

10. CONTINUOUS VERSION AND ITÔ INTEGRABILITY

The purpose of this lecture is to resolve two natural questions. The first one concerns a characterization of processes that we can actually integrate in the above sense. Thanks to Lemma 9.9 we know that all left-continuous processes $Y \in \mathcal{V}$ are included, but the point is to determine how large is the class of integrable processes in \mathcal{V} . The second question concerns the t -dependence of the integral $\int_0^t Y_s dB_s$. Note that we constructed the integral for each t separately, and only modulo a null set, but if are to treat $\{\int_0^t Y_s dB_s : t \in [0, \infty)\}$ as a process then we need to establish some minimal regularity of the integrals as a function of their upper limit.

10.1 Continuous version.

We start by addressing the second question. For this we recall the following definition:

Definition 10.1 Let $\{\mathcal{F}_t\}_{t \geq 0}$ be a filtration. A process $\{M_t : t \geq 0\}$ is a martingale if it is adapted (i.e., $\forall t \geq 0 : M_t$ is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable), integrable (meaning $\forall t \geq 0 : M_t \in L^1$) and

$$\forall t, s \geq 0 : E(M_{t+s} | \mathcal{F}_t) = M_t \text{ a.s.} \tag{10.1}$$

We say that the martingale is continuous if $t \mapsto M_t$ is continuous. A martingale is an L^2 -martingale if $\forall t \geq 0 : M_t \in L^2$.

We then have:

Theorem 10.2 Suppose the Brownian filtration is such that \mathcal{F}_0 contains all P -null sets. For each $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{V}}_0^{[1]}$ there exists a continuous L^2 -martingale $\{I_t : t \geq 0\}$ such that

$$\forall t \geq 0 : P\left(I_t = \int_0^t Y_s dB_s\right) = 1 \tag{10.2}$$

In particular, the process $\{\int_0^t Y_s dB_s : t \geq 0\}$ of stochastic integrals admits a continuous version.

For the proof we need the following result from martingale theory:

Lemma 10.3 (Doob's L^2 -inequality) Let $\{M_t : t \geq 0\}$ be a continuous L^2 -martingale. Then

$$\forall t \geq 0 \forall \lambda > 0 : P\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |M_s| > \lambda\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(M_t^2) \tag{10.3}$$

Proof. Fix $t \geq 0$ and $\lambda > 0$. We will use the fact that the statement is known for discrete-time martingales. Indeed, given any set of points $\{t_i : i = 0, \dots, n\} \subseteq [0, t]$, which we may assume to obey $0 = t_0 < t_1 < \dots < t_n \leq t$, the process $\{M_{t_i}\}_{i=1}^n$ is an L^2 -martingale. The discrete-time Doob L^2 -inequality then reads

$$P\left(\max_{i=0, \dots, n} |M_{t_i}| > \lambda\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(M_{t_n}^2) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(M_t^2), \tag{10.4}$$

where we also used that $t \mapsto E(M_t^2)$ is non-decreasing. Refining the family of points to increase to all rationals in $[0, t]$ yields

$$P\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t] \cap \mathbb{Q}} |M_s| > \lambda\right) \leq \frac{1}{\lambda^2} E(M_t^2) \quad (10.5)$$

where the Monotone Convergence Theorem was used on the left-hand side to pass the limit inside the probability. As M has continuous paths, the supremum in (10.5) equals that in (10.3) and so we are done. \square

Proof of Theorem 10.2. Since $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{V}_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$, for each $n \geq 1$ there is $Y^{(n)} \in \mathcal{V}_0$ such that

$$\|Y^{(n)} - Y\| \leq 2^{-n}. \quad (10.6)$$

Since $\|\tilde{Y}\| \geq 2^{-k} \min\{1, \|\tilde{Y}\|_{L^2([0, k] \times \Omega)}\}$, for $n \geq [t]$ this implies

$$\|Y^{(n)} - Y\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \Omega)} \leq 2^{-n+[t]} \quad (10.7)$$

Define

$$I_t^{(n)} := \int_0^t Y_s^{(n)} dB_s. \quad (10.8)$$

Then $t \mapsto I_t^{(n)}$ is continuous with $I_t^{(n)} \in L^2$ and \mathcal{F}_t -measurable. We claim:

Lemma 10.4 $I^{(n)}$ is a continuous L^2 -martingale.

Proof. In light of the above, it remains to check the martingale property (10.1). Assuming $Y^{(n)}$ is given by the right-hand side of (9.7), this boils down to showing that, for any $t_i > t_{i-1} \geq 0$, any $t, s \geq 0$, any $Z_i \in L^2$ that is $\mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}$ -measurable,

$$E(Z_i(B_{t_i \wedge (t+s)} - B_{t_{i-1} \wedge (t+s)}) \mid \mathcal{F}_t) = Z_i(B_{t_i \wedge t} - B_{t_{i-1} \wedge t}) \quad (10.9)$$

For $t \geq t_i$ this is immediate from the fact that all of the random variables on the left are \mathcal{F}_{t_i} -measurable, while for $t \leq t_{i-1}$ we first condition on $\mathcal{F}_{t_{i-1}}$ and then realize that this conditional expectation vanishes, as does the right-hand side. For $t \in (t_{i-1}, t_i)$ we can move Z_i out of the conditional expectation. Then we write the Brownian increment on the left as

$$(B_{t_i \wedge (t+s)} - B_t) + (B_{t \wedge t_i} - B_{t \wedge t_{i-1}}) \quad (10.10)$$

The conditional expectation (given \mathcal{F}_t) of the first term in the parentheses vanishes thanks to Definition 9.1(3), while the second term is \mathcal{F}_t -measurable and thus unaffected by taking this conditional expectation. Hence we get (10.9) in all cases. \square

Returning to the proof of Theorem 10.2, we now observe that Doob's L^2 -martingale inequality along with Itô isometry and (10.7) show, for any $m \geq n$, that

$$\begin{aligned} P\left(\sup_{s \in [0, t]} |I_s^{(n)} - I_s^{(m)}| > 2^{-n/2}\right) &\leq 2^n E[(I_t^{(n)} - I_t^{(m)})^2] \\ &= 2^n \|Y^{(n)} - Y^{(m)}\|_{L^2([0, t] \times \Omega)}^2 \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-m \wedge n + 2[t]} \end{aligned} \quad (10.11)$$

where the factor 4 arises from writing the norm on the left using the norms of $Y^{(n)} - Y$ and $Y^{(m)} - Y$ and bounding each separately. For $m := n + 1$ this is summable on n and

so, by the Borel-Cantelli lemma, the event on the left occurs only finitely often a.s. for each $t \geq 0$. Setting

$$\Omega_0 := \bigcap_{N \geq 1} \left\{ \sup_{s \in [0, N]} |I_s^{(n)} - I_s^{(n+1)}| > 2^{-n/2} \text{ i.o.}(n) \right\}^c \quad (10.12)$$

we thus get $P(\Omega_0) = 1$. Now define

$$I_t := \begin{cases} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} I_t^{(n)} & \text{on } \Omega_0 \\ 0 & \text{else,} \end{cases} \quad (10.13)$$

and note that the limit exist on the event in the first alternative, which by the previous reasoning is a full measure event.

The limit in (10.13) is actually locally uniform and, since each $I^{(n)}$ is continuous, also the limit process I is continuous. The construction of the Itô integral gives

$$\forall t \geq 0: \quad I_t^{(n)} \xrightarrow[n \rightarrow \infty]{L^2} \int_0^t Y_s dB_s \quad (10.14)$$

The uniqueness of L^2 -limit along with the fact that it implies a.e. subsequential convergence then gives (10.2) as well as $I_t^{(n)} \rightarrow I_t$ in L^2 . Since \mathcal{F}_0 contains all P -null sets, we have $\Omega_0, \Omega_0^c \in \mathcal{F}_0$ and the process I is adapted. Lemma 10.4 shows that $I^{(n)}$ obeys $E(I_{t+s}^{(n)} | \mathcal{F}_t) = I_t^{(n)}$ a.s. The L^2 -convergence $I_t^{(n)} \rightarrow I_t$ allows for taking $n \rightarrow \infty$ limit in the conditional expectation which shows that I is an L^2 -martingale. \square

10.2 Characterizing integrable processes.

As to the other question discussed above, here we simply claim:

Theorem 10.5 *Using the setting and notation defined above,*

$$\overline{\mathcal{V}_0^{[1]}} = \mathcal{V} \quad (10.15)$$

In particular, $\int_0^t Y dB_s$ is defined as in Corollary 9.8 for all jointly-measurable adapted locally-square integrable processes and all $t \geq 0$.

Proof. Let $Y \in \mathcal{V}$. We need to show that Y can be approximated by a sequence of processes from \mathcal{V}_0 . In Lemma 9.9 we used the values of Y at dyadic rationals to give a good approximation for Y left-continuous. Here we will use a similar idea but for dyadic rationals shifted by a random value so that a.e. shift actually produces a good approximation. The proof is thus an example of a *probabilistic method* which is a way to demonstrate existence of an object by showing that a sample from a natural probability measure yields a desired object with probability one.

Moving to the actual argument, for technical reasons we first extend $t \mapsto Y_t$ to all reals by setting $Y_t = 0$ for all $t < 0$. Define, for each integer $n \geq 1$ and reals $t, h \geq 0$,

$$r_n(t, h) := 2^{-n} \lfloor 2^n(t - h) \rfloor + h \quad (10.16)$$

Then $t \mapsto r_n(t, h)$ takes only values in $2^{-n}\mathbb{Z} + h$ and obeys

$$t - 2^{-n} \leq r_n(t, h) \leq t \quad (10.17)$$

Note also that $h \mapsto r_n(t, h)$ is a piecewise linear (but discontinuous) periodic function with period 2^{-n} . In particular, for each integer $n \geq 1$,

$$\int_0^1 f(r_n(t, h)) dh = 2^n \int_0^{2^{-n}} f(t - h) dh \quad (10.18)$$

holds for each Borel $f: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ and each $t \geq 0$. This identity drives the proof of:

Lemma 10.6 For all $T > 0$,

$$E \int_0^T dt \int_0^1 dh |Y_t - Y_{r_n(t, h)}|^2 \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0 \quad (10.19)$$

Proof. Fix $T > 0$. We first claim that, whenever $\int_0^T Y_t(\omega)^2 dt < \infty$, we have

$$\int_0^T |Y_t(\omega) - Y_{t-h}(\omega)|^2 dt \xrightarrow{h \downarrow 0} 0 \quad (10.20)$$

This holds because, for each $\omega \in \Omega$, the map $t \mapsto Y_t(\omega)$ — being measurable and square integrable on $[0, T]$ thanks to $Y \in \mathcal{V}$ — can be approximated in $L^2([0, T])$ by a sequence of bounded continuous functions for which the claim holds by the Bounded Convergence Theorem. (This argument is generally used to prove that the shift-map is continuous in L^p for every $p \in [1, \infty)$.)

For $h > 0$, the quantity in (10.20) is bounded by $4 \int_0^T Y_t^2 dt$, which has finite expectation by $Y \in \mathcal{V}$, the Dominated Convergence Theorem yields

$$E \int_0^T |Y_t - Y_{t-h}|^2 dt \xrightarrow{h \downarrow 0} 0 \quad (10.21)$$

Noting that (10.18) along with Fubini-Tonelli gives

$$E \int_0^T dt \int_0^1 dh |Y_t - Y_{r_n(t, h)}|^2 = 2^n \int_0^{2^{-n}} \left(E \int_0^T |Y_t - Y_{t-h}|^2 dt \right) dh, \quad (10.22)$$

the claim follows by plugging (10.21) on the right-hand side. \square

Moving back to the main line of the proof of Theorem 10.5, using Lemma 10.6 along with the Cantor diagonal argument we now find an increasing sequence of integers $\{n_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ such that

$$\forall k \in \mathbb{N}: E \int_0^k dt \int_0^1 dh |Y_t - Y_{r_{n_k}(t, h)}|^2 \leq 4^{-k} \quad (10.23)$$

The Markov inequality along with Fubini-Tonelli implies

$$\lambda \left(\left\{ h \in [0, 1]: E \int_0^k dt |Y_t - Y_{r_{n_k}(t, h)}|^2 > 2^{-k} \right\} \right) \leq 2^{-k}, \quad (10.24)$$

where λ is the Lebesgue measure on $[0, 1]$. The Borel-Cantelli lemma now implies that there exists $h \in [0, 1]$ (in fact, there exists a full-measure set of such h 's) such that

$$E \int_0^m dt |Y_t - Y_{r_{n_k}(t, h)}|^2 \xrightarrow[k \rightarrow \infty]{} 0 \quad (10.25)$$

holds for all $m \geq 1$.

To conclude, fix h where (10.25) holds. The piece-wise constant nature of $t \mapsto r_n(t, h)$ then implies that, for each $k \geq 1$, the process $Y^{(k)}$ defined by

$$Y_t^{(k)} := Y_{r_{n_k}(t, h)} 1_{[0, k]}(t) \quad (10.26)$$

obeys $Y^{(k)} \in \mathcal{V}_0$, thanks to the piece-wise constant nature of $t \mapsto r_n(t, h)$. Since (10.25) gives $\|Y - Y^{(k)}\| \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, we conclude that $Y \in \overline{\mathcal{V}_0}^{\|\cdot\|}$ thus proving the claim. \square

Further reading: Section 3.1 in Øksendal, Section 3.2B in Karatzas-Shreve