

# Tanaka eg & weak solutions & methods to solve SDEs

Lemma Let  $B = \text{SBM}$  started at any  $B_0$ . Then

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall t \geq 0: \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{|B_s - x| < \varepsilon} ds \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \downarrow 0]{P} |B_t - x| - |B_0 - x| - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_s - x) dB_s$$

when  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is s.t.  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon_n < \infty$ , then limit a.s. along  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ .

$$\text{sgn}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Prop (Tanaka) The SDE  $dX_t = \text{sgn}(X_t) dB_t$  has no strong solution for filtration  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^B = \sigma(N \cup \{B_s : s \leq t\})$ ,  $N = \text{null sets}$  with  $X_0 = 0$

Intuition:  $X_t \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{sgn}(X_t) = +1 \dots X$  follows  $B$

After hit of zero, if "next" excursion  $\geq 0 \dots$  keep following  $B$   
if " "  $< 0 \dots$  keep following  $-B$

But 2<sup>nd</sup> part forces  $X$  to be positive  $\nabla$

Problem: There is no "next" excursion  $\nabla$

# Tanaka eg & weak solutions & methods to solve SDEs

Lemma Let  $B = \text{SBM}$  started at any  $B_0$ . Then

$$\forall x \in \mathbb{R} \forall t \geq 0: \frac{1}{2\varepsilon} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{|B_s - x| < \varepsilon} ds \xrightarrow[\varepsilon \downarrow 0]{P} |B_t - x| - |B_0 - x| - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(B_s - x) dB_s$$

when  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$  is s.t.  $\sum_{n \geq 1} \varepsilon_n < \infty$ , then limit a.s. along  $\{\varepsilon_n\}_{n \geq 1}$ .

$$\text{sgn}(x) := \begin{cases} 1 & x \geq 0 \\ -1 & x < 0 \end{cases}$$

Prop (Tanaka) The SDE  $dX_t = \text{sgn}(X_t) dB_t$  has no strong solution for filtration  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^B = \sigma(N \cup \{B_s : s \leq t\})$ ,  $N = \text{null sets}$  with  $X_0 = 0$

Intuition:  $X_t \geq 0 \Rightarrow \text{sgn}(X_t) = +1 \dots X$  follows  $B$

After hit of zero, if "next" excursion  $\geq 0 \dots$  keep following  $B$   
if " "  $< 0 \dots$  keep following  $-B$

But 2<sup>nd</sup> part forces  $X$  to be positive  $\nabla$

Problem: There is no "next" excursion  $\nabla$

Pf: Suppose  $X$  is strong solution w/td  $X_0 = 0$ , adapted to  $\{\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^B\}_{t \geq 0}$ .

Then  $\langle X \rangle_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s)^2 ds = \int_0^t ds = t \Rightarrow X = \text{SBM}$ .

Moreover,  $\int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s) dX_s = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s)^2 dB_s = \int_0^t dB_s = B_t$ .

Lemma:  $B_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s) dX_s \stackrel{\text{Lemma}}{=} |X_t| - |X_0| - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{2\epsilon_n} \int_0^t \mathbb{1}_{|X_s| \leq \epsilon_n} ds$  a.s.

Hence,  $B_t$  is  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$ -meas. So we get.

$X$  strong sol  $\Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^X \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^B$   
 Lemma +  $X = \text{SBM} \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^B \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$

$\forall t \geq 0: \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^X \subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$

Lemma  $\forall t \geq 0: \{X_t > 0\} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$ .

Pf  $\mathcal{G}_t := \{A \in \mathcal{F}_t^X : E(\mathbb{1}_A X_t) = 0\}$

The  $\mathcal{G}_t$  is  $\sigma$ -alg.

Moreover,  $\{\mathbb{1}_{|X_s| \leq \epsilon}\} \in \mathcal{G}_t$  because  $E(\mathbb{1}_{\{|X_s| \leq \epsilon\}} X_t) = E(\mathbb{1}_{\{|X_s| \leq \epsilon\}} (-X_t))$  by Brownian symmetry.

Since  $N \in \mathcal{G}_1 \Rightarrow \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|} \subseteq \mathcal{G}_t$ .

Yet  $E(\mathbb{1}_{\{X_t > 0\}} X_t) \underset{t \geq 0}{>} 0$  so  $\{X_t > 0\} \notin \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$ .  $\square$

Hence,  $\tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^X \not\subseteq \tilde{\mathcal{F}}_t^{|X|}$  so  $X$  could not exist.  $\nabla$ .  $\square$

So we can't solve  $dX_t = \text{sgn}(X_t) dB_t$  with  $B$  as given.

Yet we can solve it as follows:

Let  $X = \text{SBM}$  started at  $X_0$

define  $\tilde{B}_t = \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s) dX_s$

then  $X_t = X_0 + \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s)^2 dX_s$

---

Def A weak solution to SDE ... is quadruplet  $\left[ = X_0 + \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s) d\tilde{B}_s \right]$

$(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \{B_t : t \geq 0\}, \{X_t : t \geq 0\}$

s.t.  $\{X_t : t \geq 0\}$  is a strong solution for setting  $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, P), \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \{B_s\}_{s \geq 0}, X_0$

Uniqueness of weak solution harder to check:

- have to check pathwise uniqueness for all standard settings?

Prop Let  $X$  be a strong solution to  $dX_t = \text{sgn}(X_t) dB_t$  on some standard setting. Let  $\tau_0 := \inf\{t \geq 0 : X_t = 0\}$ . Then

$$\tilde{X}_t := \begin{cases} X_t & t \leq \tau_0 \\ -X_t & t \geq \tau_0 \end{cases}$$

is also a strong solution (for that standard setting).

Pf  $\tilde{X}$  is cont. (because  $X_{\tau_0} = 0$  if  $\tau_0 < \infty$ ).

$\tilde{X}$  is adapted because  $\tau_0$  is stopping time.

$\tilde{X}$  strong sol.  $\text{sgn}(\tilde{X}_t) = [2 \mathbb{1}_{\tau_0 > t} - 1] \text{sgn}(X_t)$

$$\text{so } \int_0^t \text{sgn}(\tilde{X}_s) dB_s = \int_0^t [2 \mathbb{1}_{\tau_0 > s} - 1] \text{sgn}(X_s) dB_s$$

$$= 2 \int_0^{t \wedge \tau_0} \text{sgn}(X_s) dB_s - \int_0^t \text{sgn}(X_s) dB_s \quad \stackrel{\tilde{X}_0}{=} X_0$$

$$= \begin{cases} 2(X_{\tau_0} - X_0) - (X_t - X_0) = -X_t - (2X_0 - X_0) = \tilde{X}_t - X_0 & (t > \tau_0) \\ X_t - X_0 = \tilde{X}_t - X_0 & \text{if } t < \tau_0 \end{cases}$$



We can change sign in every excursion  $\uparrow$   $\rightarrow$  uncountably many

Yet: they are all standard Brownian motions  $\uparrow$  strong solutions  $\uparrow$ .

Def We say that uniqueness in law holds for an SDE

if for any two weak solutions  $X, \tilde{X}$ :

$$X_0 \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} X_0 \Rightarrow \{X_t : t \geq 0\} \stackrel{\text{law}}{=} \{\tilde{X}_t : t \geq 0\}$$

$\uparrow$  on  $(C[0, \infty), \mathcal{B}(C[0, \infty))$ .

# Abstract non-sense theory of Yamada & Watanabe (1971)

Key results:

Thm Pathwise uniqueness  $\Rightarrow$  uniqueness in law

Thm Assume a weak solution exists & pathwise uniqueness holds.

Then  $\exists \chi: \mathbb{R} \times C[0, \infty) \rightarrow C[0, \infty)$  s.t.

$$\forall t \geq 0: \sigma(\chi_s, s \leq t) \subseteq \sigma(\{x_0 \in A_1\}, \{b_s \in A_2\}; A_1, A_2 \in \mathcal{B}(\mathbb{R}), s \leq t)$$

and for any standard setup  $((\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbb{P}), \{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}, \{B_t\}_{t \geq 0}, X_0)$

$$X_t = \chi(X_0, B)$$

$B$  a strong solution.

Pf Notes

## Methods to solve SDEs

Consider SDE:  $dX_t = a(t, X_t)dt + dB_t$

Lemma  $X$  solves this SDE iff  $V_t = X_t - B_t$  solves

the ODE 
$$\frac{dV_t}{dt} = a(t, V_t + B_t)$$

Pf 
$$X_t - B_t = X_0 + \int_0^t a(s, X_s) ds = X_0 + \int_0^t a(s, V_s + B_s) ds$$

E.g Bessel eq:  $dX_t = \frac{d-1}{2X_t} dt + dB_t$

.. solve  $\frac{dV_t}{dt} = \frac{d-1}{2} \frac{1}{V_t+B_t}$  until  $\tau_0 = \inf \{t \geq 0: V_t+B_t=0\}$

then set  $X_t = V_t + B_t$ .

E.g Carathéodory-Peano thm. gives solution of ODE  $\frac{dV}{dt} = a(t, V_t+B_t)dt$   
whenever  $t \mapsto a(t, x)$  is meas,  $x \mapsto a(t, x)$  continuous  
and  $\forall r > 0 \exists m_r: \mathbb{R}_+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  s.t.  $\sup_{|x| \leq r} |a(t, x)| \leq m_r(t) \wedge m_r \in L^{1,loc}(\mathbb{R}_+)$   
Under same condition, we can solve SDE  $dX_t = a(t, X_t) + dB_t$