

Uniform convergence

Question: Given a two dimensional array $\{a_{m,n}\}_{m,n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of reals, when can we guarantee that the equality

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n}$$

holds, assuming the stated limits exist?

Example: Taking $A := \{(k, \ell) \in \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} : k \leq \ell\}$, note that

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} 1_A(m, n) = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} 1_A(m, n) = 1$$

so exchange of limits is not automatic.

Lemma (Uniformity allows for exchange of limits)

Let $\{a_{m,n}\}_{m \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}}$ obey

$$\forall m \in \mathbb{N}: b_m := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} \text{ exists}$$

and

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: c_n := \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} a_{m,n} \text{ exists}$$

Assuming, in addition, that the first limit is uniform in the sense

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{m \in \mathbb{N}} |b_m - a_{m,n}| = 0$$

then

$$\lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_m \text{ exists} \wedge \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n \text{ exists} \wedge \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} b_m = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n$$

Definition (Pointwise and uniform convergence)

Given sets $A \subseteq B$, a metric space (X, ρ) , a function $f: B \rightarrow X$ and a sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from B to X (with all having domain B), we say:

- $f_n \rightarrow f$ **pointwise on A** if

$$\forall x \in A: \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0$$

- $f_n \rightarrow f$ **uniformly on A** if

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) = 0$$

If $A = B$ then “on A ” is usually dropped.

Note: Uniform convergence is metric convergence w.r.t. the **supremum metric**

$$\rho_\infty(f, g) := \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f(x), g(x))$$

Lemma

For any functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and f ,

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly} \Rightarrow f_n \rightarrow f \text{ pointwise}$$

Proof: Uniform convergence implies that, for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0: \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$$

But then for any $z \in A$,

$$\forall n \geq n_0: \rho(f_n(z), f(z)) < \epsilon$$

proving pointwise convergence. □

$f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise := $\forall \epsilon > 0 \forall x \in X \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall n \geq n_0: \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$

$f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly := $\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall x \in X \forall n \geq n_0: \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$

Motivating example: For each $x \geq 0$,

$$f_n(x) := \frac{nx}{1 + nx}$$

converges to $1_{(0,\infty)}(x)$. Yet limit not uniform because $\sup_{x>0} |f_n(x) - 1| = 1$.

What's the obstruction?

Each f_n is continuous yet their pointwise limit is NOT!

Theorem

Given metric spaces X and Y , let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and f be functions $X \rightarrow Y$ (with domain X) such that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. Then

$$\forall x_0 \in X: (\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: f_n \text{ continuous at } x_0) \Rightarrow f \text{ continuous at } x_0$$

In particular,

$$(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: f_n \text{ continuous}) \wedge f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly} \Rightarrow f \text{ continuous}$$

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Uniform convergence implies existence of an $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall x \in X: \rho_Y(f_n(x), f(x)) < \epsilon$$

Continuity of f_n at x_0 in turn gives a $\delta > 0$ such that

$$\forall x \in X: \rho_X(x, x_0) < \delta \Rightarrow \rho_Y(f_n(x), f_n(x_0)) < \epsilon$$

By the triangle inequality, once $\rho(x, x_0) < \delta$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_Y(f(x), f(x_0)) &\leq \rho_Y(f(x), f_n(x)) \\ &\quad + \rho_Y(f_n(x), f_n(x_0)) + \rho_Y(f_n(x_0), f(x_0)) < 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

proving continuity of f at x_0 . □

Definition

Let A be a set and (X, ρ) a metric space. A sequence of functions $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ from A to X is said to be **uniformly Cauchy** if

$$\forall \epsilon > 0 \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N} \forall m, n \geq n_0 \forall x \in A: \rho(f_n(x), f_m(x)) < \epsilon$$

Note: Cauchy w.r.t. the supremum metric $\rho_\infty(f, g) := \sup_{x \in A} \rho(f(x), g(x))$.

Lemma

Let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and f be functions from a set A to a metric space X . Then

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly} \Rightarrow \{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ uniformly Cauchy}$$

On the other hand, if (X, ρ) is complete, then

$$\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ uniformly Cauchy} \Rightarrow \exists f \in X^A : f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly}$$

Proof: First part follows from

$$\sup_{x \in X} \rho(f_n(x), f_m(x)) \leq \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f_n(x), f(x)) + \sup_{x \in X} \rho(f(x), f_m(x))$$

For the second part note that $\{f_n(x)\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy for each x . Completeness lets us define $f(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x)$. Uniformity checked via

$$\rho(f(x), f_n(x)) = \lim_{m \rightarrow \infty} \rho(f_m(x), f_n(x)) \quad \square$$

Lemma (Weierstrass M -test)

Let X be a metric space and $\{f_n\}_{n \rightarrow \infty}$ functions $X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that, for a sequence $\{M_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in [0, \infty)^{\mathbb{N}}$,

$$\forall x \in X \forall n \in \mathbb{N}: |f_n(x)| \leq M_n$$

and

$$\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} M_n < \infty$$

Then there exists a function $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=0}^n f_k \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly}$$

Proof: For $n \leq m$ we have

$$\left| \sum_{k=0}^n f_k(x) - \sum_{k=0}^m f_k(x) \right| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^m |f_k(x)| \leq \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} M_k$$

so $\{\sum_{k=0}^n f_k\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly Cauchy. Now use that \mathbb{R} is complete. \square

Lemma

Let $\{a_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \in \mathbb{R}^{\mathbb{N}}$ be such that (with conventions $+\infty^{-1} := 0$ and $0^{-1} := +\infty$)

$$R := \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \right)^{-1} > 0$$

Let $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}$. Then for all $x \in (x_0 - R, x_0 + R)$,

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n (x - x_0)^n$$

converges absolutely and defines a continuous function $f: (x_0 - R, x_0 + R) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$.

Note: R is called the **radius of convergence**

Let $r \in (0, R)$ and let $\epsilon \in (0, R - r)$. As $(R - \epsilon)^{-1} > R^{-1}$, there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0: |a_n| \leq \frac{1}{(R - \epsilon)^n}$$

Setting $A := \max(\{|a_k|(R - \epsilon)^k : k = 0, \dots, n_0\} \cup \{1\})$, we then have

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: |a_n| \leq \frac{A}{(R - \epsilon)^n}$$

Denoting $f_n(x) := a_n(x - x_0)^n$, we then have

$$M_n := \sup_{x \in [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]} |f_n(x)| \leq |a_n| r^n \leq A \left(\frac{r}{R - \epsilon} \right)^n$$

As $r < R - \epsilon$, this is summable on n and so the Weierstrass M -test applies. Hence, for all $r \in (0, R)$,

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x - x_0)^n$$

converges uniformly on $[x_0 - r, x_0 + r]$ and is continuous there. □

Theorem

Let $a < b$ be reals and $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ and f functions $[a, b] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\left(\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: f_n \text{ Riemann integrable} \right) \wedge f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly}$$

Then

$$f \text{ Riemann integrable} \wedge \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx = \int_a^b f(x) dx$$

Note: Osgood's Bounded Convergence Theorem does the same assuming only that $f_n \rightarrow f$ pointwise with f Riemann integrable.

First note that

$$\left| \int_a^b f_m(x) dx - \int_a^b f_n(x) dx \right| = \left| \int_a^b (f_n - f_m)(x) dx \right| \leq (b - a) \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f_n(x) - f_m(x)|$$

and since $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is uniformly Cauchy, $\{\int_a^b f_n(x) dx\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is Cauchy and

$$L := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_a^b f_n(x) dx$$

exists. Fix $\epsilon > 0$. Then there exists $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\forall n \geq n_0: \left| \int_a^b f_n(x) dx - L \right| < \epsilon$$

Next, uniform convergence $f_n \rightarrow f$ implies existence of $n \geq n_0$ such that

$$\sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{b - a}$$

which then implies ...

... that for all marked partitions Π of $[a, b]$

$$|R(f, \Pi) - R(f_n, \Pi)| \leq (b - a) \sup_{x \in [a, b]} |f_n(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$$

The Riemann integrability of f_n in turn gives us $\delta > 0$ such that for all marked partitions Π with $\|\Pi\| < \delta$,

$$\left| R(f_n, \Pi) - \int_a^b f_n(x) dx \right| < \epsilon$$

The triangle inequality then yields

$$\begin{aligned} |R(f, \Pi) - L| &\leq |R(f, \Pi) - R(f_n, \Pi)| \\ &\quad + \left| R(f_n, \Pi) - \int_a^b f_n(x) dx \right| + \left| \int_a^b f_n(x) dx - L \right| < 3\epsilon \end{aligned}$$

As this holds for all $\epsilon > 0$, we get the claim. □

Theorem

Given reals $a < b$, let $\{f_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of differentiable functions $(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$\exists x_0 \in (a, b): \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_0) \text{ exists}$$

and

$$\{f'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \text{ is uniformly Cauchy}$$

Then there exists a differentiable function $f: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ such that

$$f_n \rightarrow f \text{ uniformly} \wedge f'_n \rightarrow f' \text{ uniformly}$$

In particular, the derivative can be exchanged with the limit $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Define $\phi_n: (a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\phi_n(x) := \begin{cases} \frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} & \text{if } x \neq x_0 \\ f'_n(x_0) & \text{if } x = x_0 \end{cases}$$

Then ϕ_n is continuous on (a, b) . Moreover, Lagrange's MVT implies

$$\forall x \in (a, b) \exists \xi \in (a, b): \phi_n(x) - \phi_m(x) = f'_n(\xi) - f'_m(\xi)$$

and so $\{f'_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ being uniformly Cauchy implies $\{\phi_n\}_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ being uniformly Cauchy. In particular,

$$\forall x \in (a, b): \phi(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \phi_n(x) \text{ exists}$$

and, since $\phi_n \rightarrow \phi$ uniformly, ϕ is continuous. Next ...

...define

$$f(x) := \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f_n(x_0) + \phi(x)(x - x_0)$$

and note that, for $x \neq x_0$,

$$f_n(x) = f_n(x_0) + \phi_n(x)(x - x_0)$$

implies that $f_n \rightarrow f$ uniformly. This means that we can repeat the above arguments with x_0 replaced by any x . It thus suffices to show that $f'(x_0)$ exists and $f'_n(x_0) \rightarrow f'(x_0)$. Here we note that, for $x \neq x_0$,

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{f(x) - f(x_0)}{x - x_0} - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f'_n(x_0) &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \left[\frac{f_n(x) - f_n(x_0)}{x - x_0} - f'_n(x_0) \right] \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\phi_n(x) - \phi_n(x_0)] = \phi(x) - \phi(x_0) \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of ϕ , this tends to zero as $x \rightarrow x_0$. □

Corollary

The power series

$$f(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} a_n(x - x_0)^n$$

is infinitely differentiable on $(x_0 - R, x_0 + R)$ once

$$R := \left(\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} |a_n|^{1/n} \right)^{-1} > 0$$

Proof: Set $f_n(x) := \sum_{k=0}^n a_k(x - x_0)^k$. Then

$$f'_n(x) = \sum_{k=1}^n k a_k(x - x_0)^{k-1}$$

Now use that for $x \in [x_0 - r, x_0 + r]$ we have $k|a_k||x - x_0|^{k-1} \leq Ak\left(\frac{r}{R-\epsilon}\right)^k r^{-1}$ which is still summable on k once $0 < r < R - \epsilon$.

Lemma (Exponential, sine and cosine)

The real-valued functions \exp , \sin and \cos are well-defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ by

$$\exp(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{x^n}{n!}$$

$$\sin(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n+1}}{(2n+1)!}$$

$$\cos(x) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (-1)^n \frac{x^{2n}}{(2n)!}$$

where the series converge absolutely everywhere and uniformly on any compact subinterval of \mathbb{R} . Moreover, these functions are infinitely differentiable on \mathbb{R} with

$$\exp'(x) = \exp(x) \quad \wedge \quad \sin'(x) = \cos(x) \quad \wedge \quad \cos'(x) = -\sin(x)$$

at each $x \in \mathbb{R}$.