathbb{1}_A M_t)$.

Then $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}$ is a prob. measure on (Ω, \mathcal{F}_t) and

$$\left\{ B_s - \int_0^s Y_u du \right\}_{s \in [0, t]} \text{ under } \tilde{\mathbb{P}} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \{ B_s : s \in [0, t] \} \text{ under } \mathbb{P}$$

Lemma (Explanation of $EM_t = 1$) For above setting:

- $M \in \mathcal{M}_{\text{loc}}^{\text{cont}}$

- $EM_t = 1 \Rightarrow \{ M_{s \wedge t} : s \geq 0 \} \in \mathcal{M}^{\text{cont}}$

Pf: Itô formula:
$$dM_t = M_t \left(Y_t dB_t - \frac{1}{2} Y_t^2 dt \right) + \frac{1}{2} M_t Y_t^2 dt$$

$$= M_t Y_t dB_t$$

Define $\tau_n := \inf \left\{ t \geq 0 : \left| \int_0^t Y_s dB_s \right| \geq n \right\}$.

Then $0 \leq M_{t \wedge \tau_n} \leq e^n$ so $\{M_{t \wedge \tau_n} : t \geq 0\}$ is bounded loc. martingale \Rightarrow it's a martingale.

$Y \in \mathcal{V}^{loc} \Rightarrow \tau_n \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} \infty$ a.s. $\Rightarrow M \in \mathcal{M}_{loc}^{cont}$

2nd part: Notice: $E(M_{t \wedge \tau_n} | \mathcal{F}_s) = M_{t \wedge s \wedge \tau_n}$ a.s.

So also $E(M_{t \wedge \tau_n}) = E(M_0) = 1$

Now $\tau_n \rightarrow \infty$ a.s. implies $M_{t \wedge \tau_n} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{} M_t$ a.s. so Fatou gives:
 $E(M_t | \mathcal{F}_s) \leq M_{t \wedge s} \wedge E(M_t) \leq 1$. So $E(M_t) = 1 \Rightarrow (*)$ must hold with $=$ a.s. □

Proof of Girsanov's thm: Assume $E M_t = 1$. Set, for $s \leq t$,

$$\tilde{B}_s := B_s - \int_0^s Y_u du, \quad N_s := \exp \left\{ i \int_0^s Z_u d\tilde{B}_u + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s Z_u^2 du \right\}$$

claim $\{M_{s \wedge t} N_{s \wedge t} : s \geq 0\} \in \mathcal{M}_{cont}^{loc}$ for some $Z \in \mathcal{V}_0$.

$$M_s N_s = \exp \left\{ \int_0^s (Y_s + i Z_s) dB_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^s (Y_s + i Z_s)^2 ds \right\}$$

use same calculation as before.

We can't reuse $\tau_n := \inf \{t \geq 0 : \int_0^t Y_s dB_s \geq n\}$
 because $Z \in \mathcal{V}_0 \Rightarrow N$ is bounded.

Notice that $EM_t = 1$ implies $M_{t \wedge \tau_n} \rightarrow M_t$ in L^1 .

Since N is bdd, also $M_{t \wedge \tau_n} N_{t \wedge \tau_n} \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} M_t N_t$ in L^1 .

This implies:

$$1 = E(M_0 N_0) = E(M_t N_t) = \tilde{E}(N_t).$$

Now set $Z_s := \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j \mathbb{1}_{(t_{j-1}, t_j]}(s)$ $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_m = t$.

$$\text{Then } N_t = \exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j (\tilde{B}_{t_j} - \tilde{B}_{t_{j-1}}) + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^2 (t_j - t_{j-1}) \right\}.$$

So $1 = E(N_t)$ implies

$$E \left(\exp \left\{ i \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j (\tilde{B}_{t_j} - \tilde{B}_{t_{j-1}}) \right\} \right) = e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^m \lambda_j^2 (t_j - t_{j-1})}$$

So \tilde{B} has independent increments with correct laws.

Since \tilde{B} is cont \Rightarrow it's a SBM. \square

Why do we care about this?

Cor Suppose $a: \mathbb{R}_+ \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is Borel meas. s.t. for
 X being SBM with $X_0 = x$ under \mathbb{P}^x , for some $t > 0$:
 $E M_t = 1$ for $M_t := \exp \left\{ \int_0^t a(s, X_s) dX_s - \frac{1}{2} \int_0^t a(s, X_s)^2 ds \right\}$.

Then for $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x(A) := E^x(\mathbb{1}_A M_t)$, $B_s := X_s - \int_0^s a(u, X_u) du$
is SBM with $B_s = x$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x$. In particular,

X solves $dX_u = a(u, X_u) du + dB_u$ under $\tilde{\mathbb{P}}^x$
up to time t .

Pf Apply Girsanov's thm.

Note This works (for a.c. path) with minimal regularity
assumption on $t, x \mapsto a(t, x)$.